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This paper investigates a new wing doubled fractional-order chaos and its control. Firstly, a new fractional-order chaos is proposed, replacing linear term $x$ in the second equation by its absolute value; a new improved system is got, which can make the wing of the original system doubled. Then, circuit diagram is presented for the proposed fractional-order chaos. Furthermore, based on fractional-order stability theory and T-S fuzzy model, a more practical stability condition for fuzzy control of the proposed fractional-order chaos is given as a set of linear matrix inequality (LMI) and the strict mathematical norms of LMI are presented.

Finally, numerical simulations are given to verify the effectiveness of the proposed theoretical results.

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus has the same history as integer calculus, which has appeared 300 years ago. Nevertheless, it has not been widely used in actual project until recent decades [1–4]. Recently, people find that many actual systems can be well described with the help of fractional calculus, especially for memory and hereditary properties of various materials and processes [5–7]. For example, it can be better described with fractional calculus of power system [8], memristor system [9], physical system [10], chemical system [11], and so on.

It has been widely verified that chaos is universal in integer-order chaos. For the advantages of fractional-order chaotic systems in secure communication and signal processing [12–14], many new fractional-order chaotic systems have been proposed and analyzed. For example, Chen et al. proposed a new fractional-order chaotic system and the circuit synchronization of the system was implemented which was very important in theory and practice [15]. Jia et al. studied the chaotic features of the fractional-order Lorenz system and the circuit implementation was presented [16]. A new fractional-order hyperchaotic system based on the Lorenz system was presented and the fractional Hopf bifurcation was investigated in [17]. A new three-dimensional King Cobra face shaped fractional-order chaotic system was designed and the multiscale synchronization of two identical fractional-order King Cobra chaotic systems was derived through feedback control in [18]. In particular, since the potential merits of fractional-order chaos in secure communication and many other fields, fractional-order chaos control and synchronization has become a hot topic.

Many studies indicated that integer-order chaos could be well controlled. Recently, many scholars tried to investigate the control methods for fractional-order chaotic systems. Until now, some control strategies have been designed for the control of fractional-order chaotic systems such as sliding mode control [19], finite time control [20], and pinning control [21], among many others. Fuzzy control is well known as an effective control strategy, and it has attracted more and more scholar's attention. Linear matrix inequality (LMI), as a very important and classic tool, has been widely used in the fuzzy control and synchronization of integer-order chaos. For example, in [22], by employing LMI method, a new fuzzy controller based on T-S fuzzy model is designed for chaos synchronization of two Rikitake generator systems. In [23], a T-S fuzzy receding horizon H-infinity synchronization (TSFRHHS) approach is proposed and a novel set of LMI conditions are given. And the scheme is applied to synchronize Lorenz meteorological chaos. In [24], a robust static output feedback controller is derived in strict LMI terms.
for discrete-time T-S fuzzy systems. However, this control method has been mostly used in integer-order systems up to now. As we all know, the controllability and stability region of fractional-order systems are different with integer-order systems. Can LMI be applied to the fuzzy control of the wing doubled fractional-order chaos? If so, what are the special application conditions and strict mathematical norms? There is almost no relevant literature. Research in this area should be meaningful and challenging.

In light of the above analysis, there are three advantages which make our research attractive. Firstly, a new wing doubled fractional-order chaos is proposed and it’s experimental circuit simulation is presented. Secondly, based on fractional-order calculus, which are listed in Definition 1 and 2, there are two commonly used definitions of fractional calculus operator: Riemann-Liouville definition and Caputo definition, which are listed here for clarity.

Definition 1. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral is described as

$$J_0^q f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{q-1} f(\tau) \, d\tau,$$

where $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $J_0^q$ denotes $q$ order integral operator, $\Gamma(\cdot)$ means the Gamma function, and $\Gamma(q) = \int_0^\infty t^{q-1} e^{-t} \, dt$.

Definition 2. The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative is given by

$$D_0^q f(t) = D_0^n J_0^{n-q} f(t)$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{n-q-1} f(\tau) \, d\tau \right], & n-1 < q < n, \\
\frac{d^n}{dt^n} f(t), & q = n,
\end{array} \right.$$

where $D_0^q$ denotes $q$ order Riemann-Liouville derivative operator.

