A CPW-fed defected substrate microstrip antenna is proposed. The proposed antenna shows wideband applications by choosing suitable defected crown shaped substrate. Defected substrate also reduces the size of an antenna. The radiating patch of proposed antenna is taken in the form of extended U-shape. The space around the radiator is utilized by extending the ground plane on both sides of radiator. Simulation of proposed antenna is done on Ansoft's High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS v. 14). Measured results are in good agreement with simulated results. The prototype is taken with dimensions 36 mm × 42 mm × 1.6 mm that achieves good return loss, constant group delay, and good radiation characteristics within the entire operating band from 4.5 to 13.5 GHz (9.0 GHz) with 100% impedance bandwidth at 9.0 GHz centre frequency. Thus, the proposed antenna is applicable for C and X band applications.

1. Introduction

In the rapid increasing technology of wireless communication, there is a great demand of compact, low profile, low cost, and light weight microstrip antenna [1]. Microstrip antennas are mostly used in military and commercial applications. However, the main disadvantage of microstrip antenna is narrow bandwidth that limits its applications. Enhancement in performance is necessary to cover the demand of wide impedance bandwidth. For obtaining wide impedance bandwidth, lots of techniques have been recommended such as defected structure shape, defected ground structure, slotted patch antenna, stacked patch antenna, and planar monopole antenna [2–7]. Several studies have been reported by the researchers on the microstrip patch antenna with defected ground structure for obtaining wideband/ultrawideband (UWB) [6, 8–11]. However, in these types of antenna, large space available on both sides of the radiator is not fully utilized and increases the cost of antenna. In these circumstances, coplanar waveguide (CPW) fed microstrip patch antennas play a vital role in utilizing the space available around the radiator [12–18]. In CPW-fed technique the radiating element and the ground plane are on the same side of the substrate. Small amount of work is also reported on defected substrate technique [19]. By making defected substrate, a new structure is formed that shows wideband characteristics.

In this paper, a defected crown shaped substrate wideband microstrip antenna is proposed and designed. The proposed antenna possesses a method to minimize the substrate size so that the overall size of the antenna can be minimized. The proposed antenna uses a crown shaped substrate over the conventional rectangular substrate for reducing the overall dimensions of patch antenna. The ground plane and the radiator plane are on single side of the substrate, so that the large space around the radiator can be fully utilized. In the next section the antenna geometry is discussed in detail. Section 3 covers the parametric study of proposed antenna in detail. Experimental results are discussed in Section 4. Section 5 covers all the discussion made in earlier sections.

2. Antenna Geometry

The geometry of the proposed antenna is shown in Figure 1. It has overall dimensions $L_s \times W_s$ and is fabricated on low cost FR4 substrate of thickness $h = 1.6$ mm, whose
relative permittivity \( \varepsilon_r = 4.4 \) and loss tangent \( \tan \delta = 0.0019 \). A photograph of fabricated defected substrate CPW-fed wideband antenna is shown in Figure 2. The size of the proposed antenna is obtained by using mathematical formulation for patch antennas. In the proposed antenna the mathematical modelling is based on rectangular patch, but due to five cutting slots in radiating patch the overall size calculation is not as simple as rectangular patch antenna. Therefore it has been optimized by using electromagnetic solver, Ansoft HFSS simulation software [20]. Designing of radiating patch element includes the estimation of its dimensions. The patch width \( W \) has small effect on the resonance and it has been obtained by using the mathematical modelling as shown below [21].

\[
W = \frac{v_0}{2f_r} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\varepsilon_r + 1}},
\]

where \( v_0 \) is the speed of light in free space and \( \varepsilon_r \) is the relative permittivity of the substrate material of the proposed antenna. The microstrip patch is on the top of dielectric material; therefore the electromagnetic wave has an effective permittivity \( \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \) which is given by [21]

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\varepsilon_r + 1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_r - 1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{10h}{W} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

The length of the radiating patch \( L \) plays a major role in finding the resonant frequency and it is an important parameter in designing of patch antenna due to the inherent narrow bandwidth of the patch. The following value of \( L \) can be determined by using the following formula:

\[
L = \frac{v_0}{2f_r \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}} - 2\Delta l,
\]

where \( \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective permittivity of the substrate material of the proposed antenna. The additional line length on \( \Delta L \) both ends of the patch length, due to the effect of fringing fields, is given by [22]

\[
\frac{\Delta L}{h} = 0.412 \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} + 0.3}{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} - 0.258} \right] \left[ \frac{W/h + 0.264}{W/h + 0.813} \right].
\]

The effective length patch length \( L_e \) can be written as [21]

\[
L_e = l + 2\Delta l.
\]

