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Skin Autofluorescence Relates to Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation End-Products and Albuminuria in Diabetes Mellitus
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The aim of this study was to compare skin autofluorescence caused by advanced glycation end-products (AGEs) with biochemical markers of endothelial dysfunction and soluble receptor for AGEs (sRAGE) in patients with diabetes. Skin autofluorescence (AF) assessed by AGE-Reader was evaluated with sRAGE and other biochemical parameters in 88 patients with diabetes (47 Type 1/T1DM/ and 41 Type 2/T2DM/) and 20 controls. Skin AF was significantly higher in T1DM and T2DM in comparison to controls (2.39 ± 0.54, 2.63 ± 0.73 versus 1.96 ± 0.33 AU; P < 0.0001). Positive correlation of AF with sRAGE was detected in T1DM and T2DM (r = 0.37, P < 0.02 and r = 0.60, P < 0.0001), but not in controls. Significantly higher AF values were found in patients with positive albuminuria as compared to those with normal albuminuria. Similarly, higher AF was detected in patients with endothelial dysfunction expressed by vWF, ICAM-1, and VCAM-1. Multiple regression analysis revealed independent association of skin AF with age, sRAGE, and albumin-creatinine ratio in patients with diabetes (R² = 0.38). Our study confirms that AF is elevated in patients with diabetes, especially with positive albuminuria and endothelial dysfunction. The strong and independent relationship between AF and sRAGE supports the idea that AF may reflect AGEs/RAGE interactions. The exact mechanism remains to be established.

1. Introduction

The accumulation of advanced glycation end-products (AGEs) belongs to the most important processes in the pathogenesis of long-term vascular complications in diabetes [1, 2]. AGEs are final products of nonenzymatic glycation of proteins, forming cross-links with collagen and other proteins, resulting in decreased vessel elasticity [3]. Cellular function and growth abilities are altered by AGEs as well [4]. In addition, AGEs activate receptors for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE) with subsequently accelerated inflammation [5, 6]. At the present time, a wide range of substances called alarmins, such as S100 calgranulins (e.g., EN-RAGE—also called S100A12), high mobility group box-1 (HMGB1), or amyloid-β peptide, is known to bind and activate RAGE more potently than AGEs alone [7]. Alarmins are present in physiological conditions, especially in acute inflammation, but their role is obviously also in promoting chronic inflammation as observed in diabetes, aging, atherosclerosis, tumorogenesis, or neurodegenerative disorders [8, 9]. On the contrary, the soluble form of RAGE (sRAGE) has been proposed as a protective biomarker elevated by RAGE activation [10–12].

The DCCT substudy showed that skin collagen glycation products are better predictors for diabetes complications than HbA1c [13]. The need for skin biopsy was, however, a serious limitation in clinical monitoring. Other clinical studies have demonstrated that increased serum levels of AGEs are seen within 2–5 years of diabetes onset according to glycemic
levels [14]. Assessment of serum AGEs was, however, rarely used in clinical practice, since expensive technics such as gas and liquid chromatography or mass spectrometry were necessary [15]. Another determination of fluorescent AGEs was based on spectrofluorometric detection [16], but this method was rather abandoned for nonspecificity.

Recently, the AGE-Reader measuring skin autofluorescence was developed for noninvasive assessment of AGEs levels in the skin. A significant correlation between skin AGEs measured noninvasively and skin biopsy levels of collagen-linked fluorescence was found. Moreover, skin AF also correlated with serum levels of AGEs (pentosidine, CML, CEL) [17]. The results may reflect both metabolic and cardiovascular risks in patients with diabetes and thus could be routinely used in diabetes care [18, 19].

The aim of this study was to compare AGEs levels in the skin measured by AGE-Reader with biochemical markers of endothelial dysfunction (vWF, ICAM, VCAM, E-selectin, and P-selectin) and sRAGE levels in Type 1 and Type 2 patients with diabetes, to search for a relationship between AGE/RAGE axis and endothelial function.

2. Patients and Methods

2.1. Subjects. The whole group of 88 patients with diabetes consisted of 47 patients (27 males, 20 females) with Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) and 41 patients (25 males, 16 females) with Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), all from the diabetes outpatient clinic. Since the skin autofluorescence is age dependent [17], the subjects of roughly similar variation in calendar age were included. Their characteristics are shown in Table 1. All patients with severe hypertension, renal failure or liver impairment, neurodegenerative disorders, known malignancy, or infections, which could significantly influence laboratory variables, were excluded from the study. All patients with Type 1 diabetes were on intensified insulin treatment using 4 to 5 insulin injections, 20 patients with Type 2 diabetes were on metformin alone, 10 patients were on oral agents plus insulin, and the remaining 11 patients with Type 2 diabetes were treated by insulin only. Twenty T1DM and 30 T2DM were treated by statins. ACE inhibitors or AT1 blockers were used in 25 T1DM and 38 T2DM. Control group consisted of 20 healthy persons (5 males, 15 females) of comparable age.

