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1. Introduction

It is definitely not a matter of dispute that chemotherapy and its constituent cytotoxic agents play a vital role in the clinical management of the vast majority of cancer conditions. Chemotherapy measures focus on eradication of tumour presence or (at least) control the degree of tumour progression and metastasis. However, this therapy has its own critical flaws due to two major issues, namely, dose-dependent adverse conditions and the emergence of chemoresistance properties within the tumour.

2. Dose-Dependent Cumulative Adverse Effects

The issue of dose-dependent cumulative adverse effects derives from the pharmacological properties of cytotoxic chemotherapeutic agents, which are not tissue-specific and thus affect all tissues in a widespread manner. In addition, tissues having increased turnover rates, such as the gastro-intestinal system and skin, are more vulnerable to cytotoxic drug activity and are the most prevalent dose-limiting cumulative adverse effects in patients undergoing chemotherapy. Table 1 describes in brief the pharmacology and adverse effects of a few of the most commonly prescribed chemotherapeutic agents that are implemented in many cancer chemotherapy strategies.

3. Tumour Chemoresistance Properties

The emergence of chemoresistance within tumour cells of solid tissues is sadly one of the main reasons for treatment failure and relapse in patients suffering from metastatic cancer conditions [1]. Resistance of the tumour cell to chemotherapeutic agent exposure may be innate, whereby the genetic characteristics of the tumour cells are naturally resistant to chemotherapeutic drug exposure [2]. Alternatively, chemoresistance can be acquired through development of a drug resistant phenotype over a defined time period of exposure of the tumour cell to individual/multiple chemotherapy combinations [1, 2] (see Figure 1).
Table 1: Overview of a selection of cytotoxic drugs commonly used in chemotherapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytotoxic drug</th>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
<th>Major adverse effects</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>Inter/intrastrand cross-link formation on nucleophilic N7 sites of adjacent adenine and guanine bases, leading to apoptosis.</td>
<td>Dose-dependent ototoxicity, nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity, and myelosuppression.</td>
<td>[3–9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboplatin</td>
<td>Inter/intrastrand cross-link formation on nucleophilic N7 sites of adjacent adenine and guanine bases, leading to apoptosis.</td>
<td>Dose-dependent myelosuppression.</td>
<td>[3, 4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>Oxazaphosphorine DNA-alkylating pro-drug, activated by liver P450 cytochrome-induced 4-hydroxylation., thus forming DNA cross-linking phosphoramidemustard.</td>
<td>Neurotoxicity and nephrotoxicity due to chloroacetaldehyde formation by P450 cytochrome-induced oxidation.</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>Anthracycline-glucuronide conjugate prodrug activated by tumour β-glucuronidase, whereby the drug/DNA adduct possibly induces apoptosis by topoisomerase 2 inhibition or by a caspase cascade.</td>
<td>Dose-dependent cardiotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, and myelosuppression.</td>
<td>[11–15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etoposide</td>
<td>Topoisomerase II inhibitor, by raising the stability of the enzyme/DNA cleavage complex, ultimately leading to DNA strand breaks and apoptosis.</td>
<td>Possible secondary leukaemia due to chromosomal translocations induced by etoposide strand break activity, myelosuppression.</td>
<td>[16–22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ifosfamide (in severe NB cases)</td>
<td>Oxazaphosphorine DNA-alkylating prodrug, activated by liver P450 cytochrome-induced 4-hydroxylation, thus forming DNA cross-linking phosphoramidemustard.</td>
<td>Marked neurotoxicity and nephrotoxicity due to increased chloroacetaldehyde formation by P450 cytochrome-induced oxidation.</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Overview of chemoresistance emergence, using cisplatin as an example for a conventional chemotherapeutic drug. Intrinsic chemoresistance (a) demonstrates the presence of tumour cell colonies that possess the optimal genetic and phenotypic characteristics to withstand exposure to cytotoxic agent activity. These characteristics were present in such cells prior to initial chemotherapy exposure and hence the term intrinsic chemoresistance. In acquired chemoresistance (b), the tumour cell line develops chemoresistance due to mutational driving forces following prolonged exposure to chemotherapeutic agents.