The further variations in the radiator are shown in Figure 3, having dimensions listed as Table 1. The base of the microstrip
Table 1: Design parameters of the proposed defected substrate CPW-fed wideband antenna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Units (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( W_f )</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_x )</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_f )</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_{s1} )</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_{s2} )</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_p )</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_p )</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_g )</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_{pg} )</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_{p1} )</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_{p2} )</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_{p1} )</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_{p2} )</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_{p3} )</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The simulated result shows that this structure excites at resonating frequencies at 5.48, 7.86, and 12.17 GHz but does not cover the entire operating band from 4.5 to 13.5 GHz. After that a semicircular ring is etched in the middle of the patch with the radius \( R_p \) which is shown in trace (ii) of Figure 3; the simulated result shows that this structure improves the return loss condition but still does not cover the entire operating band. Finally, the edges having dimensions \((L_{p1} - L_{p2}) \times W_{p2}\) are etched on both sides of the radiating patch which is shown in trace (iii) of Figure 3; the simulated result shows that the proposed radiating patch obtains good return loss value but still the return loss is above 10 dB from 9.96 to 10.68 GHz. The detailed dimensions of the proposed defected substrate CPW-fed wideband antenna are listed in Table 1.

The ground plane is on the same plane as the radiator with two rectangular slits having dimensions \( W_{g} \times L_{g} \). The length of gap between the radiating patch and the ground plane is taken as \( L_{pg} \). The width of CPW-fed line is fixed at \( W_f \) to achieve 50 ohm characteristics impedance. The gap between the feed and ground plane is taken as 0.4 mm. The radiator is surrounded by a ground plane with etched substrate that helps to reduce the area. The small gap between the radiator and the ground is a foremost factor to provide strong capacitive coupling.

The simulated surface current distributions on resonant frequencies of 5.48 GHz, 8.03 GHz, and 12.01 GHz are shown in Figure 4. When the microstrip patch antenna is provided with power, a charge distribution appears on the upper and lower part of the patch, as well as on the ground plane. Due to this charge distribution the current will flow at the top and bottom surface of the patch. From this closed analysis of the surface current distribution of the proposed antenna it is found out that at 5.48 GHz, 8.03 GHz, and 12.01 GHz resonant frequency the proposed antenna resonates in TM21 mode, TM21 mode, TM12 mode, respectively. The above mentioned mode can be explained on the basis of surface current distribution of the proposed antenna; from Figure 4(a) it can be observed that the direction of surface current is aligned by the side of circumference and terminated at one point on the circumference of patch; that is, at this location of patch negative node is located and on the opposite side of the patch positive node is located. Thus only one positive node and one negative node are located, which is the case of TM21 mode [23, 24]. Similarly, from Figure 4(b) it can be observed that the current is aligned and terminated at two points on the circumference of patch. Thus there are two positive and two negative nodes are located, which is the case of TM22 mode. While in Figure 4(c) it can be observed that the current is aligned towards tangential of circumference at two points, which is the case of TM12 mode.

The substrate is etched in the form of crown shape. The variation in substrate is shown in Figure 5, having radiator and ground plane dimensions as listed in Table 1. Initially, a rectangular substrate is taken as shown in trace (i). The simulated result shows that this structure excites at resonating frequencies at 5.48, 7.86, and 12.17 GHz but does not cover the entire operating band from 4.5 to 13.5 GHz as the return loss is above 10 dB from 9.96 to 10.68 GHz. However, when the substrate is taken in the form of triangle shape (trace (ii)), the simulated result shows better return loss but does not cover the entire operating band as return loss is above 10 dB from 10.13 to 10.46 GHz. At last, when the substrate is defected into crown shape, as shown in trace (iii), the simulated result covers entire operating bandwidth (4.5 to 13.5 GHz) with three resonating bands at 5.48, 8.03, and 12.01 GHz. Therefore, it is decided to take defected substrate (crown shaped) antenna for further investigations as it is smaller in size and improves the impedance matching conditions for the entire band.

3. Parametric Study of the Proposed Antenna

In this section, the influence of different design parameters on proposed antenna performance is presented and discussed. At a time, variation in single parameter is done while others are kept constant. The optimization of parameters is helpful for the fabrication of the proposed defected substrate antenna. The effect of change in radiating patch length \( (L_{p1}, L_{p2}) \), width \( (W_{p1}, W_{p2}) \), inner circle radius \( (R_p) \), microstrip feed line \( (W_f) \), and length between radiating patch and ground plane \( (L_{pg}) \) is considered for parametric study.

Figure 6, shows the variation in length of radiating patch \( (L_{p1}) \) of the proposed antenna from 6 to 8 mm. When \( L_{p1} = 6 \) mm, the return loss remains lower than 10 dB but bandwidth is reduced (4.8 to 13.28 GHz). With further increase in \( L_{p1} = 7 \) mm, the return loss remains lower than 10 dB with improved impedance bandwidth from 4.5 to 13.5 GHz (9.0 GHz). However, as \( L_{p1} \) increases to 8 mm, the bandwidth for the return loss does not remain lower than 10 dB for the entire band. Therefore, it is decided to take \( L_{p1} = 7 \) mm as the optimum value from 4.5 to 13.5 GHz, covering the entire wideband.

