New Approach to Enrich Pasta with Polyphenols from Grape Marc
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Food industry produces significant amount of waste that represents a problem for the sector. However, by-products are also promising sources of compounds which may be reused for their nutritional properties. The aim of this work is to exploit wine-making by-products, obtaining an extract by ultrasound-assisted extraction only using water as solvent. The characteristics of spaghetti enriched with grape marc were assessed and compared to control samples. In particular, total phenolic and flavonoids contents, the antioxidant activity, the cooking quality, and the sensory acceptability were evaluated at various steps of pasta production. The enriched spaghetti showed higher total phenolic and flavonoids contents and higher antioxidant activity than the control pasta. In addition, low cooking losses were found. In terms of sensory properties fortified pasta is acceptable as the traditional product, thus demonstrating that it is possible to exploit food waste to better satisfy consumer demand for healthy food products in a more sustainable perspective.

1. Introduction

Food industry and in particular fruit and vegetable processing generally produce significant wastes that represent the major disposal problem for food sector, from both the environmental and the economic point of view. However, it is worth noting that by-products can be also promising sources of compounds, being rich in carotenoids, polyphenols, tocopherols, vitamins, and other substances [1]. In general, agroindustrial wastes are disposed, utilized as animal feed or as fertilizer, but their use as sources of natural food ingredients recently recorded considerable attention from food research. The interest for by-products is due to the fact that they represent raw materials at low cost and are widely available. In addition, the natural compounds contained in by-products could allow satisfying consumer demand for food products with new functional properties, typical of compounds from plant world, which are natural and health-promoting. It is widely recognized that an adequate consumption of fruit and vegetables plays an important role in the prevention of diseases, for example, reduced risk of heart disease and stroke, as well as certain types of cancer [1]. Due to this consumer demand for healthier foods and due to the environmental consequences of by-products, food industry points towards formulation of products enriched with bioactive compounds that can be also extracted from by-products [2]. In the scientific literature several extraction techniques have been proposed. Some of them are traditional systems based on the extraction power of solvents or heat application and other ones are unconventional techniques of new generation as the ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE). This extraction technique is preferred compared to the classical extraction methods because it allows to shorten the extraction time, reduces the organic solvent waste, increases the extraction yield, and enhances the quality of extracts and it is possible to use water as solvent [3, 4]. The UAE is based on sound mechanical waves that go beyond human hearing, whose frequencies are superior to 20 kHz. This type of extraction requires a liquid medium previously selected that allows ultrasonic wave to propagate up to the product, also preserving the integrity of the molecules that can be thermodabile, thermostable, hydrosoluble, and liposoluble. This is possible due to the cavitation forces resulting from the ultrasound application. This phenomenon could be explained as the formation and the final collapse of microbubbles...
into the liquid medium that may cause different mechanical effects, such as turbulent streaming, particle collisions, and cell wall disruption. These mechanical effects could cause a greater penetration of the solvent into the food matrix and, consequently, an increase of the mass transfer rates of the bioactive compounds from the food matrix into the extraction solvent [3]. Under these conditions the transfer of compounds to be extracted is facilitated and the extraction time is reduced.

According to the literature consulted, most of the studies on by-products are focused on optimization conditions for the extraction to obtain potential bioactive compounds, but the applications of the extracted substances to foods are very scarce. Calvo et al. [5] have designed sausages formulation enriched with lycopene by means of direct addition of tomato skins, previously dried and ground. The same group of researchers also studied the influence on the physicochemical and sensorial properties of raw and cooked hamburgers enriched with dry tomato peels, demonstrating that the addition of tomato peel to meat products results in healthier products, due to both lycopene and fiber content present in tomato by-product [6]. Özvural and Vural [7] incorporated grape seed flour, rich in polyphenols obtained from wine by-products, into frankfurters.