The biological routes by which the tumour cell is able to escape death by chemotherapy are numerous and complex. However, the major pathways enabling chemoresistance in cancer have been studied in detail and are summarised in Table 2.

4. Nanoparticle Technology

The introduction of nanotechnology in the last few decades has led to an undisputed boom in the conception and development of innovative methods for effective and safe delivery of small-molecule drugs and gene-based therapies to their intended target tissues.

The advantages of exploiting nanoparticle delivery systems are many, such as the possibility to protect nucleosable drug therapies, such as short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and microRNAs (miRNAs) during transit within the bloodstream [87, 88]. In addition, implementation of nanoparticle-based delivery systems has led to improved pharmacokinetic profiles for the specific drug being carried within such a system, together with enhanced targeting of the site of action of the drug [89–91]. The excellent review by Hu and Zhang [92] highlighted that nanoparticles also have the capacity to carry combination therapies of two drugs/small molecules and have demonstrated to be particularly effective in circumventing multidrug resistance (MDR) issues in multiple cancer models.
Table 2: Overview of methods adopted by tumour cells for acquiring chemoresistance properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemoresistance method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Key player genes, proteins and/or signalling pathways</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug efflux mechanisms</td>
<td>Utilisation of drug efflux active pump proteins for expulsion of multiple cytotoxics from tumour cell cytoplasm, thus inducing multidrug resistance (MDR).</td>
<td>ATP-dependent binding cassette (ABC) transporter proteins, multidrug resistance 1 (MDR1) gene, P-glycoprotein (P-gp), multidrug resistance 1 protein (MRP1), ABCG2.</td>
<td>[23–26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug modulation</td>
<td>Tumour cell ability to inactivate, or at least attenuate, drug activation through the modulation of expression of key enzyme/s involved in the target cytotoxic drug’s pharmacological and pharmacokinetic pathways.</td>
<td>Decreased expression or impairment of folypoly-gamma glutamate-synthetase activity, resulting in antifolate drug resistance. Effect of glutathione on cisplatin inactivation-mediated chemoresistance.</td>
<td>[27–29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification of drug targets</td>
<td>Upregulated expression or amplification of a target protein/enzyme, which may prove crucial for drug potency and effectiveness.</td>
<td>β-catenin , thymidylate synthase.</td>
<td>[30, 31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair mechanisms following DNA damage</td>
<td>Exacerbated activity of components of the nucleotide excision repair pathway following tumour cell DNA damage.</td>
<td>Excision repair cross complementing 1 protein, microsatellite instability phenotype due to mutations in DNA mismatch repair genes.</td>
<td>[32–37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA methylation mechanisms</td>
<td>Inhibition of key tumour suppressor genes leading to DNA methylations.</td>
<td>Caspase-8 promoter hypermethylation in neuroblastoma.</td>
<td>[38, 39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoptotic pathway defects</td>
<td>Dysfunction or inactivation of the cytotoxic drug targeted intrinsic/extrinsic proapoptotic pathways in tumour cells.</td>
<td>Bcl-2 protein family, cellular FADD-like interleukin 1 beta converting enzyme-inhibitory protein (c-FLIP), cellular inhibitors of apoptosis proteins (cIAPs).</td>
<td>[47–59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferative pathway activation</td>
<td>Stimulation of cell proliferation through modulation of the PI3K and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) survival signalling pathways.</td>
<td>Protein tyrosine kinases (PTKs) families, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family, transcription factor kappa B (NFκB), Sirtuins (SIKTs).</td>
<td>[60–68]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Representative example of a chitosan-based nanoparticle designed for the loading of individual siRNAs within the electrostatic network created by the nanoparticle internal infrastructure.

