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The measure of O$_2$ consumption during the inhibited autoxidation of an easily oxidizable substrate is one of the most reliable and predictive methods to assess antioxidant activity, especially for structure-activity relationship studies, for food and industrial applications. The differential oxygen uptake apparatus described herein represents a powerful and cost-effective way to obtain antioxidant activity from inhibited autoxidation studies. These experiments provide the rate constant and the stoichiometry of the reaction between antioxidants and peroxyl radicals (ROO$^\cdot$), which are involved in the propagation of radical damage. We show the operation principles and the utility of this instrumentation in the bioorganic laboratory, with regard to the recent advances in this field, ranging from the study of natural antioxidants in biomimetic system, to the use of substrates generating hydroperoxyl radicals, and to the evaluation of novel nanoantioxidants.

1. Introduction

The reaction of organic substrates with atmospheric oxygen under mild conditions to form various oxygenated compounds such as hydroperoxides, peracids, epoxides, and ketones is called "autoxidation" [1]. It can either be beneficial, such as when it is used for the functionalization of organic compounds [2], or it can be detrimental as in case of food, oils, or polymers getting rancid or oxidized in the presence of atmospheric O$_2$ [3]. Antioxidants are always present in natural and man-made oxidizable materials as a strategy to preserve them from the damage of autoxidation [4]. Moreover, the awareness of the importance of radical-mediated reactions in biology and the theories relating oxidative stress to aging and degenerative diseases represent another major factor promoting the study of antioxidants [5]. A correct and unbiased measure of the antioxidant activity is of fundamental importance for the evaluation of natural antioxidants and to guide for the design of new synthetic ones. To adapt to the different testing needs, an impressively large array of rapid assays has been proposed. These include methods based on inhibited autoxidation studies, which are performed by monitoring O$_2$ consumption, or the formation of hydroperoxides (the primary oxidation products), or of secondary oxidation products like carbonyl compounds. When results are analyzed with a proper kinetic treatment, these methods are the most reliable to assess antioxidant activities [6]. Most testing methods, however, do not involve substrate autoxidation. They rely on the competitive bleaching of a probe (e.g., ORAC, luminol, and β-carotene bleaching assays) [7], or they are indirect methods based on the reduction either of persistent radicals (e.g., DPPH and TEAC assays) or of inorganic oxidizing species (e.g., FRAP and Follin-Ciocalteu assays) [8]. All such methods that are not based on the kinetic study of a substrate's autoxidation should be used with caution, only as preliminary indication, after careful consideration of the chemistry behind them, that is, bearing in mind what they are actually measuring. Failure to do so might result in unrealistic and scarcely meaningful data [6–9]. In this review, we describe how to measure the rate of inhibited autoxidation by using a differential oxygen uptake apparatus, and how to obtain accurate quantitative data of antioxidant activity from...
the analysis of O$_2$-consumption traces. We show the utility of this instrumentation in the bioorganic laboratory with regard to the recent advances in this field, ranging from the study of natural antioxidants in biomimetic systems to the evaluation of novel nanoantioxidants.

2. How to Determine the Antioxidant Activity from Inhibited Autoxidation

Autoxidation follows a free-radical chain mechanism, depicted in its simplest form in Scheme 1.

Autoxidation can, in principle, be initiated by any reaction that generates free radicals. The most common initiating systems with practical relevance are the catalytic decomposition of hydroperoxides by transition metals ions (such as Fe$^{3+}$ and Cu$^{+}$) and the interaction of UV-vis light with photoinitiators (such as ketones and peroxides). However, because hydroperoxides (and peroxides) and ketones are also products of the autoxidation, their accumulation may lead to autocatalytic behavior consisting in an increasing rate of reaction with time [8]. When a controlled rate of initiation is desired, thermal decomposition of azo-initiator is preferred. The use of azo-initiators, such as the lipid-soluble AIBN (2,2′-azobis-isobutyronitrile), or water-soluble AAPH (2,2′-azobis(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride), is much more practical than metal catalysis or photoinitiation, because the homolytic decomposition of azo-initiators proceeds at constant rate at a given temperature, during the entire course of autoxidation, thereby providing a constant rate of initiation [10]. Following the mechanism reported in Scheme 1, the initiating radical (I$^\cdot$) abstracts a H-atom from the substrate, generating a carbon-centered (alkyl) radical, R$^\cdot$, which reacts with O$_2$ to form a peroxy radical (ROO$^\cdot$). Except in some specific cases [11], this last reaction is very efficient [10]. For this reason, in the presence of atmospheric oxygen virtually all R$^\cdot$ are converted to ROO$^\cdot$, and peroxy radical chemistry dominates autoxidation kinetics. The propagation can either consist of H-atom transfer (ROO$^\cdot$ + RH $\rightarrow$ ROOH + R$^\cdot$) or of addition to a C=C double bond (ROO$^\cdot$ + X=Y $\rightarrow$ ROOX-Y$^\cdot$, where X and Y are substituted C atoms). Termination events consist mainly in the radical-radical self-quenching of peroxy radicals to give nonradical products [1]. If propagation is fast enough, the overall process becomes a chain reaction and, after the initiation event, several propagation steps can take place before the chain reaction is terminated. By solving the kinetic equations that describe the individual reactions depicted in Scheme 1 and applying the steady state approximation, oxygen consumption during the autoxidation of a generic substrate RH is described by (1), where $k_p$ and 2$k_i$ are, respectively, the propagation and termination rate constant for the autoxidation of the oxidizable substrate and $R_i$ is the initiation rate.

