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The embedding theorems in weighted Besov-Lions type spaces $B^{l,s}_{p,q,\gamma}(\Omega;E_0,E)$ in which $E_0,E$ are two Banach spaces and $E_0 \subset E$ are studied. The most regular class of interpolation space $E_0$ between $E_0$ and $E$ is found such that the mixed differential operator $D^\alpha$ is bounded from $B^{l,s}_{p,q,\gamma}(\Omega;E_0,E)$ to $B^{s}_{p,q,\gamma}(\Omega;E_0)$ and Ehrling-Nirenberg-Gagliardo type sharp estimates are established.

By using these results, the uniform separability of degenerate abstract differential equations with parameters and the maximal $B$-regularity of Cauchy problem for abstract parabolic equations are obtained. The infinite systems of the degenerate partial differential equations and Cauchy problem for system of parabolic equations are further studied in applications.

1. Introduction

Embedding theorems in function spaces have been elaborated in [1–3]. A comprehensive introduction to the theory of embedding of function spaces and historical references may also be found in [4, 5]. Embedding theorems in abstract function spaces have been studied in [2, 6–18]. The anisotropic Sobolev spaces $W^l_p(\Omega;H_0,H)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and corresponding weighted spaces have been investigated in [11, 13–16, 18], respectively. Embedding theorems in Banach-valued Besov spaces have been studied in [6–8, 17, 19]. Moreover, boundary value problems (BVPs) for differential-operator equations (DOEs) have been studied in [4, 5, 20, 21]. The solvability and the spectrum of BVPs for elliptic DOEs have also been refined in [7, 13–18, 22–26]. A comprehensive introduction to the differential-operator equations and historical references may be found in [4, 5]. In these works, Hilbert-valued function spaces essentially have been considered.

Let $l = (l_1,l_2,\ldots,l_n)$ and $s = (s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_n)$. Let $E_0$ and $E$ be Banach spaces such that $E_0$ is continuously and densely embedded in $E$. In the present paper, the weighted
Banach-valued Besov space $B^{l,s}_{p,q}(\Omega; E_0, E)$ is to be introduced. The smoothest interpolation class $E_\alpha$ between $E_0$, $E$ (i.e., to find the possible small $\sigma_\alpha$ for $E_\alpha = (E_0, E)_{\sigma_\alpha'}$) is found such that the appropriate mixed differential operators $D^\alpha$ are bounded from $B^{l,s}_{p,q}(\Omega; E_0, E)$ to $B^{l'_s}_{p,q}(\Omega; E_\alpha)$. By applying these results, the maximal $B$-regularity of certain classes of anisotropic partial DOE with parameters is derived. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 collects notations and definitions. Section 3 presents embedding theorems to vector-valued function spaces, and Section 5 is devoted to applications of these embedding theorems to anisotropic DOE with parameters for which the uniformly maximal $B$-regularity is obtained. Then, in Section 6, by using these results, the maximal $B$-regularity of parabolic Cauchy problem is shown. In Section 7, this DOE is applied to BVP and Cauchy problem for infinite systems of quasielliptic and parabolic PDE, respectively.

2. Notations and Definitions

Let $E$ be a Banach space and $\gamma = \gamma(x)$ a nonnegative measurable weighted function defined on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $L_{p,\gamma}(\Omega; E)$ denote the space of strongly measurable $E$-valued functions that are defined on $\Omega$ with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{L_{p,\gamma}(\Omega; E)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \|f(x)\|^p_{E_\gamma(x)} \, dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,
$$

$$
\|f\|_{L_{\infty,\gamma}(\Omega; E)} = \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} \|f(x)\|_{E_\gamma(x)}.
$$

Let $h \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $e_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ be the standard unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let (see [1, Section 16])

$$
\Delta_i(h) f(x) = f(x + he_i) - f(x), \ldots, \Delta_i^m(h) f(x) = \Delta_i(h) \left[ \Delta_i^{m-1}(h) f(x) \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{m+k} C_{m,k}f(x + khe_i).
$$

