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The influence of technological operations during oil refining process on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in neutralized, bleached, and deodorized oils was investigated on the basis of laboratory-scale study. Under the best experimental conditions, benzo[a]pyrene decreased by 85.1%, 99.7%, and 40.8% in neutralized, bleached, and deodorized oils, respectively. Total of 16 analytes decreased by 55.7%, 87.5%, and 47.7%, respectively. Bleaching with activated charcoal was the most efficient procedure to reduce PAHs in crude oil. Neutralization had a modest influence on sixteen analytes; however, deodorization was only responsible for a slight decrease in the light PAHs and heavy PAHs contents. Data obtained in this study suggest that the use of activated carbon during oil refining process is highly recommended; moreover, these results provide a useful guidance for oil refining plant to reduce security risk and ensure the quality of the vegetable oil products.

1. Introduction

Edible vegetable oils consist of a complex mixture of glyc- erides (mainly triacylglycerols and partially diacylglycerols and monoacylglycerols), hydrocarbons, alcohols, phospho- lipids, free fatty acids, pigments, sterols, tocopherols, and volatile compounds, and so on [1, 2]. Usually, oil refining process is done to eliminate the unwanted minor components, which make oils not suitable for sale and consumption. Furthermore, the purification process is trying to reduce possible damage to the neutral oil as well as minimize refining loss [3, 4]. All compounds, which are detrimental to flavour, color, stability, and safety of the refined final oil products will be removed. They are primarily phospholipids, free fatty acids, pigments, trace metals, volatiles, persistent organic pollutants (POPs), and other impurities [1, 5].

Among various POPs, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a class of ubiquitous chemical contaminations in vegetable oils. Academically, they are divided into two parts, light PAHs (LPAHs, up to four fused rings) and heavy PAHs (HPAHs, more than four fused rings). The persistent nature of PAHs related to their great chemical stability leads to their significant accumulation in the food chain; moreover, their high liposolubility results in their occurrence in oils and fatty foods, particularly in edible oils. In 2005, China has set a limit of 10 μg/kg for benzo[a]pyrene (BaP) in edible vegetable oils for the sake of dietary safety associated with oil consumption [6]. Meanwhile, Commission Regulation Number 835/2011 legislated the maximum levels for PAHs in fats and oils for direct human consumption or ingredient for food products [7]. It established 2 μg/kg for BaP and 10 μg/kg for summed four PAHs (called PAH_4, including BaP, benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbFlu), benz[a]anthracene (BaA), and chrysene (Chr)) as the most suitable indicator of PAHs in foodstuffs. However, no countries or organizations worldwide have set the regulations and limits for the content of total PAHs in commercial oils, partly due to the fact that there are hundreds of these sorts of pollutants in the world, thus resulting in difficulty in separation, determination, and calculation. Previously, we developed and validated a liquid chromatography-atmospheric pressure photoionization-tandem mass spectrometry method for analyzing United
States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 16 PAHs in edible oils [8], and the occurrence as well as concentration of 16 analytes in eighty-five brands of vegetable oils in China was detected and statistically analyzed [9]. Now, investigations on technologies for the removal of PAHs from edible oils reach considerable importance for reducing health risks to consumers and the efficiency related to PAHs reduction is still questioned due to the fact that available information regarding specific process conditions is limited.

Normally, the amount of PAHs in crude vegetable oils can be eliminated during oil refining process, thus generating low levels of contamination in the final oil products compared to in the crude ones [10]. In China, conventional oil refining process consists of four steps (i.e., degumming, neutralization, decoloration, and deodorization), and the last three procedures were reported with good removing effect on PAHs in edible vegetable oils [10–14]. Neutralization, also known as alkali refining, is used to eliminate free fatty acids that can promote lipid oxidation by forming soapstock. Adsorbents, such as activated clay and activated carbon, can be used to remove oil-solubility pigments (e.g., carotene and chlorophyll), metals, and oxidation products as well as remaining phospholipids and soaps, and this procedure is defined as decoloration or bleaching. Deodorization, or sometimes called steam distillation, removes volatile components and small molecular decomposed peroxides at elevated temperature under vacuum to improve flavour quality, shelf life, and stability of the final oil products.

