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In the last few decades, membrane technology has been a great attention for gas separation technology especially for natural gas sweetening. The intrinsic character of membranes makes them fit for process escalation, and this versatility could be the significant factor to induce membrane technology in most gas separation areas. Membranes were synthesized with various materials which depended on the applications. The fabrication of polymeric membrane was one of the fastest growing fields of membrane technology. However, polymeric membranes could not meet the separation performances required especially in high operating pressure due to deficiencies problem. The chemistry and structure of support materials like inorganic membranes were also one of the focus areas when inorganic membranes showed some positive results towards gas separation. However, the materials are somewhat lacking to meet the separation performance requirement. Mixed matrix membrane (MMM) which is comprising polymeric and inorganic membranes presents an interesting approach for enhancing the separation performance. Nevertheless, MMM is yet to be commercialized as the material combinations are still in the research stage. This paper highlights the potential promising areas of research in gas separation by taking into account the material selections and the addition of a third component for conventional MMM.

1. Introduction

Natural gas can be considered as the largest fuel source required after the oil and coal [1]. Nowadays, the consumption of natural gas is not only limited to the industry, but natural gas is also extensively consumed by the power generation and transportation sector [2]. These phenomena supported the idea of going towards sustainability and green technology as the natural gas is claimed to generate less-toxic gases like carbon dioxide (CO₂) and nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) upon combustion as shown in Table 1 [3].

However, pure natural gas from the wellhead cannot directly be used as it contains undesirable impurities such as carbon dioxide (CO₂) and hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) [4]. All of these unwanted substances must be removed as these toxic gases could corrode the pipeline since CO₂ is highly acidic in the presence of water. Furthermore, the existence of CO₂ may waste the pipeline capacity and reduce the energy content of natural gas which eventually lowers the calorific value of natural gas [5].

Conventionally, natural gas treatment was predominated with some methods such as absorption, adsorption, and cryogenic distillation. But these methods require high treatment cost due to regeneration process, large equipments, and broad area for the big equipments [6]. With the advantages of lower capital cost, easy operation process, and high CO₂ removal percentage, membrane technology offers the best treatment for natural gas [6]. Natural gas is expected to contain less than 2 vol% or less than 2 ppm of CO₂ after the natural gas treatment in order to meet the pipeline and commercial specification [7]. This specification is made to secure the lifetime of the pipeline and to avoid an excessive budget for pipeline replacement.

Membrane technology has received significant attention from various sectors especially industries and academics in their research as it gives the most relevant impact in reducing the environmental problem and costs. Membrane is defined as a thin layer, which separates two phases and restricts transport of various chemicals in a selective manner.
[8]. Membrane restricts the penetration of some molecules that have bigger kinetic diameter. The commercial value of membrane is determined by the membrane’s transport properties which are permeability and selectivity. Major gap of the existing technologies is limited to low CO₂ loading (<15 mol%). Ideally, we required high permeability and high selectivity of membrane, but, however, most membranes exhibit high selectivity in low permeability and vice versa which make this is as a major tradeoff of membranes, and none of these technologies are yet to treat natural gas containing high CO₂ (>80 mol%) [9].

## 2. Membrane Technology Development

### 2.1. Early Membrane Development

Membrane technology has been started as early as in 1850 when Graham introduced the Graham’s Law of Diffusion. Then, gas separation utilization in membrane technology has been commercialized in late 1900’s. Permea PRISM membrane was the first commercialized gas separation membrane produced in 1980 [2]. Summary of early development of membranes is shown in Figure 1. This innovation has led to the further membrane gas separation development. A lot of studies done by the researchers for various gas separation mostly focus on the natural gas purification.

Development of membrane for CO₂/CH₄ separation has been started since early 1990’s. Numbers of membranes were fabricated using different kind of materials in the early stage of this membrane gas separation. The desirable material selected must be well suited to the separation performance by which mean separation of gases works contrarily in different materials. Excellent gas membranes separation should have the characteristic of high separation performance with reasonable high permeability, high robustness, chemically, thermally, and mechanically good and rational production cost [10, 11]. Two types of materials are practically used in gas separation: polymeric membrane and inorganic membrane and the comparison of both polymeric and inorganic membranes is showed in Table 2.

