Preparation of Fe(II)/MOF-5 Catalyst for Highly Selective Catalytic Hydroxylation of Phenol by Equivalent Loading at Room Temperature
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1.Introduction

Dihydroxybenzenes mainly include catechol and hydroquinone and are important organic intermediates for synthesis of carbofuran, propoxur, berberine and epinephrine, vanillin, piperonal, etc. In addition, dihydroxybenzenes are used for dyes, photosensitive materials, electroplating materials, special inks, auxiliaries, etc [1]. As an important fine chemical intermediate product, catechol (CAT) is widely used in the fields of pesticides (about half of the global catechol consumption), spices, and medicine [2].

The process of dihydroxybenzene preparation from direct oxidation of phenol by hydrogen peroxide was a green production process because of simple process, mild reaction conditions, water as by-product, and no environmental pollution [3–6]. However, a catalyst is must required for direct oxidation of phenol by hydrogen peroxide, i.e., hydroxylation of phenol. Thus, it is very important to select a suitable catalyst for phenol hydroxylation. The catalysts for phenol hydroxylation include modified molecular sieves, composite metal oxides, organic metal complexes, etc [7–9]. It has been reported that the phenol conversion of these catalysts for phenol hydroxylation was usually between 40% and 60%, and the catechol selectivity was seldom more than 75% [10–12]. According to Adam et al. [13], the molecular sieve catalyst (Fe/KL) catalyzed phenol hydroxylation with the conversion of 93.4%, but the catechol selectivity was only 77.47%. Zheng et al. [14] prepared the CD/-MOF-cat catalyst which catalyzed phenol hydroxylation with the conversion of 86% and catechol selectivity of 73.7%. Hu [15] synthesized the hexadecyl pyridinium salt of As-Mo-V heteropolyacid, which catalyzed phenol hydroxylation in acetonitrile as patients.
solvent with the catechol selectivity of 87.3%, but the phenol conversion was only 17.1%. Therefore, it is very important to develop a catalyst with high phenol conversion and catechol selectivity.

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are new nanoporous frameworks with periodic network structure formed by self-assembling of nitrogen or oxygen-containing organic ligands and transition metal ions through complexation [16]. MOFs have well-ordered tunable porous structures with a wide range of pore sizes and exceptional textural properties of high surface areas and high pore volumes, which can afford a variety of applications in gas adsorption/separation and heterogeneous catalysis [17]. When MOFs is used as a homogeneous catalyst, it has been used for the following reactions: (a) aerobic oxidation of tetralin [18, 19], (b) phenol hydroxylation [20], (c) oxidative desulfurization of dibenzothiophene [21], (d) Knoevenagel condensation reaction [22, 23], (e) one pot deacetalization-nitroaldehyde reaction [24], (f) Friedel–Craft acylation [25], (g) CO$_2$ cycloaddition of epoxides [26], (h) heck reaction [27], and (i) epoxidation of alkenes [28]. As a typical representative of the metal-organic framework complex family, MOF-5 was a framework with a three-dimensional structure, high specific surface, and well-defined pore structure formed by connecting an inorganic group, [Zn$_4$O], consisting of four zinc and one O to P-phenylene dimethyl [29]. It has much higher specific surface and pore volume than activated carbon, zeolite molecular sieves, and silica. Kaye et al. in Yaghi team [30] reported a MOF-5 with the specific surface area of 2900 m$^2$/g. Perez et al. [31] reported a MOF-5 with the specific surface area of 3362 m$^2$/g. MOF-5 has a shape-selective effect in specific catalytic reactions because of its controllable pore size and orderly pore size [32–35], which is helpful to improve the selectivity of the reactions. MOF-5 was also often used as a carrier to support different catalytic active sites, such as Pt, Au, and Pd, to prepare MOF-based catalysts [36–39] for different heterogeneous catalytic reactions, with good catalytic effect. For example, Liu et al. [40] have reported the catalyst Au/MOF-5 which supported Au on a functionalized MOF-5 by the impregnation method. The results showed that the Au/MOF-5 catalyst displayed high activity and 100% selectivity for propargylamines.