The aim of this study is to investigate the effects of three main steps, namely, neutralization, decoloration, and deodorization, on PAHs in crude vegetable oils, to examine the respective influence of parameters employed on their efficiencies in reducing of PAHs, and to characterize the distribution and evaluate the behaviour of PAHs during the whole technological procedure adopted. This is a comprehensive effort to report on the levels of selected PAHs measured in different stages of the oil refining. Meanwhile, the inclusion of multiple PAHs provides a full-scale representation of the entire group of pollutants in edible oils during the refining process.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Chemicals. Mixture of EPA 16 PAHs was provided by O2si (Charleston, South Carolina, USA) with the concentration of 200 μg/mL (dissolved in acetonitrile); the full names and abbreviations of 16 analytes related to this study are shown in Table 1. Sixteen corresponding isotopical analogues were obtained from Dr. Ehrenstorfer GmbH (Augsburg, Germany) and C/D/N Isotopes (Pointe-Claire, Quebec, Canada). Purities of individual standard components were guaranteed to be above 97.9%.

Acetonitrile and toluene (HPLC grade) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Deionized water was obtained from a Millipore Milli-Q water purification system (Billerica, MA, USA). Degummed peanut oil sample with a high contamination level of PAHs, which was used for neutralization, bleaching, and deodorization, was prepared in our own laboratory. Potassium hydroxide (KOH) used for alkali refining was purchased from Merck Chemicals Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). In this study, three absorbents were chosen as they represent some of the types used by refineries in China. Activated clay (AC) was purchased from Maige Adsorbents Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu, China). Notit-8015 activated charcoal (NACc) was obtained from Zhongji Chemicals Import & Export Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). WY activated charcoal (WYAcC) was obtained from Chongqing Beyond Ocean Carbon Manufacture Co., Ltd. (Chongqing, China).

2.2. Oil Refining Process. Fifty grams of prepared oils was used for each experiment. Three oil refining procedures were respectively operated with the prepared degummed oil sample; thus the efficiency of individual steps for removing PAHs could be obtained and compared. A Flowchart for experimental design and all parameters is shown in Figure 1. In this study, orthogonal experiments were employed to select the best removal conditions for the two former processes (neutralization and decoloration). Nine experiments were performed for each alkali refining and bleaching based on the standard L9 orthogonal arrays, whereas single factor experiment was used to optimize the counterpart for deodorization.

2.2.1. Neutralization. The indicated concentration of KOH was added to the prepared oil sample to neutralize the free fatty acids and the desired concentration of additional amount of KOH was infused to ensure the complete formation of soaps. This was proceeded with agitation at 40°C originally, and once the KOH was added, the temperature was increased to the indicated temperature immediately, maintaining the temperature for the desired time. Then the soaps were separated by centrifugation at 5400 r/min. To remove the traces of soaps dissolved in the treated oil and the remains of the basic reagents added, the oils obtained in the previous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAH</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>Nap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acenaphthylene</td>
<td>Acy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acenaphthene</td>
<td>Ace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorene</td>
<td>Fl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenanthrene</td>
<td>Phe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthracene</td>
<td>Ant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoranthene</td>
<td>Flu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>Pyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benz[a]anthracene</td>
<td>BaA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysene</td>
<td>Chr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo[b]fluoranthene</td>
<td>BbFlu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo[k]fluoranthene</td>
<td>BkFlu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo[a]pyrene</td>
<td>BaP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene</td>
<td>DBahA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzo[ghi]perylene</td>
<td>BghiP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene</td>
<td>IP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The full names and abbreviations of EPA 16 PAHs related to this study.
stages were washed with three successive additions of 10% of 95 °C pure water. Then removing the washing water by centrifuging and the neutralized oil was obtained.

2.2.2. Decoloration. The removal of PAHs by the oil bleaching procedure was compared using three commercial sorbents: AC, NACc, and WYACc. According to the actual amount of these three kinds of processing agents used in the oil refinery, the proportions of AC, NACc, and WYACc were optimized and set between 2 and 4%, 0.05 and 0.2%, and 0.2 and 2%, respectively. Vacuum bleaching was performed in 30–35 mbar by using a vacuum pump and the treatment process was as follows: the adopted adsorbent was add to the prepared oil sample, and the mixture was maintained by agitation for the desired time at the indicated temperature in a vacuum atmosphere. Then the adsorbents were separated by centrifugation at 5400 r/min, and the bleached oil was obtained.

2.2.3. Deodorization. The deodorization was accomplished at the indicated temperature and 80 Pa with the use of direct stream (20 kg/T·h) in order to remove volatile compounds and thermolabile pigments. Under the high temperature and high vacuum conditions, tested oil was maintained for the desired time and then cooled down to ambient temperature and the deodorized oil was obtained.