Let

$$
\Delta_i^m(\Omega, h) = \begin{cases} 
\Delta_i^m(h), & \text{for } [x, x + mye_i] \subset \Omega, \\
0, & \text{for } [x, x + mye_i] \subset \frac{\mathbb{R}^n}{\Omega}.
\end{cases}
$$

Let $L^\theta_0(E)$ be a $E$-valued function space such that

$$
\|u\|_{L^\theta_0(E)} = \left( \int_0^\infty \|u(t)\|^\theta_{E_\gamma(t)} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\theta} < \infty.
$$
Let $m_i$ be positive integers, $k_i$ nonnegative integers, $s_i$ positive numbers, and $m_i > s_i - k_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1 \leq \theta \leq \infty$, $0 < y_0 < \infty$. Let $F$ denote the Fourier transform. The Banach-valued Besov space $B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\Omega; E)$ is defined as

$$ B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\Omega; E) = \left\{ f : f \in L_p(\Omega; E); \| f \|_{B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\Omega; E)} = \| f \|_{L_p(\Omega; E)} \right\} ,$$

$$ + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_0^{l_0} \left( \frac{\| \Delta_i^m (h, \Omega) D_i^k f \|_{L_p(\Omega; E)}}{h^{s_i-k_i}} \right)^\theta dy \right)^{1/\theta} < \infty , \quad 1 \leq \theta < \infty , $$

$$ \| f \|_{B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\Omega; E)} = \| f \|_{L_p(\Omega; E)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{0<\h<\h_0} \| \Delta_i^m (h, \Omega) D_i^k f \|_{L_p(\Omega; E)} $$

for $\theta = \infty$.

(2.5)

For $E = \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma(x) \equiv 1$, we obtain a scalar-valued anisotropic Besov space $B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\Omega)$ [1, Section 18].

Let $C$ be the set of complex numbers and

$$ S_\varphi = \{ \lambda; \lambda \in C, |\arg \lambda| \leq \varphi \} \cup \{0\}, \quad 0 \leq \varphi < \pi. \quad (2.6) $$

A linear operator $A$ is said to be a $\varphi$-positive in a Banach space $E$ with bound $M > 0$ if $D(A)$ is dense on $E$ and $\| (A + \lambda I)^{-1} \|_{L(E)} \leq M(1 + |\lambda|)^{-1}$ with $\lambda \in S_\varphi$, $\varphi \in [0, \pi)$, where $I$ is the identity operator in $E$ and $L(E)$ is the space of bounded linear operators in $E$.

It is known [3, Section 1.15.1] that there exist the fractional powers $A^\theta$ of the positive operator $A$. Let $E(A^\theta)$ denote the space $D(A^\theta)$ with a graph norm defined as

$$ \| u \|_{E(A^\theta)} = \left( \| u \|^p + \| A^\theta u \|^p \right)^{1/p} , \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad -\infty < \theta < \infty. \quad (2.7) $$

The operator $A(t)$ is said to be $\varphi$-positive in $E$ uniformly with respect to $t$ with bound $M > 0$ if $D(A(t))$ is independent of $t$, $D(A(t))$ is dense in $E$, and $\| (A(t) + \lambda I)^{-1} \| \leq M(1 + |\lambda|)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in S_\varphi$, $0 \leq \varphi < \pi$, where $M$ does not depend on $t$ and $\lambda$.

Let $l = (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n)$, $s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$, where $l_k$ are positive integers. Let $B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\Omega; E)$ denote a $E$-valued weighted Sobolev-Besov space of functions $u \in B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\Omega; E)$ that have generalized derivatives $D^k u = (\partial^k / \partial x^k) u \in B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\Omega; E)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, with the norm

$$ \| u \|_{B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\Omega; E)} = \| u \|_{B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\Omega; E)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \| D^k u \|_{B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\Omega; E)} < \infty. \quad (2.8) $$
Suppose \( E_0 \) is continuously and densely embedded into \( E \). Let \( B_{p,q}^{s,s} (\Omega; E_0, E) \) denote the space with the norm

\[
\|u\|_{B_{p,q}^{s,s} (\Omega; E_0, E)} = \|u\|_{B_{p,q}^{s,s} (\Omega; E_0)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|D_k u\|_{B_{p,q}^{s,s} (\Omega; E)} < \infty.
\]  