Definition 3. The Caputo derivative can be written by

$$\frac{c}{q} D_0^q f(t) = J_0^{n-q} D_0^n f(t)$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{n-q-1} f^{(n)}(\tau) \, d\tau, & n-1 < q < n, \\
\frac{d^n}{dt^n} f(t), & q = n,
\end{array} \right.$$

where $D_0^q$ denotes $q$ order Caputo derivative operator, which can be simplified as $D^n$.

The Caputo derivative has a popular application in engineering and is adopted in this paper.

2.2. Stability in Fractional-Order Systems

Theorem 4 (see [25]). Consider the following linear fractional-order system:

$$D^q x = A x, \quad x(0) = x_0$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $q = \{ q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_i, \ldots, q_n \}$ ($0 < q_i \leq 1$). System (4) is asymptotically stable if and only if $|\arg(\lambda_i)| > q\pi/2$ is satisfied for all eigenvalues $\lambda_i$ of matrix $A$. Besides, this system is stable if and only if $|\arg(\lambda_i)| \geq q\pi/2$ is satisfied for all eigenvalues $\lambda_i$ of matrix $A$ and those critical eigenvalues, which satisfy condition $|\arg(\lambda_i)| = q\pi/2$, have geometric multiplicity one.

3. New Wing Doubled System and Circuit Simulation

3.1. System Model. A new three-dimensional fractional-order chaos is written as

$$\frac{d^q x}{dt^q} = \frac{28}{11} x - y z,$$

$$\frac{d^q y}{dt^q} = -10 y + x z + k x,$$

$$\frac{d^q z}{dt^q} = -4 z + x y,$$

where $x$, $y$, and $z$ are the state variables, $k$ is a real number, here, $k = 0.2$, and $q$ is the fractional-order. In order to study the chaotic characteristics of system (5), firstly, it is easy to get the Jacobian matrix:

$$J_0 = \begin{bmatrix}
28/11 & -z & -y \\
z + 0.2 & -10 & x \\
y & x & -4
\end{bmatrix}.$$
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equilibrium points</th>
<th>Their corresponding eigenvalues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(E_1(0, 0, 0))</td>
<td>(\lambda_1 = -10.0000, \lambda_2 = 2.5455, \lambda_3 = -4.0000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_2(-6.4512, 3.1909, -5.1462))</td>
<td>(\lambda_1 = 1.1552 + 5.3713i, \lambda_2 = 1.1552 - 5.3713i, \lambda_3 = -13.7650)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_3(-6.2004, -3.1909, -4.9462))</td>
<td>(\lambda_1 = -11.4914, \lambda_2 = 0.0368, \lambda_3 = 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_4(6.0004, 3.1909, 4.9462))</td>
<td>(\lambda_1 = 1.0344 + 5.3349i, \lambda_2 = 1.0344 - 5.3349i, \lambda_3 = -13.5233)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_5(6.4512, -3.1909, -5.1462))</td>
<td>(\lambda_1 = 1.1552 + 5.3713i, \lambda_2 = 1.1552 - 5.3713i, \lambda_3 = -13.7650)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Besides, the dynamic characteristics of the system are related to the eigenvalues. Eigenvalues are determined by the Jacobian matrix at equilibrium points. System (5) has five equilibrium points; the corresponding eigenvalues are given as in Table 1.

According to Theorem 4, nonstable region is determined by the following conditions:

\[
p_i \geq \min_i \left\{ \arg|\lambda_i| \right\} = \max_i \left\{ \arctan \left( \frac{\text{Im}(\lambda_i)}{\text{Re}(\lambda_i)} \right) \right\} = \arctan \left( \frac{5.3349}{1.0344} \right) = 1.3793
\]

\[
\rightarrow q > 0.8781.
\]

Therefore, the necessary conditions for generating chaos of system (5) is \(q > 0.8781\), and this paper takes \(q = 0.9\). The phase trajectories of system (5) are shown in Figure 1 with the initial value \((x(0), y(0), z(0)) = (20, 0.6, 0.8)\). We can clearly see that the system has two-wing chaotic attractor.

