Hopf Bifurcation Control in a FAST TCP and RED Model via Multiple Control Schemes
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We focus on the Hopf bifurcation control problem of a FAST TCP model with RED gateway. The system gain parameter is chosen as the bifurcation parameter, and the stable region and stability condition of the congestion control model are given by use of the linear stability analysis. When the system gain passes through a critical value, the system loses the stability and Hopf bifurcation occurs. Considering the negative influence caused by Hopf bifurcation, we apply state feedback controller, hybrid controller, and time-delay feedback controller to postpone the onset of undesirable Hopf bifurcation. Numerical simulations show that the hybrid controller is the most sensitive method to delay the Hopf bifurcation with identical parameter conditions. However, nonlinear state feedback control and time-delay feedback control schemes have larger control parameter range in the Internet congestion control system with FAST TCP and RED gateway. Therefore, we can choose proper control method based on practical situation including unknown conditions or parameter requirements. This paper plays an important role in setting guiding system parameters for controlling the FAST TCP and RED model.

1. Introduction

The number of Internet users and the volume of contents through have grown significantly in recent years. Imbalance between fast-growing transport demand and limited network supply has resulted in severe congestion in many transport networks [1]. Traditional TCP protocols, for example, TCP New Reno and TCP Tahoe, use slow start, congestion avoidance, and fast retransmit algorithms [2] to adjust the source rate based on simple window based congestion control. The active queue management (AQM) schemes are implemented in the routers of communication networks to react to incipient congestion before the queue overflows [3]. Therefore, the best treatment of the congestion occurs when TCP and AQM algorithms work together [4].

Traditional congestion algorithms do not have large bandwidth-delay products for high speed networks. This has motivated several congestion control algorithms of high speed system, including HSTCP, STCP, FAST TCP, and BIC TCP [5]. FAST TCP is a congestion control algorithm for high speed networks with large bandwidth-delay products and uses the queuing delay as congestion measure, which enables FAST TCP to detect congestion without packet loss [6]. Many research efforts have been devoted to the analysis of the stability property of FAST TCP. In [7], inherently input-to-state stability as well as globally asymptotic stability without any specific conditions on the tuning parameter $\alpha$ or update gain $\gamma$ for FAST TCP operation has been proved by Razumikhin-type nonlinear small gain theorem. In [8–10], authors show sufficient conditions for the global asymptotic stability of FAST TCP in a single-link single-source network and multiple-link network with feedback delays. Then, stability criterion for double time-delay FAST TCP systems has proved the local asymptotical stability with the existence of delay when the round trip propagation delay is smaller than the queuing delay [11].

However, several nonlinear dynamical behaviors, such as periodic oscillatory behavior, chaos, and bifurcation, are
harmful to system [12]. Thus, it is necessary to investigate a
variety of control schemes to delay these undesired behav-
iors. In general, bifurcation control refers to the control of
bifurcation properties of nonlinear dynamic system, thereby
resulting in some desired output behaviors of the system [13].
The author in [14] has proposed a hybrid controller to delay
the onset of the Hopf bifurcation in a wireless access network.
And paper [13] has used a state feedback method to control
the bifurcation for a novel congestion control model. In [15],
an impulsive control strategy has been applied to the FAST
TCP and RED model for controlling bifurcation. A nonlinear
feedback controller has been designed to control the Hopf
bifurcation behavior and the amplitude of limit cycle emerg-
ing from the modified Lorenz system in [16]. In this paper,
three controllers, state feedback controller [13], hybrid con-
troller [17,18], and time-delay feedback controller [19–21],
are applied to FAST TCP and RED model, respectively, for post-
poning the occurrence of Hopf bifurcation. The nonlinear
state feedback controller can control the Hopf bifurcation to
achieve desirable behaviors. It has advantage of not requiring
any prior knowledge but the natural equilibrium point of the
system. The time-delay feedback control which does not need
the steady state of the congestion algorithm and changes the
original algorithm is applied to the congestion control algo-
rum [16]. The hybrid control strategy can be applied to any
component of a several-dimensional dynamical system and
is still effective even when the system becomes chaotic [22].
Therefore, this paper compares the above three control stra-
geties to determine an appropriate control algorithm which can
achieve the best stability in FAST TCP and RED model.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Some
results in uncontrolled system are concluded in Section 2.
In Section 3, controlled systems with different control methods
are introduced, and the existence of the Hopf bifurcation is
proved by linear analysis. Section 4 gives some corresponding
simulations to confirm the theoretical results. Finally, conclu-
sion is demonstrated in Section 5.

