A series of SiO$_2$ supported iron-vanadium catalysts were prepared using sol-gel and wetness impregnation methods. This research investigates the effects of V and Cu on the structure and morphology of Fe/SiO$_2$ catalysts. The SiO$_2$ supported catalyst with the highest specific surface area and pore volume was obtained when it is containing 40 wt.% Fe, 15 wt.% V, and 2 wt.% Cu. Characterization of prepared catalysts was carried out by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM), Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometry, temperature program reduction (TPR), N$_2$ physisorption, and thermal analysis methods such as thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The Fe-V/SiO$_2$ catalyst promoted with 2 wt.% of Cu exhibited typical ferromagnetic behavior at room temperature with a saturation magnetization value of 11.44 emu/g. This character of catalyst indicated great potential for application in magnetic separation technologies. The prepared catalyst was found to act as an efficient recoverable nanocatalyst for oxidation reaction of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones in aqueous media under mild condition. Moreover, the catalyst was reused five times without significant degradation in catalytic activity and performance.

1. Introduction

The synthesis of nanostructured magnetic materials has become a particularly important area of research and is attracting a growing interest due to their chemical and physical properties, which differ significantly from bulk material. This leads to a broad range of applications such as hyperthermia [1], information storage media [2], magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [3, 4], drug delivery and bioadsorption [5], and catalytic applications [6–8]. Magnetic nanoparticles have been synthesized with a number of different compositions and phases, including pure metals Fe, Co, and Ni [9–11]; metal oxides, Fe$_3$O$_4$ and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [12, 13]; ferrites, such as Co$_{1-x}$Zn$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$ [14]; metal alloys, as Fe/Cu [15]; and supported solid catalyst such as iron oxide nanoparticles in a silica matrix [16]. The use of magnetic nanoparticles as the catalysts has been attracting great attention as a useful strategy for improving the catalytic efficiency of heterogeneous catalysts in a wide range of synthetic transformations. Nanometer magnetic solid base catalyst can be separated easily from the reagents by applying an external magnetic field, which can effectively prevent catalyst loss and improve its recovery rate during separation process and can increase the durability of the catalyst. Furthermore, magnetic nanoparticles-supported solid catalyst shows high dispersion to contact reactants more sufficiently based on the high surface area of the supported magnetic nanoparticles [17]. In particular magnetic nanosized transition metal oxides are considered as promising catalysts of various reactions, particularly oxidation of organic substrates [18]. Varying the fabrication conditions of such oxides is the main method used to control their phase composition, size, or surface and thus their properties, which strongly depends on the above mentioned structural features. In fact the catalytic and other properties depend on the morphology, particle size, and surface area. Moreover, the preparation method plays a key role in determining the particle size and shape, size distribution, surface chemistry, and therefore the applications of the material. Preparation of the magnetic nanoparticles requires several tasks, such as control of the size and size distribution of the particles,
control of the morphology and crystallinity, and prevention of the agglomeration. Different preparation methods have been developed in order to obtain desirable samples and physical properties: thermal decomposition, ultrasonic spray pyrolysis (USP), chemical vapor deposition, and sonochemical technique [19–22]. Yin et al. reported synthesis of cubic α-Fe₂O₃ (hematite) microparticles via a simple one-step hydrothermal reaction [23]. Iron and iron oxide nanoparticles in silica layers were deposited by sol-gel techniques prepared by Šimkien et al. [24]. It was shown that multifunctional nanoparticles of different iron oxides possessing various physical properties can be fabricated by means of postgrowth annealing of (SiO₂ : Fe)/SiO₂/Si samples in various atmospheres. The hematite, maghemite, and iron nanoparticles were found to be dominant upon annealing the samples in air, argon, and hydrogen atmosphere, respectively. The synthesis of monodispersed γ-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles by mechanochemical processing indicated that the nanoparticles had a volume-weighted mean diameter of 6 nm and a narrow size distribution with the standard deviation of 3 nm [25]. Orlónová et al. [26] reported that synthesis of magnetic clay composite prepared by the method of precipitation of iron oxide onto the clay surface was subjected to a heat treatment. The sol-gel method has been shown to be very useful for preparation of iron oxide nanoparticles dispersed in an amorphous silica matrix. Silica is convenient because of its nontoxic nature, high biocompatibility, prevention of agglomeration, temperature resistance, chemical inertness, and adjustable pore diameter [27, 28]. The aim of this study is the synthesis of magnetic Fe-V/SiO₂ nanocatalysts promoted by copper via sol-gel and impregnation method for oxidation of organic compounds. Characterization of catalysts was carried out using XRD, SEM, TPR, TGA, DSC, and N₂ adsorption-desorption measurements. We also report the magnetic properties measured by a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM).

