Evaluation of the Sanitary Conditions of Head Meat, Esophagus, Diaphragm Meat, and Boning Scrap Processing
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Enterobacteriaceae, coliforms, and Escherichia coli counts are important hygiene indicators and may be pathogenic. Thus, the aim of the present study was to determine microbiological contamination in head meat, the esophagus, diaphragm, and boning scraps and evaluate the hygienic conditions of the processing of these products. The Petrifilm® (3M) method for determining Enterobacteriaceae, total coliforms, and E. coli was applied for 104 samples. APHA, European Union, PAHO/WHO, and Brazil/MAPA recommendations were followed. Bleeding and skinning knives were contaminated with E. coli (61.5%). Regarding the meat cuts, 30.76% samples from head meat, the esophagus and the boning flap showed the presence of E. coli in counts up to 2 log CFU/g, while 15.3% of the diaphragm samples showed up to 1.85 log CFU/g. The analyzed comminuted meat was, therefore, shown to be contaminated with E. coli during processing, indicating that end-products from this raw material can offer biological risks.

1. Introduction

Brazil currently accounts for approximately 15% of the world beef production, being the second largest producer, surpassed only by the United States, which accounts for approximately 19% of total production. Brazil is the largest exporter of this product, supplying more than 100 countries, with a higher export volume of 7.5 million tons per year [1]. To maintain this competitiveness, investments in quality programs, such as good manufacturing practices (GMP), Standard Operating Procedures (SOP), and Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP), are necessary.

Meat products ready to be cooked, fried, or baked, such as hamburgers, meatballs, kibbeh, hot dogs, and sausages, use comminuted meat in their formulations (head meat, esophagus, diaphragm, and boning scraps). These cuts, due to their location on the front of the carcass, can be easily contaminated during skinning and gutting and may be microbiological hazard sources to industrially processed products.

Research conducted on hygiene indicators along the production chain is essential in quality control programs implemented by industries [2]. Mesophilic aerobic organisms, Enterobacteriaceae, coliforms, and Escherichia coli are important microbial groups that can be monitored during beef processing and provide important information on the hygienic conditions of the applied procedures [3, 4]. The results may suggest poor hygiene during the industrial processes or improper handling of the products and may be used to estimate the shelf time of the product [3].

Some standards regarding equipment and utensil hygiene conditions used in bovine meat processing are defined by the American Public Health Association (APHA), the Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization (PAHO/MS), and European Community (EC) and, in Brazil, by ANVISA regulation RDC 12/2001 and the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply (MAPA). For bovine meat, the PAHO/MS establishes the absence of Escherichia coli in 25 g, while the European Community (EC) allows up to...
3.5 log CFU/cm² for mesophilic aerobic organisms and up to 1.5 log CFU/cm² for enterobacteria.

Comminuted meat is already a research target regarding verotoxigenic Escherichia coli, through the Brazilian Normative Instruction MAPA 02/2013 [5, 6]. However, the investigation of microbiological indicators of fecal and ruminal contamination is not required; yet it could generate information on the hygienic conditions of the production processes and even forecast the possible presence of pathogens belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family. In this context, studies providing the general microbiological profile at specific points of the production chain are essential for the development of microbiological criteria that can be used in monitoring programs implemented by the industry, thus serving as basis for the control measures taken in this sector [7]. Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate microbiological contamination of head meat, the esophagus, diaphragm, and boning scraps and evaluate the hygienic conditions of the processes applied to obtain the final products.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Slaughterhouse Refrigerator and Sampling. A convenience sampling was carried out in order to evaluate the normal work routine of a slaughterhouse, considering continuous variables, like handler replacement, employee training, and good manufacturing practices among others. Therefore, 13 animals of different lots, cities, and farms were used and head meat, the esophagus, and diaphragm and boning scraps of each animal were collected throughout different weeks. The samples were subjected to microbiological analyses immediately after sampling. Samplings were carried out from May to July 2015, at a slaughterhouse refrigerator, located in the state of Mato Grosso (Brazil), under permanent supervision by the Brazilian Federal Inspection Service (SIF). A total of 52 fragments of these were collected based on the recommendations by the Brazilian Normative Instruction DIPOA/DAS Number 2 of 20 August 2013.