$$- \frac{d [O_2]}{d t} = \frac{k_p}{\sqrt{2k_i}} [RH] \sqrt{R_i + R_j} \quad (1)$$

Since the autoxidation rate depends on the ratio $k_p/(2k_i)^{1/2}$, this quantity is commonly referred to as the oxidizability of the substrate. Some examples of typical oxidizable substrates are given in Table 1, together with their respective $k_p$, 2$k_i$, and $k_p/(2k_i)^{1/2}$ values. From the values reported in Table 1, it is evident that the oxidizability of organic molecules varies considerably, from very low values for saturated hydrocarbons to 1000-fold higher values for polyunsaturated hydrocarbons or for aldehydes.

Once the rate constants $k_p$ and 2$k_i$ are known, along with $R_i$ values, the effect of the addition of any modifier to the autoxidation can be studied. Some compounds can accelerate autoxidation, for example, by providing better chain transfer than peroxy radicals, as observed in the case of N-hydroxypythalimide (NHPi) class of compounds.

It was shown that, after the addition of NHPi to the autoxidation of isopropylbenzene (cumene), the O$_2$ consumption is increased because PINO radicals react with RH faster than ROO$^\cdot$. NHPi and related molecules can be used as metal-free catalysts for the functionalization of hydrocarbons (Scheme 2) [17, 18]. Addition of easily oxidizable compounds may either decrease or increase the rate of O$_2$ consumption by cooxidizing with the substrate. Some of these systems have been investigated by us in the case of garlic sulfides and estragole [19, 20].

However, arguably, the most important class of compounds that are used to modify the rate of autoxidation is antioxidants, which are used by man and nature to slow down the degradation of organic materials under O$_2$ [1]. Depending
on their mechanism of action, two main classes of antioxidants, preventive and chain-breaking, can be recognized. Preventive antioxidants reduce the probability of initiation: that is, they reduce the rate of initiation \( R_i \) by eliminating the primary source of free radicals. For instance, they can reduce or decompose hydroperoxides into nonradical products, or they can chelate transition metal ions, removing them from the redox cycle (e.g., Fenton’s reaction) that would cause the decomposition of peroxides into radical species [8]. On the other hand, chain-breaking antioxidants (AH) react with peroxyl radicals to yield stabilized radicals (A*) that are sufficiently unreactive toward the substrate RH to be unable to further propagate the oxidative chain. Rather, they are sufficiently long-lived to “wait” until they quench a second peroxyl radical ((2)-(3)) [8]. When this happens they are told to have a stoichiometric factor of 2.

\[
\text{AH} + \text{ROO}^* \xrightarrow{k_{\text{inh}}} \text{A}^* + \text{ROOH} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{A}^* + \text{ROO}^* \rightarrow \text{non-radical products} \tag{3}
\]

In the case of monophenols (ArOH), such as \( \alpha \)-tocopherol and 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT), the second ROO* radical is trapped by addition on aromatic ring of ArO*, whereas in the case of catechols (CatH₂), by H-atom transfer from the CatH* radical to ROO*. Also in the case of hydroquinones (QH₂) the second ROO* radical is trapped by H-atom transfer from QH*, but the expected stochiometry of 2 is diminished by the competition between the reactions of the semiquinone (QH*) with ROO* (to quench a second chain) or with O₂, to afford HOO*, which propagates the autoxidation [21]. The effectiveness of an antioxidant is therefore described by two independent parameters: the inhibition rate constant \( k_{\text{inh}} \) and the number of radical trapped \( n \) (the stoichiometric factor). Analytical resolution of the kinetic equations, in the assumption that every ROO* radical is trapped by AH and A* (see (2)-(3)), affords (4) for the \( \text{O}_2 \) consumption rate and (5) for the relationship between the length of the inhibition period \( (\tau) \) and \( R_i \) [10].