The study was performed in accordance with principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by local Ethics Committee of the General University Hospital and First Faculty of Medicine. All examined persons gave informed consent prior to being enrolled into the study.

2.2. Skin Autofluorescence. Skin AF was assessed on the ventral site of the forearm by AGE-Reader (DiagnOptics BV, Groningen, The Netherlands), as described previously [20]. Skin AF is calculated by dividing the mean value of the emitted light intensity per nm between 420 and 600 nm by the mean value of the excitation light intensity per nm between 300 and 420 nm, expressed in arbitrary units (AU). The intra-individual percent Altman error is 5.0% on a single day and 5.9% for seasonal changes [17].

2.3. Biochemical Methods. Fasting blood samples were collected between 7.00 and 8.00 AM from the cubital vein. Routine biochemical parameters were determined in fresh samples, whereas special biochemical analyses were done in serum frozen at −80°C until the assay measurement.

Serum concentrations of soluble RAGE were measured according to the manufacturer’s protocol using sandwich ELISA (QuantiKine, RD Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). In this assay, the plate is coated with a monoclonal antibody against RAGE while a polyclonal antibody is used for detection. This assay measures both C-truncated RAGE that has been cleaved from the cell surface, and esRAGE as well [21]. Endothelial dysfunction was evaluated by serum concentrations of specific markers, such as adhesion molecules (ICAM, VCAM, E-selectin, and P-selectin) and vWF, as proposed previously [22, 23]. Cell adhesion molecules Human sP-selectin/CD62P, Human sE-selectin/CD62E, Human sICAM-1/CD54, and Human sVCAM-1 were estimated by ELISA kits manufactured by RD System Europe (Abingdon, UK), von Willebrand factor (vWF) was determined by Corgenix (Broomfield, USA).

Routine biochemical parameters including urea, creatinine, transaminases, alkaline phosphatase, γ-glutamyltransferase, total cholesterol, and triglycerides were determined by standard methods in central laboratory on Modular Roche analyzer. Fasting plasma glucose was determined by glucose oxidase method on glucose analyzer SuperGLAmbulance (Dr. Müller Gerätebau, Freital, Germany); glycated hemoglobin HbA1c was measured by HPLC on Variant II (Biorad, France) and expressed according to IFCC (normal values are 28–40 mmol/mol).

Albuminuria was determined after exclusion of urinary infection in the second morning sample by radioimmunoassay using commercial kits (ImmunoTech, Czech Republic) and albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR) was calculated. Positive albuminuria (A+) was recognized when albumin/creatinine ratio >3 g/mol creatinine was found. Logarithmically transformed data were used for further analysis because log-normal distribution of the values was found. Renal functions were evaluated by estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) calculated by MDRD formula [24].

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Results of biochemical parameters are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or as median (interquartile range). Differences between the groups were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc test analysis. Correlations between variables were assessed by Pearson’s or Spearman’s coefficient as appropriate. Variables correlated with AF at P < 0.05 were included in the multivariate analysis. Multiple linear regression analysis was carried out to disclose the statistically independent associations of AF with other variables. For statistical analyses, the software “Statistica 10” by StatSoft
3. Results

The characteristics of patients with Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes and control persons are shown in Table 1. The influence of gender as an independent variable on measured variables was not detected. Diabetes control was not significantly different between Type 1 and Type 2 diabetic patients (HbA1c 7.4 ± 14 versus 7.1 ± 21 mmol/mol, NS). Significantly higher fructosamine was observed in both T1DM and T2DM as compared to controls (369 ± 65 and 305 ± 47 versus 237 ± 20 μmol/L, P < 0.0001).

The results of skin AF and special biochemical variables in T1DM and T2DM as well as in control group are shown in Table 2. Skin AF was significantly higher in patients with T1DM and T2DM in comparison to controls (2.39 ± 0.54, 2.63 ± 0.73 versus 1.96 ± 0.33 AU; P < 0.0001), but the difference between T1DM and T2DM was not significant (Table 2). No significant differences in sRAGE concentration and in other special biochemical variables were found between both types of diabetes.

Type 1 and Type 2 diabetic patients either with or without microalbuminuria were evaluated together (Table 3). Skin AF was higher in diabetic patients with microalbuminuria (A+) compared to those with normoalbuminuria (A−). Similarly, elevated sRAGE concentrations were found in microalbuminuric as compared to normoalbuminuric patients.

