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To systematically and comprehensively investigate the high voltage alternating electric field (HVAEF) thawing processing, we investigated the high-voltage electric field thawing characteristic of the frozen tofu at different voltages for alternating current (AC). The thawing time, thawing loss of frozen tofu, and specific energy consumption (SEC) of HVEF system were measured. Seven different mathematical models were then compared to simulate thawing time curves based on root mean square error, reduced mean square of deviation, and modeling efficiency. The results showed that the thawing rate of frozen tofu was notably greater in the high-voltage electric field system when compared to control. Both Linear and Quadratic models were the best mathematical models. Therefore, this work presents a facile and effective strategy for experimentally and theoretically determining the HVAEF thawing properties of frozen tofu.

1. Introduction

Tofu is representative of Chinese traditional food and is a favorite of consumers because of its unique flavor, rich taste, edible convenience, and rich nutritional value. Thawing of frozen materials is an important component of food processing, while freezing is a well-established process for food preservation and is confirmed to increase the storage time significantly. At present, the conventional thawing methods of frozen tofu include cold and warm water thawing, still air thawing, and refrigerator thawing. However, many disadvantages accompany such methods, including higher color deterioration and weight loss, longer thawing time, and decreased nutritional value. Consequently, growing interest has been shown in exploring new thawing methods for frozen tofu.

High-voltage electric field (HVEF) thawing is a relatively new, nonthermal technique [1], and it has been investigated by many researchers in pork [2, 3], tuna fish [4–6], chicken [7], and apple tissue [8]. The advantages typically include reduced thawing time, food quality preservation, microbial growth inhibition, and reduced energy consumption. When the thawing temperature was set at −3°C, the thawing time of frozen chicken under high-voltage electric field was 2/3 the time taken for thawing meat using a common refrigerator [7].

The HVEF treatment significantly shortened the thawing time of frozen pork tenderloin meat, and thawing time was reduced to 2/3 that of the control [2]. Similarly, in the case of tuna fish, HVEF significantly improved the thawing rate [4]. He et al. [9] reported that the thawing time can be maximally reduced by 50% compared to the conventional air thawing treatment. HVEF treatment reduced the total microbial counts in thawed frozen meat by 0.5–1 log CFU/g, without affecting meat quality, and reduced volatile basic nitrogen production during storage [2]. Parameters such as voltage, distance, and electric field strength were studied to determine the relationships and factors that affect HVEF treatment [3, 5]. Mousakhani-Ganjeh et al. reported that the high-voltage electric field could increase susceptibility of tuna fish to lipid oxidation due to ozone generation [6]. The energy consumption of HVEF thawing was comparatively far smaller compared to the other thawing methods [3, 5]. These studies were performed under high-voltage direct current electric field. To the best of our knowledge, few studies have systematically and comprehensively reported on the use of high-voltage alternating electric field (HVAEF) for thawing tofu.

To further investigate the potential of this method for optimizing and improving the thawing efficiency, frozen tofu
was thawed in order to study the effect of voltage on thawing rate. The center temperature was measured, in addition to thawing loss and specific energy consumption of frozen tofu to understand the roles of energy consumption and product quality on thawing process.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Equipment. The lab-scale experimental setup for HVAEF thawing is shown schematically in Figure 1(a). This setup is similar to the EHD drying system [10]. It consists of a vertically mounted electrode with multiple sharp pointed needles projected to a fixed horizontal grounded metallic plate on which the frozen tofu samples to be thawed were placed. The electrode gaps between the emitting point and the grounded electrode were 100 mm. The sharp pointed electrodes were connected to a power source that can supply alternating current (AC) high-voltage. In order to set the desired high-voltage parameters for HVAEF thawing, the power was connected to a voltage regulator, with an adjustable voltage ranging within 0–50 kV for alternating current (AC) by a controller. The grounded plate electrode was an 80 cm × 40 cm rectangular stainless steel plate. Temperature and relative humidity were both measured. The voltage and current of HVAEF system were measured by a voltmeter and an amperemeter, respectively. Figures 1(b) and 1(c) show arrangement diagram and schematic diagram of the needle electrodes, respectively. The needles were 20 mm long. The diameter of needles is 1 mm. The distance between two needle electrodes was 40 mm. The needle electrodes were arranged in multiple rows and lined up by stainless steel wire. The distance between two stainless steel wires was 40 mm. All the samples were spread in a single layer on the grounded plate electrode at random. The center temperature of samples was measured by a temperature sensor.