\[
-d\frac{[\text{O}_2]_{\text{inh}}}{dt} = \frac{k_p[\text{RH}]}{nk_{\text{inh}}[\text{AH}]} + R_i \tag{4}
\]

\[
R_i = \frac{n[\text{AH}]}{\tau} \tag{5}
\]

The typical oxygen consumption plots observed during inhibited autoxidation are reported in Figure 1(a). Trace (A) represents the \( \text{O}_2 \) consumption during an uninhibited autoxidation, while traces (B-D) are those typically observed in the presence of antioxidants with low (B) intermediate (C) or high (D) \( k_{\text{inh}} \) values.

Plots (C) and (D) of Figure 1(a) show a period in which the autoxidation of the substrate is strongly retarded by the antioxidant, the so-called “induction (or inhibition) period.” The length of the inhibition period, graphically calculated as shown in Figure 1(a)(D), provides \( \tau \), which in conjunction with \( R_i \) and (5) affords the stoichiometric factor of the antioxidant. The slope of the inhibited period provides \( -d[\text{O}_2]_{\text{inh}}/dt \) that can be used to obtain \( k_{\text{inh}} \) by (4).

A usual problem encountered when using (4) is that the rate of \( \text{O}_2 \) consumption \( -d[\text{O}_2]_{\text{inh}}/dt \) should be taken at the very beginning of the inhibited period, where the concentration of the antioxidant is actually equal to the initial concentration [AH], used in the equation. However, in practice this is often impossible due to the time required for system equilibration, so that the slope can be measured only after a certain time interval after the beginning of the reaction (see, for instance, the beginning of traces (C) and (D) in Figure 1(a)). While it is always possible to correct [AH] for the antioxidant concentration effectively present at the point in which the slope is measured, a better solution consists in using (6), that is, the integrated form of (4) [22].

\[
\Delta[\text{O}_2] = [\text{O}_2]_{t=0} - [\text{O}_2]_t = -\left(\frac{k_p[\text{RH}]}{k_{\text{inh}}}\right)\left[\ln\left(1 - \frac{t}{\tau}\right)\right] \tag{6}
\]

In this equation, the oxygen consumption after time \( t \) is related to a logarithmic function of time, in the assumption that \( n = 2 \). When plotting \( \Delta[\text{O}_2] \) as a function of \(-\ln(1 - t/\tau)\), a straight line is obtained, whose slope is \( k_p[\text{RH}]/k_{\text{inh}} \), as shown in Figure 1(b).

When the antioxidant has a too low \( k_{\text{inh}} \) to afford a recognizable induction period with a given substrate (see, for instance, Figure 1(a), trace (B)), (4) and (6) are no longer valid because a certain fraction of ROO* radicals disappears by self-termination. Erroneous use of (4) and (6) in these cases may lead to large overestimation of \( k_{\text{inh}} \). Changing the substrate with another one having lower \( k_p \) and \( 2k \), such as cumene usually overcomes this problem. However, when \( k_{\text{inh}} \) is too low that also cumene is weakly inhibited, \( k_{\text{inh}} \) can be obtained by (7), where \( d[\text{O}_2]_{\text{inh}}/dt \) and \( d[\text{O}_2]_{\text{inh}}/dt \) are the \( \text{O}_2 \) consumption rate and (5) for the relationship between the length of the inhibition period \( (\tau) \) and \( R_i \) [10].
oxidizable substrates with different recommended to perform autoxidation experiments by using via (4) or (6) could be underestimated. In this regard, it is suited for period is nearly zero: in this case catalyze the reaction of ROO\textsuperscript{-} radicals\[24–26\].

Solventsshouldnotcontaintracesofacidsorbasesthatscouldshould be performed in solvents that are not reactive toward antioxidants is in progress in our laboratory. Autoxidation\[23\], while the development of oximetric methods for “fast” based on the peroxyl radical clock, developed by Pratt et al. \[32\]. The apparatus, shown in Figure 2, consists of two identical reaction vessels (reference and sample) connected through high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) tubing to the opposite sides of the membrane of a variable reluctance differential pressure transducer [32].