Regarding endothelial dysfunction (ED), vWF, ICAM-1, and VCAM-1 were selected as indicators. All patients with diabetes were divided according to the activity of vWF into ED+ vWF (vWF > 110%) and ED− vWF (vWF > 110%) subgroups, according to the concentration of ICAM-1 into ED+ ICAM-1 (ICAM-1 < 300 μg/L) and ED− ICAM-1 (ICAM-1 > 300 μg/L), and according to the concentration of VCAM-1 into ED+ VCAM-1 (VCAM-1 < 800 μg/L) and ED− VCAM-1 (VCAM-1 > 800 μg/L), respectively. Significant differences were observed in the skin AF both between ED+ vWF and ED− vWF subgroups (2.27 ± 0.52 versus 2.63 ± 0.55 AU, P < 0.02), between ED+ ICAM-1 and ED− ICAM-1 subgroups (2.31 ± 0.50 versus 2.91 ± 0.48 AU, P < 0.001), and between ED+ VCAM-1 and ED− VCAM-1 subgroups (2.30 ± 0.42 versus 2.61 ± 0.63 AU, P < 0.05). On the contrary, differences in HbA1c in ED+ vWF versus ED− vWF (73 ± 17 versus 80 ± 19 mmol/mol, NS), ED+ ICAM-1 versus ED− ICAM-1 (74 ± 17 versus 83 ± 20 mmol/mol, NS), and ED+ VCAM-1 versus ED− VCAM-1 (74±18 versus 79±17 mmol/mol, NS) were not significant.

Differences in the skin AF observed in patients using antihypertensive medication (ACE inhibitors or AT1 blockers) or statins did not reach statistical significance. Similarly, the effect of antihyperglycemic therapy on AF levels was evaluated, but there were no significant differences in the skin AF between patients on metformin alone, metformin + insulin, or insulin alone.

Univariate correlation analysis showed several relationships between skin AF and biochemical variables, and significant correlations are shown in Table 4. Firstly, skin AF was related to diabetes control expressed by HbA1c in T2DM (r = 0.41, P < 0.01), but not in T1DM (r = 0.22, NS). Neither relationship of AF to fructosamine nor to fasting plasma glucose concentrations were observed in any group. Skin AF was age dependent both in controls (r = 0.73, P < 0.0005) and in T1DM (r = 0.54, P < 0.0001), but not significantly in T2DM (r = 0.22, NS). In T1DM and T2DM patients skin AF correlated with albuminuria expressed as albumin/creatinine ratio (r = 0.34, P < 0.05; r = 0.44, P < 0.01) (Figure 1) or inversely with eGFR (r = −0.48, P < 0.001; r = −0.30, P = 0.05).

In T1DM but not T2DM, positive relationship was found between skin AF and ICAM-1 (r = 0.61, P < 0.001) and vWF (r = 0.52, P < 0.005). Interestingly, a significant positive correlation of skin AF with sRAGE was detected in T1DM and even stronger in T2DM (r = 0.37, P < 0.02 and r = 0.60, P < 0.0001), but not in controls (r = 0.29, NS) (Figure 2). If the patients with diabetes were divided into subgroups without (A−) and with (A+) microalbuminuria according to ACR, an important positive correlation of skin AF with sRAGE was observed in A+ subgroup (r = 0.61, P < 0.006), while it was less expressed in A− subgroup (r = 0.27, P < 0.03). In both patients with diabetes and controls, no relationship of autofluorescence with sex, blood pressure, or BMI was observed.

Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to establish independent associations of skin AF with those variables in which skin AF was correlated in univariate analysis at P < 0.05. Skin AF was independently associated with age (β = 0.33; P < 0.002), sRAGE (β = 0.36; P < 0.0002), and albuminuria (β = 0.23; P < 0.02) when the patients with both types of diabetes were analyzed together (R² = 0.38). In T1DM and T2DM evaluated separately skin AF was associated independently with age (β = 0.38; P < 0.01) in T1DM (R² = 0.40) and with sRAGE (β = 0.53;
Table 1: Characteristics of patients with Type 1 (T1DM), Type 2 (T2DM), and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T1DM</th>
<th>T2DM</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>ANOVA P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>46 (25–76)</td>
<td>58 (39–77)</td>
<td>46 (24–65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% of males)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DM (yrs)</td>
<td>14 (3–52)</td>
<td>9 (2–31)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td>33.1 ± 10.0</td>
<td>25.5 ± 3.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>131 ± 10</td>
<td>138 ± 13</td>
<td>129 ± 12</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>78 ± 7</td>
<td>79 ± 8</td>
<td>75 ± 6</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.69 ± 0.79</td>
<td>4.54 ± 0.92</td>
<td>4.48 ± 0.61</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.53</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.92</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.58</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>7.9 ± 4.0</td>
<td>9.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA₁c (mmol/IFCC)</td>
<td>74 ± 14</td>
<td>71 ± 21</td>
<td>39 ± 5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructosamine (μmol/L)</td>
<td>369 ± 65</td>
<td>305 ± 47</td>
<td>237 ± 20</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>4.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin/creatinine (g/mol)</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.45 (0.19–1.14)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (μmol/L)</td>
<td>85.8 ± 33.4</td>
<td>88.9 ± 22.2</td>
<td>72.3 ± 12.8</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are means ± SD, or means with 1 SD range, in age and duration of DM medians with ranges. One-way ANOVA was performed, with P values in the last column of the table. Statistical significance expressed by LSD post hoc test between DM and control persons: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001, and between T1DM and T2DM: ^P < 0.05, ~P < 0.01, and ~P < 0.001.

Abbreviations: DM: diabetes mellitus; BMI: body mass index; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; CRP: C-reactive peptide; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate.

Table 2: Skin autofluorescence and novel biochemical parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T1DM</th>
<th>T2DM</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>ANOVA P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF (AU)</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.54</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.73</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sRAGE (ng/L)</td>
<td>1250 ± 627</td>
<td>1040 ± 567</td>
<td>1163 ± 537</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWF (%)</td>
<td>114 ± 48</td>
<td>127 ± 56</td>
<td>93 ± 25</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sICAM-1 (μg/L)</td>
<td>269 ± 89</td>
<td>266 ± 79</td>
<td>223 ± 82</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sVCAM-1 (μg/L)</td>
<td>907 ± 498</td>
<td>816 ± 271</td>
<td>392 ± 91</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-selectin (μg/L)</td>
<td>113 ± 36</td>
<td>101 ± 35</td>
<td>145 ± 52</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-selectin (μg/L)</td>
<td>29 ± 16</td>
<td>36 ± 16</td>
<td>41 ± 15</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are means ± SD, or means with 1 SD range. One-way ANOVA was performed, with P values in the last column of the table. Statistical significance expressed by LSD post hoc test between DM and control persons: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.

Abbreviations: AF: autofluorescence; AU: arbitrary unit; sRAGE: soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products; vWF: von Willebrand factor; sICAM: intercellular adhesion molecule; sVCAM: vascular cell adhesion molecule.

P < 0.004) in T2DM (R² = 0.44). In controls, skin AF was independently associated only with age (β = 0.55; P < 0.03; R² = 0.62). Other parameters such as gender, blood pressure, BMI, total cholesterol, HbA₁c, or fructosamine were not associated with skin AF in our multiple linear regression analysis.

4. Discussion

This is the first study describing significant correlation of skin autofluorescence with sRAGE levels in both T1DM and T2DM. This relationship significantly depends on the severity of diabetic nephropathy. Previously, elevated levels of skin AGEs sRAGE were observed in patient with systemic lupus erythematosus [25], and a significant correlation was described between skin AF and sRAGE in one Japanese with stable coronary artery disease [26]. However, the strong relationship of skin AF and sRAGE in patients with diabetes has not been reported yet. The AGEs/RAGE interaction results in the generation of intracellular oxidative stress, an enhanced inflammatory response and upregulated RAGE-ligand interaction [7]. On the contrary, sRAGE has been reported to act as a decoy for RAGE ligands. But this protective role has been recently supposed to be very limited, since the concentration of sRAGE is many times lower than the concentration of RAGE ligands [27]. In our study, the total pool of soluble RAGE with Quantikine immunoassay was measured and therefore we cannot discern whether the different variants of sRAGE (esRAGE or C-truncated RAGE) have some specific association to the skin autofluorescence. However, strong correlation of sRAGE and esRAGE was reported previously (r = 0.95, P < 0.001) [28].
Table 3: Skin autofluorescence and novel biochemical parameters in subgroups without (A−) and with (A+) (micro)albuminuria according to ACR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A+ (n = 19)</th>
<th>A− (n = 69)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 20)</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF (AU)</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.80b</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.56b</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin/creatinine (g/mol)</td>
<td>13.2±6.0 (3.9–44.0)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.3–1.6)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2–1.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (mmol/mol, IFCC)</td>
<td>90 ± 18c</td>
<td>68 ± 14c</td>
<td>39 ± 5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sRAGE (ng/L)</td>
<td>1457 ± 754b</td>
<td>1066 ± 532c</td>
<td>1163 ± 537c</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWF (%)</td>
<td>137 ± 47b</td>
<td>114 ± 45c</td>
<td>93 ± 25</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sICAM (µg/L)</td>
<td>324 ± 102c</td>
<td>251 ± 70c</td>
<td>223 ± 82c</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sVCAM (µg/L)</td>
<td>965 ± 408c</td>
<td>832 ± 400c</td>
<td>392 ± 91c</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-selectin (µg/L)</td>
<td>102 ± 33b</td>
<td>109 ± 37b</td>
<td>145 ± 52</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-selectin (µg/L)</td>
<td>39 ± 18</td>
<td>31 ± 15a</td>
<td>41 ± 15</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are means ± SD, or means with 1 SD range. One-way ANOVA was performed, with P values in the last column of the table. Statistical significance expressed by LSD post hoc test between DM and control persons: a P < 0.05, b P < 0.01, and c P < 0.001 and between A+ and A−: d P < 0.05, e P < 0.01, f P < 0.001.