The chemical composition of nanoparticles, both from natural occurring compounds (see Figure 2) and synthetic ones (see Table 3), is varied and the selection of which nanoparticle to utilize for any individual drug delivery system is very much dependent on a multitude of factors such as the chemical nature of the drug to be transported, the loading capacity of the nanoparticle, and resultant pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics properties of the nanoparticle following drug loading [93].

It is beyond the scope of this review to delve into the specific technical details regarding each individual type of nanoparticle utilized at present, as this has been already discussed extensively in other technical reviews and research articles within the literature [83, 84, 94, 95]. However, a brief summary encompassing the spectrum of varying nanoparticle compositions, key advantages together with toxicity profiles can be viewed in Table 3 and Figure 3.
Table 3: Overview of the major classes of nanoparticles utilised for chemotherapeutic drug delivery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanoparticle (NP) composition</th>
<th>Unique characteristics and advantages</th>
<th>Adverse effects/toxicity of nanoparticle components</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solid lipid</td>
<td>Acidic pH of MDR tumour cells favours drug release from NP.</td>
<td>No haemolytic activity in human erythrocytes.</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymer-based</td>
<td>Versatile acid-responsive drug release kinetics.</td>
<td>Minimal cytotoxicity observed on ovarian cancer cell lines.</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogels</td>
<td>Easy synthesis, peptide-attachment facility for targeted delivery.</td>
<td>Nontoxic.</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic (iron oxide)</td>
<td>Allows for physical (magnetic) enhancement of the passive mechanisms implemented for the extravastation and accumulation within the tumour microenvironment.</td>
<td>L-glutamic acid coated iron oxide nanoparticles demonstrated in vitro biocompatibility.</td>
<td>[72–74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micelle-based</td>
<td>Capable of solubilizing a wide range of water-insoluble drugs.</td>
<td>Relatively safe, though elevated doses can induce dose-dependent adverse effects such as hyperlipidaemia, hepatosplenomegaly, and gastrointestinal disorders.</td>
<td>[75–77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Lack of complexity in their synthesis, characterization, and surface functionality. Gold nanoparticles also have shape/size-dependent optoelectronic characteristics.</td>
<td>Can induce cellular DNA damage.</td>
<td>[78–80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantum dots</td>
<td>Capacity to be tracked in real time within specific areas of the target cells, due to their intrinsic fluorescence properties.</td>
<td>Potential long-term toxicity due to release of toxic components (e.g., Cadmium) and generation of reactive oxygen species.</td>
<td>[81, 82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>Naturally occurring compound, derived from crustacean shells.</td>
<td>High biocompatibility properties.</td>
<td>[83, 84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoporous silica</td>
<td>Physical characteristics (e.g., size, shape) can be easily modified to induce bespoke pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamics profiles.</td>
<td>Possible membrane peroxidation, glutathione depletion, mitochondrial dysfunction, and/or DNA damage.</td>
<td>[85, 86]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Recent Advances in Nanoparticle-Based Cancer Chemoresistance Circumvention Methodologies

The study carried out by Kang et al. [69] demonstrated that administration of solid lipid nanoparticles containing doxorubicin (SLN-Dox) to the adriamycin-resistant breast cancer cell line MCF-7/ADR, which also overexpressed P-glycoprotein (P-gp), allowed for chemosensitisation of the cell line. This was induced due to enhanced accumulation of doxorubicin within the cell line, contributed by the nanoparticle-based delivery method, and thus the degree of apoptosis was enhanced [69].

The same principle of exploiting nanoparticle delivery to substantiate chemotherapeutic drug accumulation within the target cancer cell, with the ultimate goal of enhancing tumour chemosensitivity, was adopted in the study by Aryal et al. [70]. Polymer-cisplatin conjugate nanoparticles were developed and consequently delivered to A2780 human ovarian carcinoma cell line [70]. The added potential of this delivery system relied on the cisplatin analogue prodrug covalently linked to a poly(ethylene glycol)-based polymer, which only released its therapeutic payload in a low pH environment [70]. Consequently, clinical administration of such a delivery system would ensure that the drug will remain complexed whilst in transit within the bloodstream due to its neutral pH environment [70].