2.2. Experimental Method. The soft tofu was procured from a local market near Inner Mongolia University of Technology, Hohhot, China. The fresh soft tofu was cut into cubes (3.5 cm × 3.5 cm × 3.5 cm) using a knife and immediately frozen at −18°C in a refrigerator. The frozen samples were stored at −18°C until use.

The frozen tofu was thawed at room temperature 20±1°C under an electric field generated by a high-voltage of 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, or 28 kV for alternating current (AC). The control samples were placed on the same kind of stainless steel plate and subjected to HVAEF experimental apparatus in the treatment room (0 kV). The thawing relative humidity was 30 ± 5%, and the ambient wind speed was 0 m/s. A temperature sensor was inserted into the geometric center of the frozen tofu sample and recorded at 5 min intervals during the thawing process. Thawing was continued until the geometric center of the frozen tofu sample temperature reached 10°C. The time required to raise the temperature at the center of the frozen tofu cube from −10°C to 10°C was determined as thawing time. Each experiment was repeated three times and averaged. The electric field strength is calculated from the following equation:

\[ E = \frac{V}{G} \]  

(1)

where \( E \) is electric field strength, \( V \) is the thawing voltage, and \( G \) is the gap between the emitting point and the grounded electrode. The thawing rate (TR) of frozen tofu samples (g/s) was calculated using the following equation:

\[ TR = \frac{W}{T} \]  

(2)

where \( W \) is weight of frozen tofu and \( T \) is the thawing time of frozen tofu.

2.3. Determination of Evaporation, Thawing, and Drip Losses. Evaporation loss (EL), thawing loss (TL), and drip loss (DL) were determined by weighing the frozen and thawed tofu samples before and after the removal of surface water according to the following equations [4]:

\[ EL (\%) = \frac{(M_0 - M_T)}{M_0} \]

\[ TL (\%) = \frac{(M_0 - M_{TT})}{M_0} \]

\[ DL = TL - EL \]  

(3)
where \( M_0 \), \( M_T \), and \( M_{TT} \) are the weight of the frozen tofu, the thawed tofu before removing surface water, and the thawed tofu after surface water removal, respectively. Each experiment was repeated three times and averaged.

2.4. Specific Energy Consumption. The specific energy consumption (SEC) for the HVAEF system during thawing of tofu was measured by an ampere meter and a voltmeter, respectively. The specific energy consumption of HVAEF system during thawing of frozen tofu was calculated using the following equation:

\[
SEC = \frac{UIt}{W_d},
\]

where \( U \), \( I \), and \( t \) and \( W_d \) are voltage of HVAEF system (V), current of HVAEF system (A), thawing time (s), and the weight of frozen tofu (kg), respectively.

2.5. Mathematical Model and Statistical Parameter. The experimental thawing curves were fitted to the seven different empirical models in Table 1. The model best suited for describing the thawing rate curve of frozen tofu was selected based on the values of the statistical parameters at 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, and 28 kV for AC electric field, respectively.

The root mean square error (ERMS), reduced mean square of the deviation (\( \chi^2 \)), and modeling efficiency (EF) were used as the primary criteria to select the equation that best accounts for the variation in the thawing curves of the thawed samples [11–13]. ERMS gives the deviation between the predicted and experimental values. \( \chi^2 \) was used to determine the goodness of the fit: the lower the values of \( \chi^2 \), the better the goodness of the fit. EF also gives the model predictive power in relation to the thawing behavior of the product, and its highest value is 1. These statistical values were calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{ERMS} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (T_{\text{pre},i} - T_{\text{exp},i})^2},
\]

\[
\chi^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (T_{\text{pre},i} - T_{\text{exp},i})^2}{N - n},
\]

\[
\text{EF} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (T_{\text{exp},i} - T_{\text{exp,mean}})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} (T_{\text{pre},i} - T_{\text{exp},i})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (T_{\text{exp},i} - T_{\text{exp,mean}})^2},
\]

where \( T_{\text{exp},i} \) is the \( i \)th experimental thawing time, \( T_{\text{pre},i} \) is the \( i \)th predicted thawing time, \( T_{\text{exp,mean}} \) is the mean value of experimental thawing time, \( n \) is the number of constants in the thawing model, and \( N \) is the number of observations.

