A New Feature Extraction Algorithm Based on Orthogonal Regularized Kernel CCA and Its Application
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Abstract

In this paper, an orthogonal regularized kernel canonical correlation analysis algorithm (ORKCCA) is proposed. ORCCA algorithm can deal with the linear relationships between two groups of random variables. But if the linear relationships between two groups of random variables do not exist, the performance of ORCCA algorithm will not work well. Linear orthogonal regularized CCA algorithm is extended to nonlinear space by introducing the kernel method into CCA. Simulation experimental results on both artificial and handwritten numerals databases show that the proposed method outperforms ORCCA for the nonlinear problems.

1. Introduction

Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) is a technique of multivariate statistical analysis, which deals with the mutual relationships of two sets of variables [1–3]. This method extracts the representative variables which are the linear combination of the variables in each group. The relationships between new variables can reflect the overall relationships between two groups of variables [4].

The orthogonal regularization canonical correlation analysis (ORCCA) algorithm [5] is that the original formula of CCA algorithm with orthogonal constraints is substituted for CCA conjugate orthogonalization [6, 7]. When the number of samples is less and the sample distribution patterns of different classifications are different, the ORCCA algorithm has the better ability of classification. A suboptimal solution to eigenvalue decomposition problem can be obtained by introducing two regularization parameters [8]. So, the complexity of time and space for the quadratic optimization problem should be considered at the same time. ORCCA algorithm cannot extract effectively the comprehensive variables.

In this paper, the kernel method [9–11] is introduced into ORCCA algorithm, and ORKCCA algorithm is presented. The kernel method maps the linear inseparable data in the low-dimensional space into a higher-dimensional space [12, 13]. In the higher-dimensional space, the characteristics of the data can be extracted and analyzed through the linear method. By introducing kernel function, the computation of the orthogonal regularization canonical correlation analysis extends to a nonlinear feature space. Experimental results show that the accuracies of classification of our method in the nonlinear space are significantly improved. The experimental results show ORKCCA is feasible.

2. Orthogonal Regularized CCA Algorithm

Given $n$ pairs of pairwise samples $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^T$ and $Y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)^T$, where $x_i \in R^p$, $y_i \in R^q (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. We assume that the samples have been centered. ORCCA algorithm aims at finding a pair of projection
directions $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ which satisfy the following optimal problem [5].

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \lambda \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}_i \right\|^2,$$

s.t. $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a} = 1,$

$$\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} = 1.$$  

The objective function in Equations (1) can be expanded as follows:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \lambda \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}_i \right\|^2 = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{S}_{xx} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{S}_{yy} \mathbf{b} - 2 \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{S}_{xy} \mathbf{b},$$

where $\mathbf{S}_{xx} = (1/n) \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{S}_{yy} = (1/n) \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y}$, and $\mathbf{S}_{xy} = (1/n) \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$.

The optimal model in Equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$\max_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} 2 \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{S}_{xy} \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{S}_{xx} \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{S}_{yy} \mathbf{b},$$

s.t. $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a} = 1,$

$$\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} = 1.$$  

According to the Lagrange multipliers method, Lagrange function is as follows:

$$L(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = 2 \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{S}_{xy} \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{S}_{xx} \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{S}_{yy} \mathbf{b} - \lambda_1 (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a} - 1) - \lambda_2 (\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} - 1),$$

where both $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are Lagrange multipliers.

The solutions to Equation (4) are given as follows:

$$\left( \mathbf{S}_{xy} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}_q \right)^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{xx} \mathbf{a} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{a},$$

$$\left( \mathbf{S}_{xy} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}_q \right)^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{yy} \mathbf{b} = \lambda_2 \mathbf{b},$$

where $\mathbf{I}_p$ and $\mathbf{I}_q$ denote identity matrices of size $p \times p$ and $q \times q$, respectively.

Both $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ in Equations (5) and (6) are called regularization parameters. By solving Equation (5), the eigenvalues $\lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_1^{(2)}, \ldots, \lambda_1^{(p)}$ and their corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_p$ can be obtained. The eigenvalues $\lambda_2^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(2)}, \ldots, \lambda_2^{(q)}$ and their corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_q$ can be obtained from Equation (6).

