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Since its identification as the receptor for antidiabetic thiazolidinedione drugs, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPAR-γ) has been the focus of pharmaceutical drug discovery programs directed toward finding better drugs for the treatment of diabetes, as well as the object of basic research aimed at understanding its role in the regulation of metabolism. We now understand a great deal about the crucial role that PPAR-γ plays in adipocyte differentiation and development, and are rapidly gaining knowledge about the role of the receptor in the regulation of metabolism. However, many crucial aspects of the molecular mechanism by which modulation of PPAR-γ activity affects insulin resistance and glucose homeostasis are still not clearly understood. Here the authors review the current status of PPAR-γ research, with an emphasis on its role in the causes and treatment of type 2 diabetes.
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MOLECULAR BIOLOGY OF PEROXISOME PROLIFERATOR-ACTIVATED RECEPTOR-γ (PPAR-γ)

PPAR-γ, together with the closely related receptors PPARα and PPARδ, comprise the PPAR subfamily of ligand-activated transcription factors. Although structurally closely related, each of the PPAR subtypes display distinct expression patterns and biological functions [1]. The endogenous ligands for all three receptors appear to be derivatives of dietary fatty acids and arachidonate metabolites [2]. PPARα is expressed predominantly in liver, heart, muscle, and kidney, where it positively regulates fatty acid oxidation pathways [3]. This function of PPARα is consistent with the observation that the fibrate class of lipid-lowering drugs were found to be activators of PPARα [4, 5]. The function of PPARδ is much less well understood. It is expressed in most tissues and has been implicated in lipid homeostasis, keratinocyte proliferation, and wound healing [6–8]. PPARγ is enriched in adipocytes (although it is present in many tissues) and can be activated by the thiazolidinedione (TZD) class of antidiabetic drugs [9]. In addition to the role of PPARγ in regulating metabolism and adipocyte differentiation, which is the subject of this review, molecular and genetic studies have also established roles for PPARγ in inflammation and atherosclerosis [10–13].

As a member of the nuclear receptor family of ligand-activated transcription factors, PPARγ has the classical domain structure shared by most members of this family, with clearly defined activation, ligand-binding, and DNA-binding domains (Figure 1). PPARγ activates transcription by binding to short DNA sequence element known as a PPRE (PPAR response element) that are found in the regulatory regions of PPARγ target genes (i.e., genes whose transcriptional activity is regulated by PPARγ). The active form of the receptor that binds to the PPRE is a heterodimer with another ligand-activated transcription factor called the retinoid X receptor, or RXR (Figure 1). RXR functions as a dimeric binding partner for many nuclear receptors, including the other PPARs, the retinoic acid receptor,
FIGURE 1

Upper panel. The domain structure of PPARγ showing the approximate locations of the N-terminal activation domain (AF1), the DNA binding domain (DBD), and the ligand binding domain (LBD). The shaded area indicates the 28–amino acid N-terminal domain that is present in the PPARγ2 isoform but not in the PPARγ1 isoform. Lower panel. Schematic diagram representing a PPARγ/RXR dimer bound a PPAR-specific regulatory element (PPRE) located in the upstream regulatory region of a typical PPARγ-regulated gene.

and the thyroid hormone receptor. Because RXR itself is also activated by specific ligands, its presence adds an additional dimension to transcriptional regulation by PPARγ.