Now we replace the linear term \(x\) in the second equation of system (5) by its absolute value \(|x|\); a new improved system can be got:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^\alpha x}{dt^\alpha} &= \frac{28}{11} x - y z, \\
\frac{d^\alpha y}{dt^\alpha} &= -10 y + x z + k |x|, \\
\frac{d^\alpha z}{dt^\alpha} &= -4 z + x y.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that system (8) has certain symmetry. Removing the absolute value of the second equation, each equation contains the corresponding linear term and the cross product term of the other two state variables. Figure 2 shows the phase trajectories of system (8) when \(k = 0.2\); in this case, we can see that system (8) has four-wing structure and four chaotic attractors, which realize the wing doubled system (5).

3.2. Circuit Simulation. Fractional circuit is generally expressed as follows:

\[
F(s) = \frac{R_1}{sR_1C_1} + \frac{R_2}{sR_2C_2} + \cdots + \frac{R_n}{sR_nC_n} + 1,
\]

where \(n\) is the unit number of basic integration circuit.

According to the literature [26], when the fractional-order is 0.9, (9) can be written as

\[
F(s) = \frac{R_1}{sR_1C_1 + 1} + \frac{R_2}{sR_2C_2 + 1} + \cdots + \frac{R_n}{sR_nC_n + 1},
\]

where \(R_1 = 62.84\ \text{M} \Omega, R_2 = 250\ \text{k} \Omega, R_3 = 2.5\ \text{k} \Omega, C_1 = 1.232\ \mu \text{F}, C_2 = 1.835\ \mu \text{F}, \) and \(C_3 = 1.1\ \mu \text{F}.\) The unit circuit of (10) is shown in Figure 3.

System (5) is rewritten as the following corresponding circuit equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^\alpha x}{dt^\alpha} &= \frac{R_3}{R_2R_4C_0} x - \frac{R_3}{R_1R_4C_0} y z, \\
\frac{d^\alpha y}{dt^\alpha} &= -\frac{R_7R_5}{R_8R_9R_6C_0} y + \frac{R_5}{R_{14}R_6C_0} x z + \frac{R_5}{R_{14}R_6C_0} x, \\
\frac{d^\alpha z}{dt^\alpha} &= -\frac{R_{11}R_9}{R_{18}R_{16}R_{10}C_0} z + \frac{R_4}{R_{16}R_{10}C_0} x y.
\end{align*}
\]

The designed overall circuit diagram is shown in Figure 4. Each channel of the circuit is formed by resistors, capacitors, operational amplifiers, and multiplier. There are three channels, representing the three states of system (5). The circuit parameters of system (5) are designed as follows: \(R_1 = 700\ \text{k} \Omega, R_2 = 275\ \text{k} \Omega, R_3 = 14\ \text{k} \Omega, R_4 = 20\ \text{k} \Omega, R_5 = 7\ \text{k} \Omega, R_6 = 25\ \text{k} \Omega, R_7 = 10\ \text{k} \Omega, R_8 = 10\ \text{k} \Omega, R_9 = 700\ \text{k} \Omega, R_{10} = 350\ \text{k} \Omega, R_{11} = 35\ \text{k} \Omega, \) and \(R_{16} = 40\ \text{k} \Omega; C_0\) is the unit circuit of Figure 3.

Figure 4 presents the simulation results of the fractional-order system (5); we can see that the circuit simulation results in Figures 4(a)–4(c) are in line with the phase diagram in Figures 4(a)–4(c). It indicates that the proposed fractional-order system (5) can be realized by circuit simulation, and the circuit diagram is valid and practical.

Similarly, the designed circuit diagram of system (8) is shown in Figure 5, and the corresponding simulation results are presented in Figure 6. We can also see that the circuit simulation results in Figures 5(a)–5(c) are in line with the phase diagram in Figures 5(a)–5(c). It indicates that the wing doubled system (8) can be easily achieved by circuit simulation. Therefore, the circuit diagram of system (8) is valid and practical.