In addition, many transition metals, such as Cu, Mn, Mo, and Fe, have the activity to catalyze phenol hydroxylation, but Fe-supported catalysts are used more frequently. For example, the Fe-supported bentonite catalyst prepared by RESTU [41] and Fe/Al-MCM-41 prepared by Preethi et al. [42] show better catalytic activity than other transition metals. Also, some Fe-supported catalysts showed good selectivity in some oxidation reactions [43, 44].

In this paper, Fe$^{2+}$-supported MOF-5 was synthesized by the direct precipitation method and used for phenol hydroxylation. MOF-5 cannot withstand high temperature above 400°C [45], so Fe(II)/MOF-5 was prepared by equivalent loading of Fe$^{2+}$ at low temperature [46] and used to catalyze hydroxylation of phenol by hydrogen peroxide in order to study the performance of the Fe(II)/MOF-5 catalyst and technological conditions of hydroxylation of phenol by hydrogen peroxide.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents and Apparatuses. All chemicals in this study were directly used without any purification. Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, terephthalic acid, DMF(N,N-dimethylformamide), triethylamine, FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, phenol, hydrogen peroxide (30%), deionized water, and ethyl acetate are all chemically pure.

A 3-mouth flask, EL204 analytical balance, round-bottom flask, beaker, funnel, DF-101S constant temperature magnetic stirrer, liquid separating funnel, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry were used (Shimadzu GCMS 2010-plus).

2.2. Direct Precipitation Synthesis of MOF-5. 3 g of Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 1.275 g of di-2-hydroxyethyl terephthalate were weighed to three flasks. 100 mL of DMF was added. The mixture was well mixed at room temperature until a clear solution was obtained. Triethylamine (4 g, 5.5 mL) was dropwise added under violent agitation. The solution gradually became turbid. After that, the solution was stirred for 3 h and filtered at vacuum. The cake was washed with DMF (3 × 20 mL) and dried at 120°C to form MOF-5 [46].

2.3. Preparation of Fe(II)/MOF-5 by the Equivalent Loading Method. Based on 5 g of MOF-5, the mass of FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O was calculated according to the Fe$^{2+}$ loading of 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 5%, 6%, and 7% (wt.%), respectively. FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O was weighed to a conical bottle containing DMF (the volume of DMF was equal to that of 5 g of MOF-5) and dissolved under agitation at room temperature. 5 g of MOF-5 was added to the solution. Meanwhile, MOF-5 was just immersed in DMF solution. The solution was well mixed and dried at vacuum at 90°C for 2 h to obtain Fe(II)/MOF-5 with the Fe$^{2+}$ loading of 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 5%, 6%, and 7%.

2.4. Hydroxylation of Phenol. 0.5 g of Fe(II)/MOF-5, 9.0 mL of phenol, 160 mL of hydrogen peroxide (30%), and 300 mL of deionized water were taken to a round-bottom flask, where the reaction lasted for 1–3 h under magnetic agitation at 80°C. At the end of the reaction, the solution was filtrated. The filtrate was extracted by ethyl acetate three times. The extract was tested on phenol and dihydroxybenzene contents by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GCMS 2010-plus). The filtrate was distilled to remove all liquids and obtain a small amount of solid (tar) on the flask wall. The tar was weighed. The yield of catechol, hydroquinone, benzodiazepine, and the selectivity of catechol were calculated by the following formula:
where \( m_{\text{phenol}} \) is the mass of phenol before reaction, \( m_{\text{phenol(R)}} \) is the mass of phenol after reaction, \( X_{\text{phenol}} \) is the conversion of phenol, \( S_{\text{CAT}} \) is the selectivity of catechol, \( S_{\text{HQ}} \) is the selectivity of hydroquinone, \( Y_{\text{CAT}} \) is the yield of catechol, \( Y_{\text{HQ}} \) is the yield of hydroquinone, and \( Y_{\text{DHB}} \) is the yield of benzodiazepine.