2.6. Statistical Analysis. Single-factor analysis of variance was used to calculate the evaporation loss, thawing loss, and drip loss between the frozen tofu under alternating electric field and without electric field (control). The evaporation loss, thawing loss, and drip loss between different electric field were also calculated using single-factor analysis of variance. The differences in thawing time are considered statistically significant when \( p < 0.05 \). The results reported in this study are presented as means ± standard deviation (SD).

### 3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Thawing Time and Thawing Rate of Frozen Tofu by Different HVAEF Treatments. Figure 2 shows the effect of different voltages applied in the HVAEF on the thawing time and thawing rate. The frozen tofu was thawed at 20°C under applied voltages increasing from 4 to 28 kV at increments of 4 kV with a fixed electrode distance of 10 cm. The thawing temperature of all samples was from -10°C to 10°C. The thawing times for voltages of 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, and 28 kV were 170, 160, 135, 130, 95, 90, and 75 min, respectively, which were shortened significantly when compared with 200 min for the control (0 kV). As voltage increased, the thawing time declined. The thawing rate of tofu samples treated with HVAEF increased compared to that of the control, and increasing the voltage had a major effect on the enhancement of the thawing rate, increasing by 0.1277, 0.3180, 0.6099, \( 0.8638, 1.3349, 1.3116, \) and 1.6119 times, respectively, at 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, and 28 kV voltages compared to that of the control (0 kV). As can be seen, the results indicate that thawing rate increased with rise in voltage. These results agree with those studies which reported enhancement in thawing rate with increase of applied voltage [3, 4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>Model equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>( T = aE^b )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential</td>
<td>( T = a e^{bE} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>( T = a + bE )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logarithmic</td>
<td>( T = a + b \ln (E) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>( T = a + bE + cE^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse</td>
<td>( T = a + b/E )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>( T = e^{(a+b/E)} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( T \) is thawing time of frozen tofu in min, \( E \) is electric field strength in kV/cm, and \( a, b, \) and \( c \) are constants of mathematical models.
3.2. Center Temperatures of Frozen Tofu Thawed with Different HVAEF Treatments. Figure 3 illustrates the center temperatures of frozen tofu exposed to applied voltages 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 28 kV, and 0 kV (control) at room temperature (20 °C). Center temperatures of frozen tofu were measured every 5 min and the initial thaw temperature of all samples was −10 °C. The results indicate that the thaw temperatures increased rapidly, reaching about −3 °C in the first 10 min. Within the last 20 min, the thaw temperatures also increased rapidly, reaching about 10 °C. The thaw temperatures increased slowly between −2 and 0 °C. Most of the thawing time is consumed in raising the temperature from −2 to 0 °C. In the food freezing industry, −5 to −1 °C is often considered as the zone of maximum ice crystal formation [2, 5, 7]. Within this temperature range of slow thawing time (−2–0 °C), HVAEF treatment exerts its maximum effect. This result coincides with what has been found in other studies [2, 5].

![Figure 3: Changes in temperature during thawing of frozen tofu in different voltages.](image)

Higher voltage or electric field strength can induce stronger ionic wind and higher wind velocity [14]. The enhancement in mass transfer rate could be attributed to the corona wind [15]. The corona wind produced impinges on the material and disturbs the liquid part of the thawing tofu, leading to thawing enhancement. The enhancement in thawing rate by the multiple points-to-plate could be attributed to electric wind created by each needle point electrode, resulting in a cumulative effect that could have greatly increased the thawing rate [16]. From Figure 2, it appears that the thawing rate is higher than the control when the voltage is lower than 15 kV. So, apart from corona wind under the AC electric field, another HVAEF thawing mechanism is possible because there is no corona wind. Specifically, as water molecules are highly polar they orient themselves in the direction of the electric field, which in turn would lead to the conversion of electrical energy into mechanical energy, thereby forcing water molecules out of the material [10]. This effect would be directly proportional to the electric field strength.