4. Controller Design and Numerical Simulations

4.1. T-S Fuzzy Model. The fractional-order T-S fuzzy model is given in the following form:
Rule $R_i$ is as follows: IF $z_1(t)$ is $M_{i1}$ and ... and $z_n(t)$ is $M_{in}$

THEN $\frac{d^q x}{dt^q} = A_i x(t) + B_i u(t)$ \hspace{1cm} (i = 1, 2, ..., r), \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $M_{ij}$ \hspace{1cm} (j = 1, 2, ..., r) is the fuzzy set and $r$ is the number of IF-THEN rules, $x(t) \in R^n$ is the state vector, $A_i \in R^{n \times n}$, $z(t) = [z_1(t), z_2(t), ..., z_n(t)]$ are the premise variables, and $q$ is the fractional-order; $u(t)$ is control input.

By adopting single point fuzzification, product inference, and weighted average defuzzification, the final output of the fractional-order T-S fuzzy model is inferred as follows:

$$\frac{d^q x}{dt^q} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(z(t)) A_i x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(z(t)) B_i u(t),$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

where

$$h_i(z(t)) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n} M_{ij}(z_j(t))}{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j=1}^{n} M_{ij}(z_j(t))} \geq 0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(z(t)) = 1$$

with $M_{ij}(z_j(t))$ being the grade of membership of $z_j(t)$ in $M_{ij}$. $h_i(z(t))$ can be regarded as the normalized weights of the IF-THEN rules.

### 4.2 T-S Fuzzy Controller Design Based on LMI

Rule $R_i$ is as follows: IF $z_1(t)$ is $M_{i1}$ and ... and $z_n(t)$ is $M_{in}$

THEN $u(t) = K_i x(t)$ \hspace{1cm} (i = 1, 2, ..., r). \hspace{1cm} (15)

The inferred output of the PDC controller is expressed in the following form:

$$u(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} h_j(z(t)) K_j x(t),$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $K_j$ represents the feedback gain.
Figure 2: Phase trajectories of wing doubled fractional-order chaotic system (8).

Figure 3: The unit circuit of $1/s^{0.9}$.

By substituting (16) into (13), one has

$$\frac{d^{q}x}{dt^{q}} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}(z(t)) A_{i}x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}(z(t)) B_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} h_{j}(z(t)) K_{j}x(t).$$

(17)

In order to simplify (17), it is modified as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}A_{i} = h_{1}A_{1} + h_{2}A_{2} + \cdots + h_{r}A_{r}.$$  

(18)

Considering $\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}(z(t)) = 1$ in (17), (18) can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}A_{i} = h_{1}(h_{1} + h_{2} + \cdots + h_{r}) A_{1} + \cdots + h_{r}(h_{1} + h_{2} + \cdots + h_{r}) A_{r}$$

$$= \left(h_{1}^{2} + h_{1}h_{2} + \cdots + h_{1}h_{r}\right) A_{1} + \cdots + \left(h_{r}h_{1} + h_{r}h_{2} + \cdots + h_{r}^{2}\right) A_{r}$$

(19)

For formula (17), $\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}B_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} h_{j}K_{j}$ can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}B_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} h_{j}K_{j} = (h_{1}B_{1} + h_{2}B_{2} + \cdots + h_{r}B_{r})$$

$$\cdot (h_{1}K_{1} + h_{2}K_{2} + \cdots + h_{r}K_{r})$$

$$= h_{1}B_{1}(h_{1}K_{1} + \cdots + h_{r}K_{r}) + \cdots + h_{r}B_{r}(h_{1}K_{1} + h_{2}K_{2} + \cdots + h_{r}K_{r}) = h_{1}^{2}B_{1}K_{1}$$
+ h_2 B_2 K_2 + \cdots + h_r^2 B_r K_r \\
+ h_1 (h_2 K_2 + h_3 K_3 + \cdots + h_r K_r) B_1 \\
+ h_2 (h_3 K_3 + \cdots + h_r K_r) B_2 + \cdots \\
+ h_i (h_{i+1} K_{i+1} + \cdots + h_r K_r) B_i + \cdots \\
+ h_{r-1} h_r K_r B_{r-1} + h_r h_1 K_1 B_r + h_3 (h_2 K_2 + h_1 K_1) \\
\cdot B_3 + \cdots + h_1 (h_{j-1} K_{j-1} + h_{j-2} K_{j-2} + \cdots + h_1 K_1) \\
\cdot B_j + \cdots + h_r (h_{r-1} K_{r-1} + h_{r-2} K_{r-2} + \cdots + h_1 K_1) \\
\cdot B_r = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i^2 B_i K_i + \sum_{i<j} h_i h_j K_i B_j + \sum_{i} h_i h_j K_i B_i \\
= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} h_i h_j B_i K_j.