In this context, grape marc is taken into account, being an interesting source of natural compounds as polyphenols (anthocyanins, catechins, flavonoids, and phenolic acids) and fibers [3]. The aim of this work was to enrich fresh and dry pasta with grape marc extracts obtained by means of UAE using only water as solvent extraction, thus demonstrating that it is possible to increase polyphenols amount and antioxidant activity of pasta without compromising the sensory characteristics and valorize wine-making by-products that are generally discarded.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Raw Materials. Grape marc made up of skins, seeds, and stalks was provided by a local company of Foggia (Southern Italy), during the 2014 harvest. The samples were dried at 30–35 °C in a dryer (SG600, Namad, Rome, Italy) for 48 hours. The dried grape marc was reduced in a fine powder by a hammer mill (16/BV-Beccaria s.r.l., Cuneo, Italy) and then stored at 4 °C until further utilization.

2.2. Chemicals. Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, gallic acid monohydrate, methanol, hydrochloric acid, ABTS (2,2-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid diaminonitromethylene salt), potassium persulfate (K₂S₂O₈), Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylochroman-2-carboxylic acid), aluminium chloride (AlCl₃), sodium nitrite (NaNO₂), sodium hydroxide solution (NaOH), and quercetin were supplied from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy). All reagents were of analytical grade.

2.3. Extraction Process. Grape marc extract (GME) was obtained by means of UAE (USR-1500-50WL, Weal s.r.l., Milan, Italy) using only water as solvent. In the reactor grape marc was suspended in water at a ratio of 1:10 (w/v) and ultrasonically treated for 60 minutes at acoustic frequency of 25 kHz and with ultrasonic power density of 50 W/L. The obtained extract was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 minutes at room temperature and then it was filtered by means of 0.45 μm PTFE filters (Teknokroma, Sant Cugat del Vallés, Barcelona, Spain) and stored at −20 °C until the analytical determinations.

2.4. Total Phenols, Flavonoids, and Antioxidant Activity Determination. To determine total phenols, flavonoids, and antioxidant activity, the extraction was performed as described by Biney and Beta [8] from all the various samples: dough, extruded fresh spaghetti, pasteurized fresh spaghetti, dry spaghetti, and cooked fresh and cooked dry spaghetti. The samples were dried at 30 °C, grounded, and sieved through an 800 μm sieve. For total phenols and antioxidant activity determination, 2 g of powdered sample was mixed with 20 mL of acidified methanol (HCl/H₂O:MeOH, 20:80), while, in the case of flavonoids, the same quantity of sample was combined with 10 mL of acidified methanol. The mixtures were included in 50 mL centrifuge tubes and shaken at room temperature in darkness for 2 h at 300 rpm using orbital shaker (HS 260 BASIC, IKA, Staufen, Germany). Next, the samples were centrifuged at 5 °C for 15 minutes at 10000 rpm (5804R, Eppendorf, Milan, Italy) and supernatant was collected and filtered (PTFE, 0.45 μm) prior to the analytical determinations.

2.5. Spaghetti Preparation. Commercial durum wheat semolina was purchased from Agostini Mill (Montefiore dell’Aso, Italy). Semolina was mixed with proper amount of water or grape marc extract (GME) in the rotary shaft mixer (Namad, Rome, Italy) at 25 °C for 20 min to distribute liquid uniformly throughout the semolina particles. The dough was extruded with a 60VR extruder (Namad) as described by Padalino et al. [9]. After extrusion, pasta was pasteurized with steam for 3 min at 90 °C (Namad, Rome, Italy). The pasteurization system uses air at room temperature to cool down the pasta after the thermal treatment. Then, the extruded pasta was dried in a dryer (SG600; Namad). The drying process conditions applied were in accordance with Padalino et al. [9].

2.6. Sensory Analysis. Fresh-extruded and dry spaghetti samples were submitted to a panel of 15 trained tasters (seven men and eight women, aged between 28 and 45 years) in order to evaluate the sensory attributes. The panelists were selected on the basis of their sensory skills (ability to accurately determine and communicate the sensory attributes as appearance, odor, flavor, and texture of a product). The panelists were also trained in sensory vocabulary and identification of
particular attributes by evaluating durum wheat commercial spaghetti [10]. They were asked to indicate color, homogeneity, and resistance to breaking of fresh and dry uncooked spaghetti. In addition, to color and homogeneity, odor was also evaluated for fresh-extruded and pasteurized spaghetti. Elasticity, firmness, bulkiness, adhesiveness, color, odor, and taste were evaluated on fresh and dry cooked spaghetti. To this aim, a nine-point scale, where 1 corresponded to extremely unpleasant, 9 to extremely pleasant, and 5 to the threshold acceptability, was used to quantify each attribute. On the basis of the abovementioned attributes, panelists were also asked to score the overall quality of both cooked and uncooked products using the same nine-point scale [9].

