Automated Quantification of Neuropad Improves Its Diagnostic Ability in Patients with Diabetic Neuropathy
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Neuropad is currently a categorical visual screening test that identifies diabetic patients at risk of foot ulceration. The diagnostic performance of Neuropad was compared between the categorical and continuous (image-analysis (Sudometrics)) outputs to diagnose diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN). 110 subjects with type 1 and 2 diabetes underwent assessment with Neuropad, Neuropathy Disability Score (NDS), peroneal motor nerve conduction velocity (PMNCV), sural nerve action potential (SNAP), DeepBreathing-HeartRateVariability(DB-HRV), intraepidermal nerve fibre density (IENFD), and corneal confocal microscopy (CCM). 46/110 patients had DPN according to the Toronto consensus. The continuous output displayed high sensitivity and specificity for DB-HRV (91%, 83%), CNFD (88%, 78%), and SNAP (88%, 83%), whereas the categorical output showed high sensitivity but low specificity. The optimal cut-off points were 90% for the detection of autonomic dysfunction (DB-HRV) and 80% for small fibre neuropathy (CNFD). The diagnostic efficacy of the continuous Neuropad output for abnormal DB-HRV (AUC: 91%, \( P = 0.0003 \)) and CNFD (AUC: 82%, \( P = 0.01 \)) was better than for PMNCV (AUC: 60%). The categorical output showed no significant difference in diagnostic efficacy for these same measures. An image analysis algorithm generating a continuous output (Sudometrics) improved the diagnostic ability of Neuropad, particularly in detecting autonomic and small fibre neuropathy.

1. Introduction

Diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) is a progressive manifestation of diabetes with length-dependent and symmetrical damage of nerve fibers [1]. It is of critical importance to detect early neuropathy in the distal small nerve fibres in order to predict and prevent progressive morbidity that may involve pain, imbalance, foot deformities, ulceration, and amputation [2, 3]. However, early subclinical neuropathy cannot be diagnosed with currently endorsed clinical tests such as the 10 g monofilament or Neuropathy Disability Score (NDS) [4]. These methods primarily identify patients with established DPN who are already at high risk of foot ulceration. Given that small fibre neuropathy (SFN) is the earliest manifestation of DPN and plays a crucial role in the aetiopathogenesis of foot ulceration due to loss of pain sensation, anhidrosis, and deranged tissue blood flow, a screening test should ideally evaluate these fibres.

Several tests for evaluating SFN have been established in clinical practice and research settings but each has its own limitations. Warm perception threshold (WPT) testing detects nerve dysfunction but is expensive (£20K) and limited by the need for subjective responses and variable reproducibility. Deep Breathing-Heart Rate Variability (DB-HRV) detects autonomic nerve dysfunction but again requires expensive equipment (£10K) and patient cooperation with...
potential confounders such as medication and caffeine consumption [2]. Intraepidermal nerve fibre density (IENFD) is the gold standard for assessing small nerve fibre morphology from skin biopsies but is invasive and painful [5]. Corneal confocal microscopy (CCM) represents an alternative imaging technique which is a noninvasive alternative to skin biopsy [6]. It has been validated for assessing early small fibre damage and repair but requires expensive equipment and trained staff to perform the test. Sudomotor abnormalities can be detected using skin biopsy or measures of sweating using the QSART [7] or Sudoscan devices [8]. Neuropad measures sweat production based on a colour change in a cobalt II compound from blue to pink to produce a categorical output for measures of SFN and LFN.

2.2. Functional Tests of Small Nerve Fibres. The function of the small cholinergic and adrenergic nerves that regulate sweating in the feet was measured by Neuropad (miro Verbandstofe, Wiehl-Drabenderhöhe, Germany) [18]. The plaster was applied to the plantar aspect of the 1st metatarsal head after callus removal and removed after 10 minutes. Immediately after removal, the plaster was scanned in high resolution 600 dpi by the Fujitsu Fi-60F flat flated passport scanner (Response Technical Services Ltd, Surrey, UK). The percentage colour change in pink over the whole area of Neuropad was estimated by Sudometrics. The algorithm is based on the intensity-level analysis of the pink colour. Once the area of Neuropad is segmented using a variable threshold a colour histogram is computed and the pink percentage is extracted as a metric. A morphological set operation is conducted to remove background noise and better define the pad area. Sudometrics is available to all potential collaborators solely for research purposes (non-for-profit/noncommercial). It is protected by the University of Manchester in the form of license agreement which can be requested online (http://www.click2go.umip.com/i/software/Biomedical_Software/Sudometrics.html).