Additionally, RNAi therapeutics have come to rely much further on the utilization of nanoparticle delivery systems to exert their biological effects. The study by Dickerson et al. [71] elucidated the efficiency to knock-down genes such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) by the delivery of EGFR-specific siRNAs contained within core/shell hydrogel nanoparticles (nanogels). The nanogels were also coated with peptides targeting the EphA2 receptor to enhance delivery of anti-EGFR siRNAs within the targeted Hey tumour cells [71]. Consequently, the knock-down effect on EGFR led to enhanced chemosensitivity of cancer cells to taxane chemotherapy [71].

The implementation of nanoparticle technology has also demonstrated to aid the clinical effect of other therapies that were previously unsuccessful due to poor drug delivery issues. Jin et al. [98] developed transferrin conjugated pH-sensitive lipopolyplex nanoparticles with the capacity to bind specific oligodeoxynucleotides (GTI-2040 in this case). This delivery system allowed GTI-2040 to exert its effect on the R2 subunit of the chemoresistance factor ribonucleotide reductase in acute myeloid leukaemia cell line models [98]. The influence of utilising such a delivery system was evident in that the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC(50)) for 1 μM GTI-2040 decreased from 47.69 nM to 9.05 nM [98].
An additional nanoparticle delivery system, adopted against MDR in leukaemic conditions, was investigated by Cheng et al. [72]. This system combined magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles together with daunorubicin and 5-bromotetrandrin, which proved to possess a sustained release pharmacokinetic drug profile when administered to K562/A02 multidrug resistant leukaemic cell lines [72]. The principle behind the utilization of magnetic nanoparticles is due to the effects of magnetic field gradients positioned in a non-parallel manner with respect to flow direction within the tumour vasculature [73]. This allows for physical (magnetic) enhancement of the passive mechanisms implemented for the extravasation and accumulation of such magnetically responsive nanoparticles within the tumour microenvironment, followed by cellular uptake of the nanoparticles within the target tumour cell cytoplasm [73]. The magnetically responsive nanoparticle itself is composed of one or a combination of the three ferromagnetically active elements at physiological temperature, namely, iron, nickel, and cobalt [73]. The delivery system described by Cheng et al. [72] also aided in providing a dose-dependent antiproliferative effect on such cell lines, together with enhanced intracellular accumulation of daunorubicin and downregulated transcript expression of MDR1 gene, the main factor for induction of MDR in most cancer models [72]. These factors all contributed to a reduction in MDR and were directed by the level of endosomal-mediated cellular uptake properties of such nanoparticles [100].

In chronic myelogenous leukaemia (CML), a Bcr-Abl positive status induces MDR properties through multiple pathways, including resistance to p53 and Fas ligand-induced apoptotic pathways [101]. The delivery system devised by Singh et al. [101] consisted of magnetic nanoparticles combined with paclitaxel and was consequently administered...
to Bcr-Abl positive K562 leukemic cell lines [101]. The addition of lectin functional groups to the nanoparticle complex served to aid cellular uptake by the target K562 cell line and also demonstrated a reduction in the IC(50) for paclitaxel within this cell line model [101].

Multiple myeloma is an additional tumour model that has seen benefit from the exploitation of nanoparticle technology in its therapeutic avenues [76]. The study by Kiziltepe et al. [76] succeeded in developing a micelle-based nanoparticle delivery system containing doxorubicin and very late antigen-4 (VLA-4) antagonist peptides [76]. This delivery method not only accomplished enhanced cytotoxic activity when compared to doxorubicin alone, but also the addition of VLA-4 antagonist peptides served well in circumventing the phenomenon of cell-adhesion-mediated drug resistance due to the resultant impaired VLA-4 mediated adhesion of multiple myeloma cells to the stroma of bone marrow within CB.17 SCID murine multiple myeloma xenograft models [76]. Additionally, drug accumulation within the stroma of the multiple myeloma murine xenograft models was also tenfold higher than the control murine model [76].