The simulated results of the proposed antenna for patch length \( L_{p2} \), from 1 to 4 mm are depicted in Figure 7. When \( L_{p2} = 1 \) mm, the bandwidth for the return loss does not
remain lower than 10 dB for the entire band as it is above 10 dB between frequencies 9.41 and 10.90 GHz. When \( L_{p2} = 2 \) mm the return loss does not remain lower than 10 dB for frequencies between 10.24 and 10.60 GHz. For \( L_{p2} = 3 \) mm, the bandwidth improves significantly covering the entire band with improved impedance matching. On further increase in \( L_{p2} = 4 \) mm, the operational bandwidth decreases and a worse matching condition appears over the frequency band. Therefore, it is decided to take \( L_{p1} = 3 \) mm as the optimum value covering the band from 4.5 to 13.5 GHz, covering the entire wideband.
Figure 7: Simulated return loss against frequency for the proposed defected substrate wideband antenna with various \( L_{p2} \); other parameters are the same as listed in Table I.

Figure 8: Simulated return loss against frequency for the proposed defected substrate wideband antenna with various \( R_p \); other parameters are the same as listed in Table I.

Figure 9: Simulated return loss against frequency for the proposed defected substrate wideband antenna with various \( W_f \); other parameters are the same as listed in Table I.

Figure 10: Simulated return loss against frequency for the proposed defected substrate wideband antenna with various \( W_{p1} \); other parameters are the same as listed in Table I.

Figure 11: Simulated return loss against frequency for the proposed defected substrate wideband antenna with various \( W_{p2} \); other parameters are the same as listed in Table I.

The variation in patch width \( (W_{p1}) \) from 10 to 13 mm is shown in Figure 10. For \( W_{p1} = 10 \) mm the bandwidth is above 10 dB for frequencies from 10.68 to 11.40 GHz and does not cover the entire operating band. For \( W_{p1} = 11 \) mm, the bandwidth of return loss remains less than 10 dB, but the total band is reduced from 4.5 to 13.5 GHz. With further enhancement in microstrip feed \( (W_f) \), it deteriorates. Therefore, it is decided to take \( W_f = 3.0 \) mm as the optimum value, with minimum mismatch at higher frequency range.

The variation in radiator patch width \( (W_{p2}) \) from 1.0 to 3.0 mm is shown in Figure 11. As \( W_{p2} = 1.0 \) mm, the bandwidth of return loss remains less than 10 dB at frequencies 9.7 to 10.8 GHz. As \( W_{p2} = 2.0 \) mm, the impedance matching of the radiator patch and the input impedance deteriorates at higher frequencies. When \( W_{p2} = 1.5 \) mm, the return loss does not remain less than 10 dB for the entire band as it is above 10 dB from frequencies 9.7 to 10.8 GHz. As \( W_{p2} = 2.0 \) mm, the impedance matching of the radiator patch and the input impedance at the frequency improve covering the entire bandwidth from 4.5 to 13.5 GHz. With further increase in \( W_{p2} \), the bandwidth shrinks and remains between 4.3 and 12.26 GHz for \( W_{p2} = 2.5 \) mm; for \( W_{p2} = 3.0 \) mm the bandwidth remains 4.7 to 12.45 GHz and does not cover the
Figure 10: Simulated return loss against frequency for the proposed defected substrate wideband antenna with various \( W_{p1} \); other parameters are the same as listed in Table 1.

Figure 11: Simulated return loss against frequency for the proposed defected substrate wideband antenna with various \( L_{pg} \); other parameters are the same as listed in Table 1.

Figure 12: Simulated return loss against frequency for the proposed defected substrate wideband antenna with various \( L_{pg} \); other parameters are the same as listed in Table 1.

Figure 13: Simulated and measured return loss for the proposed defected substrate wideband antenna.

The entire operating band. Therefore, it is decided to take \( W_{p2} = 2.0 \) mm as the optimum value covering the entire bandwidth from 4.5 to 13.5 GHz.

The variation in patch width (\( L_{pg} \)) from 0.6 to 1.0 mm is shown in Figure 12. When \( L_{pg} = 0.6 \) mm, the return loss is less than 10 dB but the bandwidth is 4.7 to 13.0 GHz which is less than the desired bandwidth. When \( L_{pg} = 0.8 \) mm the bandwidth of return loss remains less than 10 dB with good impedance matching between radiating patch and input impedance. On further increase of \( L_{pg} \) the bandwidth of the return loss is not less than 10 dB and does not cover the entire bandwidth. Therefore, it is decided to take \( L_{pg} = 0.8 \) mm as the optimum value with the bandwidth from 4.5 to 13.5 GHz, covering the entire wideband.