Gas separation using polymeric membranes has taken its first commercial scale in late 1970’s after the demonstration of rubbery membranes back in 1830’s [33]. Literally, the permeability of gas in a specific gas mixture varies inversely with its separation factor. The tighter of molecular spacing it has, the higher the separation characteristic of the polymer, but, however, as the operating pressure increases, the permeability is decreasing due to experiencing lower diffusion coefficients [34]. Polymeric membranes that are commercially available for CO₂/CH₄ separation include polysulfone (PSU), polyethersulfone (PES), polyamide (PI) and many more. Generally, as the permeability of the gas increases, the permselectivity was attended to decrease in most cases of polymeric membranes [23].

Inorganic membrane like SAPO-34 could give higher separation performance compared to the polymeric membrane, but the separation performance is inversely proportional to the pressure loaded. This observation may create problem when we deal with high pressure natural gas well. The performance of both organic and inorganic membrane is summarized in Robeson’s plot as in Figure 2 [35].

### 2.2. Conventional Mixed Matrix Membrane

A lot of researches have been done to satisfy the needs of gas separation requirement through both polymeric and inorganic membranes. The deficiencies of these membranes have driven the researchers to develop an alternative material for membrane which is more mechanically stable and economic viable, and most important is having high separation performance. The combination of organic and inorganic material which is known as mixed matrix membrane (MMM) was then proposed in idea to get a better membrane gas separation performance at reasonable price [36]. The fabrication of MMM was a promising technology as this composite material has improved its mechanical and electrical properties [37], and it combines the exceptional separation ability and pleasant stability of molecular sieves with better processability of organic membrane [38]. The MMM is characterized by dispersing the inorganic material into the continuous phase of polymeric material which can be almost any polymeric material such as polysulfone, polyimide, and polyethersulfones [39, 40].

Various membrane materials can be selected based on the process requirement. Selected materials can be “tailored-made” in order to meet the specific separation purpose in a wide range of application [39]. There were many attempts of developing polymer-inorganic membrane that started few decades back then.

Based on Table 3, this was observed that the selection of materials is important, and it depends on the system requirement. Higher intrinsic diffusion selectivity characteristic of glassy polymer makes this material better than rubbery polymer [56]. Although MMM has proven an enhancement of selectivity, it was noticed that most MMMs were endured with poor adhesion between the organic matrix and inorganic particles [55]. Even MMM fabrication does have its disadvantages, but the research of MMM with different materials is worth to work on since it has proven its ability to have high separation performance.

### 2.3. Recent Development of Membrane Gas Separation

#### 2.3.1. Ionic Liquid-Supported Membrane (ILSM)

In recent years, many researches have been evaluated on the ionic...
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Dried CA membrane for CO₂/CH₄ natural gas separation, separex, cynara, GMS

Figure 1: Membrane development timeline.

