Effectiveness of Nateglinide on In Vitro Insulin Secretion from Rat Pancreatic Islets Desensitized to Sulfonylureas
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Chronic exposure of pancreatic islets to sulfonylureas (SUs) is known to impair the ability of islets to respond to subsequent acute stimulation by SUs or glucose. Nateglinide (NAT) is a novel insulinotropic agent with a primarily site of action at β-cell KATP channels, which is common to the structurally diverse drugs like repaglinide (REP) and the SUs. Earlier studies on the kinetics, glucose-dependence and sensitivity to metabolic inhibitors of the interaction between NAT and KATP channels suggested a distinct signaling pathways with NAT compared to REP, glyburide (GLY) or glimepiride (GLI). To obtain further evidence for this concept, the present study compared the insulin secretion in vitro from rat islets stimulated acutely by NAT, GLY, GLI or REP at equipotent concentrations during 1-hr static incubation following overnight treatment with GLY or tolbutamide (TOL). The islets fully retained the responsiveness to NAT stimulation after prolonged pretreatment with both SUs, while their acute response to REP, GLY, and GLI was markedly attenuated, confirming the desensitization of islets. The insulinotropic efficacy of NAT in islets desensitized to SUs may result from a distinct receptor/effecter mechanism, which contributes to the unique pharmacological profile of NAT.
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INTRODUCTION

Sulfonylureas (SUs) are widely used in the treatment of non-insulin-dependent (Type 2) diabetes. They stimulate insulin secretion by closing β-cell plasma membrane KATP channels, which are formed by the molecular interaction between a high-affinity SU receptor, SUR1, and an inwardly rectifying K+ channel subunit, Kir6.2.[2] The closure of KATP channels leads to the opening of voltage-dependent Ca2+ channels, Ca2+ influx and stimulation of Ca2+-dependent exocytosis.[3] While SUs exert hypoglycemic action via a direct stimulation of insulin release during short term administration in type 2 diabetic patients, their activity declines during long term application, which has been suggested to be directly attributable to a desensitization of β-cells to SUs.[4–7] In vitro chronic exposure of pancreatic islets to SUs is also known to cause impairment of secretory response to subsequent stimulation by glucose or SUs.[8–11] The mechanisms underlying
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β-cell refractoriness after prolonged exposure to SUs remain at present unclear. Nateglinide (NAT), a novel D-phenylalanine derivative, shares the mechanism of action with SUs to act on K$_{ATP}$ channels in pancreatic β-cells. This drug, compared to other marketed K$_{ATP}$ channel-blocking hypoglycemic agents, has demonstrated unique characteristics including a rapid onset, short duration of action, sensitivity to ambient glucose, and resistance to metabolic inhibition, suggesting some aspects of the signaling pathway(s) mediating NAT’s action are novel and distinct from those mediating the effects of SUs and REP. This study was designed to evaluate the ability of NAT and the com-parators, glyburide (GLY), glimepiride (GLI), and repaglinide (REP) to stimulate insulin secretion from isolated rat islets undergoing prolonged pre-exposure to SUs, GLY or tolbutamide (TOL). Using static incubation method, normal rat islets were incubated overnight (~18 hours) in the absence and presence of therapeutically relevant concentrations of GLY or TOL before their acute responsiveness to NAT, GLY, REP, and GLI was determined. Our results showed that lasting treatment of GLY or TOL markedly attenuated the islets’ acute response to GLY, GLI and REP, while NAT retained ability to stimulate insulin secretion from SU-desensitized islets. These findings are suggestive of common signaling pathways for the action of SUs and REP. On the other hand, the effectiveness of NAT in SU-desensitized islets could imply the involvement of an action site and/or a receptor/effector pathway different from those of SUs and REP. The unique “desensitization-resistant” properties of NAT may explain some of the pharmacological differences between those of NAT and those of SUs and REP.$^{[12,13]}$

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Islet Isolation**

Pancreas were dissected from normal fed male Sprague Dawley rats (250–275g), which were euthanized with Na pentobarbital i.p. at 120mg/kg. Islets of Langerhans were isolated by librase digestion (0.5mg/ml, Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) followed by a Ficoll gradient centrifugation.$^{[14]}$

**Static Incubation of Islets Desensitized to SUs**

Freshly isolated islets were handpicked under a stereomicroscope by gentle suction through a large firepolished pipette (~400μm diameter) into 60×15 mm Petri dishes (Corning) containing 25ml of DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium, Gibco BRL) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 5mM glucose (G5-DMEM) and 1% BSA (BSA was present in all incubation media throughout the experiments). Islets were preincubated in a humidified atmosphere of 95% O$_2$ and 5% CO$_2$ at 37°C for 1 hour.