The molecular mechanism by which PPARγ activates transcription in response to thiazolidinediones is similar to how other members of the nuclear receptor family activate transcription in response to binding the appropriate ligand (for a more detailed review of the molecular mechanisms of transcriptional activation by nuclear receptors see [14]). In the absence of ligand, the transcriptionally inactive PPARγ/RXR dimer can still bind DNA and occupy PPRE recognition sequences in PPAR target genes. In this unliganded state, the PPAR/RXR dimer can interact with one of several transcriptional accessory proteins referred to as corepressors. These corepressors proteins (e.g., N-Cor or SMRT) have histone-modifying activities that act to maintain the local chromatin configuration in a transcriptionally inactive form. The binding of a TZD (or any other agonist) to PPARγ induces a change in the structure of the receptor that reduces its affinity for the corepressor and increases its affinity for another class of transcriptional accessory proteins called coactivators. Transcriptional coactivators, such as P300 SRC1 or CBP, carry out various functions that increase the transcriptional activity of the PPAR target gene. Some coactivators contain a histone acetylase enzymatic activity that modifies the local chromatin structure to a more open or active form. In addition, coactivators may mediate an interaction between the receptor and the RNA polymerase complex that increases its transcriptional activity. It is the ligand-induced exchange of corepressor for coactivator proteins that leads to an increase in the transcriptional activity of PPARγ target genes after ligand treatment.

The PPARγ protein is found in tissues throughout the body, but is most highly expressed in adipose tissue. There are two major forms of the protein, PPARγ1 and PPARγ2, that are encoded by the same gene but transcribed from different promoters. The PPARγ2 protein is identical to PPARγ1 except for an additional 28 amino acids at the N-terminus in the human protein (Figure 1). The functional difference between these two PPARγ isoforms is not clearly understood, although as described below PPARγ2 may have a more dominant role in regulating the process of adipocyte differentiation. It is not clear which of the two isoforms plays a more important role in mediating the therapeutic actions of the TZD drugs.

PPARγ PLAYS A MAJOR ROLE IN ADIPOCYTE DIFFERENTIATION

PPARγ was initially recognized as a transcription factor involved in the activation of the adipocyte fatty acid binding protein aP2 during the differentiation of adipocytes from undifferentiated precursor cells [15], and it was rapidly recognized as playing a central role in the process of adipogenesis [16]. It is now known that the presence of PPARγ is absolutely required for the formation of adipose tissue [17]. As will be discussed later in this review, PPARγ (primarily the γ1 isoform) is also present at lower levels in many other tissues, and probably has important functions outside adipose tissues. On the other hand, it seems likely that many of the metabolic effects of thiazolidinedione treatment are the result of PPARγ activation in adipocytes. In addition, the process adipogenesis influences the number of adipocytes in the body, which together with adipocyte size, are important determinants of obesity and of multiple parameters of energy metabolism. For these reasons, the function of PPARγ in adipocytes is of particular significance in understanding the relationship of PPARγ and disease. As described below, many of the molecular details of the adipocyte differentiation process that generates mature adipocytes from fibroblast-like precursor cells are now known.

It is important to note that our understanding of how PPARγ participates in the generation of mature adipocytes from precursor cells is based primarily on in vitro models of adipogenesis such as the mouse 3T3L1 cell line. Although these cell lines are very amenable to experimentation, they produce adipocytes that are strikingly different in some respects than native adipocytes found in adipose tissue in vivo. For example, fully differentiated 3T3L1 adipocytes are multilocular (contain multiple lipid droplets), whereas native adipocytes in white fat
(the predominant type of adipose tissue in humans) display a unilocular distribution of lipid. Although we know that many of the characteristics of adipocyte differentiation in cultured cell lines are also important features of in vivo adipogenesis, it is important to bear in mind that some aspects of adipogenesis that have been learned from cell culture systems, as described below, may differ from the process as it occurs in vivo.

Confluent cultures of 3T3L1 fibroblasts can be induced to differentiate into adipocytes by treatment with a hormonal cocktail containing insulin, dexamethasone, and a phosphodiesterase inhibitor (for a detailed review of the molecular biology of adipogenesis see [18]). One of the first steps in this process is the reentry of growth-arrested preadipocytes into the cell cycle and the completion of several rounds of clonal expansion. Multiple genes involved in the cell-cycle control are required for this step to proceed, including the tumor suppressor retinoblastoma protein (Rb) and several cyclin-dependent kinases and their inhibitors (p18, p21, and p27). This and subsequent steps of the program of adipogenesis are controlled to a large degree by a cascade of gene expression events regulated by a small set of transcription factors. Together with PPARγ, the three CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins C/EBPα, -β, and -δ comprise primary the set of transcription factor determinants of adipogenesis.

