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Based on previous research on the Fe isotope compositions of various components and systems of the Earth, this study focused on the Fe isotope compositions of hydrothermal systems, including the Fe isotope variations in chalcopyrite, pyrite, and sphalerite, and their possible controlling factors. The main findings are as follows: (1) The range of Fe isotopes in hydrothermal systems at mid-ocean ridge is very large. The $\delta^{56}$Fe values of hydrothermal fluids are characterized by significant enrichment in light Fe isotopes. (2) The $\delta^{56}$Fe values of sulfides also exhibit lighter Fe isotope characteristics than those of hydrothermal fluids from hydrothermal vent fields at mid-ocean ridge. The vent temperature, fluid properties, and mineral deposition processes significantly affect the $\delta^{56}$Fe values of hydrothermal sulfides. (3) Chalcopyrite is preferentially enriched in heavy Fe isotopes, whereas sphalerite and pyrite are enriched in light Fe isotopes. In addition, the $\delta^{56}$Fe values of pyrite/marcasite display a larger range than those of chalcopyrite. This pattern is directly related to equilibrium fractionation or kinetic fractionation of Fe isotopes during the deposition of sulfides. To better understand the Fe isotope compositions of modern seafloor hydrothermal systems, the geochemical behavior and fractionation mechanisms of Fe isotopes require further in situ study.

1. Introduction

As the most abundant element on Earth, Fe is widely distributed in various components and systems of the Earth. Fe is involved in the physicochemical aspects of biological and inorganic processes in high- and low-temperature geologic environments. Fe has four stable isotopes in nature, $^{54}$Fe, $^{56}$Fe, $^{57}$Fe, and $^{58}$Fe, whose abundances are 5.84%, 91.76%, 2.12%, and 0.28%, respectively. Recently, the rapid development of a highly accurate multiple-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (MC-ICP-MS) technique for measuring Fe isotopes has enabled broad application of Fe isotopes in the Earth science [1, 2].

Previous research investigated the Fe isotope compositions of various components and systems of the Earth. The range of $\delta^{56}$Fe values in meteorites is from $-0.15\%_o$ to $0.18\%_o$, with an average $\delta^{56}$Fe of $0.03 \pm 0.06\%_o$ ($n = 71$) (Figure 1). The Fe isotope composition of Earth materials is generally thought to be similar to the average of meteorites [1]. The Fe isotope composition of the mantle is controlled primarily by the Fe isotope compositions of mantle peridotites. This range is from $-1.1\%_o$ to $0.48\%_o$, with an average $\delta^{56}$Fe of $-0.05 \pm 0.21\%_o$ ($n = 364$). The Fe isotope composition of the oceanic crust is controlled by the Fe isotope compositions of basalts. This range is from $-0.46\%_o$ to $0.81\%_o$, with an average $\delta^{56}$Fe of $0.04 \pm 0.12\%_o$ ($n = 232$). The Fe isotope composition of the continental crust is controlled by the Fe isotope compositions of shale and loess/dust. The range of $\delta^{56}$Fe values in shale is from $-0.36\%_o$ to $0.42\%_o$, with an average $\delta^{56}$Fe of $0.02 \pm 0.17\%_o$ ($n = 24$). The range of $\delta^{56}$Fe values in loess/dust is from $-0.15\%_o$ to $0.33\%_o$, with an average $\delta^{56}$Fe of $0.05 \pm 0.10\%_o$ ($n = 40$). Fe in marine systems is derived primarily from rivers [3, 4], atmospheric dust [5], and seafloor hydrothermal fluids [6, 7]. The removal of Fe from oceans is primarily in the form of marine sediments, carbonates, and Fe-Mn nodules and crusts [8]. The range of Fe isotope compositions of seawater is from $-0.64\%_o$ to $0.80\%_o$, with an average $\delta^{56}$Fe of $0.34 \pm 0.25\%_o$ ($n = 497$) (Figure 1). The Fe isotope output endmembers of the ocean are enriched in light Fe isotopes relative to the input endmembers. Modern seafloor hydrothermal systems are an important source of Fe in the
Figure 1: Fe isotopic composition of each repository. (The gray color column shows the Fe isotope composition of basalt with an average value of +0.105 ± 0.006‰ (n = 43) [10]; the black rectangle shows the average value of δ⁵⁶Fe. All data from the literatures: river [3, 4, 11, 12]; ocean water [13–16]; oceanic sediment [6, 7, 12, 17]; hydrothermal sulfide [6, 18–20]; hydrothermal fluid [6, 7, 19–21]; Fe-Mn nodule and crust [8, 22–24]; deposit [25–31]; banded Fe hydrothermal sulfide [6, 18–20]; hydrothermal fluid [6, 7, 19–21]; ocean water [13–16]; oceanic sediment [6, 7, 12, 17]; mantle peridotite [32, 33, 45, 47–53]; mantle peridotite [32, 33, 45, 47–52, 54, 55]; meteorite [24, 33, 34, 49, 50, 56–62].)