2.3. Oil PAHs Determination and Analytical Conditions. Oil PAHs determination scheme and analytical means were described in detail in a previous published paper [8]; all of the oil samples were prepared and determined in triplicate. A flowchart describing the sample preparation and purification procedure is provided in Figure 2. Ion pairs of 16 analytes and their analogous are given in Table S1 (Supplementary Material, available online at https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/7824761); data of the method validation are provided in Tables S2 and S3. Briefly, the calibration curves of each analyte showed a linear response with correlation coefficients between 0.9992 and 0.9999. The recoveries of 16 analytes at three spiking levels were ranging from 77.8 to 106.4%, and the relative standard deviations were lower than 10%. The limits of quantitation for 16 PAHs were in the range of 0.02–0.43 μg/kg. Table S4 shows the LC conditions and all of the required instrumental parameters. Data analysis was performed using Origin 8.0 and Microsoft Office Excel 2015.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effects of Neutralization on PAHs. As it is known, parameters (alkali concentration, amount of additional alkali, temperature, and time) of the alkali refining process have a significant impact on the quantity and composition of the neutralized oil. An L nine orthogonal matrix with four factors, each factor containing three levels, was selected to arrange the experiments. Alkali solution concentrations were 5.1%, 6.6%, and 8.1%; additional alkali contents were 0.2%, 0.4%, and 0.6%; temperatures were 60, 70, and 80°C; times were 20, 30, and 40 min. The levels for each parameter variables were selected from a series of preliminary trials without using particular experimental designs (data were not shown).

Results of experiments in various conditions are displayed in Table 2. Optimum condition of each parameter was shown as the highest value of the removal rate. The optimum conditions for elimination of BaP, LPAHs, and PAH 16 were achieved at alkali concentration of 5.1%, additional alkali amount of 0.6%, temperature of 80°C, and time of 40 min. For HPAHs, the best results were achieved at alkali concentration of 6.6%, additional alkali amount of 0.6%, temperature of 70°C, and time of 20 min. And for PAH 4, the best conditions were alkali concentration of 5.1%, additional alkali amount of 0.4%, temperature of 70°C, and time of 30 min. In addition to the main effect, the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio analysis was used to evaluate the quality of the results obtained. S/N
Oil samples were thawed at room temperature; then 1 g of oils was weighted at a 15 mL Teflon-type centrifuge tube.

60 μL of the internal standard solution and 8 mL of acetonitrile were added; the mixtures were shaken vigorously at 2000 rpm for 9 min using a vortex mixer.

Centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 3 min (−20°C), the top layer was carefully transferred into a glass test tube with Pasteur pipette and the extraction process was repeated once again with 8 mL of acetonitrile.

All the extracts were collected together and filtrated through a 0.22 μm nylon syringe filter and then dried under a gentle flow of nitrogen to about 200 μL using a Zymark Turbo Vap LV Evaporator.

5 μL of this solution was injected into the LC-APPI-MS/MS for analysis.

**Figure 2:** Flowchart for oil sample preparation and purification procedure.

3.2. Effects of Decoloration on PAHs. The effects of three absorbents on PAHs were compared in this study. The temperature and time for single factor experiments were set at 100°C and 25 min, respectively. AC additive amounts were 2%, 3%, 4%, and 5%; NACC additive amounts were 0.05%, 0.10%, 0.15%, and 0.20%; WYACC additive amounts were 0.2%, 0.5%, 1%, and 2%. It was observed that increase in added contents of absorbent had a favourable effect on removing concentration of PAHs in crude oils. The removal rates of all three absorbents increase with amount rise at constant time and constant temperature. NACC was the best sorbent (additive amount was 0.2%) with the removal rates of 99.1, 78.9%, 99.1%, 98.5%, and 81.5 for BaP, LPAHs, HPAHs, PAH$_4$, and PAH$_{16}$, respectively. These could be explained by the NACC characteristics and the molecular conformation, namely, the large surface area of NACC that provides suitable pore volume and pore size for adequate adsorption. The data we observed demonstrated that almost all the 4–6 rings analytes can be eliminated by using 0.2% of NACC. Moreover, the removal efficiencies for analytes less than 4 rings were quite satisfactory (approximately 80% for individual object), thus proving its high ability to adsorb PAHs in edible oils. However, AC was the weakest one, even if at the biggest quantity (5%); the removal rates of BaP, LPAHs, HPAHs, PAH$_4$, and PAH$_{16}$ were 79.7%, 43.9%, 83.5%, 81.6%, and 48.9%, respectively. Especially in the LPAHs and PAH$_{16}$, low removal efficiencies (less than 50%) were observed. In terms of WYACC, comparable results were obtained when 1% of WYACC was added (compared with 0.2% of NACC). Individually, the removal rates of BaP, LPAHs, HPAHs, PAH$_4$, and PAH$_{16}$ were 99.84%, 74.61%, 99.38%, 98.85%, and 77.74% when 1% of WYACC was employed. It is worth mentioning that when 2% of WYACC was used, almost all the 16 PAHs can be reduced, even the 2–3-ring PAHs. The removal rates of LPAHs and PAH$_{16}$ were 93.1% and 94.0% for 2% of WYACC.