The islets, after 1 hour preincubation, were selected in batches of two and placed into borosilicate glass tubes (12×75mm) containing 500μl G8-DMEM in the presence or absence of 100nM or 10μM GLY or 100μM TOL, and incubated overnight (~18 hours) in a humidified atmosphere of 95% O$_2$/5% CO$_2$ at 37°C. Acute experiments were performed the following morning to determine the ability of islets to respond to NAT and other insulinotropic agents. 500μl 2x treatment of the concentrations of test hypoglycemic drugs was added to the tubes (to form 1 ml final volume) containing either GLY/TOL-treated or GLY/TOL-untreated islets. The concentrations of test hypoglycemic drugs were so chosen that they were comparably effective in control, i.e., 5μM NAT, 100nM GLY, 100nM GLI and 50nM REP. All test drugs were first dissolved in DMSO to form a stock solution of 10 or 30mM and stored at 4°C. The stock solutions were further diluted with incubation media to the desired concentrations before each experiment. Eight independent samples were run for each...
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FIGURE 1 Chemical structure of test antidiabetic drugs.

experimental condition. Tubes were incubated at 37°C with intermittent hand shaking for 1 hour. At the end of incubation, islet media (500μl/tube) were transferred to 96 deep-well plates and stored at −20°C for subsequent insulin analysis.

Insulin Scintillation Proximity Assay (SPA)

The incubation media were diluted by factors ranging from 1x to 20x depending on the concentrations of glucose/drugs. The diluted supernatant was assayed for insulin content with SPA. The assay employed commercially available products including a guinea pig antirat insulin specific antibody (Linco Research Inc) and scintillation proximity Type I reagent coupled to protein A (Amersham Life Science), and was performed as a single step assay. All samples were assayed in duplicate. The preparation of 96 well sample plates was made by sequentially adding standard/test samples, anti-insulin serum, [125I]-insulin tracer, and SPA reagent, and the final volume equaled 175μl/well. The plates were incubated and vortexed on a titer plate shaker for approximately 16 hours at room temperature. The plates were then placed into a Wallac Microbeta 1450 Liquid Scintillation Counter to be read under a normalization protocol. The output was in counts per minute (CPM).

Data Analysis

The sample insulin concentration was calculated by utilizing a template set up in Excel spreadsheet that possesses statistical analysis function. The calculated concentration was adjusted eventually to reflect the degree of dilution. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were generally between 5% and 8%. To allow comparison of the hypoglycemic drug-induced insulin secretion from overnight SUTreated and untreated islets, data were expressed as percent of the appropriate controls. That is to say, insulin secretion stimulated by secretagogues in SU-treated and untreated groups was normalized, respectively, with the amount of insulin without secretagogues in SU-treated and untreated groups. This transformation corrected for the increase of control values elicited by overnight treatment of SUs. All values were expressed as the mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined with t-test (single-tailed). P < 0.05 was considered significantly different.