### Table 2: The DL, EL, and TL of tofu under different voltages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage (kV)</th>
<th>DL (%)</th>
<th>EL (%)</th>
<th>TL (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (control)</td>
<td>25.53 ± 1.71</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.43</td>
<td>27.89 ± 1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.81 ± 0.81</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.22</td>
<td>26.42 ± 1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.72 ± 1.42</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.43</td>
<td>20.35 ± 1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.70 ± 1.19</td>
<td>2.91 ± 0.29</td>
<td>14.60 ± 1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>11.38 ± 1.21</td>
<td>3.13 ± 0.32</td>
<td>14.50 ± 2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.49 ± 1.88</td>
<td>5.25 ± 0.48</td>
<td>14.74 ± 2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.39 ± 0.34</td>
<td>5.57 ± 0.11</td>
<td>10.95 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.44 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.03 ± 0.12</td>
<td>8.48 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). For each treatment, means with different lower case letters are significantly different (p < 0.05). Important factors influence the EL, DL, and TL of tofu, such as water holding capacity, drip loss, and thawsupervitamin model of tofu. Influence of voltage on the EL, DL, and TL of tofu is given in Table 2. When thawing loss is low, water holding capacity is high [2]. The results showed that evaporation loss increased with increasing applied voltage. The evaporation rate of material samples treated with HVAEF increased compared to that of the control, and increasing the voltage had a major effect on the enhancement of the evaporation rate [17–19]. Drip loss was less in the electric field treatments than in the control and decreased with increasing applied voltage. As can be seen, the results indicate that changes in voltage have significant effects on thawing loss. In other words, water holding capacity of tofu is improved using HVAEF thawing. This result does not coincide with what has been found in other studies [2, 4]. The thawing process can cause the texture and the structural changes of the tofu [20]. Under same thawing method, there could have different results for the different materials. And the thawing loss is related to thawing time. The thawing time was shortened significantly under HVAEF compared to the control.

3.3. Effects of Voltage on Specific Energy Consumption. Figure 4 shows the specific energy consumption (SEC) of HVAEF system during thawing of tofu. The SEC of HVAEF system changes nonmonotonically with voltage, reaching a valley at 4–28 kV. He et al. found that the SEC of HVAF was significantly influenced by voltage and increased with increasing applied voltage [3, 5]. The results showed that the electric field energy was not completely absorbed for thawing frozen tofu and a part of the energy absorbed by the control system. This will affect the energy efficiency of HVEF system.

3.4. Selection of the Best Mathematical Model. To obtain the superior mathematical models of frozen tofu, nonlinear regression analysis was carried on to estimate the constants and parameters of the seven thawing mathematical models given in Table 3. The statistical results of the root mean
Table 3: Statistical results, constants, and coefficients of mathematical models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model name</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>ERMS</th>
<th>EF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>132.6482</td>
<td>-0.3534</td>
<td></td>
<td>260.3949</td>
<td>24.1728</td>
<td>0.8566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential</td>
<td>202.0317</td>
<td>-0.3364</td>
<td></td>
<td>202.0317</td>
<td>60.0811</td>
<td>0.9658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>188.5714</td>
<td>-41.5179</td>
<td></td>
<td>188.5714</td>
<td>44.1071</td>
<td>0.9722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logarithmic</td>
<td>137.3362</td>
<td>-50.3776</td>
<td></td>
<td>137.3362</td>
<td>160.3174</td>
<td>0.8991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>190.7143</td>
<td>-45.0893</td>
<td>1.1607</td>
<td>190.7143</td>
<td>54.5239</td>
<td>0.9893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse</td>
<td>84.8487</td>
<td>40.2736</td>
<td></td>
<td>84.8487</td>
<td>470.6708</td>
<td>32.4990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>4.5439</td>
<td>0.2641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>571.1514</td>
<td>35.8003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Effects of voltage on special energy consumption of tofu.

The HVAEF technique can strengthen the thawing rate of frozen tofu. The thawing rate increases with strengthening applied voltage. By the root mean square error (ERMS), reduced mean square of the deviation ($\chi^2$), and modeling efficiency (EF), both Linear and Quadratic models were found to be suitable for describing the thawing characteristics of frozen tofu under different voltages. Voltage has a major effect on thawing loss. Overall, HVAEF appears to be a feasible methodology for thawing frozen tofu. However, more studies are needed, especially for its comparison with other existing techniques for its full-scale utility.
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