The equilibration or the isolation of the two channels is made possible by a three-way valve, and all equipment is placed in a thermostatic bath. A mixture containing the oxidizable substrate (typically from 15% to 75% vol/vol) and the initiator in a suitable high-boiling solvent (typically chlorobenzene or acetonitrile) are loaded in both reaction vessels. The reference flask also contains a large excess (1 mM) of a very good antioxidant (often the \( \alpha \)-tocopherol analogue 2,2,5,7,8-pentamethyl-6-chromanol) to completely stop autoxidation (except the initiation step). Solutions are continuously stirred to ensure equilibration with air inside the system at the temperature of the experiment (30–50 °C) and, after a few minutes, the 3-way valve is closed and the \( O_2 \) consumption during the uninhibited autoxidation is recorded. When a constant consumption is reached, the 3-way valve is opened and a concentrated solution of antioxidant is rapidly injected into the sample vessel by means of a microsyringe through the opening of a glass tap, then the 3-way valve is closed again. From this point on, the recording of the effect of the antioxidant on the autoxidation starts. A typical plot of an autoxidation measured in this way is reported in Figure 3, where the time-point of antioxidant injection is marked by an arrow.

The response of the sensor (in mV/V) is transformed in oxygen consumption (M) by using a conversion factor, which is determined in separate calibration experiments. Calibration consists in performing autoxidation of an oxidizable substrate with known oxidizability (i.e., styrene) inhibited by the reference antioxidant 2,2,5,7,8-pentamethyl-6-chromanol (PMHC), whose stoichiometry of radical trapping is 2.

3. Differential Oxygen Uptake Apparatus

Inhibited autoxidation kinetics can be determined by monitoring the disappearance of reactants, usually \( O_2 \), or the formation of oxidation products (such as hydroperoxides or conjugated dienes) \[27\]; alternatively it can be monitored by tracking the cooxidation of an easily detectable probe \[28\]. Oxygen consumption experiments need to be performed in a closed system and the reaction can be monitored by one of several methods, such as by a polarographic probe \[29\], fluorescence quenching \[30\], or a pressure gauge \[31\].

\[
\frac{d[O_2]_0}{d[O_2]_{inh}} dt = \frac{n k_{inh} [AH]}{\sqrt{2 k_i R_i}} \tag{7}
\]

On the other hand, very effective antioxidant may generate \( O_2 \) consumption plots in which the slope of the inhibited period is nearly zero: in this case \( k_{inh} \) values obtained either via (4) or (6) could be underestimated. In this regard, it is recommended to perform autoxidation experiments by using oxidizable substrates with different \( k_p \) values, to properly analyze antioxidants with various potencies. Styrene, with \( k_p \) of 41 M\textsuperscript{−1}\textsuperscript{−1}\textsuperscript{s}\textsuperscript{−1}, is used to measure \( k_{inh} \) values in the range \( 10^5–10^7 \) M\textsuperscript{−1}\textsuperscript{−1}\textsuperscript{s}\textsuperscript{−1}, while cumene (\( k_p = 0.32 \) M\textsuperscript{−1}\textsuperscript{s}\textsuperscript{−1}) is better suited for \( k_{inh} \) values in the range \( 10^5–10^7 \) M\textsuperscript{−1}\textsuperscript{−1}\textsuperscript{s}\textsuperscript{−1}. Kinetics faster than \( 10^7 \) M\textsuperscript{−1}\textsuperscript{−1}\textsuperscript{s}\textsuperscript{−1} can be determined by using methods based on the peroxyl radical clock, developed by Pratt et al. \[23\], while the development of oximetric methods for “fast” antioxidants is in progress in our laboratory. Autoxidation should be performed in solvents that are not reactive toward peroxyl radicals, such as chlorobenzene and acetonitrile. Solvents should not contain traces of acids or bases that could catalyze the reaction of ROO\textsuperscript{-} radicals [24–26].
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**Figure 1:** (a) Typical \( O_2 \) consumption traces observed during the autoxidation of an organic substrate in the absence (A) or in the presence of antioxidants with low (B), intermediate (C), and high (D) \( k_{inh} \) values. (b) Analysis of the \( O_2 \) consumption by (6) for the antioxidants having intermediate (○) and high (●) \( k_{inh} \).
The induction period length \( (\tau) \) provides \( R_i \) (5), while the expected \( d[O_2]/dt \) for the uninhibited autoxidation can be calculated from the known oxidizability of the substrate by using (1). The conversion factor \( (a) \) is therefore defined as \( d[O_2]/dt = a \times d \text{(mV)/V)/dt} \).