2.5. Characterization of Catalyst. The catalyst samples were measured using a Rigaku UltimaIV XRD system with Cu-K\( \alpha \) radiation (\( \lambda = 0.1542 \) nm). The target voltage and current were 40 kV and 30 mA, respectively. The 2\( \theta \) scan range and rate were 3°–50° and 8'\( \text{min}^{-1} \), respectively. A FT-165 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer was used to measure the catalyst samples with KBr pellets. Transmission light was used to scan within a range of 4000–400 cm\(^{-1} \). The catalyst samples were observed under a ZEISS Sigma HD field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM). The accelerating voltage was 8 kV. The morphology was observed using the secondary electron detectors in lens. Meanwhile, the element contents in the samples were analyzed using an Oxford X-Max electric energy spectrum meter (X-MaxN). TEM of the samples was obtained using a JEOL JEM-2010 UHR transmission electron microscope with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific surface areas were measured on Belsorp-Mini II analyzer (Japan).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Catalyst Structure Characterization

3.1.1. XRD Analysis. Figure 1 shows the Fe(II)/MOF-5 samples with different Fe\(^{2+} \) loadings (0%, 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 5%, 6%, and 7%, respectively). The main four characteristic peaks of MOF-5 were at 2\( \theta \) = 6.8°, 9.7°, 13.7°, and 15.4° [46]. 2\( \theta \) = 6.8° in the small corner area represented <200> crystal plane, and 2\( \theta \) = 9.7° represented <220> crystal plane [47]. It could be seen from Figure 1 that the characteristic peaks of the prepared MOF-5 were consistent with those reported in the literature. We also found that the characteristic peak intensity of the catalyst decreased with the increase in Fe\(^{2+} \) loading from Figure 1. This was because the widened diffraction peaks resulting from fineness and small grain size of Fe\(^{2+} \)-supported catalyst crystal. But Fe\(^{2+} \) had little influence on the crystal structure of MOF-5 because the characteristic peaks of the sample still existed. However, no obvious characteristic peak of Fe\(^{3+} \) was found in XRD spectra maybe due to the low loading of Fe\(^{2+} \) or the small size and high dispersion of Fe\(^{2+} \) particles. In addition, MOF-5 and Fe(II)/MOF-5 were very different in color. MOF-5 was white, while Fe(II)/MOF-5 was brown. Fe(II)/MOF-5 became darker with the increase in Fe\(^{2+} \) loading.

3.1.2. Infrared Spectrometry Analysis. Figure 2 shows the infrared spectra of Fe(II)/MOF-5 with different Fe(II)/MOF-5 loadings. It could be seen from Figure 2 that MOF-5 and Fe(II)/MOF-5 samples basically had the characteristic peaks. The peak at 750 cm\(^{-1} \) was the stretching vibration of Zn-O in tetrahedral Zn\( _{4} \)O crystal clusters. The peak at 1388 cm\(^{-1} \) and 1580 cm\(^{-1} \) were two strong absorption peaks, i.e., stretching vibration peaks of -C=O in -COO-Zn\(^{2+} \), including asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibration peaks of C=O, respectively, and the peak at 1652 cm\(^{-1} \) was the asymmetrical stretching vibration of the C-O-O bond. It could be seen from comparison of the infrared spectra that the loading of Fe\(^{2+} \) did not influence the chemical structure of MOF-5.

3.1.3. SEM and Energy Dispersive Spectrometry Analysis. Figure 3(a) shows the SEM images of MOF-5, and Figure 3(b) shows the SEM photograph of Fe(II)/MOF-5 with Fe\(^{2+} \) loading of 3%.

Figure 3(a) shows that MOF-5 had a lot of wafer with the size of 50–300 nm and had relatively smooth surface and some voids and channels between particles. Figure 3(b) shows that Fe(II)/MOF-5 with Fe\(^{2+} \) loading of 3% had similar morphology compared with MOF-5; i.e., some of the crystalline blocks are stuck together, but there were had more voids between the crystalline blocks than MOF-5.

Figure 4 is the energy dispersive spectrum of Fe(II)/MOF-5 with 3% Fe\(^{2+} \) loading. It could be seen that Fe atoms present in Fe(II)/MOF-5, showing successful loading of Fe\(^{2+} \)
onto MOF-5. The loading (about 3.4 wt.%) of Fe$^{2+}$ calculated from the EDS (Table 1) is near to the initial adding amount (3 wt.%). The catalyst of Fe(II)/MOF-5 (containing 3% Fe$^{2+}$) was further characterized by element mapping. The results are shown in Figure 5. We found that the catalyst contains C, O, Zn, and Fe elements. And we can clearly see that the catalyst has relatively uniform Fe distribution, indicating uniform loading of Fe ions on the catalysts.