One of the initial steps in the transcriptional cascade initiated in response to adipogenic signals is the rapid induction of C/EBPβ and -δ expression. These transcription factors orchestrate cell-cycle reentry by stimulating the expression of the CDK inhibitor p21, which acts to inhibit the Rb protein and relieve its block on cell-cycle progression. C/EBPδ and -β have also been shown to induce expression of the PPARγ gene that goes on to play a key role in subsequent steps in the differentiation process. The importance of C/EBPβ and -δ for adipogenesis was clearly demonstrated by loss-of-function and gain-of-function genetic studies in mice. Overexpression of either C/EBPβ or -δ in preadipocytes enhanced adipogenesis [19], whereas embryonic fibroblast cells derived from mice lacking either C/EBPδ or -β had reduced levels of adipogenesis compared with wild-type [20].

The induction of C/EBPβ and -δ is immediately followed by an increase in PPARγ and C/EBPα expression. Although, as described above, the γ-1 and γ-2 isoforms are nearly identical, it appears that the two proteins have distinct activities with regard to adipocyte differentiation. When the expression of the PPARγ2 isoform was specifically reduced by introduction of an artificial transcriptional repressor, adipogenesis was strongly inhibited [21]. A parallel experiment using a cell line in which the expression of the both isoforms was knocked down, the exogenous delivery of only the PPARγ2 isoform into PPARγ-deficient cells was able to completely restore the adipogenesis, whereas overexpression of only PPARγ1 had little effect. It may be that PPARγ1, which is already expressed in preadipocytes, behaves as a priming factor (along with C/EBPβ and -δ) for the induction of PPARγ2, and/or cell-cycle regulation to prime the preadipocytes for the differentiation.

As the program of differentiation proceeds, the expression of C/EBPα increases following the increase in PPARγ2 expression. Like PPARγ, C/EBPα is required for proper adipogenesis, as targeted gene knockout in mice results in embryonic lethality and failure to develop normal adipose tissue [22, 23]. There has been an intense research effort to understand the relationship between these two transcription factors and the role they play in adipogenesis. Several studies have demonstrated that PPARγ and C/EBPα coregulate each other’s expression. Mice with reduced PPARγ expression due to heterozygous gene knockout displayed a drastically reduced level of C/EBPα [17], and mice with disrupted C/EBPα expression showed a reduced level of PPARγ [22]. Introduction of either PPARγ or C/EBPα into NIH3T3 cells is sufficient to convert these normally nonadipogenic cells from fibroblasts into adipocytes [16, 24]. Using cell lines missing either the PPARγ gene or the C/EBPα gene, it was shown that PPARγ could induce adipogenesis in the absence of C/EBPα, but that the converse was not true [25]. Taken together, these findings support a model in which both of the transcription factors work coordinately to carry out adipogenesis; with PPARγ2 playing the primary role and C/EBPα acting mostly to induce and maintain PPARγ2 expression.

REDUCTION OF PPARγ ACTIVITY BY GENETIC MUTATION CAUSES DIABETES

Genetic studies have been extremely useful in evaluating the role of PPARγ in obesity, lipodystrophy and type 2 diabetes. To date, a total of seven inherited mutations have been identified in the human PPARγ gene (Figure 2) including one
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**FIGURE 2** Location of mutation in the human PPARγ2 gene, as described in the text. The shaded area indicates the 28–amino acid N-terminal domain that is unique to the PPARγ2 isoform. The numbering system used to describe each mutation is based on the amino acid sequence of the PPARγ2 isoform. Thus, V318M and P495L correspond to the V290L and P467L mutations described in Barroso et al. [27].
gain-of-function mutation (P115Q) that may be associated with obesity [26], four loss-of-function missense mutations [27–29] associated with lipodystrophy and insulin resistance, and one frameshift mutation that is associated with diabetes only as a compound heterozygote with a mutation in the protein phosphatase 1 gene [30]. These six mutations are all relatively rare, affecting very small numbers of individuals. The seventh mutation is a common polymorphism that changes a Pro to Ala at codon 12 in the N-terminus of the PPARγ2 isoform [31], which, in some studies, has been associated with a reduced risk for type 2 diabetes and increased insulin sensitivity.

