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Evaluation of Antibacterial Enrofloxacin in Eggs by Matrix Solid Phase Dispersion-Flow Injection Chemiluminescence
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The study based on the chemiluminescence (CL) reaction of potassium ferricyanide and luminol in sodium hydroxide medium, enrofloxacin (ENRO) could dramatically enhance CL intensities and incorporated with matrix solid-phase dispersion (MSPD) technique (Florisil used as dispersant, dichloromethane eluted the target compounds). A simple flow injection chemiluminescence (FL-CL) method with MSPD technique for determination of ENRO in eggs was described. Under optimal conditions, the CL intensities were linearly related to ENRO concentration ranging from $4.0 \times 10^{-8}$ g.L$^{-1}$ to $5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ g.L$^{-1}$, with a correlation coefficient of 0.9989 and detection limit of $5.0 \times 10^{-9}$ g.L$^{-1}$. The relative standard deviation was 3.6% at an ENRO concentration of $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ g.L$^{-1}$. Our testing technique can help ensure food safety, and thus, protect public health.

1. Introduction

Bovine food quality has become a concern for consumers over the last decade. Food safety and public health have also become primary issues in policy making, retailer strategy, consumer concern, and producers themselves. Harmful compounds in food come from various sources, such as environmental pollution, food processing, and unreasonable use of medicine. Fluoroquinolone antibiotics have a wide range of antibacterial activities and have been used in veterinary medicine because of the effectiveness in treating bacterial infections [1] and the enhanced antibacterial activities against Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms [2, 3]. Enrofloxacin (ENRO) is a fluoroquinolone antibiotic that has been approved for use in food animals. This antibiotic is effective against organisms resistant to antibacterial substances used in veterinary medicine, such as β-lactam antibiotics, aminoglycosides, tetracyclines, and macrolides [4]. The use of ENRO in veterinary medicine is subject to regulation because its residues may persist in edible animal tissues and result in the development of drug-resistant bacterial strains or allergies. These residues and the metabolites in foods of animal origin are significant for consumer health and safety. The European community has fixed a maximum residue limit in edible animal products for ENRO that ranges from 100 μg.kg$^{-1}$ to 300 μg.kg$^{-1}$ [5]. Therefore, identifying the residue in meat and other animal products (e.g., milk, eggs) used for human consumption is important.

The analytical methods for ENRO are grouped into electrochemical analysis (EA) [6], capillary electrophoresis (CE) [7], and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [8]. However, the limitations of EA are poor stability and selectivity. CE and HPLC can separate and quantify different forms of ENRO and its derivations. These methods also have minimum interference from enzymes, but they entail expensive setup cost, as well as complex extraction and purification procedure.

Chemiluminescence (CL) is an interesting detection method because of the low detection limit, rapidity, wide linear working range, and simple instrumentation [9, 10]; CL is more acceptable and applicable to analyze ENRO in samples with low residue count. The determination of
fluoroquinolone antibiotics in complex matrices, such as food, often requires reasonable sample extraction and preparation process prior to instrumental analysis. Matrix solid-phase dispersion (MSPD) is an effective sample preparation technique first reported in 1989 by American professor Baker [11]. This technique has applications in analytical processes for the preparation, extraction, and fractionation of solid, semisolid, and/or highly viscous biological samples and food samples [12–14]. We found that a strong CL signal was emitted when a trace amount of ENRO was added to luminol-potassium ferricyanide solution, and its intensity was strongly dependent on the ENRO concentration. Based on this result, a rapid, sensitive, and inexpensive CL method with MSPD technique was proposed to determine the ENRO content. The effect of reaction conditions on the CL signal intensity was explored in the flow injection (FI) mode of analysis. Under the optimized conditions, the proposed MSPD-FI-CL system was applied for the determination of ENRO content in eggs.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Reagents and Solutions. Luminol was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Mo, USA); 0.01 mol·L⁻¹ of stock solution was prepared by dissolving 0.443 g luminol in 5.0 mL of 1 mol·L⁻¹ NaOH, and the solution was transferred into a 250 mL volumetric flask and diluted with water. K₃[Fe(CN)]₆ was obtained from Beijing Chemical Reagent Company (Beijing, China), and 5.0 × 10⁻² mol·L⁻¹ of its stock solution was prepared by dissolving 4.13 g protocatechuic aldehyde in 250 mL water. Acetonitrile, petroleum ether, methanol, n-hexane, and dichloromethane were supplied either from Beijing Chemical Reagent Company (Beijing, China) or from Tianjin Chemical Reagent Company (Tianjin, China). Florisil (150–250 μm) was obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). All reagents were of analytical grade and were used as received without further purification. Double distilled water (referred to as pure water hereafter) was used as a carrier flow and for the preparation of solutions. The diluted working solutions were prepared and used freshly and daily.