3.1.4. TEM and Pore Size Distribution. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) are TEM images of MOF-5 and Fe(II)/MOF-5 catalyst, respectively. It is clear that MOF-5 and Fe(II)/MOF-5 both had regular channel structures, and the channel width was about 1-2 nm. Also, it could be seen that Fe$^{2+}$ loading did not greatly influence the channel structure of MOF-5. Figure 7 shows the pore size distribution of MOF-5 and Fe(II)/MOF-5 with Fe$^{2+}$ loading of 1%, 3%, and 5%, respectively. Their pore sizes were mainly at 1.2 nm, corresponding to those size observed by TEM.

### Table 1: Element content of Fe(II)/MOF-5 (3% Fe$^{2+}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass (%)</td>
<td>36.81</td>
<td>38.55</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>21.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mol (%)</td>
<td>52.47</td>
<td>40.93</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>5.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Phenol Hydroxylation

3.2.1. Comparison of Catalytic Activity. The results of phenol hydroxylation by hydrogen peroxide which was catalyzed by Fe(II)/MOF-5 catalysts with different Fe$^{2+}$ loadings (1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, 5%, 6%, and 7%, respectively) are shown in Table 2.

It could be concluded from Table 1 that (1) no product was generated when MOF-5 was used as catalyst in a blank experiment, (2) MOF-5 with Fe$^{2+}$ loading of 3% had the best catalytic effect and provided the dihydroxybenzene yield of 53.2% (Figure 8), (3) no hydroquinone was detected, and the selectivity of catechol was 98.6% when the weight of tar was taken into account. With increasing Fe$^{2+}$ loading below 3%,
the yield of dihydroxybenzene increased. However, with increasing Fe\(^{2+}\) loading above 3%, the yield of dihydroxybenzene decreased gradually. This might be because the increase in Fe\(^{2+}\) loading easily led to the rapid decomposition of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) into oxygen and water, resulting in the lower utilization rate of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and lower catalytic efficiency [10, 48]. In addition, it has been found that the amount of bubbles increased with the increasing content of Fe, which indirectly proves that the increase in Fe will accelerate the decomposition of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) into H\(_2\)O and O\(_2\).

No matter what the loading of Fe\(^{2+}\) was, the selectivity of catechol was relatively high (up to 98.6%) in the reaction. This might be due to the small and uniform pore size (1.2 nm) of Fe(II)/MOF-5 (Figures 6 and 7). TEM image showed that the pore size distribution of MOF-5 was uniform, which made small size single molecule phenol or catechol easy to diffuse in the pore. However, hydroxyl groups of hydroquinone could easily interact with each other to form a multimolecular hydrogen bond association product [49] (Figure 9), and this makes it difficult to diffuse in the channels of catalyst. Therefore, those lead to a shape-selection effect [32], and the selectivity of catechol was very high. However, dihydroxybenzene was easily oxidized severely to macromolecular substances, such as tar [50], which causes catechol selectivity below 100%.

Compared with our previous work [51], the catalytic activity of Fe\(^{2+}\)/MOF-5 showed a higher yield of dihydroxybenzene (53.2%) than that of pure Fe\(^{3+}\)/MOF-5 (37%).
due the oxidation of Fe$^{2+}$ to Fe$^{3+}$ by H$_2$O$_2$ in the liquid phase, which resulted in the coexistence of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$, and thus in an increase in dihydroxybenzene yield [52].

3.2.2. Influence of Reaction Temperature. The hydroxylation of phenol by hydrogen peroxide was catalyzed by Fe(II)/MOF-5 with Fe$^{2+}$ loading of 3% at different reaction temperatures. The results are listed in Table 3.

It could be seen from Table 3 that almost no dihydroxybenzene was formed at 50∼60°C. The yield of dihydroxybenzene was 48.4%, and the selectivity of catechol was 85.4% at 70°C; the yield of catechol was 53.2%, and the selectivity of catechol was 98.6% at 80°C. The optimal reaction temperature was 80°C due to too fast decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ above 80°C.