The P12A Polymorphism in the PPARγ2 Gene

The common P12A polymorphism in the PPARγ gene is a missense mutation of a CCA-to-GCA at codon 12 in the N-terminal domain that is unique to the γ-2 isoform. It appears in the human population with a frequency ranging from 12% in Caucasians to 1% in Chinese [31]. The Ala allele was associated with a reduced risk for type 2 diabetes in Finnish and Japanese populations [32], although subsequent studies failed to find an association [33, 34], or even observed an increase in body mass index in subjects with the P12A mutation [35–38]. However, a recent meta-analysis of six studies concluded that the Ala allele was generally associated with a 25% reduced risk of type 2 diabetes [39]. At the molecular level, the Ala variant showed decreased binding affinity to PPRE sequences and reduced transcriptional activity [32]. Taken together, these findings raise the possibility that a mild reduction, specifically in the activity of the PPARγ2 isoform (with PPARγ1 activity unchanged), causes a small increase in insulin sensitivity and confers a protection against the development of type 2 diabetes.

PPARγ Mutations Associated With Familial Partial Lipodystrophy

The lipodystrophies are a heterogeneous group of adipose tissue disorders characterized by selective loss of fat from various parts of the body [40]. Dunnigan-type familial partial lipodystrophy (FPLD; MIM 151660) is an autosomal dominant syndrome characterized by total loss of subcutaneous adipose tissue selectively from extremities (visceral fat is unchanged or increased), and multiple metabolic disturbances including insulin resistance, diabetes, and dyslipidemia [40]. In some lineages mutations in LMNA (MIM 150330) encoding nuclear lamin A/C cause FPLD [41–43]. However, there are families with FPLD that do not have mutations in the LMNA gene and the genetic etiology of the syndrome in these cases is unknown. Considering the role of PPARγ in adipocyte biology and the development of adipose tissue, a PPARγ mutation would be a reasonable candidate for the genetic cause of FPLD in these cases. As outlined below, four separate mutations in the PPARγ gene have now been described that cause FPLD and its associated metabolic disorders.

P467L and V290M

In 1999 O’Rahilly and colleagues identified two heterozygous mutations in the ligand-binding domain of PPARγ in three subjects with severe insulin resistance [27]. Both P467L and V290M mutations were shown to be partially defective in ligand binding and to have reduced transcriptional activity (Table 1). Further, in vitro cell culture systems, both mutations were shown to inhibit the action of the wild-type receptor in a dominant-negative fashion. The P467L mutation involves a residue that is critical for ligand-dependent transactivation and coactivator recruitment. Consistent with its impaired transcriptional activity, the P467L mutant displays markedly attenuated release of corepressor and recruitment coactivator. Interestingly, the dominant-negative activity of both of these mutations can be alleviated by a class of tyrosine-based artificial PPARγ ligands, but not by TZD ligands [44]. Clinically, all three subjects show severe hyperinsulinemia, hypertension, and dyslipidemia. Given the proposed role of PPARγ in adipogenesis, it is important to note that all subjects have normal body mass index (BMI). Though the initial publication did not report lipoatrophy or abnormal fat distribution,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand affinity</th>
<th>Transcriptional activity</th>
<th>Coactivator binding</th>
<th>Corepressor binding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V290M</td>
<td>↓↓↓</td>
<td>Not changed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F388L</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Not changed</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R425C</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P467L</td>
<td>↓↓↓</td>
<td>Not changed</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Arrows indicate approximate relative changes in activity as described in text. Dom/Neg, dominant negative; nd, not determined.
a subsequent study on the same subjects revealed a form of partial lipodystrophy in which subcutaneous fat was lost from the limbs and gluteal region but preserved in both the subcutaneous and visceral abdominal fat depots [45]. Euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp studies on these individuals indicated markedly impaired insulin-induced peripheral glucose disposal and suppression of hepatic glucose output. Interestingly, the 3- and 9-year-old children of the F467L proband, who are also carriers of the F467L mutation, showed a three- to four-fold increase in fasting plasma insulin, suggesting the presence of insulin resistance. Rosiglitazone treatment of subjects with the F467L and V290M mutations for 6 months resulted in significant increases in total body fat with an improvement in plasma levels of the adipose-derived hormones leptin and Adipo30 [45]. This observation suggests that despite the dominant-negative effects of these mutations, enough PPARγ activity can be restored by TZD treatment to have a beneficial effect.