(2.9)

Let \( t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) \), where \( t_k \) are parameters. We define the following parameterized norm in \( B_{p,q}^{s,s} (\Omega; E_0, E) \):

\[
\|u\|_{B_{p,q}^{s,s} (\Omega; E_0, E)} = \|u\|_{B_{p,q}^{s,s} (\Omega; E_0)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|t_k D_k u\|_{B_{p,q}^{s,s} (\Omega; E)} < \infty.
\]  

(2.10)

Let \( m \) be a positive integer. \( C^{(m)} (\Omega; E) \) denotes the spaces of \( E \)-valued bounded and \( m \)-times continuously differentiable functions on \( \Omega \). For two sequences \( \{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{b_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \) of positive numbers, the expression \( a_j \sim b_j \) means that there exist positive numbers \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) such that

\[
C_1 a_j \leq b_j \leq C_2 a_j.
\]  

(2.11)

Let \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) be two Banach spaces. Let \( F \) denote the Fourier transformation and let \( h \) be some parameter. We say that the function \( \Psi_h \) dependent of \( h \) is a uniform collection of multipliers if there exists a positive constant \( M \) independent of \( h \) such that

\[
\|F^{-1} \Psi_h Fu\|_{B_{p,q}^{s,s} (R^n; E_2)} \leq M \|u\|_{B_{p,q}^{s,s} (R^n; E_1)}
\]

for all \( u \in B_{p,q}^{s,s} (R^n; E_1) \). The set of all multipliers from \( B_{p,q}^{s,s} (R^n; E_1) \) to \( B_{q',q}^{s',s'} (R^n; E_2) \) will be denoted by \( M_{p,q}^{s,s} (E_1, E_2) \). For \( E_1 = E_2 = E \), it will be denoted by \( M_{p,q}^{s,s} (E) \). The exposition of the theory of Fourier multipliers and some related references can be found in [3, Sections 2.2.1–2.2.4]. In weighted \( L_p \) spaces, Fourier multipliers have been investigated in several studies like [27, 28]. Operator-valued Fourier multipliers in Banach-valued \( L_p \) spaces studied, for example, in [4, 6, 25, 27–33].

Let \( \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n) \) be multiindexes and

\[
\beta^\sharp = (\beta_1^\sharp, \beta_2^\sharp, \ldots, \beta_n^\sharp), \quad U_n = \{ \beta : |\beta| \leq n \}, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}.
\]  

(2.12)

**Definition 2.1.** A Banach space \( E \) satisfies a \( B \)-multiplier condition with respect to \( p, q, \theta, s \) (or with respect to \( p, \theta, s \) for \( p = q \)), and the weight \( \gamma \), when \( \Psi \in C^\infty (R^n; B(E)) \), \( 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty \), \( \beta \in U_n \) and \( \xi \in V_n \), if the estimate

\[
(1 + |\xi|)^{\theta + \eta} \|D^\beta \Psi (\xi)\|_{L(E)} \leq C, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, |\beta|
\]

implies \( \Psi \in M_{p,q}^{s,s} (E) \).

It is well known (e.g., see [32]) that any Hilbert space satisfies the \( B \)-multiplier condition. There are, however, Banach spaces which are not Hilbert spaces but satisfy the
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$B$-multiplier condition (see [7, 30]). However, additional conditions are needed for operator-valued multipliers in $L_p$ spaces, for example, UMD spaces (e.g., see [25, 33]). Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be nonnegative and $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n$ positive integers:

$$|\alpha : l| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_k}{l_k}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n), \quad l = (l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n),$$

$$D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} D_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots D_n^{\alpha_n} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}, \quad |\alpha| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k.$$

(2.13)

Consider the following differential-operator equation:

$$Lu = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k t_k D_1^{2l_k} u + A_1 u + \sum_{|\alpha| < 1} \alpha(t) A_\alpha(x) D^\alpha u = f,$$

(2.14)

where $A(x), A_\alpha(x)$ are linear operators in a Banach space $E$, $a_k$ are complex-valued functions and $t_k$ are some parameters $a(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} p_k^{2l_k}$. For $l_1 = l_2 = \cdots = l_n = m$, we obtain the elliptic class of DOE.