3.2.3. Influence of Reaction Time. Table 4 shows the effect of reaction time on the hydroxylation of phenol catalyzed by Fe(II)/MOF-5 with Fe$^{2+}$ loading of 3%. It could be seen that almost no product was formed at 0.5h; with the increase in reaction time, the yield of catechol first increased and then decreased, and the selectivity of catechol was above than 95%; the produced catechol was easily oxidized to macromolecular substances, such as tar [50], resulting in a decrease in the dihydroxybenzene yield. The solutions had significantly darker color at 3h than at 2h (Figure 10); after complete evaporation of each solution, it was found that the tar content was higher at 3h than that at 2h, indicating that with the increase in reaction time, more tar would be produced. Thus, the optimum reaction time was 2h.

3.2.4. Influence of Catalyst Dosage. Table 5 shows the effect of Fe(II)/MOF-5 catalyst consumption of 3% on the hydroxylation of phenol. With the increase in catalyst consumption, the yield of dihydroxybenzene first increased and then decreased, but the yield decreased at the mass ratio of catalyst to phenol above 0.08. This was because an excess of catalyst accelerated the decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ and reduced the utilization rate of H$_2$O$_2$. The catalyst-to-phenol mass ratio of 0.053 was optimal.

3.3. Catalyst Stability. We did a blank experiment that only added catalyst, water, and hydrogen peroxide to test the stability of catalyst under reaction conditions. The reaction was as follows: 1.0 g of Fe(II)/MOF-5 (3% Fe$^{2+}$) was placed in 160 mL of hydrogen peroxide (30%) and 300 mL of deionized water at 80°C with stirred for 1h, 1.5h, and 2h, respectively. After filtered, they were vacuum dried at 80°C for 2h. The XRD of the samples is, respectively, shown in Figure 11.

Compared with the Fe(II)/MOF-5 without the stability test, the feature peaks of the stability test Fe(II)/MOF-5 decreased.
around 7° disappeared and the characteristic peak around 10° slightly moved to the left, but the feature peaks of 13° and 14° still keep. This may be because MOF-5 interacts with water molecules causing partial phase transitions. However, we found that the XRD peak shape of the catalysts did not change during different test periods (1 h, 1.5 h, and 2 h) after the initial partial phase transitions. This indicates that the catalyst structure will remain stable in the reaction after the initial change.

Iron leaching is a serious problem for many iron-containing mesoporous and microporous materials. In order to check the leaching of the catalysts, after the reaction, a small amount of reaction liquid was taken out for filtration, and then the concentration of iron ions was measured by atomic absorption spectroscope. The leached iron ions ratio is calculated according to its concentration in reaction liquid. We found that the leached iron ions rates are 18% after reaction. In addition to the natural leaching of iron ions, the reason for the high iron ion leaching is the structural change of MOF-5.

3.4. Hot Filtration Test. We also did the hot filtration test of the reaction. After reaction for one hour, the reaction liquid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>CAT (mass %)</th>
<th>HQ yield (mass %)</th>
<th>Dihydroxybenzene yield (mass %)</th>
<th>CAT selectivity (mass %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>85.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4: Effect of reaction time on the phenol hydroxylation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reaction time (h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5: Effect of catalyst dosage on the phenol hydroxylation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of catalyst to phenol (mass %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is removed and filtered out of the catalyst; then, the filtrate is continued to react under the same conditions for one hour. Content of products before and after filtration was analyzed by GC. It was found that the catechol yield after filtration was almost the same as that before filtration. This indicates that although a small amount of iron ions was leached in the reaction process, there was no catalytic activity after filtration.

4. Conclusion

Fe(II)/MOF-5 catalysts were prepared by equivalent loading at low temperature. XRD analysis showed that the addition of Fe ions had little effect on the crystal structure of MOF-5. The results of test by EDS (energy dispersive spectrometry) showed that Fe was indeed loaded to the samples. The characterization by TEM and BET showed that Fe(II)/MOF-5 had a very regular pore structure like MOF-5 and the pore size was about 1.2 nm. It was found from phenol hydroxylation catalyzed by Fe(II)/MOF-5 that Fe²⁺-supported MOF-5 could provide high catalytic activity and catechol selectivity for phenol hydroxylation. The yield of dihydroxybenzene was 53.2%, and the selectivity of catechol was 98.6% at the Fe²⁺ content of 3 wt.% reaction temperature of 80°C, reaction time of 2 h, and catalyst-to-phenol mass ratio of 0.053.
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