**R425C**

While examining PPARγ as a candidate gene in patients with FPLD, Agarwal and Garg [29] identified a C-to-T heterozygous mutation at nucleotide 1273 in exon 6, which changes a highly conserved residue, arginine at position 425, to cysteine (R425C) in one patient. This missense mutation was absent in DNA samples from four unaffected family members and 48 unrelated healthy individuals. No germline transmission of this mutation was demonstrated. The affected individual developed diabetes and hypertriglyceridemia at age 32 years and had a loss of subcutaneous fat from the extremities and face. Although functional aspects of this mutation have not been characterized, examining the crystal structure of PPARγ as determined earlier by Nolte et al. [46] suggested that Arg425 may be critical for proper protein folding.

**F388L**

Recently, a family was identified with three generations of subjects with partial lipodystrophy in whom the LMNA gene was normal. A mutation in the ligand-binding domain of PPARγ was identified that cosegregated with the lipodystrophy phenotype [28]. This novel T-to-A mutation at nucleotide 1164 in exon 5 predicted substitution of phenylalanine at codon 388 by leucine (F388L). The mutation was absent from unaffected family members and 260 normal unrelated subjects. Affected individuals had prominent muscularity of calves and lower arms due to paucity of subcutaneous fat and accumulation of subcutaneous facial, neck, supraclavicular, and abdominal fat. All subjects carrying the mutation had hyperinsulinemia, hyperlipoproteinemia, and hypoalphalipoproteinemia. Functionally, this mutation impairs basal transcriptional activity and reduces affinity of the receptor for the ligand rosiglitazone. However, in contrast to the P467L and V290M mutations described above, the F388L mutation does not exert dominant-negative activity when transfected together with the wild-type protein into cultured fibroblasts.

All four of these mutations in the PPARγ ligand-binding domain appear to cause some degree of reduction in the activity of the receptor (Table 1). An important issue regarding the relationship between PPARγ function and disease is the degree to which these mutations reduce the total amount of PPARγ activity in cells of affected individuals. Because all of these patients are heterozygotes and carry one normal PPARγ gene, it is important to know if these mutations act in a dominant-negative fashion and inhibit the activity of the wild-type PPARγ protein present in the patient’s cells. The P467L and V290M mutations have been reported to have dominant-negative activity and would be expected to reduce total PPARγ activity to less than 50%. On the other hand, the F388L mutation does not appear to be dominant negative, and cells from patients carrying this mutation would be expected to have 50% or more of the normal amount of PPARγ activity. In spite of these likely differences in total PPARγ activity, all of the patients have quite similar symptoms: partial lipodystrophy, insulin resistance, diabetes, dyslipidemia, and hypertension. Together, these findings suggest that even a relatively mild reduction in PPARγ activity is sufficient in humans to cause the striking metabolic phenotypes associated with FPLD.