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis of Fe/SiO₂ Nanocatalyst. All materials with analytical purity were purchased from Merck and used without further purification. The supported iron catalysts with different amount of Fe (40, 50, 60, 70 and 80 wt.%) were prepared using sol-gel method. Iron nitrate Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, tetraethoxysilane, TEOS, and oxalic acid H₂C₂O₄·2H₂O, appropriate amounts for TEOS hydrolysis are separately dissolved in ethanol. The three solutions were heated up to 50°C and stirred for 20 min. The TEOS was added to the iron nitrate and subsequently oxalic acid added to the previous mixture under strong stirring at 60°C for 2 h. The precipitate composed of iron oxalate and TEOS was progressively hydrolyzed by the hydration water of iron nitrate and mainly oxalic acid, according to the following scheme:

\[
\text{Si(O(C₂H₅)₂)₄ + 4H₂O \rightarrow Si(OH)₄ + 4C₂H₅OH} \tag{1}
\]

In the acidic condition (pH ≈ 1) Si(OH)₄ condensed with other materials to homogeneous gel. The monolithic gel was dried at 110°C in vacuum for 16 h. At last, the dried powder was calcined (450°C for 6 h) to produce solid magnetic composite catalyst.

2.2. Synthesis of Fe-V/SiO₂ Catalyst. The Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ was synthesized in Section 2.1 and then was impregnated with an aqueous solution containing NH₄VO₃ (1 M) by adding different wt.% of V (x = 5–20) and results show that x = 15 wt.% is an optimal wt.%. The catalyst containing 40 wt.% Fe-15 wt.% V was impregnated with 1–5 wt.% of Cu. The optimal catalyst containing 40 wt.% Fe-15 wt.% V/SiO₂ was promoted with 1–5 wt.% of Cu. Then the optimal sample containing 40 wt.% Fe-15 wt.% V/SiO₂ was promoted with 2 wt.% of Cu dried at 110°C for 12 h and calcined at different calcination conditions (Figure 1).

2.3. Catalyst Characterization

2.3.1. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD). The XRD patterns of all the precursor and calcined samples were recorded on a Philips X’ Pert (40 kV, 30 mA) X-ray diffractometer, using a Cu Kα radiation source (λ = 1.542 Å) and a nickel filter in the 2θ range of 4°–70°.

2.3.2. N₂-Adsorption-Desorption Measurements. The specific surface area (using BET and BJH methods), the total pore volume, and the mean pore diameter were measured using an N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm at liquid nitrogen temperature (−196°C), using a NOVA 2200 instrument (Quantachrome, USA). Prior to the adsorption-desorption measurements, all the samples were degassed at 110°C in an N₂ flow for 3 h to remove the moisture and other adsorbates.

2.3.3. Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) and Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC). The TGA and DSC were carried out using simultaneous thermal analyzer (Perkin Elmer) under a flow of dry air with a flow rate of 50 mL min⁻¹. The temperature was raised from 20 to 600°C using a linear programmer at a heating rate of 3°C min⁻¹.

2.3.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). The morphologies of prepared nanocatalysts and their precursors were observed by means of an S-360 Oxford Eng scanning electron microscope (USA).

2.3.5. Temperature-Programmed Reduction (TPR). TPR profiles of the Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocatalysts were recorded using a micromeritic TPD-TPR 290 system. The TPR for each sample (50 mg) was performed using a mixture gas of 5% H₂/95% Ar (v/v) as the reductant. The sample was heated from 25 to 900°C at a heating rate of 5°C min⁻¹. The flow rate of the mixture gas was 50 mL min⁻¹.

2.3.6. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectrometry. The FT-IR spectra were recorded with a VERTEX 70 (Bruker, Germany) FT-IR spectrophotometer, equipped with a deuterium triglycine sulfate (DTGS) detector. Samples of 1-2 mg were mixed with 100 mg KBr and pressed into translucent disks at room temperature.
All spectra were taken in the range 4000–400 cm$^{-1}$ at a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$. The spectra of all samples were presented by subtracting the background spectrum.

2.3.7. **Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM).** The magnetic measurements of final catalyst were carried out in a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM, BHV-55, Riken, Japan) at room temperature.