2.2. Apparatus. FI-CL was performed with an IFIL-D flow injection CL analysis system (Xi’an Ruike Electronic Equipment Corporation, Xi’an, China). Figure 1 shows the schematic diagram of the FI-CL analyzer that comprised two peristaltic pumps working at a constant rate of 40 rpm. One channel was used to carry NaOH and luminol solution, and the other was used to carry the K₃[Fe(CN)]₆ solution. The sample solutions were injected from a sample valve. The enhanced CL signals were produced immediately and were recorded. The flow cell was a 10 cm spiral glass tubing (2.0 mm i.d.), and the distance between the injection valve and the flow cell was about 20 cm.

2.3. Sample Preparation. The eggs were obtained from four different farm markets, and six eggs from each market were used for real sample analysis. Each egg yolk or white was separated, homogenized, and stored at 4°C for no longer than 24 h until analysis. Consequently, 0.5 g of egg yolk or white homogenate was accurately weighed into a 50 mL glass mortar. After weighing 1.0 g of Florisil (1.5 g for the treated albumen sample) using a glass pestle until a homogeneous mixture was obtained, the homogenized sample was transferred and packed into a 10 mL glass syringe with a piece of filter paper on the bottom. Another filter paper was placed on the top of mixture and compressed using the syringe plunger. For the yolk sample, interfering compounds, such as lipids, were washed with 3 mL petroleum ether. The target component was eluted with 6 mL of dichloromethane by gravitation. The eluate was allowed to slowly drip into a 10 mL volumetric flask and was diluted with dichloromethane to the volume. Two milliliters of the solution was transferred from the volumetric flask into a graduated tube using a 0.45 μm microvoid filter film. The solution was concentrated under a nitrogen stream for drying, and the residue was dissolved with 0.2 mol·L⁻¹ NaOH solution for the FI-CL analysis.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characteristics of the CL Reaction. The kinetic curves of the CL reaction were tested with a static system using 8.0 × 10⁻³ mol·L⁻¹ luminol and 4.0 × 10⁻⁴ mol·L⁻¹ K₃[Fe(CN)]₆. The reaction rate in solution was rapid; the maximum intensity from reagent mixing took 3 s, and the signal returned to zero for 20 s (Figure 2(a)). Figure 2(b) reveals that the CL intensity sharply increased in the presence of 2.0 × 10⁻⁶ g·L⁻¹ ENRO.
3.2. Optimization of MSPD Extraction Conditions

3.2.1. Dispersant Selection. The efficacy of the chromosorb, the neutral activated alumina, the acidic activated alumina, and Florisil as dispersants was analyzed. Following the treatment and CL analysis, the recovery rates were lower than 60% due to the interference of the impurities when chromosorb, neutral activated alumina, and acidic activated alumina were used as dispersants upon blending with the sample. The target compound had better elution efficacy, and the recovery was more than 85% when Florisil was used as the dispersant. The effect of sample ratio and Florisil was also investigated. The results showed that 1:3 was the best ratio for the egg sample treatment.

3.2.2. The Choice of Washing Solvents and Eluents. A washing step for the MSPD cartridge is necessary because of the high protein and lipid contents in eggs. The testing results showed that petroleum ether was better than n-hexane. Three milliliters of petroleum ether volume was optimal based on the results.

During elution, the selection of eluent can be critical in obtaining a satisfactory recovery of ENRO from the sample matrix. In this assay, different organic solvents were tested using methanol, ethanol, acetonitrile, and dichloromethane with volumes ranging from 4 mL to 10 mL with 2 mL increments (Figure 3). The results showed that the system using dichloromethane was significantly better than that obtained for compounds, and the complete elution of ENRO from the cartridge was obtained within 6 mL dichloromethane; this compound was selected as the eluent.

3.3. Optimization of CL Conditions

3.3.1. Effect of Carrier Flow. Carrier flows were tested at the following conditions: [ENRO] = 2.0 × 10⁻⁶ g.L⁻¹, [Luminol] = 8.0 × 10⁻⁵ mol.L⁻¹; [K₃Fe(CN)₆] = 4.0 × 10⁻⁴ mol.L⁻¹, luminol in 0.2 mol.L⁻¹ NaOH solution.