Table 2: Comparison between polymeric and inorganic membranes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Polymeric membranes</th>
<th>Inorganic membranes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polymeric</td>
<td>Present in either rubbery or glassy type which depends on the operating temperature</td>
<td>Made from inorganic-based material like glass, aluminium, and metal [13].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>(i) Polymer is more rigid and hard in glassy state while in rubbery state it is more soft and flexible</td>
<td>(i) Able to withstand with solvent and other chemicals and also susceptible to microbial attack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) Glassy polymeric membranes exhibit higher glass transition temperature compared to rubbery membranes, and glassy types tend to have higher CO₂/CH₄ selectivity [14].</td>
<td>(ii) Comprise significantly higher permeability and selectivity, but they are also more resistant towards higher pressure and temperature, aggressive feeds, and fouling effects [15].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>(i) May have plasticization problem when handling high CO₂.</td>
<td>(i) Inherent brittleness characteristic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) Presence of CO₂ may result in membrane performance reduction at certain elevated pressure.</td>
<td>(ii) Performed well under low pressure which does not suit the natural gas well which required high pressure for the exploration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(iii) As the membranes expose to CO₂, polymer network in the membrane will swell, and segmental mobility will also increase which consequently cause a rise in permeability for all gas components [16].</td>
<td>(iii) High production cost which seems not practical for large industrial applications [20].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(iv) The components with low permeability characteristic will experience more permeability increment; thus, the selectivity of the membrane will definitely decrease [17–19].</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examples</td>
<td>Polyethylene (PE), poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS), poly(sulphone (PSU), polyethersulfone (PES), polyimide (PI) [21], polycarbonate [22], polyimide [23], polyethers [24], polypyrrolones [25, 26], polysulfones [27], and polyethersulfones [28].</td>
<td>Aminosilicate membrane [29], carbon-silicalite composite membrane [30], MFI membranes [31], and microporous silica membranes [32].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liquid supported membrane (ILSM) for gas separation membrane since ionic liquids are known materials that could dissolve CO₂ and stable at high temperature ranges [57]. To be specific, ionic liquids are molten salt that are liquid at room temperature [58]. Furthermore, ionic liquids are of particular interest for membrane gas separation application as they are inflammable, negligible vapour pressure, and nonvolatile which make them also known as "green" solvents [58–60]. Extensive researches have been carried out to develop room temperature ionic liquid (RTIL)-based solvents for CO₂ separation with various types of ionic liquids such as pyridinium and imidazolium based. Among RTILs tested, imidazolium-based RTIL was chosen as the most feasible solvent for CO₂ separation as they are commercially viable
Table 3: Few researches of mixed matrix membranes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mixed matrix membrane (MMM)</th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Silicon rubber</td>
<td>Poor adhesion of organic and inorganic selected leads to poor separation performance. This poor interaction of both materials may result in nonselective voids present at the interface which consequently causes insufficient membrane performance [41–43].</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)</td>
<td>Zeolite like silicalite-1, 13X, and KY have enhanced the separation performance of poorly selective rubbery membrane for the carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) mixture.</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Propylene diene rubber (EPDM)</td>
<td>Zeolite-5A showed no change in gas selectivity with decrease permeability due to impermeable characteristic towards CO₂.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Cellulose acetate (CA)</td>
<td>Silicalite did in fact reverse the selectivity of CA membrane from H₂ to CO₂ for CO₂/H₂ separation.</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polyvinyl acetate</td>
<td>Formation of chemical bonds gave good adhesion, but there is still nonselective &quot;leakage&quot; from the existence of nanometric region.</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Matrimid</td>
<td>Selectivity of CO₂/CH₄ mixture has increased up to 45%. Zeolites loading also affects both gas permeability and gas mixture selectivity. There were also a number of records where permeability increased with selectivity decreased as the zeolites loading was increased [48, 49] and vice versa [42].</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Polyethersulfone (PES)</td>
<td>Due to low mobility of the polymer chain in glassy polymer such as to prevent them to completely cover the zeolites surface which resulted in void interface</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Polymide (PI)</td>
<td>Selectivity of CO₂/CH₄ mixture has increased up to 45%. Zeolites loading also affects both gas permeability and gas mixture selectivity. There were also a number of records where permeability increased with selectivity decreased as the zeolites loading was increased [48, 49] and vice versa [42].</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Polycarbonate</td>
<td>Selectivity of CO₂/CH₄ mixture has increased up to 45%. Zeolites loading also affects both gas permeability and gas mixture selectivity. There were also a number of records where permeability increased with selectivity decreased as the zeolites loading was increased [48, 49] and vice versa [42].</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ILSMs have been proven that they offered an increase in permeability that outperforms many neat polymer membranes. ILSMs synthesized from poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (BMImBF₄) showed high permeation performance of CO₂ and mechanically stable while operating at high pressure condition [63]. The consumption of RTILs showed an increment especially for 1-R-3-methylimidazolium (R-mim)-based RTILs as this type is preferable due to its properties of less viscous compared to other RTILs. In addition, gases like CO₂, nitrogen (N₂), and other hydrocarbons demonstrated high solubility in Rmim-based RTILs [64, 65]. Besides, the use of Rmim-based RTILs could calculate the latent permeability and selectivity of the mixture of given gases by using the molar volume of these RTILs [60]. RTIL can be functionalized and set up in accordance to the system requirement and application, and these researches could be good benchmark for designing the functionalized RTIL efficiently as showed in Table 4.