3. **Results and Discussion**

3.1. **Effect of Loading of Fe.** One of the most important factors which have influences on the magnetic properties, specific surface area, and morphology of the catalyst is the loading of Fe. To understand this, a series of Fe/SiO$_2$ catalysts having different weight % of Fe (40, 50, 60, 70 and 80) were prepared at calcination conditions: $T = 450^\circ$C, 6 h, and heating rate of 3°C min$^{-1}$. The preparation conditions are effective on porosity and surface area of Fe/SiO$_2$ catalyst. To indicate this, the N$_2$ absorption-desorption isotherms of catalyst samples were taken for evaluating the specific surface area, pore volume, and pore size distribution. Figure 2 represents these characters for Fe/SiO$_2$ catalysts that were prepared with different wt.% of Fe.

The obtained results in Figure 2 show that the Fe loading can be affected on the specific surface area pore volume and pore size distribution of all catalysts. Furthermore, it is apparent that amount of Fe significantly influences the increasing of the surface area, pore volume, and pore size distribution of catalysts. It is probably due to increasing amount of SiO$_2$ which facilitates the high dispersion of the catalyst crystallites. The specific surface area, pore volume, and pore diameter results for the calcined catalysts containing different wt.% of Fe have shown that the specific surface area value and pore volume for the calcined catalyst containing 40 wt.% of Fe are relatively higher than those of the other calcined samples. The N$_2$ absorption-desorption data have shown that the catalyst containing 60 wt.% of Fe has higher pore diameter than the other calcined catalysts. So the optimum value for iron loading is 40 wt. percent. Characterization studies were carried out using XRD technique for the Fe/SiO$_2$ catalyst (Figure 3). The actual identified phases for this catalyst were Fe$_2$SiO$_4$ (cubic) and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (rhombohedral). H$_2$-TPR profiles of Fe-V/SiO$_2$ nanocatalyst are depicted in Figure 6. The reduction process of the Fe/SiO$_2$ nanocatalyst occurs in two distinct stages. The first stage (around 415°C) is ascribed to the transformations of Fe$_3$O$_4$ → FeO whereas the second stage (around 530°C) represents the transformation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ → Fe [29]. H$_2$ consumed during different reduction stages was determined by integrating the area of TPR curves. The results have shown that the H$_2$ adsorption for this catalyst is 9.4 × 10$^{-2}$ mmol H$_2$ per mmol of catalyst.

Furthermore, the crystallite sizes of the calcined sample containing 40 wt.% of Fe were calculated from the major
diffraction peaks in XRD pattern using the Debye-Scherrer equation [30]. The particle size of the calcined catalyst containing 40 wt.% of Fe was calculated from the most intense line ($2\theta = 36^\circ$) and it is about 55 nm. It is clear that the catalyst particle size was in nanodimension (55 nm). The sample containing 40 wt.% of Fe was characterized with SEM (Figure 4(b)). It is obvious in this figure that the crystal sizes were from 42 to 60 nm. This result confirmed the obtained results studied using the Scherrer equation.

The XRD technique may not be sufficiently sensitive to reveal the fine details of these changes. To get this, a detailed SEM study of both precursor and calcined Fe/SiO$_2$ nanocatalyst was done and the results are given in Figure 4. SEM observations have shown differences in morphology of precursor and calcined catalysts. The image obtained from catalyst precursor depicts several larger agglomerations of particles (Figure 4(a)) and shows that this material has a less dense and homogeneous morphology. After the calcination at 450°C for 6 hours and heating rate of 3°C min$^{-1}$, the morphological features were different with the precursor samples and show that the agglomerate size is greatly reduced compared to the precursor (Figure 4(b)). It may be assigned to the covering of calcined catalyst surface by small crystallite of iron oxides, in agreement with XRD results. The TGA curve for Fe/SiO$_2$ catalyst precursor is shown in Figure 5. The weight losses found from TGA measurements agree fairly well with those expected for the decomposition of hydroxyl or oxalate phases to oxides. For this catalyst precursor, the thermogravimetric curve seems to indicate three-stage decomposition. The first-stage is considered to be due to the removal of adsorbed water and ethanol (25–195°C) while the second stage comes from the decomposition of hydroxyl phases (230–370°C), respectively. The third stage around 390–420°C is the result of the full decomposition of hydroxyl and oxalate phases to oxides. The TGA curve is involved with a total overall weight loss of ca. 40 wt.%. DSC measurement was performed in order to provide further evidence for the presence of the various species and evaluate their thermal behavior. As it is shown in Figure 5, the exothermic peak at lower temperature
represents the removal of the physically adsorbed water and ethanol from the material. The endothermic peaks around 230–370°C and 280–530°C are due to the decomposition of hydroxyl and phases, respectively.