The function belonging to $B_{p,q,r}^{l,s}(\Omega; E(A), E)$ and satisfying (2.14) a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a solution of (2.14) on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Definition 2.2.** The problem (2.14) is said to be uniform weighted $B$-separable (or weighted $B_{p,q,r}^{l,s}(\Omega; E)$-separable) if, for all $f \in B_{p,q,r}^{l,s}(\Omega; E)$, the problem (2.14) has a unique solution $u \in B_{p,q,r}^{l,s}(\Omega; E(A), E)$ and the following estimate holds:

$$\|Au\|_{B_{p,q,r}^{l,s}(\Omega; E)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k \left\| D_1^{2l_k} u \right\|_{B_{p,q,r}^{l,s}(\Omega; E)} \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,q,r}^{l,s}(\Omega; E)}.$$  

(2.15)

Consider the following degenerate DOE:

$$Lu = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k t_k D_1^{2l_k} u + A_1 u + \sum_{|\alpha| < 1} \alpha(t) A_\alpha(x) D^\alpha u = f,$$

(2.16)

where $A(x), A_\alpha(x)$ are linear operators in a Banach space $E$, $a_k$ are complex-valued functions, $t_k$ are some parameters and

$$D_1^{[i]} = \left( \gamma(x_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right)^i, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

(2.17)

**Remark 2.3.** Under the substitution

$$\tau_k = \int_0^{x_k} \gamma^{-1}(y) dy,$$  

(2.18)
\( B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E), B^{[l],s}_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A), E) \) are mapped isomorphically onto the spaces \( B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E), B^{[l],s}_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A), E) \), respectively, where

\[
\gamma = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \gamma(x_k), \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \gamma(x_k(\tau_k)).
\]

Moreover, under the substitution (2.18), the degenerate problem (2.16) is mapped to the undegenerate problem (2.14).

### 3. Embedding Theorems

Let

\[
\varsigma = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_k + 1/p - 1/q}{l_k}, \quad \nu(l) = \max_{k,j \in [1,2,\ldots,n]} \left[ \frac{1}{l_k} - \frac{1}{l_j} \right], \quad \sigma(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\alpha_k + 1/p - 1/q}{l_k} \right).
\]

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose the following conditions hold:

1. \( E \) is a Banach space satisfying the \( B \)-multiplier condition with respect to \( p, q, s \);
2. \( t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) \), \( 0 < t_k < T < \infty \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \), \( \theta \in [1, \infty) \);
3. \( l_k \) are positive, \( \alpha_k \) nonnegative integers such that \( 0 < \varsigma + \nu(l) \leq 1 \) and \( 0 \leq \mu \leq 1 - \varsigma - \nu(l) \);
4. \( A \) is a \( q \)-positive operator in \( E \).

Then, the embedding \( D^\varsigma B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A), E) \subset B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A^{1-\varsigma-\mu})) \) is continuous, and there exists a constant \( C_\mu > 0 \), depending only on \( \mu \) such that

\[
\sigma(t) \| D^\varsigma u \|_{B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A^{1-\varsigma-\mu}))} \leq C_\mu \left[ h^n \| u \|_{B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E)} + h^{-1} \| u \|_{B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E)} \right]
\]

for all \( u \in B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A), E) \) and \( 0 < h \leq h_0 < \infty \).