2. Hopf Bifurcation in Fast TCP and
RED Model

In this section, we show the main results of Hopf bifurcation
for the FAST TCP model in [10]. The model can be described
by the following nonlinear differential equations:

$$
\frac{\dot{w}(t)}{\alpha} = \gamma \left( \frac{\alpha}{d + q(t)} - \frac{q(t)}{(d + q(t))^2} w(t) \right),
$$

$$
\frac{\dot{p}(t)}{c} = \frac{w(t - \tau^f) - c}{d + (p(t - R_0)) - c},
$$

where $w(t)$ denotes congestion window of the source, $c$ is the
transmission capacity, $p(t)$ is the queuing delay, and $q(t) = p(t - \tau^b)$ is the queuing delay observed by the source. The
round trip time $R(t) = \tau^f + \tau^b$, where $\tau^f$ is the forward
delay from source to link and $\tau^b$ is the backward delay in
the feedback path from link to source, and $d$ represents the
constant round trip propagation time defined as the

minimum achievable round trip delay. Thus, $R(t) = d + p(t - \tau^b)$. The parameter $\alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$) is the number of the packets
that each source attempts to maintain in the network buffers
at equilibrium point; $\gamma$ is the source control parameter with
$\gamma \in (0,1]$. The congestion window $w(t)$ and the queuing delay
$p(t)$ are nonnegative.

Equation (1) can be written as follows with only one delay:

$$
\frac{\dot{w}_1(t)}{\alpha} = \gamma \left( \frac{\alpha}{d + p_1(t - R_0)} - \frac{p_1(t - R_0)}{(d + p_1(t - R_0))^2} w_1(t) \right),
$$

$$
\frac{\dot{p}_1(t)}{c} = \frac{w(t)}{d + (p_1(t - R_0)) - c},
$$

and the equilibrium point is

$$
\begin{align*}
&\frac{\alpha R_0}{(R_0 - d)}, \\
&\frac{\alpha c}{c} = R_0 - d.
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1 (see [1]). All roots of the characteristic equation $\lambda + 
\mu + \omega e^{-\lambda} = 0$, where $\mu$ and $\omega$ are real, have negative real parts
if and only if (1) $\mu > 1$ and $-\mu < \omega < \sqrt{\mu^2 + \xi^2}$ where $\xi = 
-\mu \tan \xi, 0 < \xi < \pi$, if $\mu \neq 0$ and $\xi = \pi/2$ if $\mu = 0$.

Theorem 2 (see [10]). For system (2), one knows that the
equilibrium point is asymptotically stable when $\alpha > \alpha_0$ and
is unstable when $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$. In addition, system (2) exhibits a
Hopf bifurcation when $\alpha = \alpha_0$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
&\gamma = \frac{w_0(w_0 - \sin(w_0))}{\cos(w_0)}, \\
&\alpha_0 = \frac{cd(\omega_0 \sin(w_0) - 1)}{w_0^2 - 2\omega_0 \sin(w_0) + 1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $w_0 \in (2k\pi, 2k\pi + \pi/2), k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

3. Hopf Bifurcation in Controlled System

In this section, we add three control methods to the original
model [10] for postponing the Hopf bifurcation in FAST TCP
model of the Internet congestion control system.