It should be noted that this instrumental set-up ensures that the pressure effects given by \( \text{N}_2 \) evolution, which is due to azo-initiator decomposition, are compensated by the presence of the same amount of azo-initiator in the reference flask. For the same reason, also \( \text{O}_2 \) consumption by initiating \( \text{I}^\text{r} \) radicals is compensated and additive term \( (+R_i) \) in (1) and (4) has to be skipped when analyzing \( \text{O}_2 \) slopes. The instrument described above has been used in the last years in our laboratory to develop, among others, new classes of sulfur-containing heterocyclic antioxidants [33, 34], novel pyridine and pyrimidine radical-trapping derivatives [13], and to study the reactions with peroxyl radicals of natural polyphenols [20].

4. Autoxidation Kinetics in Water Solution

A considerable number of chain-breaking antioxidants is water-soluble, but little of their chemistry with peroxyl radicals is known in water, because of the limited availability of practical investigation methods. While oximetry may represent a promising way to address this lack of knowledge, as a matter of facts this method is limited by the poor water-solubility of typical oxidizable substrates. Previous efforts consisted in incorporating the substrate into micelles or liposomes [29, 31, 35]. Unfortunately, in heterogeneous systems the observed rate constants reflect the rate of reactants exchange between the particles. In these systems, the reactivity of different biomolecules with peroxyl radicals mostly depends on their lipophilicity [36]. We have recently reported that tetrahydrofuran (THF) presents most of the ideal features for an oxidizable substrate to be used in aqueous solutions, as it is miscible with buffered water; it is readily available in high purity (distillation can be used to remove the stabilizer) and it undergoes reasonably rapid autoxidation [37]. Autoxidation of THF proceeds by hydrogen abstraction from a position as exemplified in Scheme 3, and the reaction can be conveniently initiated by the water-soluble azo-initiator AAPH.

The oxidizability of THF is independent from pH, and \( k_p \) and \( 2k_i \) in water were measured by us as 4.8 and
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6.6 × 10\(^2\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) at 30\(^\circ\)C [37]. This method allowed us to measure for the first time \(k_{inh}\) values for antioxidants of biological relevance at various pH as reported in Table 2, while the investigation of the deuterium kinetic isotope effect (DKIE) provided insight into the mechanism of proton-coupled electron transfer from phenols to peroxyl radicals.

5. Kinetics Studies with Hydroperoxyl Radicals

Hydroperoxyl radicals (HOO\(^\cdot\)) are mediators of many important (bio)chemical processes, but their reactivity with phenolic antioxidants has often been neglected, mainly because this radical is hard to be monitored by common spectroscopic methods [41]. We have recently shown that autoxidation of 1,4-cyclohexadiene in conjunction with oximetry performed by a differential pressure transducer represents an easy way to study the reaction of this radical. It is known that, under air, 1,4-cyclohexadiene undergoes autoxidation to benzene, the propagating radical being HOO\(^\cdot\) instead of an alkylperoxyl radical (see Scheme 4), and that antioxidants can slow down the process [15].

However, the measure of \(k_{inh}\) was precluded by the complex kinetics that were observed, due to the unusual shape of the \(O_2\) consumption plots and to the strong solvent dependence of \(k_p\) and 2\(k_t\) (see Table 3).

We have recently demonstrated that the reason of the first anomaly is the two-faced behavior of the HOO\(^\cdot\) radical that can both abstract a H-atom from the antioxidant and reduce the corresponding phenoxy radical back to the starting phenol, as indicated in Scheme 4. Thanks to Gepasi software (see Section 6), we could disentangle this complex kinetic behavior and obtain \(k_{inh}\) values for the analogue of \(\alpha\)-tocopherol PMHC (\(k_{inh}\) in Scheme 4) and the ratio between two possible reactions between PMHC radical and HOO\(^\cdot\) (\(k_{Reg}/k_{Ter}\) in Scheme 4), which lead either to regeneration or to termination (see Table 3). These experiments showed for the first time that the H-atom abstracting ability of HOO\(^\cdot\) is decreased by H-bond formation (S---HOO\(^\cdot\)) with polar solvents (S), as the strength of this noncovalent interaction is weaker in the transition state than in the reactants. H-bond formation is also the reason why \(k_p\) and 2\(k_t\) of 1,4-cyclohexadiene are smaller in polar solvents than in nonpolar ones [42].