However, several observations suggest that there may not be a simple relationship between a reduction in PPARγ activity and metabolic disease. As described above, the P12A polymorphism appears to cause a mild reduction in PPARγ activity, but has been associated with reduced risk of diabetes [39]. This could be due to the fact that, unlike the lipodystrophy-associated mutations, the P12A change does not affect the PPARγ1 isoform. Another possibility is that the location of the lipodystrophy mutations in ligand binding domain (as opposed to the N-terminus) has a strong effect on the physiological phenotype of the mutation. Differences in the phenotypic effects of these mutants may also be brought about by interaction with unidentified modifier genes in either the periphery or the pancreas that influence specific aspects of glucose homeostasis. Another very interesting finding that adds even more complexity is the protection from diet-induced insulin resistance seen in mice lacking one copy of the PPARγ gene (heterozygous PPARγ−/− knockouts) [47, 48]. The question of how a partial reduction in PPARγ activity leads to lipodystrophy and diabetes in humans but to protection from diabetes in mice will clearly require additional research and a better understanding of the molecular mechanism by which PPARγ regulates metabolism in both mice and humans.
PPARγ Mutations With Unclear Phenotypes
The P115Q Gain-of-Function Mutation
Ristow et al. [26] reported the presence of a G-to-T mutation in exon 2 of the human PPARγ gene, which was present in 3% (4 of 121) of obese individuals and in 0% (0 of 237) of nonobese German Caucasians. This mutation resulted in a substitution of proline by glutamine at position 115 and prevented the phosphorylation of an adjacent serine residue at position 114 by mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase. Phosphorylation of PPARγ at this site has been shown to negatively regulate its activity [49, 50], suggesting that the P115Q alteration would be a gain-of-function mutation. Consistent with this notion is the observation that a PPARγ protein bearing the P115Q mutation had increased activity in an in vitro adipocyte differentiation assay [26]. Although these results strongly suggest that the P115Q alteration acts as a gain-of-function mutation, the conclusion that the mutation causes obesity is not supported by other experiments. Results from mice bearing phosphorylation defective S114A PPARγ mutations were not obese and in fact were more insulin sensitive than their wild-type counterparts when subjected to a high-fat diet [51]. In addition, the P115Q mutation has failed to emerge as associated with obesity in numerous follow-up studies [52–54]. An additional recent study [55] identified the P115Q variant in one proband from 48 subjects with impaired glucose tolerance and insulin resistance (IR). This subject had a lower whole body glucose uptake characterized by euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp studies and comparable body weight (BMI 28.5 kg/m²) with that of the IR group (30.3 ± 0.8 kg/m²). Taken together, these results do not strongly support a genetic linkage or causal connection between the P115Q mutation and obesity and diabetes in humans.

The 186 Frameshift/Premature Stop Mutation
The insulin resistance/diabetes syndromes described above are simple monogenic syndromes. An interesting diabetes-associated PPARγ mutation with a much more complex genetic profile has recently been described [30]. This frameshift mutation causes a premature stop at amino acid 186 in the DNA-binding domain that produces a completely nonfunctional receptor. Affected subjects are double heterozygotes for this mutation in the PPARγ gene and a mutation in the PPP1R3 gene that encodes the muscle-specific regulatory subunit of protein phosphatase 1, an enzyme that plays a key role in the regulation of glycogen storage. Individuals that had only the PPARγ frameshift/premature stop mutation or the PPP1RE mutation had no discernable metabolic abnormalities. However, the presence of the two mutations in the same individual resulted in severe insulin resistance, suggesting that an interaction of genes may underlie metabolic disorders such as type 2 diabetes in humans.

ACTIVATION OF PPARγ BY TZD COMPOUNDS IS ANTIABETIC
The initial indication that PPARγ plays a crucial role in the regulation of glucose homeostasis and energy metabolism was the discovery that antidiabetic drugs of the TZD chemical class had their beneficial metabolic effects by direct activation of PPARγ. In patients with type 2 diabetes, treatment with TZD compounds results in improved peripheral insulin sensitivity and reduced plasma glucose concentrations [56]. The TZDs were first identified in the 1980s, prior to the discovery of the PPARγ receptor and in the absence of any knowledge of their mechanism of action. In the early 1990s it was independently discovered that TZDs could cause the differentiation of 3T3L1 preadipocytes [57] and that PPARγ was an important transcriptional mediator of adipocyte differentiation [16, 58]. Shortly thereafter it was determined that TZDs were direct ligands of PPARγ [9], suggesting that the effects of these compounds on adipocytes was mediated by activation of PPARγ [59]. It is now generally accepted that the antidiabetic activities of the TZDs are also mediated by activation of PPARγ. In strong support of this notion is the observation that other synthetic ligands for PPARγ that have been selected exclusively for their ability to activate the receptor show a very similar antidiabetic profile as the TZDs (for an example see [60]).