NACC was the best choice in this study, due to its high efficiency at extremely low content of addition. However, the expensive price of this material is one of the limits to its application in oil bleaching process. For this reason, WYACC, the one possessing the comparative PAHs removal efficiency, was selected to proceed with the orthogonal experiment.

In orthogonal test, parameters including WYACC amount, temperature, and time were optimized. An $I_9$ ($3^4$) orthogonal matrix with three factors, each factor containing three levels, was selected to arrange the experiments. WYACC amounts were 0.2%, 0.5%, and 1.0%; temperatures were 100, 110, and 120°C; times were 15, 25, and 35 min. Results of experiments in various conditions are shown in Table 3. The optimum conditions for elimination of BaP, HPAHs, LPAHs, and PAH$_{16}$ were achieved at WYACC amount of 1.0%, temperature of 100°C, and time of 35 min. For PAH$_4$, the best conditions were achieved at WYACC amount of
Table 2: Results of neutralization on PAHs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Alkali concentration (%)</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Additional alkali (%)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>BaP</th>
<th>HPAHs (^a)</th>
<th>PAH (^b)</th>
<th>LPAHs (^c)</th>
<th>PAH (_{16})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>52.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item Level | Alkali concentration (%) | Parameter | Additional alkali (%) | Time (min) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BaP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPAHs (^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH (_4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPAHs (^c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH (_{16})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)HPAHs, including BbFlu, BkFlu, BaP, DBahA, BghiP, and IP; \(^b\)PAH4, including BaA, Chr, BbFlu, and BaP; \(^c\)LPAHs, including Nap, Acy, Ace, Fl, Phe, Ant, Flu, Pyr, BaA, and Chr.

1.0%, temperature of 120°C, and time of 25 min (see Table 3). S/N ratio analysis revealed that the optimum conditions for the five indicators were similar to the optimum conditions obtained by using the main effect plot. S/N ratio analysis showed that WYACc amount is the highest influence parameter on all the five indicators, whereas temperature is the lowest influence parameter. Taking everything into consideration, the optimized conditions chosen were WYACc amount of 1.0%, temperature of 110°C, and time of 35 min.

3.3. Effects of Deodorization on PAHs. Steam distillation of prepared oil sample was accomplished using the single factor experiment. temperature and time were tested; temperatures were 210, 230, 250, and 270°C and times were 60, 80, 100, and 120 min. To study the effect of time on the deodorization efficiency, the related test was performed at different times ranging from 60 to 120 min; similarly, to study the effect of temperature on the deodorization efficiency, the related test was performed at different temperatures ranging from 210 to 270°C. Figures 3 and 4 show the effects of deodorization time and temperature on BaP and PAH \(_{16}\), respectively. Increase in temperature had a favourable effect on removal of BaP at short time of operation (60 min), both at 210 and 230°C. Independently of the temperature conditions, longer time of deodorization had a more positive effect on the amount
Table 3: Results of decoloration on PAHs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Absorbent amount (%)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>BaP</th>
<th>HPAHs(^a)</th>
<th>PAH(_4)(^b)</th>
<th>LPAHs(^c)</th>
<th>PAH(_{16})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>77.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Absorbent amount (%)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BaP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>83.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>91.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Δ</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPAHs(^a)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>85.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Δ</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH(_4)(^b)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Δ</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPAHs(^c)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Δ</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH(_{16})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>55.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Δ</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)HPAHs, including BbFlu, BkFlu, BaP, DBahA, BghiP, and IP; \(^{b}\)PAH\(_4\), including BaA, Chr, BbFlu, and BaP; \(^{c}\)LPAHs, including Nap, Acy, Ace, Fl, Phe, Ant, Flu, Pyr, BaA, and Chr.

of PAHs removed, and vice versa. The residue amounts of BaP, LPAHs, HPAHs, PAH\(_4\), and PAH\(_{16}\) in the deodorized oil decrease with temperature and time increase. The optimum results were achieved at temperature of 270°C and time of 120 min, and the removal rates obtained were 40.8%, 48.4%, 42.7%, 42.2%, and 47.7%.