### 3. Orthogonal Regularized Kernel CCA Algorithm (ORKCCA)

ORKCCA algorithm can give the linear relationships between two groups of random variables. But if the linear relationships between two groups of random variables do not exist, the performance of ORCCA will not work well. The kernel method is an effective way to analyze the nonlinear pattern problem. So, the kernel method is introduced into ORCCA algorithm, and ORCCA algorithm is proposed.

Both $\Phi_x$ and $\Phi_y$ are nonlinear mappings which map original random variables $\mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{y}_i$ into $\Phi_x(\mathbf{x}_i)$ and $\Phi_y(\mathbf{y}_i)$ in $P$-dimensional space $F_x(P \geq p)$ and $Q$-dimensional space $F_y(Q \geq q)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Let $\mathbf{a} = \Phi_x(\mathbf{x})^T \beta$, $\mathbf{b} = \Phi_y(\mathbf{y})^T \beta$, where $\Phi_x(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times P}$, $\Phi_y(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times Q}$, $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

ORKCCA is implemented in higher-dimensional spaces $\Phi_x$ and $\Phi_y$. So, Equation (7) can be obtained by substituting $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \Phi_x(\mathbf{x})$, and $\Phi_y(\mathbf{y})$ into Equation (1) as follows:

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \mathbf{a}^T \Phi_x(\mathbf{x}_i) - \mathbf{b}^T \Phi_y(\mathbf{y}_i) \right\|^2,$$

s.t. $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a} = 1,$

$$\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b} = 1.$$  

Expanding the objective function in Equation (7), we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \mathbf{a}^T \Phi_x(\mathbf{x}_i) - \mathbf{b}^T \Phi_y(\mathbf{y}_i) \right\|^2 = \mathbf{a}^T \Phi_x(\mathbf{x})^T \Phi_x(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{a}$$

$$+ \mathbf{b}^T \Phi_y(\mathbf{y})^T \Phi_y(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{b}$$

$$- 2 \mathbf{a}^T \Phi_x(\mathbf{x})^T \Phi_y(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{b}.$$
So, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ can be obtained from Equation (11):

$$\alpha = (M_{xx} + \zeta_1 I_P)^{-1} M_{xy} \beta, \quad (12)$$

$$\beta = (M_{yy} + \zeta_2 I_Q)^{-1} M_{xy}^T \alpha, \quad (13)$$

where $I_P$ and $I_Q$ are the identity matrices of size $P \times P$ and $Q \times Q$, respectively.

Equations (14) and (15) can be obtained through replacing $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with their expressions in Equations (12) and (13), respectively.

$$\left( M_{xy} (M_{yy} + \zeta_2 I_Q)^{-1} M_{xy}^T - M_{xx} \right) \alpha = \zeta_1 \alpha, \quad (14)$$

$$\left( M_{xy}^T (M_{xx} + \zeta_1 I_P)^{-1} M_{xy} - M_{yy} \right) \beta = \zeta_2 \beta. \quad (15)$$

As like before, both $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ in Equations (14) and (15) are called regularization parameters. By solving Equation (14), the eigenvalues $\lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_1^{(2)}, \ldots \lambda_1^{(n)}$ and their corresponding eigenvectors $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_p$ can be obtained. The eigenvalues $\lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(1)}, \ldots \lambda_2^{(n)}$ and their corresponding eigenvectors $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_Q$ can be obtained from Equation (15).

### 4. Simulation Experiments

In this section, we evaluate our method compared with ORCCA on artificial and handwritten numerals databases.

#### 4.1. Experiment on Artificial Databases

The pairwise samples $X$ and $Y$ are generated from the expressions in Equations (16) and (17), respectively.