Figure 2: Fe isotopic composition of modern seafloor hydrothermal fluid and sulfide. (The gray color column shows the Fe isotope composition of basalt with an average value of +0.11 ± 0.01‰ (n = 43) [10]; the black rectangle shows the average value of δ⁵⁶Fe. All data from the literatures is the same as Figure 1).

oceans. Hydrothermal fluids and ocean waters exchange a significant quantity of material and energy during seafloor hydrothermal activity. The mixing of hydrothermal fluids with seawater and their subsequent cooling by conduction significantly affect the cycling of chemical elements in the oceans [9].

Based on previous research, this study focused on the Fe isotope composition characteristics of hydrothermal systems, including composition variations of chalcopyrite, pyrite, and sphalerite, and the possible controlling factors. Therefore, the scope of this paper is mainly concerned with inorganic Fe isotope compositions of sulfide minerals range from heavier to lighter among chalcopyrite, pyrite/marcasite, and sphalerite, which indicate the differences in isotope fractionation mechanisms during the deposition of these sulfide minerals.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Fe Isotopic Compositions of Modern Seafloor Hydrothermal Fluids. The range of Fe isotope compositions (δ⁵⁶Fe) of hydrothermal fluids along modern seafloor mid-ocean ridge is from −1.26‰ to −0.14‰ with an average value of −0.52 ± 0.28‰ (n = 69) (Figure 1). Studies of the Fe isotope composition of hydrothermal fluids from hydrothermal vent on the Pacific ridge [6, 19], the Atlantic ridge [6, 18, 21], and the Juan de Fuca ridge [20] revealed that the Fe isotope compositions of these vent fluids varied significantly (Figure 2). The range of Fe isotope compositions along the fast-spreading Eastern Pacific ridge is from −0.76‰ to −0.25‰, with an average δ⁵⁶Fe of −0.48 ± 0.14‰ (n = 29). The range of Fe isotope compositions is from −1.26‰ to −0.74‰, with an average δ⁵⁶Fe of −0.95 ± 0.16‰ (n = 16), along the moderate spreading Juan de Fuca ridge and is from −0.50‰ to −0.14‰ at the Lucky Strike vent on the slow spreading North Atlantic ridge, with an average δ⁵⁶Fe of −0.30 ± 0.11‰ (n = 24). The Fe isotope composition variations among mid-ocean-ridge hydrothermal fluids may be related primarily to water-rock reactions [17], bedrock properties [18], hydrothermal sulfide deposition [19], chemical compositions of fluids [20], phase separation [6], sediments, and microbiologic effects [15, 64].

2.2. Fe Isotopic Compositions of Modern Seafloor Hydrothermal Sulfides. The ranges of Fe isotope compositions of chalcopyrite, pyrite, and sphalerite (Figure 2) are from −0.47‰ to 0.35‰, −2.14‰ to −0.06‰, and −1.08‰ to −0.44‰, respectively, with average δ⁵⁶Fe values of −0.12 ± 0.24‰ (n = 22), −1.18 ± 0.53‰ (n = 48), and −0.82 ± 0.19‰ (n = 22), respectively. The Fe isotope compositions of sulfide minerals range from heavier to lighter among chalcopyrite, pyrite/marcasite, and sphalerite, which indicates the differences in isotope fractionation mechanisms during the deposition of these sulfide minerals.