Submitting (19) and (20) into (17), one gets

\[
\frac{d^n x}{dt^n} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{r} h_i (z(t)) h_j (z(t)) (A_i + B_i K_j) x(t).
\]

(21)

Before utilizing the T-S fuzzy model into fractional-order systems (5) and (8), make the following assumptions.

**Assumption 5.** According to the boundedness of the system, the scope of the system state can be defined as

\[
\Omega \equiv \{ x(t) \in R \mid \|x(t)\|^n \leq \delta \},
\]

\[
x_i(t) \in [-d_i, d_i], \; d_i > 0 \; (i = 1, 2).
\]

(22)
Assumption 6. The feedback control can be applied to every state of the system. The PDC approach together with the T-S fuzzy model can be adopted to control systems (5) and (8).

Based on the above assumptions, we can use T-S fuzzy model to describe system (5). Suppose that $x_1(t) \in [-d_1, d_1]$, $x_2(t) \in [-d_2, d_2]$, where $d_1 = 15$ and $d_2 = 10$.

Thus, the T-S fuzzy model can be presented as follows:

$$R^1: \text{IF } x_1(t) \text{ is } M_1(x_1(t)), \text{ THEN } d^q \frac{dx}{dt} = A_1x(t) + B_1u(t),$$

$$R^2: \text{IF } x_1(t) \text{ is } M_2(x_1(t)), \text{ THEN } d^q \frac{dx}{dt} = A_2x(t) + B_2u(t),$$

$$R^3: \text{IF } x_2(t) \text{ is } M_3(x_2(t)), \text{ THEN } d^q \frac{dx}{dt} = A_3x(t) + B_3u(t),$$

$$R^4: \text{IF } x_2(t) \text{ is } M_4(x_2(t)), \text{ THEN } d^q \frac{dx}{dt} = A_4x(t) + B_4u(t),$$

where $x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t)]^T$, $u(t) = [u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t)]^T$, $u_3(t)$,

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{28}{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0.2 & -10 & 15 \\ 0 & 15 & -4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{28}{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0.2 & -10 & -15 \\ 0 & -15 & -4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{28}{11} & 0 & -10 \\ 0.2 & -10 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{28}{11} & 0 & 10 \\ 0.2 & -10 & 0 \\ -10 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$B_1 = B_2 = B_3 = B_4 = I_{3 \times 3}$ (I is a unit matrix).
The membership functions of fuzzy sets are taken as follows:

\[
M_1(x_1(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{x_1(t)}{d_1}\right),
\]

\[
M_2(x_1(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{x_1(t)}{d_1}\right),
\]

\[
M_3(x_2(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{x_2(t)}{d_2}\right),
\]

\[
M_4(x_2(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{x_2(t)}{d_2}\right).
\]

Thus, the fractional-order system (5) based on T-S fuzzy model can be expressed as

\[
\frac{d^q x}{dt^q} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_i(z(t)) \left(A_i x(t) + B_i u(t)\right). \tag{25}
\]

According to the PDC method, the controller is given as follows:

\[
R_1: \text{IF } x_1(t) \text{ is } M_1(x_1(t)), \text{ THEN } u(t) = K_1 x(t),
\]

\[
R_2: \text{IF } x_1(t) \text{ is } M_2(x_1(t)), \text{ THEN } u(t) = K_2 x(t),
\]

\[
R_3: \text{IF } x_2(t) \text{ is } M_3(x_2(t)), \text{ THEN } u(t) = K_3 x(t),
\]

\[
R_4: \text{IF } x_2(t) \text{ is } M_4(x_2(t)), \text{ THEN } u(t) = K_4 x(t).
\]

Figure 6: Circuit diagram to realize the new wing doubled fractional-order chaotic system (8).
The overall controller is obtained as

\[ u(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} h_j(z(t)) K_j x(t). \]  

(26)

Submitting (26) into (25), one can get the fractional-order system:

\[ \frac{d^q x}{dt^q} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_i(z(t)) h_j(z(t)) \left( A_i + B_j K_j \right) x(t). \]  

(27)

Similarly, for wing doubled system (8), \( d_1 = 30 \) and \( d_2 = 20 \). One has

\[ A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 28/11 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -10 & -30 \\ 0 & -30 & -4 \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 28/11 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -30 & -4 \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 28/11 & 0 & -20 \\ 0 & -10 & 0 \\ 20 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 28/11 & 0 & 20 \\ 0 & -10 & 0 \\ -20 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}. \]  

To stabilize the fractional-order system (27), the following lemma and theorem are given.