RESULTS

Drug-induced Insulin Secretion from Islets with Overnight Treatment of 100nM GLY

It was assumed that prolonged treatment with SUs would impair islet responsiveness to physiological and pharmacological stimuli. The effectiveness of four hypoglycemic agents, NAT, GLY, GLI, and REP, to stimulate insulin release from islets cultured overnight (18 hours) in the presence of 100nM GLY was evaluated and was compared to that from overnight cultured islets without GLY to determine the magnitude of desensitization induced by GLY. In the acute experiments following overnight incubation, the concentrations of test drugs were so chosen that they were comparably effective in stimulating insulin release at glucose concentration of 8mM, i.e., NAT at 5μM, GLY at 100nM, GLI at 100nM, and REP at 50nM. These hypoglycemic agents...
stimulated insulin secretion during 1 hour static incubation from islets cultured overnight without 100nM GLY by a respective 270 ± 44%, 292 ± 42%, 301 ± 26% and 430 ± 35%. In parallel experiments on islets cultured overnight with 100nM GLY, the stimulation factors were, respectively, 354 ± 19%, 302 ± 13%, 340 ± 12%, and 352 ± 7%. These data are illustrated in Figure 2, in which the stimulation factors of all test agents were not statistically different between islet groups without and with pretreatment of 100nM GLY. Thus, prolonged treatment with glyburide at 100nM did not appear to render the islets insensitive to subsequent application of insulin secretagogues.

### Drug-induced Insulin Secretion from Islets with Overnight Treatment of 10μM GLY

When the concentration of GLY for overnight treatment was raised by 100-fold to 10μM, the pattern of the acute response of islets to insulin secretagogues has changed and the results are shown in Figure 3. The ability of NAT to stimulate insulin secretion did not significantly differ between the GLY untreated control (126 ± 20%) and the GLY pretreated groups (155 ± 14%). Conversely, the acute stimulation factors by GLY, REP and GLI in the groups without or with 10μM GLY pretreatment were, respectively, 173 ± 21% or 112 ± 15% (NS) with GLY, 191 ± 18% or 112 ± 11% (p < 0.05) with REP, 251 ± 33% or 138 ± 17% (p < 0.05) with GLI. Thus, the ability of GLY, REP and GLI to stimulate insulin secretion was attenuated following lasting treatment of islets with 10μM GLY compared to that without pretreatment. The data demonstrated that 10μM GLY induced islet desensitization leading to hypo-responsiveness of islets to the subsequent application of GLY, REP and GLI. NAT was the only agent that was able to maintain its insulinotropic efficacy intact in desensitized islets.

### Drug-induced Insulin Secretion from Islets with Overnight Treatment of 100mM TOL

To further confirm SU induction of islet desensitization and the effectiveness of NAT, tolbutamide (TOL), a classic first generation SU, was also used as a desensitizing agent for the assessment of the ability of four hypoglycemic drugs on secretory response of islets. The stimulation factors of the test drugs during 1 hour static incubation following overnight...
The present study demonstrated that prolonged exposure of pancreatic islets to SUs, GLY or TOL, impaired their ability to secrete insulin in response to subsequent secretagogue stimulation - a phenomenon known as islet desensitization. Similar results have been previously reported for both drugs. As K\textsubscript{ATP} channel blockade plays key role in the mechanism of action of SUs and all test drugs in this study, one possible mechanism for SU desensitization is that chronic exposure to SUs would result in lasting binding of SU to SUR1 and closing of K\textsubscript{ATP} channels, and in turn, a lasting membrane depolarization. The constant depolarization would lead the \( \beta \)-cells to a refractory state, in which they respond less effectively to a further stimulation of closing K\textsubscript{ATP} channels by test secretagogues. Evidence for this mechanism of SU desensitization associated with chronic exposure to GLY has been previously reported. If this were indeed the case, SU desensitization is likely to be a temporary and reversible condition of refractoriness of the \( \beta \)-cells to secretagogue stimulation, since K\textsubscript{ATP} channel activity would eventually recover upon withdrawal of SUs.

The key finding in the study was that NAT was the only drug of four test agents which maintained its insulinotropic efficacy in islets desensitized to SUs. Given that islets were still able to vigorously respond to NAT after 18h pretreatment of SUs, the exhaustion of a finite insulin store and/or islet secretory machinery did not appear to be the underlying defect in

### DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrated that prolonged exposure of pancreatic islets to SUs, GLY or TOL, impaired their ability to secrete insulin in response to subsequent secretagogue stimulation - a phenomenon known as islet desensitization. Similar results have been previously reported for both drugs. As K\textsubscript{ATP} channel blockade plays key role in the mechanism of action of SUs and all test drugs in this study, one possible mechanism for SU desensitization is that chronic exposure to SUs would result in lasting binding of SU to SUR1 and closing of K\textsubscript{ATP} channels, and in turn, a lasting membrane depolarization. The constant depolarization would lead the \( \beta \)-cells to a refractory state, in which they respond less effectively to a further stimulation of closing K\textsubscript{ATP} channels by test secretagogues. Evidence for this mechanism of SU desensitization associated with chronic exposure to GLY has been previously reported. If this were indeed the case, SU desensitization is likely to be a temporary and reversible condition of refractoriness of the \( \beta \)-cells to secretagogue stimulation, since K\textsubscript{ATP} channel activity would eventually recover upon withdrawal of SUs.