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \frac{\cos \theta}{2} \\ \sin 3\theta \\ \sin \theta \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} + \eta_1, \quad (16)$$

$$Y = e^{2\theta} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta^2 \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{3} \end{pmatrix} + \eta_2, \quad (17)$$

where $\theta$ obeys uniform distribution on $[-\pi, \pi]$ and $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ are Gaussian noise with standard deviation 0.05. The radial basis function $k(x, y) = \exp(-|x - y|^2/2\sigma^2)$ is chosen as kernel function, where $\sigma = 1.0$.

#### 4.1.1. Determining Regularization Parameters

For the selection of the regularization parameters, by far there is no reliable method to determine the optimal values. In this paper, in order to simplify the calculation, let $\lambda = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and $\zeta = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2$. The regularization parameters were chosen from $10^{-7}$, $10^{-5}$, $10^{-3}$, $10^{-2}$, $10^{-1}$, and 1. This method is used in the literature [5].

According to Equations (16) and (17), 100 pairs of data are randomly generated as the training samples. Canonical variables are calculated from the ORCCA and ORKCCA algorithms for the different values of regularization parameters. The correlation coefficients of canonical variables are sorted by the descending order. Many pairs of canonical variables can be gained from the two algorithms. For the sake of simplicity, the most representative of the former two groups of canonical variables are examined.

The average value of the correlation coefficients of the former two groups of canonical variables is regarded as criterion that judges the regularization parameters is good or not. The larger the average value is, the better the regularization parameters are.

Table 1 lists the average value of the correlation coefficients of the former two groups of canonical variables for the different values of the regularization parameters.

Table 1 shows that the optimal values of the regularization parameters for the ORCCA and ORKCCA algorithms are $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-1}$, respectively. The optimal regularization parameters are used to perform simulations in the next section.

#### 4.1.2. Simulation Experiment 1

According to Equations (16) and (17), 200 pairs of data are randomly generated as the test samples. For the regularization parameters $\lambda = 10^{-3}$ and $\zeta = 10^{-1}$ in the ORCCA and ORKCCA algorithms, the canonical variables are obtained for test samples, respectively. The correlation coefficients of the canonical variables are sorted in the descending order.

Tables 2 and 3 list the correlation coefficients of the first two groups of canonical variables for ORCCA and ORKCCA algorithms. $u_1$ and $v_1$ denote the first group of canonical variables. $u_2$ and $v_2$ are the second group of canonical variables.

The experimental results in Tables 2 and 3 show that the correlations between the same pair of the canonical variables are better than that between the different pairs of canonical variables, especially for nonlinear data.

#### 4.1.3. Simulation Experiment 2

According to Equations (16) and (17), 5 pairs of data are randomly generated as the sample data. Each pair of sample data represents the center data of each class. 100 pairs of data for each class are given by adding Gaussian noise with standard deviation 0.05 to each class center data. So we have five class data, which contains 100 samples for each class.

100, 175, and 250 pairs of data are chosen from the 500 pairs of the whole data as the training samples, respectively. The rest 400, 325, and 250 pairs of data are the test samples, respectively. The classification experiments based on K-nighbors algorithm are carried out on the test samples data which are preprocessed in the above way. And, the accuracies of classification are given. For the test samples with 400, 325, and 250 pairs of data, the experiments are performed 15 times, respectively. The accuracies of classification for 400, 325, and 250 pairs of data are the averages of the accuracies of classification for the 15 experiments results,
respectively. Table 4 gives the accuracies of classification for ORCCA and ORKCCA for the test samples with the different number.

In Table 4, the first column is the numbers of the training samples and the second column and the third column are the accuracies of classification for ORCCA and ORKCCA for the training samples with the different number. The experimental results show that the accuracies of classification for ORKCCA are higher than those for ORCCA. So, the performance of ORKCCA outperforms that of ORCCA for the nonlinear problem. The comparison curves of the accuracies of classification for ORCCA and ORKCCA are given in Figure 1.