2.7. Cooking Quality. The optimal cooking time (OCT) was evaluated according to the AACC [11] approved method 66-50. The cooking loss, that is, the amount of solid substance lost into the cooking water, was determined according to the AACC [11] approved method 66-50. The swelling index and the water absorption of cooked pasta (grams of water per gram of dry pasta) were determined according to the procedure described by Padalino et al. [12]. Moreover, cooked spaghetti samples were submitted to hardness and adhesiveness analysis by means of a Zwick/Roell model Z010 Texture Analyzer (Zwick Roell Italia s.r.l., Genoa, Italy) equipped with a stainless steel cylinder probe (2 cm diameter). The hardness (mean maximum force, N) and adhesiveness (mean negative area, Nmm) were measured according to the procedure described by Padalino et al. [12]. Six measurements for each spaghetti sample were performed.

2.8. Chemical Analysis

2.8.1. Determination of Total Phenolic Compounds. Total phenolic compounds were determined by UV-vis spectrophotometry according to Folin-Ciocalteu method [13]. In particular, GME was 1:10 diluted with water before analysis, while extracts obtained from samples relative to each production step of spaghetti, previously described, were analyzed without any dilution. Specifically, 0.5 mL of grape marc or pasta extract was mixed with 2.5 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (diluted 1:10 with water) and, after 5 minutes, 2 mL of NaOH (150 g/L) was added. The sample was kept in darkness at room temperature for 2 hours. Distilled water was used as control sample. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm by an UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV1800, Shimadzu Italia s.r.l., Milan, Italy). Total phenolic compounds were quantified by a calibration curve previously built using gallic acid, and the total phenolic content was expressed as mg gallic acid/100 g of dry weight (dw). All tests were carried out in triplicate.

2.8.2. Determination of Antioxidant Activity. The antioxidant activity was assessed using ABTS test, which is based on the ability of antioxidants to interact with the radical cation 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS+ ) inhibiting its absorption at 734 nm, according to the method of Re et al. [14]. 7 mM ABTS stock solution and 140 mM potassium persulfate were utilized. The ABTS radical cation (ABTS+ ) was obtained by reacting ABTS stock solution with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate (final concentration) and allowing the mixture to stand in the dark at room temperature for 12-16 h. The ABTS+ solution was diluted with 5 mM phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.4 (PBS), and absorbance 0.70 ± 0.02 at 734 nm. Then, 200 μL of sample extract was added to 2 mL of ABTS+ diluted solution and after 3 minutes at 30°C the mixture was measured through a spectrophotometer (UVI800, Shimadzu Italia s.r.l., Milan, Italy) at 734 nm. A calibration curve was previously built using 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox) as standard, at concentrations between 0.98 and 250 μM (R² = 0,9997), and the antioxidant activity was expressed as μmol Trolox equivalents for gram of dry weight (dw). All analyses were carried out in triplicate.

2.8.3. Determination of Total Flavonoids. Total flavonoids content both in GME and in all the extracts was determined by aluminum chloride colorimetric method, according to Huang and Ho [15] with modifications, using quercetin as standard. Extracts (0.5 mL), prepared as previously described, were mixed with 2 mL of distilled water and 150 μL of a 5% sodium nitrite (NaNO₂) solution. After 6 minutes, 150 μL of a 10% aluminum chloride (AlCl₃) solution was added and the mixture was allowed to stand for 6 minutes. Finally, 1 mL of 1M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was added and total volume was made up to 5 mL with distilled water. Then, the solutions were mixed and for each sample the absorbance was read in triplicate against blank at 415 nm. The standard curve was prepared using quercetin as standard in the range 3.13-500 mg/L (R² = 0,9981) and total amount of flavonoids was expressed in mg of quercetin/100 g of dry weight (dw).