The range of Fe isotope compositions of chalcopyrite from the Atlantic ridge is from −0.47‰ to 0.35‰, with an average δ⁵⁶Fe of −0.07 ± 0.27‰ (n = 16) [18]. The range of Fe isotope compositions of chalcopyrite from the Eastern Pacific ridge is from −0.33‰ to −0.11‰, with an average δ⁵⁶Fe of −0.23 ± 0.08‰ (n = 6) [19] (Figure 2).

The range of Fe isotope compositions of pyrite/marcasite from the Atlantic ridge is from −2.14‰ to −1.10‰, with an average δ⁵⁶Fe of −1.57 ± 0.31‰ (n = 22) [18]. The range of Fe
isotope compositions of pyrite from the Eastern Pacific ridge is from $-1.89\%$ to $-0.06\%$, with an average $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ of $-0.86 \pm 0.43\% (n = 25)$ [19]. The range of the Fe isotope compositions of the Juan de Fuca ridge is from $-0.82\%$ to $-0.33\%$, with an average $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ of $-0.58 \pm 0.20\% (n = 3)$ [20].

2.3. Factors Controlling the Fe Isotopic Composition of Hydrothermal Fluids

2.3.1. Water and Rock Interaction. Large amounts of seawater permeate deeply into the oceanic crust through fracture zones at mid-ocean ridge, where the seawater is warmed by heat sources. This seawater reacts with the bedrock deep in the oceanic crust, leaches out ore-forming elements, and erups from the seafloor, forming hydrothermal fluids. During the weathering and leaching of seafloor basalts, the leached basalts are highly depleted in Fe and have heavier Fe isotope compositions than fresh basalts [17]. Leaching experiments have been conducted on biotite granites and theleititic basalts at room temperature and under acidic conditions. The fractionation coefficient of the leached fluid phase relative to the original rocks is $-0.5\%$ to $-1\%$ [65]. The ranges of $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ values of fresh and altered basalts from the Juan de Fuca ridge are from $-0.79\%$ to $-0.46\%$ and $-0.66\%$ to $-0.38\%$, respectively [20]. These results indicate that light Fe isotopes are leached out during reactions between the seafloor hydrothermal fluids and rock.

2.3.2. Bedrock. The bedrock of mid-ocean ridge is the major source of Fe in hydrothermal systems. The bedrock of the Lucy Strike hydrothermal field at the Atlantic ridge consists of enriched mid-ocean ridge basalt (E-MORB). The basalt located at 9 to 10’N on the East Pacific Rise consists of normal mid-ocean ridge basalt (N-MORB). E-MORB exhibits a lesser degree of partial melting than does N-MORB. E-MORB has extremely strong incompatibility and is enriched in strongly incompatible elements [66]. Fe(III) is less compatible than Fe(II) during partial melting [67] and preferentially enters the melt phase. Furthermore, the Fe isotope composition of Fe(III) is heavier than that of Fe(II) [68–71]. Studies also indicated that during fractional crystallization of magma olivine is enriched in light Fe isotopes. With the continuous crystallization of olivine phenocrysts, the heavy Fe isotope enrichment in basalts increases [10]. Therefore, the Fe isotope compositions of the hydrothermal fields at the Atlantic ridge, where the bedrock is primarily E-MORB, are enriched in heavy Fe isotopes compared with those of the hydrothermal fields at approximately 9 ∼ 10’N on the East Pacific Rise, where the bedrock is primarily N-MORB.