4.2. Experiments on Handwritten Numerals Databases. The Concordia University CENPARMI database of handwritten Arabic numerals have 10 classes, that is, 10 digits (from 0 to 9), and 600 samples for each. The first 400 samples are used as the training set, and the remaining samples as the test set in each class. Then, the training samples and the test samples are 4000 and 2000, respectively. The handwritten digital images are preprocessed by the method given in [14]. Four kinds of features are extracted as follows: \(X^G\) (256-dimensional Gabor transformation feature), \(X^L\) (121-dimensional Legendre moment feature), \(X^P\) (36-dimensional Pseudo-Zernike moment feature), and \(X^Z\) (30-dimensional Zernike moment feature).

For the choice of the regularization parameters, let \(\lambda = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2\) and \(\zeta = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2\). The regularization parameters were chosen from \(10^{-5}, 10^{-3}\), and 1. The results of our method are compared with the results of ORCCA in order to verify the effectiveness of ORKCCA. Table 5 lists the accuracies of classification for ORCCA and ORKCCA in different feature combinations and regularization parameters. Experimental results show that (1) the classification effect of the two methods is the best as the regularization parameter is 1; (2) the classification accuracies of ORKCCA are higher than that of ORCCA for different features combinations; (3) the classification accuracies of ORKCCA in the regularization parameters \(10^{-5}\) and \(10^{-3}\) are higher than those of ORCCA in the regularization parameters 1.

5. Conclusions

An orthogonal regularized kernel CCA algorithm for nonlinear problem is presented. By introducing the kernel function, our proposed algorithm is more suitable for solving

---

**Table 1: The mean values of the correlation coefficients of the former two groups of canonical variables for the different values of the regularization parameters from ORCCA and ORKCCA.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regularization parameters</th>
<th>Mean values of the correlation coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORCCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10^{-5})</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10^{-4})</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10^{-3})</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10^{-2})</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10^{-1})</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: The correlation coefficients of the first two groups of canonical variables for ORCCA.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(v_1)</th>
<th>(v_2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(u_1)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(u_2)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: The correlation coefficients of the first two groups of canonical variables for ORKCCA.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(v_1)</th>
<th>(v_2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(u_1)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(u_2)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: Comparisons of the accuracies of classification for ORCCA and ORKCCA.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers of the training samples</th>
<th>ORCCA (%)</th>
<th>ORKCCA (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>83.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5: Comparisons of the accuracies of classification for ORCCA and ORKCCA in different feature combinations and regularization parameters.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature combinations</th>
<th>(\lambda = 10^{-5})</th>
<th>(\lambda = 10^{-3})</th>
<th>(\lambda = 1)</th>
<th>(\zeta = 10^{-5})</th>
<th>(\zeta = 10^{-3})</th>
<th>(\zeta = 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(X^G-X^L)</td>
<td>0.9314</td>
<td>0.9300</td>
<td>0.9375</td>
<td>0.9625</td>
<td>0.9681</td>
<td>0.9687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X^G-X^P)</td>
<td>0.9230</td>
<td>0.9228</td>
<td>0.9248</td>
<td>0.9511</td>
<td>0.9525</td>
<td>0.9536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X^G-X^Z)</td>
<td>0.9180</td>
<td>0.9196</td>
<td>0.9196</td>
<td>0.9516</td>
<td>0.9518</td>
<td>0.9520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X^L-X^P)</td>
<td>0.9187</td>
<td>0.9187</td>
<td>0.9200</td>
<td>0.9533</td>
<td>0.9533</td>
<td>0.9545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X^L-X^Z)</td>
<td>0.9200</td>
<td>0.9205</td>
<td>0.9235</td>
<td>0.9574</td>
<td>0.9600</td>
<td>0.9615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X^P-X^Z)</td>
<td>0.7413</td>
<td>0.7413</td>
<td>0.7525</td>
<td>0.8436</td>
<td>0.8450</td>
<td>0.8450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
nonlinear problem. Contrast experiments of ORCCA and ORKCCA are performed on artificial and handwritten numerals databases. Experimental results show that the proposed method outperforms ORCCA for the correlation coefficients of canonical variables and the accuracies of classification on the test data. The experimental results show ORKCCA is feasible.
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