To check the efficiency of the quality programs implemented by the slaughterhouse, four environmental collection points were chosen and swabs were obtained from bleeding, skinning, and boning knives, as well as from cutting room countertops in the boning area, totaling 104 samples, 52 environmental samples and 52 from animal fragments.

All environmental samples were collected with 3M Swab Samplers® containing buffered peptone water (BPW), while tissue fragments were stored in sterile plastic bags suitable for food storage. The samples from the boning area countertops were collected with the support of a sterilized field delimiter (10 cm × 10 cm, or 100 cm²). All samples were stored in a cooler with ice packs, transported to the laboratory, and analyzed immediately.

2.2. Microbiological Indicators. All swab samples were homogenized on a tube vortex shaker and a 1 ml aliquot of each sample was plated on Petrifilm™ Enterobacteriaceae (3M), to enumerate Enterobacteriaceae (EB), and Petrifilm Escherichia coli (3M), to enumerate total coliforms (CT) and E. coli (EC), and incubated at 35° C ± 1°C for 24 hours. The formed colonies were counted considering the phenotypic characteristics of each group: EB, yellow colonies associated or not with gas, formed after 24 h; CT, red and blue colonies associated with gas, formed after 24 h; EC, blue colonies associated with gas, formed after 24 h. All results were expressed as colony forming units (CFU) per gram or per cm², in accordance with the characteristic of each sample.

A 25 g portion was taken from each comminuted meat sample and homogenized in Erlenmeyers with 225 ml of BPW (0.1%), and, from this stock solution, a 1 ml aliquot was taken and plated on Petrifilms for EB, CT, and EC counts.

The results were compared to the standards dictated by RDC Brazil/ANVISA Number 12, 2001, the American Public Health Association (APHA), Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization (PAHO/MS), and Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply (Brazil/MAPA) and to the regulations established by the European Community (EC) to assess product quality.

3. Results and Discussion

The results were classified according to the indicators evaluated in the sampled utensils and equipment (Table 1) and comminuted meat (Table 2). To interpret the results, APHA recommendations for total coliforms and enterobacteria, European Union recommendations for total coliforms, PAHO/WHO recommendations for E. coli, and Brazil/MAPA recommendations for enterobacteria on surface countertops were adopted as references.

Different contamination levels between the tools and equipment were observed, and results exceeded the microbiological standards set by the regulatory agencies (Table 1). This indicates the absence of constant cleaning procedures for the utensils and equipment used in beef processing, which may represent sources of contamination. The same was observed for the meat cuts used to obtain the final product. Similar results were observed by Barros et al. [8] and Brandão et al. [9], which identified different utensils and equipment in the beef-processing environment as important sources of contamination by hygiene indicator microorganisms.

Regarding the bleeding and skinning knives, 61.5% of the samples showed the presence of E. coli (Table 1). Bleeding of the animal with infected knives is the primary source of postmortem contamination, since bacterial contamination of the tissues is caused via bloodstream [10]. The skinning knives also showed unsatisfactory results for E. coli (Table 1), indicating probable contamination of utensils involved in that processing step [11–13], which was evidenced herein with the identification of E. coli also in boning knives and on countertops (Table 1).