**Proof.** Denoting \( Fu \) by \( \tilde{u} \), it is clear that

\[
\| D^\varsigma u \|_{B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A^{1-\varsigma-\mu}))} \sim \left\| F^{-1} (i\xi)^\varsigma A^{1-\varsigma-\mu} \tilde{u} \right\|_{B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E)}.
\]

Similarly, from the definition of \( B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A), E) \), we have

\[
\| u \|_{B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A), E)} = \big\| u \big\|_{B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A))} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k D_k u \big\|_{B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E)}
\]

\[
\sim \left\| F^{-1} A \tilde{u} \right\|_{B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (i\xi)^k f_k \tilde{u} \right\|_{B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E)}.
\]
Thus, proving the inequality (3.2) is equivalent to proving
\[
\sigma(t) \left\| F^{-1} \left[ (i\xi)^{\alpha} A^{1+\sigma-\mu} \tilde{u} \right] \right\|_{X_t} \leq h^\mu \left\| F^{-1} A\tilde{u} \right\|_{X_t} + h^\mu \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\| t_k F^{-1} \left[ (i\xi_k)^{\beta} \tilde{u} \right] \right\|_{X_t} + h^{-(1-\mu)} \| u \|_{X_t}. \tag{3.5}
\]
So, the inequality (3.2) will be followed if we prove the following inequality:
\[
\sigma(t) \left\| F^{-1} \left[ (i\xi)^{\alpha} A^{1+\sigma-\mu} \tilde{u} \right] \right\|_{X_t} \leq C_{\mu} \left\| F^{-1} \left[ h^\mu (A + \varphi(t, \xi)) \right] \tilde{u} \right\|_{X_t} \tag{3.6}
\]
for a suitable $C_{\mu} > 0$ and for all $u \in B_{p,\beta,\gamma}^\alpha (R^n; E(A), E)$, where
\[
\varphi = \varphi(t, \xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k |\xi_k|^{|\beta_k|} + h^{-1}, \quad X_t = B_{p,\beta,\gamma}^\alpha (R^n; E). \tag{3.7}
\]

Let us express the left-hand side of (3.6) as
\[
\sigma(t) \left\| F^{-1} \left[ (i\xi)^{\alpha} A^{1+\sigma-\mu} \tilde{u} \right] \right\|_{B_{p,\beta,\gamma}^\alpha (R^n; E)}^{\prime (R^n; E)} = \sigma(t) \left\| F^{-1} (i\xi)^{\alpha} A^{1+\sigma-\mu} [h^\mu (A + \varphi)]^{-1} [h^\mu (A + \varphi)] \right\|_{B_{p,\beta,\gamma}^\alpha (R^n; E)}. \tag{3.8}
\]
(Since $A$ is a positive operator in $E$ and $-\varphi(t, \xi) \in S(\varphi)$, it is possible.) By virtue of Definition 2.1, it is clear that the inequality (3.6) will be followed immediately from (3.8) if we can prove that the operator-function $\Psi_t = \Psi_{t,h,\mu} = \sigma(t) (i\xi)^{\alpha} A^{1+\sigma-\mu} [h^\mu (A + \varphi)]^{-1}$ is a multiplier in $M_{p,\beta,\gamma}^\mu (E)$, which is uniform with respect to $h$ and $t$. Since $E$ satisfies the multiplier condition with respect to $p$ and $q$, it suffices to show the following estimate:
\[
|\xi|^{k+\eta} \left\| D^\beta \Psi_t (\xi) \right\|_{L(E)} \leq C, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, |\beta| \tag{3.9}
\]
for all $\beta \in U_n, \xi \in R^n / \{ \xi_k = 0 \}$ and $\eta = 1/p - 1/q$. In a way similar to [18, Lemma 3.1], we obtain that $|\xi|^{\eta} \left\| \Psi_t (\xi) \right\|_{L(E)} \leq M_\mu$ for all $\xi \in R^n$. This shows that the inequality (3.9) is satisfied for $\beta = (0, \ldots, 0)$. We next consider (3.9) for $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$, where $\beta_k = 1$ and $\beta_j = 0$ for $j \neq k$. By using the condition $\sigma + \eta(l) \leq 1$ and well-known inequality $y_1^a y_2^a \cdots y_n^a \leq C(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k^a)$, $y_k \geq 0$ and by reasoning according to [18, Theorem 3.1], we have
\[
|\xi|^{1+\eta} \left\| D^\beta \Psi_t (\xi) \right\|_{L(E)} \leq M_\mu, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \tag{3.10}
\]