2.9. Statistical Analysis. Experimental data were compared by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Duncan’s multiple range test, with the option of homogeneous groups (P < 0.05), was carried out to determine significant differences between spaghetti samples. STATISTICA 7.1 for Windows (StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA) was used.

3. Results and Discussion

In this study the total phenolic and flavonoids content together with the antioxidant activity were evaluated on both control and enriched samples: dough, extruded fresh pasta, and fresh-pasteurized/dry pasta (uncooked and cooked). Details on chemical characterization, cooking quality (only for dry pasta), and sensory properties are reported below separately.

3.1. Chemical Quality. Total phenolic (mg gallic acid/100 g dw), flavonoids (mg quercetin/100 g dw), and antioxidant activity (μmol Trolox/g dw), measured by ABTS assay, of GME were shown in Table I. The obtained results indicate that the extract has a high content of polyphenols, equal
Table 1: Total phenols, total flavonoids, and antioxidant activity of the grape marc extract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Total phenols (mg gallic acid/100 g dw) ± SD</th>
<th>Total flavonoids (mg quercetin/100 g dw) ± SD</th>
<th>Antioxidant activity (μmoli Trolox/g dw) ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GME</td>
<td>443 ± 1.7</td>
<td>405 ± 12</td>
<td>518 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GME: grape marc extract.

![Figure 1: Total phenolic content of spaghetti samples in each step of the production process. Results are expressed as means ± SD for n = 3. Data in columns with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05). CNT: samples without the addition of grape marc extract; ACTIVE: samples with the addition of grape marc extract.](image)

![Figure 2: Antioxidant activity of spaghetti samples in each step of the production process. Results are expressed as means ± SD for n = 3. Data in columns with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05). CNT: samples without the addition of grape marc extract; ACTIVE: samples with the addition of grape marc extract.](image)

to 443 mg acid gallic/100 g dw, greater than that reported by González-Centeno et al. [3], who studied the effect of acoustic frequency, ultrasonic power density, and extraction time of grape pomace by UAE. Moreover, the extract was characterized by a high flavonoid content and antioxidant activity, 405 mg quercetin/100 g dw, and 518 μmoli Trolox/g of dw, respectively.

The phenolic compounds of the experimental samples were expressed as mg gallic acid for 100 g dw and shown in Figure 1. In every step of the spaghetti production process, the phenolic content of the ACTIVE samples was higher than spaghetti without any extract. In particular, among the experimental samples, the extruded fresh pasta showed the highest quantity of bioactive compounds (737 mg gallic acid/100 g dw). As it can be inferred by the figure, the phenolic content decreased as temperature increased; in fact the cooked dry pasta revealed the lowest phenolic value, probably due to the combination of drying and cooking procedure. The same trend was observed by Pasqualone et al. [2], who studied biscuits enriched with grape marc extract. According to Abdel-Aal and Rabalski [16] the effect of cooking on phenols is not always the same but depends on the type of bioactive compound and type of product.

ABTS assay was used to evaluate the antioxidant activity. The results are shown in Figure 2 and expressed as μmoli Trolox per gram of dry weight. According to obtained data, the fortification of spaghetti with aqueous grape marc extract led to a significant increase in antioxidant capacity. As in the case of total phenols, also in this case, the ACTIVE samples proved an antioxidant activity greater than the corresponding CNT sample. In fact, according to Alonso et al. [17] there is a positive correlation between the antioxidant activity and the total polyphenolic content of samples. In every step of production process a statistically significant difference between CNT and ACTIVE samples was detected. According to our findings, the entire pasta process did not cause any significant change in antioxidant activity; in fact, after extrusion an increase of antioxidant activity was observed, which remains constant in the subsequent steps. Only after cooking a decrease of antioxidant activity was found, probably because heat degraded phenols, thus decreasing their concentration.