Abbreviations: AF: autofluorescence; AU: arbitrary unit; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; sRAGE: soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products; vWF: von Willebrand factor; sICAM: intercellular adhesion molecule; sVCAM: vascular cell adhesion molecule.

Figure 2: Relationship of skin AF and sRAGE in controls, T1DM and T2DM.

Our results confirmed higher skin autofluorescence in T1DM and T2DM than in controls. Similar results were reported previously demonstrating significant relationship between skin autofluorescence and fluorescent and nonfluorescent skin AGEs content [17]. The increased skin accumulation of AGEs in patients with diabetes in consequence of chronic hyperglycemia and/or oxidative stress has been proposed. In our study we did not perform skin biopsies to verify the relationship between skin autofluorescence and tissue AGEs content. Moreover, it should be pointed out that AGEs accumulation in tissues and skin AF, respectively, need not to reflect AGEs levels in circulation, as observed by Nienhuis et al. [25]. Patients in our study with developed microalbuminuria as an early clinical sign of nephropathy had significantly higher skin autofluorescence as compared to those without renal changes also after adjustment to renal functions. The same was shown in the follow-up study by Gerrits et al. [29].

In the present study we found a positive association between skin AF levels and HbA1c in T2DM, but not in T1DM. Such association was not found in T2DM in a cohort...
by Gerrits et al. [30]. Since the relatively short turnover time of hemoglobin [31] as compared to skin AGEs formation and degradation, the HbA1c values cannot always reflect AGEs accumulation. In addition, neither shortly glycated proteins expressed by fructosamine nor fasting plasma glucose were related to AF in our study.

Endothelial dysfunction indicated by elevation of vWF, ICAM-1, or VCAM-1 was associated with significantly higher AF than in diabetic patients with normal levels of these parameters. This is the first observation to our knowledge when AF is higher in diabetic patients with endothelial dysfunction. Elevated vWF and VCAM-1 concentrations as markers of endothelial activation were found together with higher skin autofluorescence in patients with rheumatoid arthritis [32].

There are some limitations of this study. Firstly, we have not measured serum levels of AGEs, since they were detected by AGE-Reader. Some nonfluorescent AGEs are not detected by AGE-Reader, but it has been reported previously that skin autofluorescence strongly correlated with skin levels of nonfluorescent AGE [17]. Moreover, the total specificity and sensitivity of this tool were already reported [17]. Secondly, all subjects in this study were Caucasians and therefore the results cannot be applied in general, since ethnic determinants may influence AF and other parameters. In addition, the total number of patients in each group was limited. Finally, multiple factors such as age, ethnic determinants, AGER gene polymorphisms, or renal functions may influence the sRAGE levels [11, 33, 34]. Similarly, since skin AF is age dependent [17, 35]; we involved younger T2DM predominantly to maintain age-matched groups for further analysis. Despite the fact that sRAGE levels are modified in the presence of microalbuminuria or slightly decreased renal functions, patients with such complications were not excluded from this study although severe renal impairment was not present in any of our patients.

In conclusion, skin AF is an applicable variable for AGEs accumulation assessment. Our study confirms that skin autofluorescence is elevated in patients with diabetes and the most significant increase has been found in patients with microangiopathy expressed mainly by albuminuria. Similar results were found in sRAGE concentrations. The strong relationship between AF and sRAGE supports the idea of mutual interactions between RAGE, AGEs, and other RAGE ligands. Endothelial dysfunction could be an important factor accelerating the AGEs accumulation as well. The exact mechanism of such interactions in patients with diabetes remains to be elucidated.
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