2.3.2. Polymerized Room Temperature Ionic Liquid Membrane (Poly(RTIL)). Comparatively, RTIL especially imidazolium based can be also polymerized into a solid, dense, and thin film membrane due to their modular nature [66–68]. It was a successful breakthrough when the researcher found

![Figure 2: Zeolite (SAPO-34) membrane performance in Robeson's plot.](#)
that polymer from ionic liquid monomer had higher CO$_2$ absorption capacity with faster absorption and desorption rate compared to the neat RTIL [69]. Moreover, poly(RTIL) is also attributed with higher mechanical strength [66]. These characters have proven that polymerized ionic liquid (poly(RTIL)) is also a promising material for membrane gas separation. Polymerization of RTIL monomer by varying the $n$-alkyl length also showed a pleasant result when increase of permeability of given gases like CO$_2$, N$_2$, and methane (CH$_4$) was observed as the $n$-alkyl group was lengthened [68]. Additionally, poly(RTIL) is also up to extend when it practically absorb about twice as much CO$_2$ as their liquid analogue which makes it much better than molten RTIL [68]. Apparently, performance of poly(RTIL) also depends on the substituent attached to it. In a research done on the inclusion of a polar oligo(ethylene glycol) on the cation side of imidazolium-based RTIL, the separation selectivity has seemed to increase [70].

As discussed earlier, mixed matrix membrane is a known membrane that composed of a compatible organic-inorganic pair which demonstrated having good separation properties subject to no interfacial adhesion problem. The improvement of separation performance is expected in an MMM comprising poly(RTIL) (polymer matrix) and zeolite (inorganic). In a very recent work, the benefit of MMM has become an idea to the researcher in ionic liquid membrane field. Hudiono and his coworkers have introduced a three-component mixed matrix membrane by utilizing the poly(RTIL), RTIL, and zeolite [71]. Their research was also based on a positive finding by Bara and his coworkers when they found that the addition of RTIL in poly(RTIL) has increased the gas permeability. This is due to that more rapid gas diffusion occurred as the free volume of membrane increased when RTIL was added [72].

On the other hand, Hudiono has used the RTIL to increase the membrane permeability and also to act as an aid for better interaction between the poly(RTIL) and zeolite (SAPO-34). The result was promising as the permeability of given gases like CO$_2$, N$_2$, and CH$_4$ increased accordingly. However, the selectivity was slightly decrease as they claimed that the RTIL used which is emim[BF$_2$N] was not selective towards CO$_2$/CH$_4$ separation [71]. Nonetheless, the result proved that the addition of RTIL could increase the polymer-zeolite adhesion in MMM as RTIL also acts as the wetting agent for the zeolite.

Hudiono again repeated the same experiment fabricating a three-component mixed membrane but by varying the composition of RTIL and zeolite added in order to determine the optimum condition for the membrane. The CO$_2$ permeability seems to rise with the increasing amount of RTIL. The CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity of the MMM also improved with the presence of SAPO-34 compared to neat poly(RTIL)-RTIL membrane as long as there is sufficient amount of RTIL as the wetting agent. Besides, the team also conducted an investigation of the separation performance by using the vinyl-based poly(RTIL). The addition of RTIL is not essential as they are structurally similar [73].

In contrast, a ternary MMM has been fabricated by Oral and his coworkers by using different materials. The project study on the effect of different RTIL loadings which are emim[BF$_2$N] and emim[CF$_3$SO$_3$] towards MMM composed of polyimide-zeolite (SAPO-34). The addition of emim[BF$_2$N] has performed as expected when the permeability of CO$_2$ increased while the incorporation of emim[CF$_3$SO$_3$] has increased the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity since emim[CF$_3$SO$_3$] is selective towards CO$_2$/CH$_4$ [74].

3. Conclusion

The escalating research in the membrane fabrication for gas separation applications signifies that membranes technology is currently growing and becoming the major focus for industrial gas separation processes. Latest research area using mixed matrix membranes combines the flexibility and low capital cost with improving selectivity, permeability, chemical, thermal, and mechanical strength. Material selection and method of preparation are the most important part in fabricating a membrane. So the next research must be very careful in determining the materials for gas separation and methods applied in the fabrication stage. Even the synthesized MMMs were only tested in a small scale, the research of MMMs is worth to be further explored since MMMs have shown better separation performance compared to polymeric and inorganic membranes.
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