Cardiac autonomic function was measured using the ANX 3.0 autonomic nervous system monitoring device (ANSAR Medical Technologies Inc., Philadelphia, US) [19]. Deep Breathing-Heart Rate Variability (DB-HRV) was assessed by R-R interval variation via surface electrodes. DB-HRV was recorded over 1 min at a frequency of 6 breaths/min.

Thermal discrimination threshold testing was undertaken on the dorsum of the left foot using the MEDOC TSA II (Medoc Ltd., Ramat Yishai 30095, Israel) and method of limits [20].

2.3. Structural Tests of Small Nerve Fibres

2.3.1. Corneal Confocal Microscopy. Patients underwent examination with the Heidelberg Retina Tomograph (HRT III RCM) in vivo corneal confocal microscope (IVCM) (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) using our established methodology [21]. The section mode enables manual acquisition and storage of single images of the central cornea with a lateral resolution of approximately 2 µm/pixel and final image size of 400 × 400 pixels of the subbasal nerve plexus. Corneal Nerve Fibre Density (CNFD), the total number of nerve fibres (no./mm²), Corneal Nerve Branch Density (CNBD), the total number of nerve branches (no./mm²), and Corneal Nerve Fibre Length (CNFL), the total length of all nerve fibres and branches (mm²/mm) within the area of cornea captured by the image were quantified from ~5 adjacent images/subject, using purpose built manual image analysis software called CC Metrics [21]. CC Metrics is available to all potential collaborators solely for research purposes (non-for-profit/noncommercial). It is
2.3.2. Intraepidermal Nerve Fibre Density. A 3 mm punch skin biopsy was taken from the dorsum of the foot under 1% lidocaine local anaesthesia. Skin samples were immediately fixed in 4% (wt/vol.) paraformaldehyde for 24 h and then cryoprotected in sucrose for 18 h and cut into 50 μm thick sections. Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described [9]. An image analysis camera AxioCam MRc (Ziess, Germany) and Leica QWin Standard V2.4 (Leica Microsystems Imaging, Cambridge, UK) were used to quantify intraepidermal nerve fibre density (IENFD), which is the total number of nerve fibres per millimeter length of epidermis (no./mm).

2.4. Neuropathy Assessments. All patients underwent an assessment of neuropathy based on a standard protocol including Neuropathy Disability Score (NDS) to classify participants into without (NDS 0–2) and with (NDS 3–10) neuropathy [4, 22]. Quantitative sensory testing included an assessment of Vibration Perception Threshold (VPT), measured using a Neurothesiometer (Horwell, Scientific Laboratory Supplies, Wilford, Nottingham, UK) and warm perception thresholds (WPT) using the method of limits with the MEDOC TSA II (Medoc Ltd., Ramat Yishai 30095, Israel) on the dorsum of the left foot. Electrodiagnostic studies were undertaken using a Dantec “Keypoint” system (Dantec Dynamics Ltd., Bristol, UK) equipped with a DISA temperature regulator to keep limb temperature constantly between 32 and 35°C. Sural nerve conduction velocity (SNCV), sural sensory nerve action potential (SNAP), peroneal motor nerve conduction velocity (PMNCV), and peroneal motor nerve action potential (PMNAP) were assessed in the right lower limb by a consultant neurophysiologist.

2.5. Study Definition of Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy. The Toronto Diabetic Neuropathy Expert group recommendation was followed to define DPN: (a) abnormal PMNCV (<42 m/s) and (b) abnormal symptoms or signs of neuropathy, NDS (>2) [23].

To define an abnormal result for each of the measures of neuropathy we have used a mean ± 2 SD cut-off based on our control population (n = 104).