The flavonoid content of both uncooked and cooked spaghetti is presented in Figure 3. The addition of grape marc extract to spaghetti significantly increased the flavonoid content in every phase of the production process. The highest flavonoids content was recorded for the extruded fresh pasta, which presented 58 mg quercetin/100 g dw, greater than the dough sample enriched with the extract. According to the obtained results, it is possible to infer that the extrusion phase increased the flavonoids amount, as observed also in the case of total phenols and antioxidant activity, probably because this step frees the bounded compounds. The pasteurization and the drying process exerted little effect on flavonoids content; in fact, these phases recorded 56 and
Table 2: Cooking quality of dry spaghetti samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>OCT (min)</th>
<th>Cooking loss (%)</th>
<th>Swelling index</th>
<th>Water absorption (%)</th>
<th>Hardness (N)</th>
<th>Adhesiveness (Nmm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNT</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>6.47 ± 0.04a</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.08a</td>
<td>165 ± 0.51a</td>
<td>7.32 ± 0.52a</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.08a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTIVE</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>4.53 ± 0.21b</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.013b</td>
<td>135 ± 0.51b</td>
<td>7.25 ± 0.56c</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.05b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNT: samples without the addition of grape marc extract. ACTIVE: samples with the addition of grape marc extract.

Data in columns with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05).

3.2. Cooking Quality. Cooking quality is an important parameter for dry pasta evaluation. The cooking performances of the investigated spaghetti samples in terms of optimum cooking time, cooking loss, water absorption, swelling index, hardness, and adhesiveness are shown in Table 2. As can be observed from Table 2 there was no difference in optimum cooking time. In fact, for both samples studied, the optimum cooking time was around 10 min.

The spaghetti sample with grape marc extract showed a lower cooking loss than the CNT sample. One possible explanation could be due to the capacity of the antioxidant compounds from grape marc extract present in the form of complex with proteins around the starch granules, encapsulating them during cooking and restricting excessive swelling and diffusion of the amylose content [18]. Also Rizk et al. [18] found a reduction of cooking loss in sample enriched with antioxidant compounds from tomato peels (carotenoids) with respect to the control sample (100% wheat flour).

Regarding the water absorption and swelling index, ACTIVE sample recorded the lowest values with respect to CNT sample (Table 2). These results are in agreement with Rizk and Tolba [19] who also observed that pasta enriched with carotenoids from tomato peels had similar swelling index value of control sample (100% wheat flour).

As compared to the CNT sample, ACTIVE sample recorded lower adhesiveness value. This could be due to the fact that the antioxidant compounds generally form with the gluten proteins a stronger gluten network that entraps the starch granules, slowing down the amylose release during cooking. On the contrary, the hardness value of ACTIVE sample was similar to that of the CNT sample.

3.3. Sensory Quality. The sensory properties of the investigated samples were evaluated by means of a group of trained panelists and the results are listed in Tables 3 and 4 for fresh-extruded/pasteurized and dry spaghetti samples (uncooked and cooked), respectively. Sensory data of uncooked fresh-extruded/pasteurized spaghetti samples (E-CNT and P-CNT) showed that addition of grape marc extract determined a slight decrease in overall quality as compared to the CNT, even though no statistically significant differences were observed among samples. Specifically, samples E-ACTIVE and P-ACTIVE recorded the smallest color scores with respect to the CNT samples. In fact, the spaghetti samples containing GME showed a light brown color in comparison to the bright yellow color of the CNT sample. Pasta color is essential for assessing pasta quality. Generally, consumers prefer pasta with a bright yellow color [20]. Moreover, the lowest color score has been observed for both pasteurized samples P-CNT and P-ACTIVE with respect to extruded samples. Most probably, the high temperature during the pasteurization temperature promoted development of Maillard reaction, giving to pasta a brownish color that slightly affects panelist judgment. Regarding the extruded and the pasteurized cooked spaghetti samples, the incorporation of GME caused a little rise of overall quality, even though no significant difference was observed between the studied samples. In particular, E-ACTIVE and P-ACTIVE spaghetti samples recorded a decline in adhesiveness and bulkiness (high score) in comparison with the E-CNT and P-CNT. Besides, the addition of GME did not determine significant differences in the other sensorial attributes. Concerning dry spaghetti (uncooked and cooked) the addition of the GME did not cause any significant differences in overall quality with respect to the CNT sample (Table 4).