4. Experimental Results and Discussion

The performances of the proposed antenna such as return loss and radiation pattern are measured using Agilent 8757E scalar network vector analyzer. There is a good agreement between simulated and measured results of the proposed defected substrate CPW-fed wideband antenna as shown in Figure 13. The minute variation between measured and simulated result is due to the effect of SMA (subminiature version A) connector soldering and fabrication tolerance.
Figure 14: Radiation patterns at various frequencies of proposed defected substrate wideband antenna: (a) 5.48 GHz, (b) 8.03 GHz, and (c) 12.01 GHz.
The designed antenna offers a bandwidth of 9.0 GHz (4.5 to 13.5 GHz) that meets the bandwidth requirements for C and X band applications.

The proposed antenna illustrates good radiation pattern characteristics as shown in Figures 14(a)–14(c). The radiation patterns in $H$ and $E$ planes are at sampling frequencies of 5.48, 8.03, and 12.01 GHz, respectively. Patterns are distorted because the ground plane is a part of loop path; the surface current on the radiating plane changes the effective current distribution of the loop and results in distortion. These patterns are suited for application in numerous wireless communication systems, as expected. Measured and simulated results of radiation patterns show good agreement.

Figure 15 shows good results of 3D polar plot of proposed defected substrate wideband antenna at three resonant frequencies 5.48 GHz, 8.03 GHz, and 12.01 GHz. The 3D polar plot gives and additional view for the distribution of the power radiated in space.

Figure 16 illustrates the group delay of the proposed antenna. Group delay is a significant factor in the designing of wideband antenna as it tells about the distortion of the transmitted pulses in the wireless communication. It is observed that the group delay for the proposed antenna is stable and less than 1 ns for entire operating bandwidth 4.5 to 13.5 GHz. For distortion less transmission, group delay should be less than 1 ns in the wideband antenna.

Gain is an important parameter in the designing of wideband microstrip patch antennas. Figure 17 demonstrates
Table 2: Comparison of reference antennas with proposed antenna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. number</th>
<th>Reference number</th>
<th>Antenna type</th>
<th>Overall size (mm$^3$)</th>
<th>Operating frequency band (GHz)</th>
<th>Relative dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_r$)</th>
<th>Applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>Wideband</td>
<td>32 $\times$ 30 $\times$ 1.58</td>
<td>3.32–6.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>WLAN/WiMAX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[2]</td>
<td>Wideband</td>
<td>25 $\times$ 25 $\times$ 1.6</td>
<td>2.96–7.95</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>S and C band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[4]</td>
<td>Wideband</td>
<td>38 $\times$ 25 $\times$ 1.6</td>
<td>2.4–6.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>WLAN/WiMAX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>UWB</td>
<td>25 $\times$ 25 $\times$ 1.6</td>
<td>2.9–11.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>UWB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>UWB</td>
<td>18 $\times$ 25 $\times$ 1.25</td>
<td>2.0–10.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>UWB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Proposed antenna</td>
<td>Wideband</td>
<td>42 $\times$ 36 $\times$ 1.6</td>
<td>4.5–13.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>C and X band</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 17: Gain for the proposed defected substrate wideband antenna.

Figure 18: Radiation efficiency for the proposed defected substrate wideband antenna.

The gain of the proposed antenna. It was found out that the gain of the antenna varies within 1.9 to 6.08 dBi against the frequency band of 4.5 to 13.5 GHz.

Antenna radiation efficiency is defined as the ratio of power radiated to the input power. It is related to the gain and directivity of the patch antenna. Radiation efficiency also considers the conduction and dielectric losses. The radiation efficiency of the proposed antenna is shown in Figure 18. It exhibits 79.35%, 75.66%, and 86.44% radiation efficiency at three resonant frequencies 5.48 GHz, 8.03 GHz, and 12.01 GHz.

Table 2, illustrates the comparison between the proposed (defected substrate) antenna and some other existing antennas in terms of the antenna type, overall size, operating frequency band, dielectric constant and applications. The comparative chart shows that the proposed antenna has defected substrate and wideband applications with respect to other antenna of different dimension and shapes.

5. Conclusion

A novel CPW-fed defected substrate patch antenna is fabricated and proposed for wideband applications. The proposed antenna achieves good return loss, constant group delay, and good radiation patterns over the entire operating bandwidth from 4.5 to 13.5 GHz (9.0 GHz) with 100% impedance bandwidth. The gain of the proposed antenna reaches a peak value of 6.08 dBi, while the radiation efficiency of proposed antenna reaches a maximum value of 88%. The simulated and measured results of the projected antenna show balanced agreement. The proposed antenna can be used in numerous wireless applications.
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