**Lemma 7** (see [27]). For the arbitrary state variable \( x = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]^T \), if there exists a symmetric positive definite real matrix \( P \) satisfying

\[ J = x^T P \left( d^q x / dt^q \right) \leq 0 \]

(\( x^T P (d^q x / dt^q) \) is called \( J \) function), then the fractional-order system (27) is globally asymptotically stable. Condition
Journal of Control Science and Engineering

\[ J = x^T P \frac{d^q x}{dt^q} \leq 0 \] can be equally written as \[ J_0 = x^T P \frac{d^q x}{dt^q} + \left( \frac{d^q x}{dt^q} \right)^T Px \leq 0. \]

**Theorem 8.** If there exist positive definite matrices \( P, E \) and \( F \) as well as a positive constant \( \eta \), suppose that \( \lambda_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) \) and \( \xi_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) \) are the eigenvalues of matrices \( PEE^T P \) and \( F^T F \), respectively. If \( \lambda_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) > 0 \), \( \xi_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) > 0 \), and we select the controller gain matrix \( K_i \) which satisfies the following inequalities, the fractional-order system (27) is globally asymptotically stable:

\[
G_{ii}^T P + PG_{ii} + \eta PEE^T P + \eta^{-1} F^T F < 0 \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4),
\]

\[
G_{ij}^T P + PG_{ij} + \eta PEE^T P + \eta^{-1} F^T F < 0 \quad (i < j < 4),
\]

where \( G_{ii} = A_i + B_i K_i \) and \( G_{ij} = ((A_i + B_i K_j) + (A_j + B_j K_i))/2 \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 7, select \( J_0 = x^T P \frac{d^q x}{dt^q} + \left( \frac{d^q x}{dt^q} \right)^T Px \) as \( f \) function of system (27):

\[
J_0 = x^T P \frac{d^q x}{dt^q} + \left( \frac{d^q x}{dt^q} \right)^T Px
= x^T P \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} h_i h_j \left( A_i + B_i K_j \right) x
+ \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} h_i h_j \left( A_i + B_i K_j \right)^T Px
= \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} h_i h_j x^T \left( \left( A_i + B_i K_j \right)^T P + P \left( A_i + B_i K_j \right) \right) x
+ \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=i+1}^{4} h_i h_j x^T \left( \left( A_i + B_i K_j \right)^T P + P \left( A_i + B_i K_j \right) \right) x
+ \sum_{i<j}^{4} h_i h_j x^T \left( \left( A_i + B_i K_j \right)^T P + P \left( A_i + B_i K_j \right) \right) x.
\]
According to \( \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i<j} h_i h_j = 1 \) in (14), so there is

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i<j}^{4} h_i h_j x^T \left[ (A_i + B_i K_j)^T P + P (A_i + B_i K_j) \right] x \\
+ \sum_{i<j}^{4} h_i h_j x^T \left[ (A_i + B_i K_j)^T P + P (A_i + B_i K_j) \right] x \\
= \sum_{i<j}^{4} h_i h_j x^T \left[ \left( (A_i + B_i K_j) + (A_j + B_j K_i) \right)^T P \\
+ P \left( (A_i + B_i K_j) + (A_j + B_j K_i) \right) \right] x \\
= 2 \sum_{i<j}^{4} h_i h_j x^T \left[ \frac{(A_i + B_i K_j) + (A_j + B_j K_i)}{2} \right]^T P \\
+ P \left[ \frac{(A_i + B_i K_j) + (A_j + B_j K_i)}{2} \right] x.
\end{align*}
\]

(32)