3.2. Effect of Heating Rate in Calcinations on the Surface Properties. In order to study the effect of calcination heating rate on the catalyst property, a series of catalyst precursors containing 40% Fe/SiO₂ were calcined (at 450°C for 6 h) at various heating rates in air atmosphere. The specific surface area results (BET, BJH, and DH methods), pore volumes, and pore diameters of the calcined catalysts are given in Table 1. According to the obtained results, the specific surface areas are dependent on the heating rate. When heating rate increases from 2°C/min to 4°C/min, the average pore diameter increases a bit, and the surface area decreased from 188.5 to 182.6 m² g⁻¹. This may be due to the fact that a great deal of heat cannot be emitted within a short period of time, which induces the agglomeration of nanoparticles [31]. The BET specific surface area results for the calcined catalysts at different heating rates have shown that the specific surface area values obtained for the calcined catalyst in calcination rate 2°C/min (188.5, 192.2, and 193.5 m² g⁻¹ measured by different methods) are relatively higher than those observed for those calcined catalysts in 3 and 4°C/min. Previous researchers had reported that the activity of the catalyst is depending on BET surface area, pore diameter, and pore volume [32, 33]. Jacobson et al. [34] reported that pore structure is a primary requirement for an ideal solid catalyst for biodiesel production since a typical triglyceride molecule has a pore diameter of approximately 58 Å. The larger average pore diameter and larger interconnected pores would minimize diffusion limitations of reactant molecules. Consequently, the reactants easily diffused into the interior of the catalyst, became in contact with more acid-basic active sites, and lead to better catalytic activity. Besides, all the catalysts could be defined as mesoporous catalysts since the pore diameter of each catalyst was in intermediate range between 2 nm (micropore) and 50 nm (macropore). The mesoporous catalyst has been widely used in transesterification reaction in previous studies [35–37]. In addition, the specific pore volume which represents the total internal volume per unit mass of catalyst would facilitate the reaction by amplifying the reaction surface.
Table 1: \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption-desorption measurements of \( \text{Fe}/\text{SiO}_2 \) nanocatalysts at different calcination heating rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heating rate (°C min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Specific surface area (m(^2) g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Pore diameter (Å)</th>
<th>Pore volume (cm(^3) g(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BET BJH DH</td>
<td>BJH DH BJH DH BJH DH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>188.5 192.2 193.5</td>
<td>74.4 74.7 16.5</td>
<td>16.49 16.94 16.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>185.7 187 189.2</td>
<td>72.0 72.8 16.94</td>
<td>16.98 16.89 16.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>182.6 183.1 184.2</td>
<td>71.0 72.0 16.81</td>
<td>16.89 16.81 16.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7: \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption-desorption measurements of \( \text{Fe-V}/\text{SiO}_2 \) nanocatalysts at different \( \text{V} \) loading.

### 3.3. Effect of Loading of Vanadium on the Surface Properties

Figure 7 represents the results of surface factors for 40 wt.% \( \text{Fe-xV}/\text{SiO}_2 \) nanocatalysts which impregnated with different weight percents of \( \text{V} \) (\( x = 5, 10, 15, \) and 20 wt.% of \( \text{V} \) based on the \( \text{SiO}_2 \) weight). The obtained results in Figure 7 show that the \( \text{V} \) loading can be affected by the surface properties of catalyst. As can be seen at first, amount of \( \text{V} \) significantly increases the surface area, pore volume and pore size distribution of \( \text{Fe-V}/\text{SiO}_2 \) nanocatalysts and then they decreased. It can be seen that the calcined catalyst containing 15 wt.% of \( \text{V} \) has higher specific surface area than the other prepared catalysts. On the other hand, as it can be seen from Figure 7, with the increase in wt.% of \( \text{V} \), the specific surface area increased at first and then decreased when 15 wt.% of \( \text{V} \) loaded, which is due to the blockage of the micropores of \( \text{SiO}_2 \). This occurs because the impregnation of the support with metal clogs the pores of the \( \text{SiO}_2 \) [39, 40].