First, we give state feedback control model:

$$
\frac{\dot{w}}{\alpha} = \gamma \left( \frac{\alpha}{d + p(t - R_0)} - \frac{p(t - R_0)}{(d + p(t - R_0))^2} w(t) \right)
- \frac{h_1}{R_0} (w(t) - w_0) - \frac{h_2}{R_0} (w(t) - w_0)^2
- \frac{h_3}{R_0} (w(t) - w_0)^3,
$$

$$
\frac{\dot{p}}{c} = \frac{w(t)}{d + p(t - R_0) - c},
$$

where $w(t)$ and $p(t)$ are the congestion window and the
queuing delay at the source, respectively. $\alpha$ is the feedback
control gain, $\beta$ is the time delay of the feedback control,
and $\gamma$ is the feedback control parameter. The parameter
$\gamma$ ($\gamma > 0$) is the number of the packets that each
source attempts to maintain in the network buffers
at equilibrium point. The constant round trip time $R(t) = d + p(t - \tau^b)$ is the round trip delay observed by
the source, and $\tau^f$ is the forward delay from source to link
and $\tau^b$ is the backward delay in the feedback path from
link to source. The time delay $\tau^f$ and $\tau^b$ are given by

$$
\tau^f = d + (p(t - R_0)) - c,
$$

$$
\tau^b = p(t - R_0) - c.
$$
hybrid control model:
\[
\dot{w}(t) = ky \left( \frac{\alpha}{d + p(t-R_0)} - \frac{p(t-R_0)}{(d + p(t-R_0))^2} w(t) \right) + (1-k)(w(t)-w_0),
\]
\[
\dot{p}(t) = \frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{w(t)}{d + p(t-R_0)} - c \right),
\]
and time-delay control model:
\[
\dot{w}(t) = \gamma \left( \frac{\alpha}{d + p(t-R_0)} - \frac{p(t-R_0)}{(d + p(t-R_0))^2} w(t) \right)
- a(w(t)-w(t-R_0)),
\]
\[
\dot{p}(t) = \frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{w(t)}{d + p(t-R_0)} - c \right),
\]
where \(h_1, h_2,\) and \(h_3\) are negative feedback gain parameters, \(k\) is the hybrid control parameter, and \(a\) is time-delay feedback gain. The onset of Hopf bifurcation can be delayed by choosing appropriate parameters.

Secondly, we regard system (5) as an example for analysis. Note that the system equilibrium point is only related to \(h_1.\) It is clear that the controlled system has the same equilibrium point as the original system (2).

Let \(y_1(t) = w-w_0\) and \(y_2(t) = p-p_0.\) Linearizing system (5) about the equilibrium point, we get
\[
\dot{y}_1(t) = \left( \frac{-\gamma p_0}{R_0^2} - \frac{h_1}{R_0} \right) y_1(t) - \frac{\gamma \alpha d}{p_0 R_0} y_2(t-R_0),
\]
\[
\dot{y}_2(t) = \frac{1}{R_0 c} y_1(t) - \frac{1}{R_0} y_2(t-R_0),
\]
the characteristic equation of which is
\[
s^2 + \left( \frac{\gamma p_0}{R_0^2} + \frac{h_1}{R_0} \right) s + \left( \frac{h_1}{R_0^2} + \frac{\gamma}{R_0^2} + \frac{s}{R_0} \right) e^{-s R_0} = 0.
\]
Letting $\lambda = sR_0$, it becomes

$$
\lambda^2 + \lambda e^{-\lambda} + \frac{\gamma a}{\alpha + cd} \lambda + y e^{-\lambda} + h_1 \lambda + h_1 e^{-\lambda} = 0. \quad (10)
$$

Similar to [10], let $\alpha \to +\infty$ and we get

$$
(\lambda + y + h_1) (\lambda + e^{-\lambda}) = 0. \quad (11)
$$

So, we can be sure that $\lambda = -y + h_1 < 0$, or

$$
\lambda + e^{-\lambda} = 0. \quad (12)
$$

According to Lemma 1, we know that the real parts in all the roots of (11) are negative. In this case, the equilibrium point is asymptotically stable. Then, when the value of $\alpha$ from $+\infty \to 0$, the critical value $\alpha_0$ appears. And an imaginary root $\lambda = i\omega_0$ ($\omega_0 > 0$) would occur for $\alpha = \alpha_0$.