6. Complex Kinetics Analysis by Using Gepasi Software

Extraction of kinetic information from oximetry studies during inhibited autoxidation is straightforward when the antioxidant and the oxidizable substrate are both “well behaved” and obey (2)–(3) described above. When this is no longer true, a more sophisticated analysis based on numerical fitting of kinetic data should be used, by means of dedicated

---

**Table 2:** Values of \(k_{inh} (M^{-1}s^{-1})\) in buffered water and in organic solvents of different polarity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antioxidant</th>
<th>Water, pH 2.1</th>
<th>Water, pH 7.4</th>
<th>PhCl</th>
<th>MeCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trolox</td>
<td>(1.4 ± 0.3) \times 10^2</td>
<td>(4.7 ± 0.1) \times 10^2</td>
<td>1.1 \times 10^6</td>
<td>(1.6 ± 0.2) \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMHC</td>
<td>(1.9 ± 0.3) \times 10^2</td>
<td>(2.0 ± 0.3) \times 10^2</td>
<td>3.2 \times 10^6</td>
<td>6.8 \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBHA</td>
<td>(3.9 ± 0.4) \times 10^2</td>
<td>(3.2 ± 0.4) \times 10^2</td>
<td>1.1 \times 10^6</td>
<td>2.5 \times 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechol</td>
<td>(3.1 ± 1) \times 10^2</td>
<td>(7.2 ± 1) \times 10^2</td>
<td>5.5 \times 10^6</td>
<td>2.5 \times 10^6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 3:** Propagation \((k_p/M^{-1}s^{-1})\) and termination \((2k_t \times 10^{-6}/M^{-1}s^{-1})\) rate constants for the autoxidation of 1,4-cyclohexadiene in different solvents and corresponding rate constants for the reaction of PMHC with hydroperoxyl radicals \((k_{inh}/M^{-1}s^{-1})\) and \(k_{Reg}/k_{Ter}\) at 30\(^\circ\)C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>(k_p)</th>
<th>2(k_t)</th>
<th>(k_{inh}) (HOO(^\cdot))</th>
<th>(k_{Reg}/k_{Ter})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCl(_4)</td>
<td>3800(^a)</td>
<td>5600(^a)</td>
<td>(1.6 ± 0.4) \times 10^7</td>
<td>9.9 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCl</td>
<td>1400(^b)</td>
<td>630(^b)</td>
<td>(1.6 ± 0.1) \times 10^6</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>350(^c)</td>
<td>4.3(^c)</td>
<td>(6.8 ± 0.7) \times 10^4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxane</td>
<td>385(^d)</td>
<td>6.3(^d)</td>
<td>(1.1 ± 0.1) \times 10^5</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>230(^d)</td>
<td>1.3(^d)</td>
<td>(2.5 ± 0.5) \times 10^4</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me(_2)SO</td>
<td>160(^e)</td>
<td>0.01(^e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^a\)See [37]; \(^b\) see [38]; \(^c\) see [39]; \(^d\) see [40]; \(^e\) see [41]; \(^f\) 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methoxyphenol; \(^g\) see [42].
allicin (Scheme 5) [46, 47]. Therefore, we hypothesized that the odd kinetic traces depended on a preliminary equilibrium to yield the “true” antioxidant species. By using the reported data for the decomposition of (2), the autoxidation curves were analyzed by the Gepasi software which provided the unknown $k_{\text{inh}}$ constant for the sulfenic acid (3) as $(2.8 \pm 1.2) \times 10^3$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ (at 30°C) that is about 10 times larger than that of α-tocopherol [38, 45]. Interestingly, Gepasi software allowed us to calculate the concentrations of (2) and (3) during the course of the reaction, as reported in Figure 4(b). At the end of the inhibition period (which lasted about 8000 seconds), more than 50% of (2) was still present in solution, while the concentration of the “active” sulfenic acid (3) dropped to zero. This showed that, differently to “well-behaved” antioxidants, the inhibition period did not end when all antioxidant was depleted, but when the production rate of the active specie (sulfenic acid) by the thiosulfinate is insufficient to keep the pace and compete effectively with propagation.

7. Analysis of Nanoantioxidants

Nanoantioxidants are a class of emerging materials that are based on nanoparticles having intrinsic radical scavenging activity or that are functionalized with antioxidants. The efficacy of nanoantioxidants can easily be assessed by oximetry using a differential pressure transducer as this method is not influenced by the color of the solution or by the presence of suspended particles [48]. As an example, we will describe the study of CoNPs-TOH, magnetic nanoparticles, based on a graphite-coated cobalt core having the diameter of 50 nm (CoNPs) [49], that were functionalized by click chemistry with a phenolic antioxidant derived from Trolox (TOH) [50]. Their antioxidant activity was investigated and compared to that of nanoparticles lacking the pendant antioxidant and to TOH alone, as depicted in Figure 5(a). Results showed that such nanoantioxidants were very active in inhibiting the autoxidation of styrene, with $k_{\text{inh}}$ values higher than TOH. However, the length of the induction period was shorter than expected, presumably because the proximity of the phenolic moieties favors the self-recombination of two phenoxyl radicals instead of the quenching of a second peroxyl radical. The photographs in Figure 5(b) show that the magnetic nanoantioxidants stick on the stir bar, while, after the stirring is turned on, they are well dispersed in solution.