Yet another tumour model that has been investigated for the application of nanoparticle-based chemotherapy, for the purpose of avoidance of chemo-resistance, is prostate cancer [102]. Gold nanoparticles are an attractive avenue for drug delivery researchers primarily due to their lack of complexity in their synthesis, characterization, and surface functionality [78]. Gold nanoparticles also have shape/size-dependent optoelectronic characteristics [78]. The endosomal-based route for gold nanoparticle cellular uptake can be viewed as the primary advantage for circumventing MDR within the tumour cell, since the drug efflux pump is bypassed and the nanoparticle-held chemotherapeutic agent is released within the acidic environment of the endosome and allowed to penetrate the tumour cell cytoplasm [79]. Consequently, tumour progression phenotypes such as cell proliferation and level of apoptosis are affected to direct an amelioration of patient prognosis.

Gold nanoparticle/antiandrogen conjugates were developed by Dreaden et al. [102], with the capacity to selectively bind to two surface receptors which are upregulated in prostate tumour cell surface. Thus allowing accumulation of the nanoparticle conjugate specifically within treatment-resistant prostate tumour cells [102]. Gold nanoparticles were also exploited in the study conducted by Tomuleasa et al. [103] for the purpose of reducing MDR hepatocellular carcinoma-derived cancer cells. The gold nanoparticles were loaded with doxorubicin, capetitabine, and cisplatin, followed by nanoparticle stabilization by L-aspartate [103]. The resultant cellular proliferation rates of the hepatocellular carcinoma cells treated with this nanoparticle-based therapy were found to be lowered drastically [103].

In the study carried out by Punfa et al. [104], the cytotoxic properties of curcumin on multidrug resistant cervical tumours were maximized through the development of a nanoparticle-curcumin drug delivery system. Curcumin was successfully entrapped within poly (DL-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) nanoparticles, followed by the incorporation of the amino-terminal of anti-P-gp [104]. Consequently, the curcumin-nanoparticle conjugates were deployed onto the KB-V1 cervical cancer cell line, having upregulated P-gp expression, together with the KB-3-1 cell line that has a reduced P-gp expression level [104]. The results of this study demonstrated that nanoparticle conjugates bearing anti-P-gp surface markers were highly efficient in binding to the MDR-inducing surface protein, allowing enhanced cellular uptake and ultimately aid in the cytotoxic efficacy of curcumin due to increased accumulation of the drug, particularly within the KB-V1 cell line due to its exacerbated P-gp expression status [104].

Curcumin/doxorubicin-laden composite polymer nanoparticles were also developed in other studies [105] as a means of enhancing the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics properties of curcumin, thus enhancing its MDR-modulating effect in the target tumour cells. The resultant nanoparticle complex was deployed onto several MDR tumour models such as acute leukaemia, multiple myeloma, and ovarian cancers, both in vitro and in vivo [105]. The results of this study highlighted the possibility of administration of lower doses of doxorubicin due to the circumvention of tumour MDR by efficient curcumin activity, thus enhancing the toxicity profile for doxorubicin in clinical use stemming from the reduction in cardiotoxicity and haematological toxicity dose-dependent adverse effects [105].

Retinoblastoma therapeutic avenues have also been increased due to the introduction of nanoparticle drug delivery technology. The study by Das and Sahoo demonstrated the effectiveness of utilising a nanoparticle delivery system which was dual loaded with curcumin together with nutlin-3a (which has been proven to stimulate the activity of the tumour suppressor protein p53) [106]. The results of this particular investigation highlighted an enhanced level of therapeutic efficacy on utilizing the nanoparticle-curcumin-nutlin-3a conjugates on the target retinoblastoma Y79 cell lines [106]. In addition, a downregulation of bcl2 and NFκB was also observed following cell line exposure to the nanoparticle conjugates [106].