In spite of a great deal of work in this area, the mechanism by which TZDs increase insulin sensitivity and reduce plasma glucose concentration is not fully understood. It seems likely that the primary site of action for these drugs is adipose tissue, as adipocytes contain a higher concentration of PPARγ than other cell types. There are several possible mechanistic scenarios whereby TZDs could have global effects on metabolism by acting directly and uniquely in adipose tissue (discussed below). However, several lines of evidence indicate that at least some of the antidiabetic activity of TZDs is mediated by direct effects on muscle. In some studies, diabetic mice genetically engineered to have no adipose tissue still responded to TZD treatment [61], although this was not observed in all fatless mouse models [62]. In support of the possibility that TZDs have direct effects in muscle are two recent publications demonstrating that muscle-specific PPARγ-knockout mice (animals without any expression of PPARγ in skeletal muscle, but with normal expression in all other tissues) are insulin resistant and at least partially defective in their response to TZD treatment [63, 64]. Although these findings do not completely agree on the relative contribution of muscle PPARγ to the overall action of TZD drugs, they suggest that there is a significant role for skeletal muscle PPARγ in the antidiabetic action of PPARγ agonists and that the overall physiological effect of TZD treatment is due to the combined effect of PPARγ activation in muscle as well as adipose tissue.
How might activation of PPARγ in adipose have beneficial affects on whole body insulin sensitivity? One potential mechanism is based on the known function of PPARγ in regulating adipocyte differentiation pathways. In this model PPARγ activation leads to an increase in fat-cell number and an improvement in the ability of adipocytes to store lipid. It is now understood that “ectopic” storage of fat in muscle, liver, and pancreatic islet cells is associated and may contribute to the metabolic abnormalities in these tissues associated with diabetes [65]. A TZD-induced increase in the lipid storage capability of adipose tissue would act to reduce the degree of deleterious lipid accumulation in muscle, liver, and pancreas and improve metabolic function of these tissues. In support of this model, the known target genes for PPARγ, whose expression would presumably be activated upon TZD treatment, are involved in lipid transport and storage and would act to increase the lipid storage capability of adipose tissue. In addition, TZD treatment has been shown to induce the appearance of clusters of small multicellular adipocytes and loss of large unilocular adipocytes in diabetic mice and rats [66–68]. It is possible that these smaller adipocytes are more efficient at lipid storage than larger adipocytes. Whether the small adipocytes are derived from stem cell mitosis, recruitment of committed preadipocytes, or possibly by division of mature cells is not known. The loss of large fat cells was attributed to cellular apoptosis; however, the impact of TZD treatment on the fate and turnover of mature adipocytes has not been investigated directly [67].

Consistent with the observations of adipose tissue remodeling is the increased subcutaneous fat mass and reduced visceral fat mass seen in diabetic patients treated long-term with TZDs [69, 70]. Visceral fat is known to be more lipolytic in response to catecholamine stimulation than subcutaneous fat, and to efficiently deliver free fatty acids and other secreted factors to insulin-sensitive tissues such as liver and muscle, possibly causing an increase in insulin resistance. Although intrinsic metabolic differences between subcutaneous and visceral fat are not completely understood, current evidence suggests that subjects with increased visceral fat are at considerably higher risk for diabetes and cardiovascular complications than those with increased subcutaneous fat. These observations, plus the demonstration that PPARγ levels are higher in subcutaneous than in visceral fat [71], raise the possibility that PPARγ activation by TZDs is fat depot specific, and that differential activation of PPARγ in subcutaneous fat leads to a beneficial reproportioning of key metabolically active adipose beds.