Two additional examples of nanoantioxidants were recently studied by oximetry methods. They both consisted in halloysite nanotubes (NHT) [51] that had been grafted or loaded with phenols. In the first study, the natural antioxidant curcumin was grafted on the surface of halloysite nanotubes by thiol-disulfide chemistry (HNT-cur), with the aim of obtaining a prodrug with a controlled curcumin release on dependence of both intracellular glutathione (GSH) and pH conditions. HNT-cur showed good antioxidant activity toward cumene autoxidation, with $k_{\text{inh}}$ comparable to that of curcumin alone $(1.7 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ in PhCl). Similar to CoNPs-TOH, the stoichiometric coefficient was shorter than that of curcumin [52]. The second example is represented by a synergic nanoantioxidant, obtained by different functionalization of the external surface and the inner surface such as Gepasi, which is freely available on the web at the developer site http://www.gepasi.org/. This software simulates the kinetics of (bio)chemical reactions and, with the help of numerical algorithms, enables us to fit models to data and optimize any function of the model. Model building is straightforward as it only requires the list of the reactions that take place in the system [44]. In this section, we report an example of the application of Gepasi to the interpretation of the complex autoxidation experiments collected during the study the antioxidant activity of an allicin (1) analogue isolated from Petiveria alliacea, (S)-benzyl phenylmethanethiosulfinate (2) (Scheme 5).

As shown in Figure 4, the rate of oxygen consumption and the length of the inhibition period were not related to the antioxidant concentration, as predicted instead by (4) and (5) [45].

However, in a series of studies aimed at clarifying the antioxidant activity of garlic-derived thiosulfimates similar to allicin (1), it was discovered that the active molecule able to trap peroxyl radical was not (1) itself, but the sulfenic acid that derives from its decomposition by Cope elimination (Scheme 5). Therefore, we hypothesized that the odd kinetic traces depended on a preliminary equilibrium to yield the “true” antioxidant species. By using the reported data for the decomposition of (2), the autoxidation curves were analyzed by the Gepasi software which provided the unknown $k_{\text{inh}}$ constant for the sulfenic acid (3) as $(2.8 \pm 1.2) \times 10^3$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ (at 30°C) that is about 10 times larger than that of α-tocopherol [38, 45]. Interestingly, Gepasi software allowed us to calculate the concentrations of (2) and (3) during the course of the reaction, as reported in Figure 4(b). At the end of the inhibition period (which lasted about 8000 seconds), more than 50% of (2) was still present in solution, while the concentration of the “active” sulfenic acid (3) dropped to zero. This showed that, differently to “well-behaved” antioxidants, the inhibition period did not end when all antioxidant was depleted, but when the production rate of the active specie (sulfenic acid) by the thiosulfinate is insufficient to keep the pace and compete effectively with propagation.

7. Analysis of Nanoantioxidants

Nanoantioxidants are a class of emerging materials that are based on nanoparticles having intrinsic radical scavenging activity or that are functionalized with antioxidants. The efficacy of nanoantioxidants can easily be assessed by oximetry using a differential pressure transducer as this method is not influenced by the color of the solution or by the presence of suspended particles [48]. As an example, we will describe the study of CoNPs-TOH, magnetic nanoparticles, based on a graphite-coated cobalt core having the diameter of 50 nm (CoNPs) [49], that were functionalized by click chemistry with a phenolic antioxidant derived from Trolox (TOH) [50]. Their antioxidant activity was investigated and compared to that of nanoparticles lacking the pendant antioxidant and to TOH alone, as depicted in Figure 5(a). Results showed that such nanoantioxidants were very active in inhibiting the autoxidation of styrene, with $k_{\text{inh}}$ values higher than TOH. However, the length of the induction period was shorter than expected, presumably because the proximity of the phenolic moieties favors the self-recombination of two phenoxyl radicals instead of the quenching of a second peroxyl radical. The photographs in Figure 5(b) show that the magnetic nanoantioxidants stick on the stir bar, while, after the stirring is turned on, they are well dispersed in solution.