2.3.3. Biological Effect. The Juan de Fuca ridge has received hemipelagic and turbidite deposits since the late Pleistocene. Consequently, the mid-ocean ridge there is covered by sediment that is a few hundred to a few thousand meters thick [72]. Furthermore, the hydrothermal vent fluids at the Endeavour Segment have high CH$_4$ and NH$_4^+$ concentrations [73, 74], indicating significant microorganism activity in the sediment. Deep-sea sediment is enriched in light Fe isotopes (Figure 1). Additionally, microorganisms cause preferential enrichment in light Fe isotopes [15, 64]. These hydrothermal fluids may have been affected by sediment mixing and microorganism activity during recycling and eruption, resulting in the lighter Fe isotope compositions of the hydrothermal fluids at the Juan de Fuca ridge than those of the hydrothermal fluids at the Atlantic and Pacific ridges.

It has been shown that iron stable isotopes can provide precise information on metals biogeochemical processes and help to identify and better quantify the biogeochemical Fe cycle of plant metabolism studied directly in nature [75]. Crosby et al. reported that the Fe dissimilatory reduction (DIR) promoted by Geobacter sulfurreducens and Shewanella putrefaciens strains caused Fe isotopic fractionation of approximately 2.2‰, with final Fe(II) species enriched in light isotopes [76], which demonstrated that the Fe isotope composition of Fe(II)$_{\text{aq}}$ is largely controlled by isotopic exchange with a reactive Fe(III) pool that lies in the outer layers of the ferric oxide substrate. The adsorption effect led to a particularly strong heavy iron enrichment onto cyanobacteria cells relative to Fe(II)$_{\text{aq}}$ when compared to similar experiments performed with Fe(II)$_{\text{aq}}$ [77]. The distribution of iron isotopes in sediments and sedimentary rocks is also a powerful measure of the biogeochemical cycle of Fe in the modern and ancient ocean [78].

2.3.4. Phase Separation of Hydrothermal Fluids. Experiments have demonstrated that liquid phases are strongly enriched in positive divalent ions of transition metals (such as Fe(II)) at gas-liquid equilibrium under high-temperature and high-pressure conditions [79]. Previous studies included simulated experiments on variations in the Fe isotope compositions of hydrothermal fluid systems during the phase separation period at seafloor hydrothermal vents within a temperature range of 424 to 466°C and a pressure range of 35.2 to 24.7 MPa [63]. When the solution system did not exhibit phase separation, the $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$ value was $-0.29 \pm 0.03\%$ (2σ). Phase separation occurred in the systems with decompression and formed gas and liquid phases. The Fe isotopes were significantly fractionated. The gas phase was enriched in heavy Fe isotopes (Figure 3(a)). During gradual decompression, the fractionation coefficient of the gas phase relative to the liquid phase was larger than zero and increased continuously until equilibrium was approached (Figure 3(b)). During the decompression, the Fe isotope composition of the liquid phase was lighter than that of the gas phase and the entire system. The Cl$^-$ concentration of the gas phase gradually decreased (Figure 3(a)), and the chloride content decreased. The chemical properties of the entire system changed. The chemical composition difference between the gas and liquid phases affected the Fe isotope fractionation to some extent. The experimental results indicated that the fractionation coefficient of Fe isotopes between coexisting gas and liquid phases reached $\Delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{gas-liquid}} = +0.15 \pm 0.05\%$, indicating that phase separation caused the chemical composition differences between the endmembers. During the fluid phase separation period, the gas phase depleted in Cl was likely enriched in heavy Fe isotopes.
Temperature and pressure changes during phase separation under high-temperature and high-pressure conditions can cause the coordination chemistry of water-bearing metal chloride complexes to change as a function of the Cl concentration of the environment [80]. Syverson et al. proposed that $[\text{FeCl}_3]$ was present predominantly in the liquid phase, whereas $[\text{FeCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{3+}$ preferentially entered the gas phase [63]. Theoretical calculations indicated that the degree of Fe isotope fractionation caused by the difference between the coordination chemistry of Fe-Cl and Fe-H complexes is likely caused by an oxidation-reduction effect [81]. Water-bearing (including oxygen bonded) transition-metal complexes are enriched in heavy Fe isotopes compared with chloride transition-metal complexes [82]. In addition, previous studies indicated that because certain light Fe isotopes were lost during the collision in which the Moon was formed from the Earth, the Fe isotope compositions of the Earth and Moon are significantly heavier than those of other planets [62]. The heavier Fe isotope compositions of the Earth and the Moon could also be due to high oxygen fugacity during the magma evolution that caused the residual phase to be enriched in heavy Fe isotopes.