4. Conclusions

The reutilization of wine-making by-products was proposed with success to enrich fresh or dry pasta from durum wheat.
Table 3: Sensory characteristics of fresh-extruded (E) and fresh-pasteurized (P) uncooked and cooked spaghetti samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Odor</th>
<th>Overall quality</th>
<th>Elasticity</th>
<th>Firmness</th>
<th>Bulkiness</th>
<th>Adhesiveness</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Odor</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Overall quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-CNT</td>
<td>7.52 ± 0.38&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>792 ± 0.18&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.80 ± 0.17&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.50 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.07 ± 0.34&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.98 ± 0.23&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.75 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.32 ± 0.31&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.02 ± 0.31&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-ACTIVE</td>
<td>7.25 ± 0.23&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>780 ± 0.36&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.50 ± 0.33&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.45 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.41&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.38 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.25 ± 0.28&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.25 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.04 ± 0.37&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.20&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.23 ± 0.30&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-CNT</td>
<td>7.30 ± 0.18&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>792 ± 0.18&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.45 ± 0.17&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.50 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.07 ± 0.34&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.95 ± 0.25&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.31&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.08 ± 0.31&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-ACTIVE</td>
<td>7.08 ± 0.23&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>780 ± 0.36&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.33&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.45 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.41&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.50 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.50 ± 0.28&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.35 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.04 ± 0.37&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.20&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.24 ± 0.30&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a,b</sup>Data in columns with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05).

E-CNT: fresh-extruded spaghetti samples without the addition of grape marc extract.
E-ACTIVE: fresh-extruded spaghetti samples with the addition of grape marc extract.
P-CNT: fresh-pasteurized spaghetti samples without the addition of grape marc extract.
P-ACTIVE: fresh-pasteurized spaghetti samples with the addition of grape marc extract.
Table 4: Sensory characteristics of dry uncooked and cooked spaghetti samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Uncooked spaghetti</th>
<th>Cooked spaghetti</th>
<th>Overall quality</th>
<th>Elasticity</th>
<th>Firmness</th>
<th>Bulkiness</th>
<th>Adhesiveness</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Odor</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Overall quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNT</td>
<td>7.61 ± 0.32&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.41 ± 0.28&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.47 ± 0.21&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.30 ± 0.30&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.25 ± 0.33&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.33&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.25 ± 0.25&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.31 ± 0.29&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.64 ± 0.38&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.37 ± 0.26&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTIVE</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.30 ± 0.23&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.25 ± 0.34&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.45 ± 0.32&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.38 ± 0.23&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.42&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.25 ± 0.42&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.53 ± 0.36&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.31 ± 0.27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a,b</sup>Data in columns with different superscripts are significantly different (<i>P</i> < 0.05).

CNT: samples without the addition of grape marc extract.
ACTIVE: samples with the addition of grape marc extract.
The obtained results show that it is possible to use grape marc aqueous extract, obtained from ultrasound extraction, instead of simple water to produce pasta, without altering its sensory characteristics. In fact, according to sensory analysis no significant differences have been found among the experimental samples. Moreover, enriched spaghetti was characterized by a higher content of phenolic compounds, flavonoids, and consequently antioxidant activity compared to the control sample. Therefore, data demonstrated with a concrete example that it is possible to reuse an agroindustrial waste such as grape marc to design new foods with healthful properties. In this way, it is possible to face environmental problems and satisfy at the same time consumer demand for food products with a recognized premium quality.
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ABTS: 2,2-Azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline)-6-sulfonic acid diammonium salt

Trolox: 6-Hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid

PBS: Phosphate buffered saline

GME: Grape marc extract

CNT: Samples without the addition of grape marc extract

ACTIVE: Samples with the addition of grape marc extract
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