Selecting \( G_{ii} = A_i + B_i K_i \), \( G_{ij} = \frac{(A_i + B_i K_j) + (A_j + B_j K_i)}{2} \) and under conditions (29) and (30), one gets

\[
J_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_i^2 x^T (G_{ii}^T P + P G_{ii}) x \\
+ 2 \sum_{i<j}^{4} h_i h_j x^T (G_{ij}^T P + P G_{ij}) x < x \\
- \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_i^2 x^T (\eta P E E^T P + \eta^{-1} F F^T) x \\
+ 2 \sum_{i<j}^{4} h_i h_j x^T (\eta P E E^T P + \eta^{-1} F F^T) x \right\}. 
\]

(33)

According to Theorem 4, the eigenvalues of the matrices \( P E E^T P \) and \( F F^T F \) are \( \lambda_i \) (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) > 0 and \( \xi_i \) (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) > 0, respectively, so the matrices \( P E E^T P \) and \( F F^T F \)
both are positive definite matrix; that is, \( PEE^T P + F^T F > 0 \); since \( \eta > 0 \), one gets

\[
\eta PEE^T P + \eta^{-1} F^T F > 0. \tag{34}
\]

Considering (34) for (33), one can get

\[
J_0 < - \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{4} h_i^2 x^T (\eta PEE^T P + \eta^{-1} F^T F) x 
+ 2 \sum_{i<j} h_i h_j x^T (\eta PEE^T P + \eta^{-1} F^T F) x \right\} < 0. \tag{35}
\]

Therefore, when inequalities (29) and (30) hold, one has

\[
J_0 = x^T P \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} + \left( \frac{d^1 x}{dt^1} \right)^T P x \leq 0. \tag{36}
\]

This shows that the fractional system (27) is globally asymptotically stable. This completes the proof.

According to Schur complement theorem, inequalities (29) and (30) can be transformed into the problem of solving linear matrix inequalities (LMIs).

From (29), we can obtain

\[
A_i^T P + K_i^T B_i P + PA_i + PB_i K_i + \eta PEE^T P + \eta^{-1} F^T F < 0. \tag{37}
\]

Multiplying \( P^{-1} \) both in the left and in the right in (37), one gets

\[
P^{-1} A_i^T + P^{-1} K_i^T B_i + A_i P^{-1} + B_i K_i P^{-1} + \eta EE^T + \eta^{-1} F^T F P^{-1} < 0. \tag{38}
\]

In (38), selecting \( Q = P^{-1} \) and \( M_i = K_i P^{-1} \), we can obtain

\[
QA_i^T + A_i Q + M_i^T B_i^T + B_i M_i + \eta EE^T + \eta^{-1} Q F^T F Q < 0. \tag{39}
\]

The controller gain is \( K_i = M_i Q^{-1} \).
From (30), we can obtain
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( A_i^T P + K_j^T B_j^T P + A_i^T P + K_i^T B_i^T P + PA_i + PB_j K_j \right) + \eta EE^T P + \eta^{-1} F^T F < 0.
\] (40)

Multiplying $P^{-1}$ both in the left and in the right in (40), we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( P^{-1} A_i^T + P^{-1} K_j^T B_j^T + P^{-1} A_i^T + P^{-1} K_i^T B_i^T + A_i P^{-1} \\
+ B_j K_j P^{-1} + A_j P^{-1} + B_j K_i P^{-1} \right) + \eta EE^T \\
+ \eta^{-1} P^{-1} F^T F P^{-1} < 0.
\] (41)

Similarly, (41) can be written as
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} \left( QA_i^T + A_i^T Q + M_i^T B_i^T + B_j M_j + QA_i^T + A_i^T Q + M_j^T B_j^T + B_i M_i \right) + \eta EE^T \\
FQ
\end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
FQ \\
\eta^{-1} I
\end{bmatrix} < 0.
\] (43)

The controller gain is $K_j = M_j Q^{-1}$.