The nanoparticle-based drug delivery system designed by Saxena and Hussain [96] for its application against multidrug resistant breast tumours was novel in that the actual components of the nanoparticle biomaterials, namely, poloxamer 407 and D-α-tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate (TPGS), are both known to exert pharmacological activity against P-gp [96]. The drug utilized for nanoparticle loading in this case was gambogic acid, a naturally occurring cytotoxic agent though laden with issues of poor bioavailability and severe dose-limiting adverse effects [96]. Similarly to other studies mentioned above, the incorporation of a nanoparticle-based drug delivery system allowed for enhanced cellular uptake by the target breast cancer cell line MCF-7, thus leading to elevated drug accumulation on the intracellular level and ultimately inducing enhanced cytotoxic effects in the target breast cancer cell line [96].

A separate nanoparticle-based drug delivery system for use in circumventing MDR effects in breast cancer is the one developed by Li et al. [107]. In this study, the nanoparticle drug delivery system consisted of a dimethylidodecylammonium bromide (DMAB)-modified poly(lactic-co-glycolic
MCF-7 cell line [107]. In comparison, the level of accumulation of doxorubicin released from the nanoparticle complex, within the nuclei of the drug resistant MCF-7 cell line [107]. In comparison, the level of accumulation of doxorubicin released from the nanoparticle complex, within the nuclei of the drug resistant MCF-7 cell line [107].

The results obtained from this particular study indicated an elevated accumulation of doxorubicin released from the nanoparticle complex, within the nuclei of the drug resistant MCF-7 cell line [107]. In comparison, the level of accumulation of freely administered (i.e., not utilising a nanoparticle-based drug delivery system) doxorubicin attained lower drug concentration levels within the same cell line [107]. Finally, the IC(50) levels for doxorubicin on adriamycin-resistant MCF-7 have been observed to be lowered by 30-fold following the incorporation of this nanoparticle delivery system [107].

Apart from delivery of conventional chemotherapeutic drugs in drug resistant breast cancer cell line models, researchers also delved into the possibility of adopting siRNA therapeutic approaches, using the aid of nanoparticle drug delivery systems [97]. The study conducted by Navarro et al. [97] developed a nanoparticle-based delivery system for siRNAs targeting P-gp expression, with the nanoparticle constituent biomaterials being dioleoylphosphatidylethanolamine and polyethyleneimine (PEI) [97]. Again, the reduction in P-gp expression led the path to enhanced cytotoxic effects brought about by the exposure of the MCF-7 cell line to doxorubicin, thus this nanoparticle-siRNA therapy was successful in drastically reducing MDR in this cancer model [97].

Quantum dots have also been implemented as novel and effective drug delivery systems for circumventing multidrug resistance in cancer chemotherapy [81]. Researchers in this study developed a quantum dot-based drug delivery system that allowed anti-MDR1 siRNA and doxorubicin incorporation to two cadmium-selenium/zinc-selenium quantum dots that were eventually functionalized by β-cyclodextrin coupling to L-arginine or L-histamine [81]. Following deployment of these dual loaded quantum dots in the HeLa cervical cancer cell line model, elevated accumulation of doxorubicin within the tumour cells was denoted, together with a marked reduction in MDR1 and P-gp expression on analysis by reverse transcription real time quantitative polymerase chain reaction and western blotting [81]. In line with magnetic and gold nanoparticle platforms, quantum dots rely mainly on the endosomal method of tumour cellular uptake and therefore the drug efflux pump system is bypassed, with consequent reduction in MDR properties by the tumour cells [82]. Finally, the additional benefit of utilizing quantum dots as a drug delivery system is their capacity to be tracked in real time within specific areas of the target cells, due to their intrinsic fluorescence properties [81].