Then, we can easily obtain

$$
\frac{d\lambda}{d\alpha} = \frac{-\gamma cd \lambda (\lambda + ay + h_1)}{(\alpha + cd) (\lambda^2 + \lambda^2 + (\gamma + 2h_1) \lambda + (y + h) (2 - h_1) \lambda + \gamma a (\lambda^2 + (\lambda + h_1) \lambda + y + h) + h_1 (y + h_1))}. \quad (13)
$$

From (10), we have

$$
e^{-\lambda} = \frac{\lambda (-h_1 - \lambda) (\alpha + cd) - \gamma a \lambda}{(\alpha + cd) (\lambda + y + h_1)}. \quad (14)
$$

So, (13) can be written as

$$
\frac{d\lambda}{d\alpha} = \frac{-\gamma cd \lambda (\lambda + ay + h_1)}{(\alpha + cd) (\lambda^2 + \lambda^2 + (\gamma + 2h_1) \lambda + (y + h) (2 - h_1) \lambda + \gamma a (\lambda^2 + (\lambda + h_1) \lambda + y + h) + h_1 (y + h_1))}. \quad (15)
$$
Substituting $\lambda = i w_0$ ($w > 0$) into the above equation, we have

$$\frac{d\lambda}{d\alpha}\bigg|_{\lambda = i w_0} \leq 0. \quad (16)$$

When $\alpha < \alpha_0$, the root of (10) has positive real part. Therefore, we can get that system (5) is stable for $\alpha > \alpha_0$.

Substituting $\lambda = i w_0$ ($w_0 > 0$) into (10), we get

$$\gamma \sin w_0 = w_0 \cos w_0 + h_1 w_0 + h_1 \sin w_0 + \frac{\gamma \alpha w_0}{\alpha_0 + cd},$$

$$\gamma \cos w_0 = w_0^2 - w_0 \sin w_0 + h_1 \cos w_0. \quad (17)$$

Obviously, we can know that

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{cd \left(w_0 \sin w_0 - h_1 \cos w_0 - 1\right)}{w_0^2 - 2w_0 \sin w_0 + 1},$$

$$\gamma = \frac{w_0^2 - w_0 \sin w_0 - h_1 \cos w_0}{\cos w_0}. \quad (18)$$

From (10),

$$\frac{d\Delta(\lambda, \alpha)}{d\lambda} = 2\alpha + e^{-\lambda} - \lambda e^{-\lambda} + \frac{\gamma \alpha}{\alpha + cd} - \gamma e^{-\lambda} + h_1 - h_1 e^{-\lambda}. \quad (19)$$

So,

$$d\Delta(\lambda, \alpha)\bigg|_{\lambda = i w_0} = \left(\cos(w_0) - w_0^2 + \frac{\gamma \alpha_0}{\alpha_0 + cd} - h_1 \cos(w_0) + h_1\right)$$

$$+ i \left(2w_0 - \sin(w_0) + \frac{\gamma \alpha_0 w_0}{\alpha_0 + cd} + h_1 \sin(w_0)\right) \neq 0. \quad (20)$$

Following [10] and the above equations, some results hold:

1. When $\alpha = \alpha_0$, an imaginary root $\lambda = i w_0$ ($w_0 > 0$) would occur and other roots of (10) have strictly
negative real parts. And the transversality condition holds as well.

(2) When \(\alpha < \alpha_0\), the linearized system has unstable roots with positive real parts.

**Theorem 3.** The equilibrium point of system (5) is asymptotically stable when \(\alpha > \alpha_0\) and unstable when \(0 < \alpha < \alpha_0\), when \(\alpha = \alpha_0\), it exhibits a Hopf bifurcation.