2.6. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using StatsDirect statistical software, version 2.7.9. We examined the distribution of the data by means of relevant histograms and the Shapiro-Wilk test. All data were expressed as median (5th percentile, 95th percentile). Mann-Whitney U test was performed to analyse differences between the medians. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to compare the diagnostic accuracy of Neuropad against measures of large and small nerve fibre damage. ROC curve analysis established the area under the curve (AUC) to determine the optimal sensitivity and specificity of the Neuropad test. Statistical difference between two ROC curves was expressed in P value as described by Hanley and McNeil [24].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Clinical Data. Of the 110 subjects with diabetes, 46 were diagnosed with and 64 without diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN). The demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants with and without DPN are presented in Table 1. BMI, diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol, and triglyceride levels did not differ between the two groups, but HbA1c (P = 0.006), age (P < 0.0001), duration of diabetes
3.2. Neuropad Diagnostic Performance for Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy. The continuous output was generated using image analysis software (Sudometrics) to enable rapid and consistent quantification of the Neuropad response. The evaluation of colour change in percentage was completely reproducible with a coefficient of repeatability of 1. The diagnostic performance between the continuous and the categorical output is presented in Table 2. The continuous output displayed high sensitivity and specificity for DB-HRV (91%, 83%), CNFD (82%, 83%), SNCV (62%, 60%), PMNAP (63%, 83%), and VPT (62%, 80%) and high sensitivity with moderate specificity for CNBD (83%, 72%), CNFL (89%, 75%), and VPT (80%, 71%), respectively. For the categorical output the sensitivity was equally high but the specificity was lower for DB-HRV (82%, 59%), CNFD (89%, 63%), CNBD (100%, 55%), CNFL (90%, 50%), SNAP (100%, 55%), and VPT (70%, 57%), respectively. This echoes our recent findings [14] that a continuous Neuropad output has high sensitivity (83%) and specificity (80%) for detecting structural small fibre damage.

### Table 2: Comparing the diagnostic performance of Neuropad between the continuous and the categorical output. The evaluation was performed against large (Neuropathy Disability Score (NDS) and Vibration Perception Threshold (VPT), sural sensory nerve action potential (SNAP), sural nerve conduction velocity (SNCV), peroneal motor nerve action potential (PMNAP), and peroneal motor nerve conduction velocity (PMNCV)) and small (intraepidermal nerve fibre density (IENFD), Corneal Nerve Fibre Density (CNFD), Corneal Nerve Branch Density (CNBD), Corneal Nerve Fibre Length (CNFL), Deep Breathing-Heart Rate Variability (DB-HRV), and warm perception thresholds (WPT)) nerve fibre assessments as reference methods using ROC curve analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Continuous output</th>
<th>Categorical output</th>
<th>P value of AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUC %</td>
<td>Sensitivity &amp; Specificity</td>
<td>AUC %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large fibre assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDS (&gt;2)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>71, 58</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPT (&gt;14 V)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80, 71</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP (&lt;3 μV)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>85, 83</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNCV (&lt;43 m/s)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>66, 61</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMNAP (&lt;2 μV)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>67, 54</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMNCV (&lt;42 m/s)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62, 58</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small fibre assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IENFD (&lt;4 no./mm)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65, 54</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNFD (&lt;24 no./mm²)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>88, 78</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNBD (&lt;18 no./mm²)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>83, 72</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNFL (&lt;14 mm/mm²)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>89, 75</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB-HRV (&lt;10 beats per min)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91, 83</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPT (&gt;42°C)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>75, 60</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Small versus Large Fibre Neuropathy. Early subclinical SFN precedes large fibre impairment with numbness and foot ulceration [1]. Currently, advocated clinical tests such as the 10 g monofilament or Neuropathy Disability Score (NDS) cannot detect patients with early neuropathy [4]. Neuropad has been promoted as an inexpensive, practical, first-line diagnostic screening test for subclinical SFN [9–11]. This study highlights the parameters for which the Neuropad is most useful for the diagnosis of early DPN. Furthermore, it clearly shows that a continuous output for Neuropad significantly improves its diagnostic ability to detect autonomic and SFN than measures of large fibre neuropathy. The AUC for DB-HRV (91%) was significantly larger than for NDS (67%, P = 0.001), VPT (75%, P = 0.02), SNCV (62%, P = 0.0009), PMNAP (63%, P = 0.003), and PMNCV (60%, P = 0.0003). Similarly, the AUC for CNFD (82%) was significantly larger than for NDS (67%, P = 0.05), SNCV (62%, P = 0.02), PMNAP (63%, P = 0.04), and PMNCV (60%, P = 0.01). The AUC for CNFL (80%) was significantly larger than for PMNCV (60%, P = 0.05). Unlike the continuous output, the categorical output showed no significant difference between small and large fibre damage. Indeed, we have previously shown that Neuropad correlates better with
Figure 1: ROC curve analysis was used to compare the diagnostic accuracy of Neuropad in detecting large with small nerve fibre damage (black line). The grey line is the null value of the ROC curve. The AUC for large nerve fibre damage was (a) 75% for Vibration Perception Threshold (VPT) (SE: 0.049, 95% CI 0.53–0.97) and (b) 86% for sural sensory nerve action potential (SNAP) (SE: 0.04, 95% CI 0.42–1). The AUC for small nerve fibre damage was (c) 82% for Corneal Nerve Fibre Density (CNFD) (SE: 0.053, 95% CI 0.48–1), (d) 91% for Deep Breathing-Heart Rate Variability (DB-HRV) (SE: 0.031, 95% CI 0.49–1), (e) 63% for intraepidermal nerve fibre density (IENFD) (SE: 0.068, 95% CI 0.45–0.81), and (f) 69% for warm perception thresholds (WPT) (SE: 0.05, 95% CI 0.49–0.88).