2.4. Factors Controlling the Fe Isotopic Compositions of Hydrothermal Sulfides

2.4.1. Vent Temperature. The hydrothermal fluid temperature of black smoker chimneys in the high-temperature hydrothermal fields at 9 to 10$^7$ N on the East Pacific Rise exceeds 300$^\circ$C. The hydrothermal fluid temperature in the low-temperature hydrothermal fields is 100 to 250$^\circ$C. The temperatures of the hydrothermal fluid diffusion zones are below 100$^\circ$C. When the hydrothermal vent temperature is below 250$^\circ$C, hydrothermal sulfides do not form chalcopyrite [19]. The vent temperature of the Lucky Strike hydrothermal field enriched in Cu sulfides exceeds 300$^\circ$C. The temperatures of vent regions rich in Fe-Zn sulfides are between 180 and 220$^\circ$C [18].

The minerals formed at high temperature during hydrothermal sulfide mineralization are enriched in Se, whereas the low-temperature minerals are depleted in Se [83]. Based on the relation between the Se concentrations of hydrothermal sulfides and Fe isotope compositions (Figure 4), chalcopyrite is preferentially deposited as a high-temperature mineral and is enriched in heavy Fe isotopes, causing the hydrothermal fluids to be depleted in heavy Fe isotopes. Pyrite and sphalerite deposited later are enriched in light Fe isotopes (Figure 5(d)). The Bio$^9$ vent temperature shown in Figure 5(a) is as high as 383$^\circ$C. Chalcopyrite is preferentially deposited as a high-temperature mineral, and its Fe and S are both heavier than those in pyrite and sphalerite, which is deposited later. In later hydrothermal fluids, heavy Fe isotopes are consumed. Therefore, the later-deposited pyrite and sphalerite are enriched in light Fe isotopes. The K-vent temperature is 203$^\circ$C (Figure 5(b)). The Fe isotope compositions of the coexisting pyrite and sphalerite are essentially consistent, and both are lower than those in the hydrothermal fluid. However, pyrite is enriched in light S isotopes compared with sphalerite, possibly because sphalerite is preferentially enriched in heavy S isotopes. Studies of the Navan magmatic-hydrothermal Pb-Zn deposit in Ireland indicated a temperature of the ore-forming fluid of 150 to 170$^\circ$C. During rapid sphalerite deposition, because of kinetic fractionation, the sphalerite is enriched in light $\delta^{66}$Fe ($-1.2\%$) and $\delta^{66}$Zn ($-0.15\%$), and the two values display a very high positive correlation [84].

The hydrothermal sulfide assemblage at the high-temperature Bio$^9$ vent (383$^\circ$C) located at 9 to 10$^7$ N on the
East Pacific Rise in Figure 5 is rich in Cu, Fe, and Zn. The $^{56}$Fe range of the chalcopyrite is from $-0.29\%$ to $-0.11\%$. The range of $^{56}$Fe values of the pyrite is from $-1.26\%$ to $-1.08\%$ and $^{56}$Fe values of the sphalerite is $-0.95\%$. The hydrothermal sulfide assemblage at the low-temperature K-vent (203°C) is rich in Cu and Zn. The range of $^{56}$Fe values of the pyrite is from $-0.90\%$ to $-0.63\%$. The range of $^{56}$Fe values of the sphalerite is from $-0.54\%$ to $-0.76\%$. The inactive hydrothermal vent regions contain low-temperature diffusive fluids ($\sim$100°C), and the hydrothermal sulfide assemblage is rich in Cu and Zn. The range of $^{56}$Fe values of the pyrite is from $-1.79\%$ to $-0.18\%$. The range of $^{56}$Fe values of the sphalerite is from $-0.94\%$ to $-0.55\%$. The range of $^{56}$Fe values of the hydrothermal fluids is $-0.67\%$ to $-0.25\%$ [19]. Due to the remineralization of late-stage hydrothermal fluids, pyrite formed more strongly with hydrothermal fluids during the early-stage disequilibrium isotope fractionation exchange of Fe and S isotopes and approaches equilibrium fractionation [18, 19].