Apart from cell line studies, researchers have also looked into the feasibility of implementing nanoparticle-based drug delivery systems within in vivo models [108]. The study by Milane et al. [108] investigated the efficacy of utilising a EGFR-targeting polymer blend nanoparticles, loaded with paclitaxel and the mitochondrial hexokinase 2 inhibitor lonidamine. The nanoparticle polymer blend consisted of 70% polycaprolactone (PCL) incorporating a PLGA-polyethylene glycol-EGFR specific peptide that helped enable nanoparticle active targeting efficiency [108].

Following nanoparticle development, four groups of orthotopic MDR breast cancer murine models (MDA-MB-231 in nude mice) were treated with free paclitaxel, free lonidamine, free paclitaxel/lonidamine combination, or nanoparticle complexes containing paclitaxel/lonidamine combination [108]. The degree of toxicity of such treatments was also monitored through body weight change measurements, liver enzyme plasma levels, and white blood cell/platelet counts, together with H & E staining of tumour sections was carried out [108].

Tumour weight and other clinical parameters such as MDR protein marker (P-gp, Hypoxia Inducible factor α, Hexokinase 2, EGFR, Stem Cell factor) were observed over the course of 28 days after-treatment [108]. Following this 28-day period, the results demonstrated that only the murine model sample group exposed to the nanoparticle-based paclitaxel/lonidamine combination treatment was the only group to experience statistically significant tumour volume and density reduction, together with overall alteration of the MDR phenotype [108]. Toxicity effects due to paclitaxel and lonidamine were also drastically reduced when administered within the nanoparticle-based delivery system, which can ultimately provide enhanced tolerance by the cancer patient [108].

Other in vivo studies in this field include the investigations carried out by Shen et al. [109], which focused on the codelivery of paclitaxel and survivin short hairpin RNA (shRNA) for circumventing chemoresistance in lung cancer. The investigators utilized the pluronic block co-polymer P85 combined with D-α-Tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate (P85-PEI/TPGS) for developing the nanoparticles to be implemented in this study [109]. These nanoparticles were based upon triblock structural formation of hydrophilic poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) blocks and hydrophobic poly(propylene oxide) (PPO) blocks, which also gives enhanced capacity to revert chemoresistance due to drug efflux pump inhibition properties, downregulation of ATPase activity and P85-induced inhibition of the glutathione S-transferase compound detoxification enzyme at the subcellular level [109]. Paclitaxel and surviving shRNA were selected as the ideal drugs for nanoparticle delivery due to the former having poor efficacy due to chemoresistance within the tumour, and survivin was identified as highly expressed within chemoresistant tumours [109]. The in vivo activity of such nanoparticle systems (with/without paclitaxel and survivin shRNA) was evaluated on BALB/c nude mice injected with viable, paclitaxel-resistant, A549/T lung adenocarcinoma epithelial cells [109]. The results of this study demonstrated that deployment of the nanoparticle-based chemotherapeutic drug proved to have distinct enhancement of antitumour efficacy, when compared to deployment of the drug/s alone [109].

Chemoresistance to the aromatase inhibitor letrozole in postmenopausal breast cancer is another major therapeutic hurdle which was investigated in vivo [110]. Biodegradable PLGA-polyethylene glycol copolymer nanoparticles were
developed by nanoprecipitation and designed to incorporate hyaluronic acid-bound letrozole (HA-Letr-NPs) [110]. The addition of hyaluronic acid served to enhance letrozole binding specificity to CD44 on the target tumour cell surface, with the expected consequences of enhanced drug accumulation within the target tumour cell cytoplasm and resultant re-sensitization of the target tumour cells to letrozole activity [110]. Such HA-Letr-NPs, once produced at a size of less than 100 nm diameter, were deployed within a letrozole-resistant murine xenograft tumour model [110]. The results of this study demonstrated a highly efficient nanoparticle-based drug delivery system, with the IC(50) for HA-Letr-NPs within the murine xenograft model being only 5 μM when compared to the control groups, thus enhancing the in vivo aromatase enzyme activity within the xenograft and ultimately inducing a prolonged resensitising of the breast cancer tumour to letrozole activity [110].