Two additional examples of nanoantioxidants were recently studied by oximetry methods. They both consisted in halloysite nanotubes (NHT) [51] that had been grafted or loaded with phenols. In the first study, the natural antioxidant curcumin was grafted on the surface of halloysite nanotubes by thiol-disulfide chemistry (HNT-cur), with the aim of obtaining a prodrug with a controlled curcumin release on dependence of both intracellular glutathione (GSH) and pH conditions. HNT-cur showed good antioxidant activity toward cumene autoxidation, with $k_{\text{inh}}$ comparable to that of curcumin alone $(1.7 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ in PhCl). Similar to CoNPs-TOH, the stoichiometric coefficient was shorter than that of curcumin [52]. The second example is represented by a synergic nanoantioxidant, obtained by different functionalization of the external surface and the inner
Scheme 5: Formation of the active radical-trapping sulfenic acid (3) from decomposition of benzyl phenylmethanethiosulfinate (2), an analogue of allicin (1).

Figure 4: Analysis of autoxidation traces by the Gepasi software. (a) Experimental (solid lines) and simulated (dotted lines) O₂ consumption during the autoxidation of cumene in the presence of variable amounts of thiosulfinate (2). (b) Variations of the concentration of thiosulfinate (2) and sulfenic acid (3), obtained by simulation based on kinetic data determined in (a) at the concentration of 60 µM. Reprinted with permission from [45].

Figure 5: (a) Oxygen consumption during the autoxidation of styrene (4.3 M) in PhCN initiated by AIBN (25 mm) at 30°C without inhibitor, 3.8 µM of TOH or with 0.15 mg mL⁻¹ of various CoNPs without the phenolic moiety, and CoNPs TOH (corresponding to a concentration of TOH groups of 14.4 µM); Rₒ = 3.6 × 10⁻⁹ Ms⁻¹. (b) Photographs of the reaction vessels of the pressure transducer apparatus containing the CoNPs in the absence (left) and in the presence (right) of magnetic stirring. Reprinted with permission from [50].
lumen of halloysite nanotubes. Trolox, a mimic of natural α-tocopherol, was selectively grafted on the HNT external surface, while quercetin, a natural polyphenolic antioxidant, was loaded into the inner lumen to afford HNT-Trolox/Que, a bifunctional nanoantioxidant (see Figure 6) [39].

Autoxidation studies in cumene, reported in Figure 7, showed that HNT functionalized by Trolox (HNT-Trolox) had a good antioxidant activity (lines (C)), while quercetin loaded into HNT (HNT/Que) had a poor performance (traces (B)), due to its slow kinetic of release.

Interestingly, the presence of both grafted Trolox and loaded quercetin in HNT-Trolox/Que improved the overall antioxidant performance more than expected from an additive contribution of the two antioxidants, as it can be inferred by comparing traces (B), (C), and (D) in Figure 7. Accurate kinetic analysis by the oximetry method allowed us to conclude that the synergy between Trolox and quercetin was due to the regeneration of Trolox from its radical by quercetin, occurring at the nanotube external surface [39].

8. Challenges and Perspectives

The main limitations of the technique described herein are low productivity (one sample at time), long reaction times (>2 h for a run), and relatively large volumes of reagents (0.5–4 mL). In our experience, in research routine these drawbacks are largely overcome by many advantages, which are among others the strength of the instrumentation, the low running costs, and the wide range of chemical compatibility (from fully organic to aqueous solvents) which make differential uptake devices more versatile than O₂ optical sensors.

Future improvements should be directed toward the miniaturization of the reaction vessels and toward the possibility of running several samples at one time. Such improvements...
have been achieved in case of O$_2$-optical sensing by using microfluidic devices [53]. Besides, the quest of novel biologically relevant oxidizable substrates is an active research field that should be more deeply investigated. In this context, the possibilities of easily performing experiments in water environment (see Section 4) and working with HOO’ radicals (see Section 5) are just two examples of the capabilities of the differential uptake apparatus.

9. Conclusion

The differential oxygen uptake apparatus described herein represents a powerful and cost-effective way to obtain antioxidant activity from inhibited autoxidation studies. Among the many assays available in the literature, the measurement of O$_2$ consumption during the inhibited autoxidation of a standard substrate is one of the most reliable and predictive methods, especially for structure-activity relationship studies [54–61]. These experiments provide the rate constants of the reaction between antioxidants with peroxyl radicals (ROO’), which are involved in the propagation of radical damage [62]. The versatility of this method allows one to measure the activity of a wide variety of antioxidants (natural polyphenols, nanoantioxidants, bioactive sulfides, etc.) in organic and mixed water-organic solvents. We hope that this review will inspire researchers working in the field of antioxidants to adopt this powerful technique for their studies.
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