After comparing the sulfide assemblages of high- and low-temperature hydrothermal vent regions and the corresponding sulfide $^{56}$Fe characteristics, we developed the following three conclusions: (1) Seafloor hydrothermal sulfides of Cu-Fe-Zn mineral assemblage where $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{chalcopyrite}}$ > $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{pyrite}}$ and $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{pyrite}}$ = $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{sphalerite}}$ indicate the mineralization of high-temperature hydrothermal vent ($\sim$350°C). (2) Seafloor hydrothermal sulfides exhibiting Fe-Zn mineral assemblages where $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{pyrite}}$ = $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{sphalerite}}$ < $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{hydrothermal fluid}}$ indicate the mineralization environments of low-temperature hydrothermal vent ($\sim$250°C). (3) Seafloor hydrothermal sulfides with Fe-Zn mineral assemblages where $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{pyrite}}$ = $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{sphalerite}}$ = $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{hydrothermal fluid}}$ in certain Fe-Zn mineral assemblages and where $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{pyrite}}$ < $^{56}$Fe$_{\text{sphalerite}}$ in others indicate the possible remineralization of early-stage hydrothermal sulfides by low-temperature diffusive fluids in hydrothermal fields ($\sim$100°C).

2.4.2. Hydrothermal Fluid Chemistry. Previous studies of the skarn deposit at Xinqiao, Anhui, indicated that the range of wall rock $^{56}$Fe values of the deposit is from $-0.13\%$ to $0.41\%$, with an average value of $0.07\%$, whereas the range of $^{56}$Fe values of the magnetite that formed earliest is from $-0.54\%$ to $0.20\%$ (average of $-0.11\%$). Compared with the intrusion, the magnetite was enriched in light Fe isotopes. The average $^{56}$Fe value of the pyrite that formed later is $-0.41\%$. The $^{56}$Fe characteristics of the country rock cannot explain the enrichment of Fe-bearing minerals in light Fe isotopes. The enrichment is a result of the enrichment in light Fe isotopes of the initial fluid exsolved from the magma [27]. Fe in the magma fluids and hydrothermal fluids originated from magma that existed in the form of FeCl$_3$ [85], and the iron chloride is enriched in light Fe isotopes, compared with magnetite and silicate melts [86]. After comparing a high-Cl vent (Pipe Organ) and a low-Cl vent (Inferno) on the Juan de Fuca ridge, we noted that if the fluid Cl concentration is low, with a higher H$_2$S/Fe value, the light Fe isotope enrichment of hydrothermal fluids during the period of sulfide deposition is greater [20]. Therefore, both the chemical property differences and changes in hydrothermal fluids can cause variations in the Fe isotope compositions of hydrothermal sulfides.

Rouxel et al. [19] proposed that the Fe isotopes of chalcopyrite display very little kinetic fractionation or are approximately at equilibrium fractionation. Studies have shown that chalcopyrite formed at high temperature in seafloor hydrothermal fluids tends to be significantly more enriched in heavy Fe isotopes than hydrothermal fluids. However, the Fe isotope composition range of chalcopyrite in the Atlantic ridge is clearly broader than that of chalcopyrite at the East Pacific Rise (Figure 2). The chalcopyrite of the East Pacific Rise is all from the Bio9$^\circ$ vent. The chalcopyrite in the Atlantic ridge is from the Bairro Alto, Elisabeth, Y3, Eiffel Tower, and Marker US4 vents. The vent temperatures in the Lucky Strike hydrothermal fields are significantly different (170 to 350°C) [18]. The chemical property differences of the fluids are significantly affected by phase separation [87]. The oxidation-reduction reaction during the mixing of the vent fluids enriched in H$_2$S and seawater enriched in SO$_2^{-}$ causes the S isotope differences of the hydrothermal fluids [87]. The ranges of $^{56}$S values of the vent fluids at the Bio9$^\circ$ vent (3.1 to 3.2%) and the Lucky Strike hydrothermal field (1.5 to 4.5%) at the East Pacific Rise also indicate the differences in the vent fluid properties in hydrothermal fields.