The naturally occurring compound chitosan was also utilized for the development of in vivo nanoparticle-based therapies to circumvent ovarian cancer chemoresistance properties induced by overexpression of the Jagged1 notch ligand [99]. Murine orthotopic models, utilising female athymic nude mice, were injected with SKOV3Tripl2 taxane-resistant ovarian cancer cell line and consequently, following one week, subjected to anti-Jagged1 siRNA/chitosan nanoparticle complexes (5 μg dose of siRNA) with/without taxane, applied via intraperitoneal route twice weekly for a total period of five weeks [99]. The results of this study indicated that such nanoparticle-based complexes had the capacity to reduce tumour weight by over 70% within such murine models and also induced taxane sensitization within the tumour [99].

In a similar study, cationic liposome-polycation-DNA (LPD) and anionic liposome-polycation-DNA (LPD II) nanoparticle systems were developed to incorporate doxorubicin and VEGF siRNA within a murine ovarian cancer animal model [111]. Female, athymic nude mice were treated with $5 \times 10^6$ cells of the MDR ovarian cancer cell line NCI/ADR-RES [111]. Once the murine tumours reached a size of approximately 16–25 mm$^2$, the mice were consequently injected with individual nanoparticle complexes bearing either siRNA or doxorubicin at a dose of 1.2 mg/Kg in both cases, once daily for three consecutive days [111]. The results of this study demonstrated the effectiveness of such nanoparticle complexes for inhibiting tumour progression within the treated murine model groups, mainly due to impaired VEGF expression-related MDR [111].

Other human cancer conditions which were investigated for circumvention of tumour MDR properties through nanoparticle delivery include uterine sarcomas [112]. In the study carried out by Huang et al. [112], pH-sensitive mesoporous silica nanoparticles incorporating hydrazine and doxorubicin were developed for in vivo testing on murine models of doxorubicin-resistant uterine sarcoma. Since the composition of such nanoparticles specifically allow for cellular uptake through endocytosis, bypassing of the P-gp efflux pump induced a marked reduction in P-gp dependent MDR properties [112]. Consequently, the murine MDR tumour model treated with such nanoparticles demonstrated enhanced tumour apoptotic effects which were clearly confirmed by active caspase-3 immunohistochemical validation analysis [112].

6. Conclusion

The latest studies described above undoubtedly serve as a testament to the immense clinical value represented by nanoparticle technology. The ability of such nanoparticles, irrelevant of biomaterial composition to efficiently load individual or combinations of chemotherapeutic drugs and/or chemosensitising agents (such as curcumin) and novel RNA interference-based therapies has been clearly demonstrated above. This property provides an excellent escape mechanism for circumventing target tumour cell multidrug resistance properties based on drug efflux pump activity on the tumour cell surface, such as that exerted by P-gp. The overall advantage of deploying nanoparticles includes the drastic reduction in the IC(50) parameter for most of the carried chemotherapy agents, due to marked intracellular accumulation pharmacodynamics. This in turn would lead to a reduction in the clinical doses of the conventional cytotoxic agents required for chemotherapy, ultimately demonstrating a striking reduction in dose-dependent adverse effects in the oncology patient.

Presently, this does not mean that nanotechnology-based translational therapies are not fraught with challenges, such as biocompatibility issues of the nanoparticle components and the level of complexity required for cost-effectively translating these novel therapies to the patient bedside. However, it is the firm belief of the authors that through constant accumulation of marginal gains in knowledge, derived from persistent and motivated researchers on a global scale, will ultimately overcome such scientific hurdles, thus nanoparticle-based drug delivery aided therapies will eventually become commonplace in the oncology clinic in the near future.
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