The Taylor expansion of (5) about the equilibrium point is

\[
\dot{y}_1(t) = c_1 y_1(t) + c_2 y_2(t - R_0) + c_3 y_1(t) y_2(t - R_0) + 
+ c_4 y_2^2(t - R_0) + \frac{h_2}{R_0} y_1^2(t) - \frac{h_3}{R_0} y_1^2(t) - \cdots,
\]

\[
\dot{y}_2(t) = c_7 y_1(t) + c_8 y_2(t - R_0) + c_9 y_1(t) y_2(t - R_0) + c_{10} y_2^2(t - R_0) + c_{11} y_1(t) y_2^2(t - R_0) + c_{12} y_3^3(t - R_0) + \cdots,
\]

(21)

where \(c_1 = -\gamma c R_0^2 - h_1/R_0, c_2 = -\gamma c_2 R_0^2, c_3 = \gamma(\alpha - c d)/c R_0, c_4 = 2\gamma c d / R_0^3, c_5 = \gamma(2c_2 - \alpha)/c R_0^4, c_6 = -3\gamma c d / R_0^4, c_7 = 1/c R_0, c_8 = -1/R_0, c_9 = -1/c R_0^2, c_{10} = 1/R_0^2, c_{11} = 1/c R_0^3, c_{12} = -1/R_0^3\).

Then, let \(\alpha = \alpha_0 + \mu, y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t))^T\), and \(y_2(\theta) = y(t + \theta)\) for \(\theta \in [-R_0, 0]\); then the Hopf bifurcation value of (12) is \(\mu = 0\). For initial condition \(\varphi(\theta) = (\varphi_1(\theta), \varphi_2(\theta))^T \in C[-R_0, 0]\). Equation (21) can be written as

\[
\dot{y}(t) = L_\mu (y_1(t)) + F(y_1(t), \mu),
\]

\[
L_\mu \varphi = B_1 \varphi(0) + B_2 \varphi(-R_0),
\]

(22)

where \(B_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ c_7 \end{array}\right)\) and \(B_2 = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ c_8 \end{array}\right)\).
According to the normal form theorem and center manifold theory, we have the following theorem based on the conclusion in [10].

**Theorem 4.** For system (5), when \( \alpha = \alpha_0 \), the following results hold:

1. \( \mu_2 \) determines the direction of the Hopf bifurcation. If \( \mu_2 > 0 (< 0) \), the Hopf bifurcation is supercritical (subcritical) and the bifurcation periodic solutions exist for \( \alpha > \alpha_0 \) (\( \alpha < \alpha_0 \)).

2. \( \beta_2 \) determines the stability of the bifurcating periodic solution. If \( \beta_2 < 0 (> 0) \), the bifurcating periodic solutions are stable (unstable).

3. \( T_2 \) determines the Hopf bifurcation of the bifurcation periodic solution. If \( T_2 > 0 (< 0) \), the period increases (decreases).

where

\[
C_1(0) = \frac{i}{2\omega_0} \left( g_{20}g_{11} - 2|g_{11}|^2 - \frac{1}{3}|g_{02}|^2 \right) + \frac{g_{21}}{2},
\]

\[
\mu_2 = -\frac{\text{Re} \{ C_1(0) \}}{\text{Re} \lambda'(0)},
\]

\[
T_2 = -\frac{\text{Im} \{ C_1(0) \} + \mu_2 \text{Im} \lambda'(0)}{\omega_0},
\]

\[
\beta_2 = 2\text{Re} \{ C_1(0) \},
\]

(23)

where \( C_1(0) \) is the Lyapunov coefficient. In general, the parameters \( h_2, h_3 \), as well as \( h_1 \), can be used to tune the above parameter which decide direction and stability of Hopf bifurcation.