2.4.3. Deposition of Hydrothermal Sulfides. Oxidation-reduction is the primary process controlling Fe isotope fractionation. As Fe(II) is oxidized to Fe(III), Fe isotopes are significantly fractionated. The isotope composition of Fe(III) is preferentially enriched in heavy Fe isotopes [68–71]. Fe(III) rapidly forms Fe oxide and hydroxide deposits in aqueous solutions enriched in relatively heavy Fe isotopes. Under reducing conditions, Fe(II) is highly soluble. The solution
is enriched in light Fe isotopes. Sulfide and sulfate minerals formed by these hydrothermal fluids are enriched in light Fe isotopes [88, 89].

Available data indicate that the Fe isotope composition of single magnetite in BIFs is the highest ($\delta^{56}$Fe = 3.15‰), whereas that of single siderite is the lowest ($\delta^{56}$Fe = $-2.05$‰) [31]. The valence state of the resulting minerals is related to oxygen fugacity and its evolution with time [44, 90], causing a significant difference in Fe isotopes in BIFs. Theoretical calculations and simulation of equilibrium fractionation during the mineral deposition of quartet pyrite, siderite, and hematite showed that Fe (III)$_{\text{aq}}$ in solution is enriched in heavier Fe isotopes, compared with Fe(II)$_{\text{aq}}$ [91–93]. Generally, seafloor hydrothermal fluids are reducible and acidic, and Fe(III) that exists in the formation of seafloor hydrothermal sulfides rapidly reduces to Fe(II) [94].

Seafloor hydrothermal fluids form common sulfides including pyrite, chalcopyrite, and sphalerite. Pyrite formation in nature requires two processes: (1) Fe$^{2+}$ first forms mackinawite in Fe-rich solutions ($\text{Fe}^{2+}_{\text{aq}} + \text{H}_2\text{S}_{\text{aq}}/\text{HS}_{\text{aq}}^- \rightarrow \text{FeS}$); (2) mackinawite then dissolves and forms pyrite ($\text{FeS} + \text{S}^{2-}_{\text{aq}}/\text{H}_2\text{S} \rightarrow \text{FeS}_2$). Earlier research included simulated Fe isotope fractionation experiments involving mackinawite deposition at room temperature and under acidic conditions [95, 96]. At the beginning of the experiments, the $\delta^{56}$Fe value of the mackinawite was lighter than that of the solution by

**Figure 5:** Plots of $\delta^{56}$Fe versus $\delta^{34}$S of hydrothermal vent fluids and sulfides (after [18, 19]).
approximately 0.9‰. After 7 to 38 days, the $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{FeS2-Fe}^{Fe}$ value was −0.9 to −0.3‰ (pH = 4) (Figure 3). The results indicated significant kinetic fractionation during deposition of the mackinawite. In a more recent study, Guilbaud et al. [97] reported that mackinawite formed under equilibrium conditions is enriched in heavier Fe isotopes compared with that formed in solution (pH = 4 or 7). The results indicated a $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{FeS2-Fe}^{Fe}$ value of 0.3‰ (Figure 3). Pyrite formed by mackinawite dissolution at low temperatures and under reducing conditions was significantly enriched in lighter Fe isotopes compared with mackinawite. The fractionation coefficient $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{FeS2-Fe}^{Fe}$ was from −3.0‰ to −1.7‰ (Figure 3) [97], indicating that the Fe isotopes underwent significant kinetic fractionation.

The temperature of the pyrite deposited in the late stage in the Lucky Strike hydrothermal field at the Atlantic ridge is below 200°C [18]. Kinetic fractionation under low-temperature conditions may have caused the significant enrichment of light Fe isotopes in pyrite/marcasite. The range of Fe isotope compositions of the pyrite at the East Pacific Rise is large, as well as the temperature range of this hydrothermal field (203 to 383°C). $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{FeS2-Fe}^{Fe}$ between pyrite/marcasite and hydrothermal fluids is $-0.58 \pm 0.13‰$ [19]. Certain pyrites exhibited a $\delta^{56}$Fe value of approximately −1.5‰, indicating that the pyrites may have undergone significant kinetic fractionation during formation.