### 3.4. Effect of Loading of Cu

The effect of wt.% of \( \text{Cu} \) was studied (1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 wt.% based on the total \( \text{Fe} \) and \( \text{V} \) weight) on the catalyst properties of the \( \text{Fe-V}/\text{SiO}_2 \) catalyst. The effect of adding copper to the 40 wt.% \( \text{Fe-15 wt.% V}/\text{SiO}_2 \) catalysts is mainly an increase in the rate of reduction of iron, leading to a larger number of active sites [41]. The additives are effective on porosity and surface area of catalyst. To evaluate this, the \( \text{N}_2 \) absorption-desorption isotherms of catalyst samples were analyzed for specific surface area measurements, pore volume, and pore size distribution. The pore structure and the specific surface area of catalysts were obtained using BJH, DH, and BET methods and the results are shown in Table 2. It can be clearly seen that the addition of different amounts of copper increases the BET surface area of the catalysts, but further increase in \( \text{Cu} \) results in a decrease in surface area. The results may be ascribed to the improved dispersion of iron and vanadium oxides at low \( \text{Cu} \) loading [42]. However,
Table 2: Textural properties of the catalysts with different copper contents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt.% Cu</th>
<th>Specific surface area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Pore diameter (Å)</th>
<th>Pore volume (cm³ g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BET</td>
<td>BJH</td>
<td>DH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>173.2</td>
<td>174.4</td>
<td>177.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>179.6</td>
<td>178.5</td>
<td>181.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>173.4</td>
<td>173.1</td>
<td>175.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>170.4</td>
<td>171.3</td>
<td>170.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8: XRD patterns of the Fe-V/SiO₂ promoted with copper nanocatalyst.

The magnetic properties of the nanoparticles were characterized using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The room temperature magnetization curve of the final catalyst is shown in Figure 10. The sample exhibited typical ferromagnetic behavior at room temperature. The observed value of saturation magnetization of the sample was 11.44 emu/g.

3.5. Catalytic Performance of Optimal Catalyst. The synthesized optimal nanocatalyst (40 wt.% Fe-15 wt.% V/SiO₂ catalyst that was promoted with 2 wt.% of Cu) was employed for oxidation reaction of alcohols to aldehydes and ketones. We carry out these reactions under atmospheric oxygen (Scheme 1).

The progress of reactions was monitored by TLC. Table 3 gives the oxidation results. Compared to what Velusamy et al. reported [48] our catalyst has a better performance for the mentioned reactions. The observed shorter reaction times compared to their catalyst (e.g., forecasted time is 22–25 hour and reaction time is 20 hour) can be interpreted by considering the fact that Fe-V/SiO₂ nanocatalyst has a higher surface area compared to their catalyst. Also after completion of the reactions, recovery of Fe-V/SiO₂ nanocrystals from the reaction mixture was easily achieved by applying an external permanent magnet on the outside wall of the reaction tube, as shown in Figure 11. Therefore, the products were isolated in good purity after the volatile portions were removed and the product was purified. All isolated products gave satisfactory spectral data (¹H NMR) compared with those reported in the literature [48]. At the end of the reaction, to determine the applicability of catalyst recovery, we decanted the vessel by the use of an external magnet and remained catalyst was washed with diethyl ether to remove residual product and then dried under vacuum.
Table 3: Catalyzed oxidation reactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>M.P (°C) found</th>
<th>M.P (°C) reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} )</td>
<td>( \text{CHO} )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>−26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} ) ( \text{MeO} )</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} ) ( \text{MeO} )</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} ) ( \text{O}_2\text{N} )</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} ) ( \text{O}_2\text{N} )</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} ) ( \text{OH} )</td>
<td>( \text{CHO} )</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>−7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \text{OH} ) ( \text{OH} )</td>
<td>( \text{O} )</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( \text{OH} ) ( \text{OH} )</td>
<td>( \text{O} )</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 10:** Hysteresis loop for Fe-V/SiO\(_2\) nanocrystals at room temperature.

**4. Conclusions**

The preparation and characterization of Fe-V/SiO\(_2\) nanocrystals were described in this work. Synthesized material acts as a powerful and heterogeneous catalyst for oxidation reactions. Therefore can utilize in mild reaction conditions, shorter reaction times, product purity and therefore cost efficiency are of advantages of this nanocatalyst. Besides that, the new catalyst can be effectively reused. With regard to observed satisfactory catalytic properties, it is expected that it can be a good potentially substitute for some commercial catalysts.

**Scheme 1:** Fe-V/SiO\(_2\) catalyzed oxidation reactions.
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