3.4. Comparison of Effects of Three Refining Stages on PAHs. Optimum conditions obtained above from means calculation and orthogonal method must be evaluated through real experiment to check the accuracy of proposed optimum condition. Confirmation experiments (for neutralization and decoloration processes) were individually performed using the proposed optimum conditions from the orthogonal experiments. Concentrations of individual PAHs as well as LPAHs, HPAHs, PAH\(_4\), and PAH\(_{16}\) in crude oil and each step of the oil refining process are presented in Table 4. All the analyses were carried out in triplicate and the experimental results obtained were expressed as means ± SD.

The amounts of BaP, PAH\(_4\), and PAH\(_{16}\) in crude oil were 24.00 ± 1.51, 129.20 ± 8.11, and 763.94 ± 16.97 μg/kg, respectively. Meanwhile, LPAHs and HPAHs were 667.44 ± 15.96 and 96.50 ± 7.34 μg/kg, respectively. Individual levels of PAHs ranged from 2.84 ± 0.16 to 212.74 ± 8.66 μg/kg, showing
Table 4: PAHs content (mean ± SD (n = 3)) in crude oil and each step of the oil refining process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAH</th>
<th>Crude oil</th>
<th>Neutralized oil</th>
<th>Bleached oil</th>
<th>Deodorized oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nap</td>
<td>34.20 ± 2.28</td>
<td>10.75 ± 0.78</td>
<td>3.17 ± 1.32</td>
<td>4.25 ± 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acy</td>
<td>5.20 ± 0.45</td>
<td>2.74 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ace</td>
<td>22.38 ± 1.95</td>
<td>4.80 ± 0.52</td>
<td>5.80 ± 0.28</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fl</td>
<td>67.85 ± 5.35</td>
<td>44.40 ± 2.22</td>
<td>11.05 ± 1.00</td>
<td>19.61 ± 1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>212.74 ± 8.66</td>
<td>47.73 ± 2.39</td>
<td>44.00 ± 2.54</td>
<td>134.40 ± 3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>41.67 ± 2.56</td>
<td>27.00 ± 1.42</td>
<td>4.62 ± 0.05</td>
<td>18.11 ± 1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flu</td>
<td>135.80 ± 9.01</td>
<td>64.60 ± 4.03</td>
<td>14.48 ± 0.63</td>
<td>77.88 ± 6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyr</td>
<td>71.60 ± 5.48</td>
<td>39.60 ± 2.58</td>
<td>9.52 ± 0.11</td>
<td>40.95 ± 2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaA</td>
<td>38.80 ± 2.22</td>
<td>24.11 ± 0.98</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.01</td>
<td>22.19 ± 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr</td>
<td>37.20 ± 2.63</td>
<td>22.60 ± 0.82</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.01</td>
<td>22.09 ± 1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BbFu</td>
<td>29.20 ± 1.98</td>
<td>23.10 ± 1.13</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.01</td>
<td>16.15 ± 1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BkFlu</td>
<td>12.36 ± 0.63</td>
<td>4.24 ± 0.51</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.10 ± 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaP</td>
<td>24.00 ± 1.51</td>
<td>3.58 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>14.21 ± 1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBahA</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BghiP</td>
<td>14.10 ± 1.13</td>
<td>9.91 ± 1.28</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8.06 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>14.00 ± 1.18</td>
<td>8.56 ± 0.80</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.00</td>
<td>8.74 ± 1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP AHs</td>
<td>667.44 ± 15.96</td>
<td>288.33 ± 7.28</td>
<td>95.11 ± 3.33</td>
<td>344.46 ± 8.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP AHs</td>
<td>96.50 ± 7.34</td>
<td>50.08 ± 3.00</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.02</td>
<td>55.34 ± 2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH16</td>
<td>129.20 ± 8.11</td>
<td>73.39 ± 4.82</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.01</td>
<td>74.64 ± 2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH4</td>
<td>763.94 ± 16.97</td>
<td>338.41 ± 7.54</td>
<td>95.80 ± 4.51</td>
<td>399.8 ± 9.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LP AHs, including Nap, Acy, Ace, Fl, Phe, Ant, Flu, Pyr, BaA, and Chr; HP AHs, including BbFlu, BkFlu, BaP, DBahA, BghiP, and IP; PAH4, including BaA, Chr, BbFlu, and BaP.*