### 4. Numerical Simulation Examples

In this section, we present numerical simulation to verify the analytic results and the control effects of three algorithms. We use the same parameters as those in [10]: \( r = 0.8; c = 50000 \) packets/s; and \( d = 0.05 \); then we have \( \alpha_0 = 1118 \) from (4).

From Theorem 4, we get \( C_1(0) = -0.0115 - i0.0090 \), \( \mu_2 = -0.0116 < 0 \) (the bifurcation is subcritical), \( \beta_2 = -0.023 < 0 \) (the periodic solutions are stable), and \( T_2 = 0.0187 > 0 \) (the period increases). Figure 1 shows that trajectories converge to the equilibrium point for \( \alpha = 1120 > \alpha_0 = 1118 \). When it is decreased to \( \alpha = 1000 \) in system (5), the system loses stability and Hopf bifurcation occurs (see Figure 2). In other
words, these figures verify the correctness of the theoretical analysis; that is, bifurcation periodic solution exists for the system value of $\alpha$ is slightly less than the critical value $\alpha_0$, and the bifurcation periodic solutions are stable.

Now, an appropriate control parameter can be chosen for controlling the Hopf bifurcation. For the purpose of comparing the effects of the three control methods, we use the same control parameter. When $h_1 = 0.8$, we see that the state feedback control model converges to the equilibrium point and the onset of the Hopf bifurcation is delayed (see Figure 3). Furthermore, the direction and period of Hopf bifurcation in system (4) are changed when $h_1 = 0.8, h_2 = 0.0003, h_3 = 0.0003$ (see Figure 4).

From Theorem 4, we get

$$C_1 (0) = -0.0335 - i0.0043,$$

$$\mu_2 = -0.0128,$$

$$\beta_2 = -0.024,$$

$$T_2 = 0.0197.$$

Figures 5 and 6 show that the system is asymptotically stable with hybrid and time-delay feedback control schemes instead of having a Hopf bifurcation as $\alpha = 1000$. If $\alpha = 1000$, we can compute $R_0 = 0.07$, $w_0 = 3500$, and $P_0 = 0.02$. From (18) we obtain $\alpha_0 = 423$ of system (5) with state feedback controller for $h_1 = 0.8, h_2 = 0, h_3 = 0$, and $\alpha_0 = 382$ of system (6) with hybrid controller for $k = 0.8$ and $\alpha_0 = 960$ of system (7) with time-delay feedback controller for $a = 0.8$. Above all, those figures prove that the three schemes which we proposed are effective to extend the stable range in parameter and guarantee a stable sending rate for a large delay in FAST TCP model. Figure 7 is the relationship between $h_1, k, a$, and $\alpha_0$ with $y = 0.8$. From Figure 7, we can see that the critical value of hybrid controller is the most sensitive to the change of control parameter, and time-delay feedback controller is the least sensitive in fixed control parameter range. Meanwhile, optional control parameter range of hybrid controllers is very limited which is $0.5 \leq 1/(y + 1) < k \leq 1$, and time-delay feedback controller as well as nonlinear state feedback controller is infinite. Therefore, we can choose proper method based on practical situation including unknown conditions or parameter requirements.
5. Conclusion

In this paper, state feedback, hybrid, and time-delay feedback controllers have been applied to the FAST TCP model in high-speed network congestion control systems. Among them, a system under a state feedback controller has been used to show the conditions for the local asymptotic stability. Then, we have represented simulation results in order to compare the control different effects between three control algorithms. As a result, they have effectively postponed the onset of the Hopf bifurcation that achieved desired dynamics behavior in FAST TCP model. By contrasting the three control schemes with identical parameter, we have known that hybrid controller is the most sensitive control strategy for FAST TCP and RED model. Besides, the control parameter interval of nonlinear state feedback and time-delay feedback control strategy is bigger than hybrid controller. Therefore, a method which meets some certain conditions is easily chosen, such as system dimension, prior knowledge, and parameter interval. The above analysis plays an important role in setting guiding system parameters for controlling the FAST TCP and RED model.
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