The flow rates of NaOH, borax, and phosphate buffer solutions were included. The experiments indicated that the CL emission intensity was the most sensitive in NaOH solution. The effect of NaOH concentration from 0.05 mol.L⁻¹ to 0.5 mol.L⁻¹ on CL emission intensities was further analyzed. Surprisingly, the use of 0.2 mol.L⁻¹ NaOH solution as a carrier flow yielded the best result; thus, we selected this solution as the carrier flow.

3.3.2. Effect of Concentration of Luminol on the CL Intensity. The effect of the concentration of luminol used in the reaction on the CL intensity was also studied. The CL emission intensity was enhanced with the increase in the luminol concentration, while keeping the concentration of alkalis and other reactants constant (Figure 4). On the other hand, the CL base signal generated by the reaction between K₃Fe(CN)₆ and luminol also increased. As a result, the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) likewise increased. After the analysis of the baseline S/N ratio and the sensitivity of the system, the best concentration of sodium sulfite was 8.0 × 10⁻² mol.L⁻¹.

3.3.3. Effect of K₃Fe(CN)₆ Concentration on the CL Intensity. Figure 5 plots the CL intensities against K₃Fe(CN)₆ concentration while keeping the concentration of other reactants constant. The maximum CL intensity was obtained at the

![Figure 3: The effect of eluent on the recovery of ENRO in egg.](image)

![Figure 4: Effect of luminol concentration on CL intensity. Condition: [ENRO] = 2.0 × 10⁻⁶ g.L⁻¹, [K₃Fe(CN)₆] = 4.0 × 10⁻⁴ mol.L⁻¹, luminol in 0.2 mol.L⁻¹ NaOH solution.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Found (µg g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Added (µg g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total found (µg g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Egg yolk —</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0446</td>
<td>89.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Egg white —</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0458</td>
<td>91.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Egg yolk 0.0234</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0708</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Egg white 0.0522</td>
<td>0.0500</td>
<td>0.0985</td>
<td>92.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Egg yolk —</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0453</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Egg white 0.0258</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>88.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Egg yolk —</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0483</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Egg white —</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0492</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Comparison of different methods for the determination of ENRO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>IRPLC</th>
<th>SPE-HPLC</th>
<th>AdSV</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>MSPD-FL-CL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOD</td>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>0.028μg mL⁻¹</td>
<td>2.20μg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>1.3 nmL⁻¹</td>
<td>10 μg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>5.0 × 10⁻⁹ g L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR</td>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>4.0–108 μg mL⁻¹</td>
<td>10–50 μg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>5–25 ng mL⁻¹</td>
<td>10–300 μg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>4.0 × 10⁻⁸–5.0 × 10⁻⁵ g L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD (%)</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>0.21–0.50</td>
<td>0.05–0.78</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (%)</td>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>99.6–101.8</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95.4–115.2</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>88.6–98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>Egg</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.9985</td>
<td>0.9899</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.9989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The detection limit (3σ) of ENRO was 2.0 × 10⁻⁶ g L⁻¹. Results showed that the following substances did not interfere: 100-fold Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, and NO₃⁻; 50-fold Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, and Ba²⁺; 20-fold starch; glucose; and urea as well as 10-fold glycine and tartaric acid.

3.6. Sample Analysis. Following the analytical procedure, the proposed method was applied to recover ENRO in eggs. The recovery experiment of standard addition was performed simultaneously. Table 1 lists the relevant data, with the recovery rates ranging from 88.6% to 98.4%. Table 2 compares the obtained limit of detection, the relative standard deviation, the linear range, the recovery, and the correlation coefficient with those from ion pairing and reversed phase liquid chromatography [1], solid-phase extraction-HPLC [15], adsorptive stripping voltammetry [16], and CE [7]. The proposed method provides the similar extraction efficiency, whereas the detection limit of ENRO is lower than those from other methods.

4. Conclusions

ENRO greatly enhances the CL signal of potassium ferri-cyanide and luminol in NaOH medium. FI-CL with MSPD was developed to determine ENRO in eggs, which is sensitive, rapid, and simple, and did not require sophisticated reagents and equipment. The MSPD-FI-CL system yielded satisfactory results, which implies the efficacy in evaluating the ENRO content in other foods to assure food safety and to protect public health.
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