![Figure 3: Effect of deodorization on BaP.](image1)

![Figure 4: Effect of deodorization on PAH16.](image2)

A broad range of PAHs concentrations in crude vegetable oil. Phe, Flu, and Pyr were the three kinds of compounds with the highest concentrations; levels of 3 analytes were 212.74 ± 8.66, 135.80 ± 9.01, and 71.60 ± 5.48 μg/kg, respectively. DBahA was the lowest one oppositely, with the concentration of 2.84 ± 0.16 μg/kg.

Determination of other intermediary oils (neutralized, bleached, and deodorized oils) revealed that all analytes showed reduction in their levels (see Table 4). Percent reductions of intermediary oils were relative to the initial crude oil levels. As a whole, bleaching with WYACc was the most efficient means to eliminate PAHs in crude oil, while stream
distillation was the worst. BaP contents decreased by 85.1%, 99.7%, and 40.8% in neutralized, bleached, and deodorized oils, respectively. Similarly, PAH$_4$ contents diminished by 43.2%, 98.7%, and 42.2%, respectively, and PAH$_{16}$ contents decreased by 55.7%, 87.5%, and 47.7%, respectively. Previous literatures reported the decrease of PAHs during oil refining process was 27%–82% [11], 82%–84% [12], and 72%–87% [14], and all the oil samples (crude, neutralized, bleached, and deodorized oils) were sampled in the vegetable oil refinery. The differences among technological parameters used in the oil refining process, and the situations of samples or the laboratory conditions in refined process, may result in the small variances among the data obtained by this study and other authors.

A decrease of PAHs amounts is clearly observed from both of the LPAHs and HPAHs, in higher or lower percentage, independent of the treatments adopted. Neutralization and, chiefly, decoloration with WYACc were the more effective steps contributing to the LPAHs decrease, with the removal rates being 56.8% and 85.8%, respectively. For HPAHs, decoloration was the best, with the removal rate of 99.3%. Meanwhile, neutralization was also involved in the reduction of the 5-6 rings analytes, with the HPAHs contents diminished by 48.1%. However, deodorization was only responsible for a slight decrease in the LPAHs and HPAHs contents, with the removal rates being 48.4% and 42.7%, respectively. In comparison, other results published previously showed a more modest reduction in the HPAHs content during oil refining process: 41% [11] and 22% [12]. Meanwhile, activated carbon was not used in any of these studies. The differences of removal rates of LPAHs during deodorization reported by this study (48.4%) and by Czejpek et al. [11] and Larsson et al. [12] (varied between 57% and 92%) may be resulting from the discrepancy of operating conditions applied. Because LPAHs were in greater abundance than HPAHs in tested oil samples, the former had a higher relative contribution to the summed total PAHs. Thus, the PAH$_{16}$ profile and removal behaviour observed in this study were similar to LPAHs (see Table 4).

4. Conclusion

Effects of neutralization, decoloration, and deodorization on PAHs during oil refining process were investigated in this study. The results of the experiment showed that the optimum conditions of neutralization were alkali concentration of 5.1%, additional alkali amount of 0.2%, temperature of 70°C, and time of 40 min. The optimum conditions of decoloration were WYACc amount of 1.0%, temperature of 110°C, and time of 35 min and the optimum conditions of deodorization were temperature of 270°C and time of 120 min. An evident decrease of PAHs contents during oil refining process was observed, and bleaching with activated charcoal was the best to reduce PAHs in crude oil. Under the optimum experimental conditions, BaP contents decreased by 85.1%, 99.7%, and 40.8% in neutralized, bleached, and deodorized oils, respectively. PAH$_4$ contents diminished by 43.2%, 98.7%, and 42.2%, respectively, and PAH$_{16}$ contents decreased by 55.7%, 87.5%, and 47.7%, respectively. Bleaching with WYACc was the most efficient method in the comparison study to absorb PAHs in crude oil, while steam distillation was the worst. Neutralization had a modest influence on 16 analytes, with the removal rate of 55.7%. However, deodorization was only responsible for a slight decrease in the LPAHs and HPAHs contents (removal rates were 48.4% and 42.7%, resp.). It is worth mentioning that the high efficiency of activated charcoal indicates that the use of this material to eliminate PAHs during oil refining process is highly recommended.
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