The Fe isotope compositions of certain pyrites are consistent with the $\delta^{56}$Fe values of sphalerite (Figure 2). The average $\delta^{56}$Fe value of sphalerite is $-0.82 \pm 0.19‰$ (n = 22) [19]. Previous studies of the Navan Pb-Zn deposit in Ireland indicated that the range of $\delta^{56}$Fe values of sphalerite is approximately from $-2.2‰$ to $-0.2‰$, and the Fe and Zn isotopes exhibit a very high positive correlation. The formation of iron sulfide in hydrothermal fluids is typically rapid and thus often causes kinetic fractionation, indicating that the kinetic fractionation of Fe and Zn isotopes during the formation of sphalerite causes the enrichment in light Fe and Zn isotopes [84].

Based on Figures 1 and 2, the Fe isotope compositions of certain pyrites are similar to those of chalcopyrite: they are enriched in heavy Fe isotopes. In closed hydrothermal systems, hydrothermal fluids do not mix with seawater. The hydrothermal fluids cool conductively and slowly form pyrite. The $\delta^{56}$Fe and $\delta^{34}$S values of sulfides and hydrothermal fluids reach equilibrium fractionation [18, 19]. Previous studies involving theoretical calculations of the fractionation coefficient between pyrite and chalcopyrite [91–93] indicated that the Fe isotope composition of pyrites coexisting with chalcopyrite under high-temperature conditions may approach or reach equilibrium fractionation. These pyrites are enriched in heavy Fe isotopes. During mineral growth, if the bond lengths of two minerals are different, then the two minerals display mass fractionation. Heavy isotopes preferentially enter the mineral with the shorter bond length and higher bond energy [98]. In theory, pyrite is enriched in heavy Fe isotopes. Therefore, the $\delta^{56}$Fe values of coexisting chalcopyrite and pyrite are similar.

3. Conclusions

Based on our analysis of the Fe isotope compositions of various components and systems of the Earth, we note that the Fe isotope compositions differ significantly in nature. The compositions are characterized predominantly by enrichment in light Fe isotopes in hydrothermal systems. Source region differences and different geological processes are the major factors causing these Fe isotope composition variations. The $\delta^{56}$Fe values of hydrothermal fluids are characterized by significant enrichment in light Fe isotopes. Water-rock reactions, bedrock properties, sediment and microorganism effects, and phase separation are important factors to cause variations of $\delta^{56}$Fe values. The $\delta^{56}$Fe values of sulfides also exhibit lighter Fe isotope characteristics relative to hydrothermal vent fluids from the mid-ocean ridge. The vent temperature, fluid properties, and mineral deposition processes significantly affect the $\delta^{56}$Fe values of hydrothermal sulfides. Chalcopyrite is preferentially enriched in heavy Fe isotopes. Sulfides such as sphalerite and pyrite are enriched in light Fe isotopes. In addition, the $\delta^{56}$Fe values of pyrite/marcasite display a larger variation than those of chalcopyrite. This pattern is directly related to the equilibrium fractionation or the kinetic fractionation of Fe isotopes during the deposition of sulfides. The $\delta^{56}$Fe values of various hydrothermal sulfide mineral assemblages display large differences, indicating specific ore-forming temperature. Although researchers currently have a good understanding of the Fe isotope compositions and fractionation processes of modern seafloor hydrothermal systems, the geochemical behavior differences and the fractionation mechanisms of Fe isotopes among various minerals during the mineralization of hydrothermal sulfides from the hydrothermal fields at mid-ocean ridge require further in situ study. Furthermore, studying the coupling of iron oxidation and reduction in hydrothermal ecosystem should help to identify and better quantify the biogeochemical Fe cycle in natural environments.
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