Another mechanism whereby activation of PPARγ in adipocytes could have general effects on metabolism is by altering the production of metabolically active adipocyte-derived hormones (adipokines). In addition to its function as an energy storage depot, we now understand that adipose tissue is also a bona fide endocrine organ, secreting hormones that regulate fat metabolism in other tissues throughout the body. The list of biologically active peptides known to be secreted by fat cells has grown significantly in recent years, and although the physiological function of most of these adipokines is not fully understood, it is clear that they are important components of the system that orchestrates the control of glucose and lipid metabolism throughout the body.

Adiponectin (also called ACRP30 or adipQ) is an excellent candidate for a fat-derived hormone that could mediate the antidiabetic effects of PPARγ ligands. Originally identified as a secreted fat-specific protein whose expression was induced during adipogenesis, adiponectin levels were found to be reduced in obesity and increased by weight loss. In addition, the adiponectin gene maps to a region on chromosome 3 that is associated with diabetes and metabolic syndrome (reviewed in [72]). Treatment of rodents with adiponectin was found to increase muscle fatty acid oxidation, reverse insulin resistance, and improve hepatic insulin action [73, 74]. These observations raise the possibility that the physiological role of adiponectin may be to promote lipid oxidation in nonadipose tissue, which would reduce the amount of stored lipid. Importantly, it has recently been demonstrated that levels of adiponectin are increased in patients treated with TZDs and that its expression in adipocytes is induced by PPARγ agonists [73–75].

Another possible adipokine that may participate in mediating the antidiabetic effects of PPARγ ligands is the protein resistin (also known as adipocyte secreted factor, ADSF, or FIZZ3). In contrast to adiponectin, resistin appears to have diabetest promoting effects on metabolism and was found to be overexpressed in rodent models of diet-induced obesity and to induce insulin resistance and glucose intolerance in normal mice (reviewed in [76]). These data suggest that resistin acts in a converse manner to adiponectin, increasing insulin resistance and promoting the development of diabetes. Reports that TZD treatment reduce circulating levels of resistin [77] support the possibility that it mediates some of the antidiabetic effects of these drugs. However this relationship between resistin, TZD treatment, and diabetes was not observed in all models of the disease [78, 79] and additional work will need to be carried out to fully clarify the role of resistin as another potential link between obesity and diabetes.

Yet another prodiabetic adipokine that is regulated by PPARγ is the inflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), which is secreted by adipocytes under some circumstances. TNFα production by adipocytes is elevated in obese rodents and humans and positively correlates with insulin resistance [80, 81] and in some studies inactivation of TNFα using antibody treatment improved insulin action [82]. As with resistin, the combination of elevated expression in
obesity and insulin resistance promoting activity of TNFα raises the possibility that it contributes to the functional link between obesity and diabetes. Interestingly a mutual antagonism exists between TNFα and PPARγ: TNFα inhibits PPARγ expression in adipocytes whereas PPARγ activation by TZDs can partially overcome the diabetogenic effects of TNFα, potentially explaining at least some of the insulin-sensitizing activity of PPARγ ligands.

CONCLUSION

It is clear that the PPARγ transcription factor carries out a variety of crucial functions in multiple tissues that influence many aspects of physiology and metabolism. However, perhaps the primary conclusions that can be drawn from a review of the current literature on the biology of PPARγ is that after many years of work by a large number of investigators, we still do not have anything approaching a complete understanding of the mechanisms by which this important protein carries out these functions. If there is one general lesson that can be taken from the body of literature, it is that even relatively mild alterations of PPARγ activity, in either direction, can have a dramatic influence on many important aspects of the physiology of the organism. This fact alone is strong motivation for continuing to work on PPARγ with the ultimate goals of understanding its exact role in the etiology of metabolic diseases and devising better PPARγ-targeted therapeutic agents.
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