Regarding the meat cuts, 30.76% of the esophagus, head meat, and boning scraps showed the presence of E. coli in counts up to 0.5, 1.0, and 1.4 log CFU/g, respectively, and 15.3% of the diaphragm samples showed the presence of E. coli in counts up to 1.6 log CFU/g (Table 2). The meat cuts also had the highest average CFU/g for Enterobacteriaceae and total coliforms. The possibility of contamination by vomiting or bowel rupture during evisceration exists for the masseter muscle, esophagus, and diaphragm. The boning scraps of
Table 1: Enterobacteria, coliform, and *Escherichia coli* count averages in utensils and equipment used in the slaughter of cattle and in meat processing in refrigerator slaughterhouses in the state of Mato Grosso, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Bleeding knives</th>
<th>Skinning knives</th>
<th>Boning knives</th>
<th>Boning countertops</th>
<th>APHAa</th>
<th>European Unionb</th>
<th>OPAS/OMSa</th>
<th>BRAZILb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteria (EB)</td>
<td>47 ± 71</td>
<td>38 ± 66</td>
<td>13 ± 21</td>
<td>21 ± 28</td>
<td>7.7% (1/13)b</td>
<td>&lt;100 CFU</td>
<td>1 CFU/cm²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total coliforms (CT)</td>
<td>21 ± 9</td>
<td>18 ± 37</td>
<td>11 ± 23</td>
<td>13 ± 20</td>
<td>&lt;100 CFU</td>
<td>&lt;100 CFU</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em> (EC)</td>
<td>32 ± 59</td>
<td>13 ± 20</td>
<td>7 ± 19</td>
<td>4 ± 9</td>
<td>47% (6/13)b</td>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

±: standard deviation; a: microbiological standard; b: percentage of samples exceeding some microbiological standards.

Table 2: Total coliforms, Enterobacteriaceae, and *Escherichia coli* count averages in comminuted beef produced in a slaughterhouse refrigerator in the state of Mato Grosso, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Head meat</th>
<th>Esophagus</th>
<th>Diaphragm</th>
<th>Boning scraps</th>
<th>OPAS/WHOc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total coliforms</td>
<td>5 ± 13</td>
<td>2 ± 3</td>
<td>16 ± 55</td>
<td>14 ± 41</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteria</td>
<td>6 ± 18</td>
<td>20 ± 48</td>
<td>16 ± 55</td>
<td>26 ± 55</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em> (EC)</td>
<td>3 ± 11</td>
<td>1 ± 2</td>
<td>6 ± 20</td>
<td>8 ± 24</td>
<td>Absence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

±: standard deviation; a: microbiological standard; b: percentage of samples exceeding the microbiological standard.

![Figure 1: Count averages (CFU) for Enterobacteria, total coliforms, and *E. coli* in equipment, utensils, and comminuted beef produced in a slaughterhouse refrigerator in the state of Mato Grosso, Brazil.](image)

The results indicate that comminuted meat is contaminated with *E. coli* during processing, regardless of the amount of hygiene indicators, and that, therefore, chilled or frozen fresh processed products produced from this raw material can also offer biological risks to consumers, since the presence of *E. coli* in comminuted meat is an important risk factor [14].

In 2004, the United States Department of Agriculture, through the FSIS Directive 6420.2, added the search for fecal contamination to self-control programs (HACCP), in head and cheek meat and the esophagus. In Brazil, few studies are being conducted to characterize and evaluate the contamination of this type of product. Recently, some Brazilian slaughterhouses were authorized to sell fresh chilled and frozen beef to the US, mainly for hamburger production and, thus, there is need for further studies devoted to the study of the microbiological profile of comminuted meats.

4. Conclusions

The data obtained in the present study indicate that the sanitation strategies and hygiene equipment and utensils applied in the investigated slaughterhouse were effective in reducing the microbial load arising from total coliforms and Enterobacteriaceae. They were, however, inefficient in eliminating *Escherichia coli* from head meat, the esophagus, diaphragm, and boning scraps, which also showed contamination by this pathogen during processing.

Additional Points

*Practical Applications.* This study has the potential to support the generation of microbiological criteria that can be used...
in monitoring programs implemented by the industry, thus serving as basis for the control measures regarding comminuted meat (head meat, esophagus, diaphragm, and boning scraps).
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