The key finding in the study was that NAT was the only drug of four test agents which maintained its insulinotropic efficacy in islets desensitized to SUs. Given that islets were still able to vigorously respond to NAT after 18h pretreatment of SUs, the exhaustion of a finite insulin store and/or islet secretory machinery did not appear to be the underlying defect in

### TABLE I  Insulin secretion during 1h static incubation following overnight treatment of SUs (% of control)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5\mu M NAT</th>
<th>100nM GLY</th>
<th>50nM REP</th>
<th>100nM GLI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-10\mu M GLY overnight</td>
<td>126 ± 20</td>
<td>173 ± 21</td>
<td>191 ± 18</td>
<td>251 ± 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+10\mu M GLY overnight</td>
<td>155 ± 14</td>
<td>112 ± 15</td>
<td>112 ± 11*</td>
<td>138 ± 17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-100\mu M TOL overnight</td>
<td>240 ± 23</td>
<td>257 ± 27</td>
<td>298 ± 27</td>
<td>260 ± 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+100\mu M TOL overnight</td>
<td>160 ± 35</td>
<td>134 ± 23**</td>
<td>137 ± 13**</td>
<td>155 ± 20*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data in SU-pretreated and non-pretreated groups are expressed, respectively, as percent of insulin secretion in appropriate control groups without acute stimulation by secretagogues.

\*p < 0.05 and \**p < 0.005 on data with SU treatment compared to those without.
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desensitized secretion to GLY, REP and GLI. The insulinotropic action of REP, GLY and GLI was desensitized by lasting SU exposure, suggestive of common site of action for these drugs. On the other hand, the inability of both TOL and GLY to affect NAT-induced insulin secretion suggested a distinct mode of action of NAT. Provided that the mechanism of desensitization was indeed associated to the refractoriness of SUR1/K<sub>ATP</sub> channels in β-cells, a possible explanation for the effectiveness of NAT vs. ineffectiveness of GLY, REP and GLI in stimulation of insulin following prolonged pretreatment of SUs would be the existence of distinct binding sites on SUR1 for NAT vs. SUs and REP. It is possible that the site on SUR1 for SUs has become hypo-responsive to GLY, GLI and REP due to its desensitization induced by chronic treatment of GLY or TOL, while the site on SUR1 for NAT remained fully available to be activated with or without pretreatment of SUs. Alternatively, the present data would be reconciled by proposing a second mechanism of the insulinotropic action of NAT that is independent of K<sub>ATP</sub> channels.

Being cautious not to over-interpret the in vitro findings in the present study, we only speculate that some aspects of signaling pathways mediating NAT's effect are markedly dissimilar from those involving in the effects of SUs and REP. Although the present study did not deal with time-dependent secretory pattern but cumulative stimulation of insulin secretion from islets during certain period of time, earlier works by others and us revealed essential differences of NAT action from those of GLY, GLI and REP with respect to (1) the kinetics of the interaction of NAT with SUR1 receptor/K<sub>ATP</sub> channels,[17] (2) the kinetics of in vitro/in vivo insulinotropic action;[18–22] (3) the glucose-dependence;[23,24] and (4) the sensitivity to metabolic inhibitors.[25] These findings collectively indicated the uniqueness of NAT action and possibly a distinct receptor/effector system(s). By virtue of these properties, NAT is able to ameliorate postprandial hyperglycemia by augmenting early insulin release, while SUs and REP may preferentially decrease postabsorptive hyperglycemia due to their slower onset and sustained duration of action.

In conclusion, the maintenance of insulinotropic efficacy of NAT in islets desensitized to SUs adds to the list of properties of this agent that distinguish it from other SUR ligands, despite the presumed common basic mechanism of action of said agents.
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