Heart rate variability than NDS [9]. Of relevance, previously, CNBD, CNFD, and CNFL have also been shown to correlate highly significantly with heart rate variability [25].

Since Neuropad is a diagnostic screening test for sudomotor function and hence, for SFN, we propose that the optimal cut-off point for small fibre dysfunction is 90% and for small fibre structural damage it is 80%, based on DB-HRV and corneal nerve morphology (CNBD, CNFD, and CNFL), respectively. The optimal cut-off point is the percentage of Neuropad colour change to pink defining normal from abnormal. Our current data are consistent with previous studies showing a strong association between Neuropad and autonomic neuropathy [19] as well as small fibre neuropathy assessed using corneal confocal microscopy [26]. However, interestingly there is considerable variability for the diagnostic ability (AUC) of a continuous Neuropad output amongst the different measures of small and large fibre neuropathy. Thus whilst there is a good diagnostic ability for SNAP (86%), it was only moderate for SNCV (62%), PMNCV (60%), and PMNAP (63%) as well as VPT (75%). Figure 1 shows that Neuropad exhibited a higher AUC for DB-HRV (91%), rather than WPT (69%), supporting the expected association with autonomic rather than somatic small fibre dysfunction. Rather surprisingly the diagnostic performance of Neuropad to identify structural pathology of the small fibres was better for CNFD (82%), CNBD (79%), and CNFL (80%) than IENFD (63%). These different associations were similar but not as strong with the categorical output (Table 2).

This study has several strengths and limitations. We utilised a detailed and comprehensive evaluation of a wide range of gold standard techniques to quantify diabetic neuropathy, which enabled us to dissect and define the diagnostic ability of the Neuropad in a large cohort of individuals with type 1 and 2 diabetes. Furthermore, unlike all previous studies [9, 12, 13], including our recent study [14] the estimation of colour change for the Neuropad has been categorical or...
subjective and hence more liable to error. In the current study we have utilized an image analysis algorithm (Sudometrics), to provide a continuous and completely reproducible output. DPN was of course diagnosed using the Toronto consensus, which is large fibre weighted and incorporation of a small fibre abnormality as per a previous consensus may well have further improved the diagnostic ability of Neuropad as observed with the much higher AUCs with measures of small fibre neuropathy [3]. We were not able to account for the potential confounding effect of age, diabetes duration, HbA1c, systolic blood pressure, and BMI on the relationship that Neuropad has with small and large fibre damage because of their inherent influence on DPN. We believe our data support the use of a continuous output for Neuropad as a diagnostic test for DPN, but a longitudinal study is required to assess the predictive and hence prognostic ability of this simply administered test. Furthermore, it is important to note that the Sudometrics output requires a high resolution scanner and image analysis software, which may be deemed cumbersome and takes from the simple visual output provided by Neuropad to the patient and clinician. However, we would propose that given the advances in technology this whole process could easily be incorporated into a mobile App, which the manufacturer of Neuropad should explore.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the current study shows that the diagnostic efficacy of Neuropad can be considerably enhanced using Sudometrics, an automated continuous output as opposed to the categorical output, particularly for evaluating SFN. Given the advances in mobile technology, this merits exploration to develop a user friendly App for this purpose.
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