In (41), selecting $Q = P^{-1}$, $M_i = K_i P^{-1}$, and $M_j = K_j P^{-1}$, we can obtain
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( QA_i^T + A_i^T Q + M_i^T B_i^T + B_j M_j + QA_i^T + A_i^T Q + M_j^T B_j^T + B_i M_i \right) + \eta EE^T \\
+ M_j^T B_j^T + B_i M_i \right) + \eta EE^T + \eta^{-1} Q F^T F Q < 0.
\] (42)

Similarly, (42) can be written as
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} \left( QA_i^T + A_i^T Q + M_i^T B_i^T + B_j M_j + QA_i^T + A_i^T Q + M_j^T B_j^T + B_i M_i \right) + \eta EE^T \\
FQ
\end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
FQ \\
\eta^{-1} I
\end{bmatrix} < 0.
\] (44)

**Figure 11:** State trajectories of closed-loop system (8) with controller (26).
For a given positive number \( \eta > 0 \), we can use Matlab LMI toolbox to solve the linear matrix inequalities (43) and (44) to obtain the positive definite matrix \( P \) and the controller gain \( K_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)).

4.3 Simulation Results. For fractional-order system (5), take \( d_1 = 15, d_2 = 10, \) and \( \eta = 10000 \). According to Theorem 4, select positive definite matrices:

\[
E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

Through Matlab 7.0 LMI toolbox, the positive definite matrix \( P \) and the feedback controller gain \( K_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)) can be obtained:

\[
P = \begin{bmatrix} -9.2609 & -0.0583 & 0.1021 \\ -0.1417 & 3.2846 & -22.0523 \\ -0.1021 & -7.9477 & -2.7154 \\ -9.2609 & -0.1217 & 0.0497 \\ -0.0783 & 3.2846 & 11.4239 \\ -0.0497 & 18.5761 & -2.7154 \\ -9.2609 & -0.1278 & -0.2628 \\ -0.0722 & 3.2846 & -0.0017 \\ 0.2628 & 0.0017 & -2.7154 \\ -9.2609 & -0.1234 & -0.1296 \\ -0.0766 & 3.2846 & -0.0068 \\ 0.1296 & 0.0068 & -2.7154 \end{bmatrix},
\]

Substituting the value of \( K_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)) into (26), the desired feedback controller \( u(t) \) can be got. Figure 8 shows the state trajectories of system (5) without controller; the system states are unstable. Figure 9 illustrates the state trajectories of closed-loop system (5) with controller (26), and the states of system (5) globally converge to the equilibrium point which implies the effectiveness of the designed controller.

Similarly, for wing doubled system (8), select \( d_1 = 30, d_2 = 20, \) and \( \eta = 10000 \). According to Theorem 4, take positive definite matrices:

\[
E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

Through Matlab LMI toolbox, the positive definite matrix \( P \) and the feedback controller gain \( K_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)) can be obtained:

\[
P = \begin{bmatrix} -9.2609 & 0.0056 & 0.0005 \\ -0.0056 & 3.2846 & -30.4410 \\ -0.0005 & -29.5590 & -2.7154 \\ -9.2609 & -0.0091 & 0.0002 \\ 0.0091 & 3.2846 & 30.1005 \\ -0.0002 & 29.8995 & -2.7154 \\ -9.2609 & -0.0000 & -0.7819 \\ 0.0000 & 3.2846 & -0.0000 \\ 0.7819 & 0.0000 & -2.7154 \\ -9.2609 & -0.0000 & 0.0255 \\ 0.0000 & 3.2846 & -0.0000 \\ -0.0255 & 0.0000 & -2.7154 \end{bmatrix},
\]

Substituting the value of \( K_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)) into (26), the controller can be got. Figure 10 shows the state trajectories of system (8) without controller; the system states are unstable. Figure 11 illustrates the state trajectories of system (8) with controller (26). We can see that the controller can be applied to the more complicated wing doubled chaotic system (8) with short time and small overshoot, which shows the speed ability and robustness of the control method.

5. Conclusions

A new three-dimensional fractional-order chaos was proposed in this paper. When the linear term \( x \) in the second equation of the system was replaced by its absolute value, the new system can make the wing of the original system doubled, and the circuit diagram was implemented. Based on the T-S fuzzy model and fractional-order stability theory, a more practical stability condition for fuzzy control of the proposed wing doubled fractional-order chaos was given as a set of LMI and the strict mathematical derivation was presented. Numerical simulation results were consistent with theoretical results.

More and better methods for the control of fractional-order chaotic systems should be studied. The authors will continue the study of application condition of integer-order chaotic theory into fractional-order chaotic systems. There will be focus on the stability of fractional-order chaotic systems.
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