Repeating the above process, we obtain the estimate (3.9). Thus, the operator-function $\Psi_{t,h,\mu} (\xi)$ is a uniform collection of multiplier, that is, $\Psi_{t,h,\mu} \in \Phi_h \subset M_{p,\beta,\gamma}^\mu (E)$. This completes the proof of the Theorem 3.1.

It is possible to state Theorem 3.1 in a more general setting. For this, we use the extension operator in $B_{p,\beta,\gamma}^\alpha (\Omega; E(A), E)$.
Condition 1. Let $A$ be a $\varphi$-positive operator in Banach spaces $E$ satisfying the $B$-multiplier condition. Let a region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that there exists a bounded linear extension operator from $B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A), E)$ to $B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A), E)$, for $1 \leq p, \theta \leq \infty$.

Remark 3.2. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a region satisfying a strong $l$-horn condition (see [23, Section 18]) $E = \mathcal{R}$, $A = I$, then there exists a bounded linear extension operator from $B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; R, R)$ to $B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n) = B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; R, R)$.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose all conditions of Theorem 3.1 and Condition 1 are satisfied. Then, the embedding $D^s B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A), E) \subset B^s_{q,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A^{1-\kappa}))$ is continuous and there exists a constant $C_\mu$ depending only on $\mu$ such that

$$
\sigma(t) \| D^\mu u \|_{B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A^{1-\kappa}))} \leq C_\mu \left[ h^\mu \| u \|_{B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E)} + h^{-(1-\mu)} \| u \|_{B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E)} \right] \quad (3.11)
$$

for all $u \in B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A), E)$ and $0 < h \leq h_0 < \infty$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the estimate (3.11). Let $P$ be a bounded linear extension operator from $B^s_{q,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E)$ to $B^s_{q,\theta,Y}(R^n; E)$ and also from $B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A), E)$ to $B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(R^n; E(A), E)$. Let $P_\Omega$ be a restriction operator from $R^n$ to $\Omega$. Then, for any $u \in B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A), E)$, we have

$$
\| D^\mu u \|_{B^s_{q,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A^{1-\kappa}))} = \| D^\mu P_\Omega P u \|_{B^s_{q,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A^{1-\kappa}))} \\
\leq C_\mu \left[ h^\mu \| u \|_{B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A), E)} + h^{-(1-\mu)} \| u \|_{B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E)} \right]. \quad (3.12)
$$

Result 1. Let all conditions of Theorem 3.3 hold. Then, for all $u \in B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A), E)$ we get

$$
\| D^\mu u \|_{B^s_{q,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A^{1-\kappa}))} \leq C_\mu \| u \|_{B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A), E)} \| u \|_{B^s_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E)}. \quad (3.13)
$$

Indeed, setting $h = \| u \|_{B^s_{q,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E)} \cdot \| u \|_{B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E)}^{-1}$ in (3.13), we obtain (3.11).

Result 2. If $l_1 = l_2 = \cdots = l_n = m$ and $s_1 = s_2 = \cdots = s_n = \sigma$, then we obtain that embedding $D^\mu B^{m,\sigma}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A), E) \subset B^\sigma_{q,\theta,Y}(\Omega; E(A^{1-\kappa}))$ for $\kappa = |\alpha|/m$ and the corresponding estimate (3.11). For $E = C$, $A = I$, we obtain the embedding of weighted Besov spaces $D^\mu B^{l,s}_{p,\theta,Y}(\Omega) \subset B^s_{q,\theta,Y}(\Omega)$.
4. Application to Vector-Valued Functions

Let $s > 0$, and consider the space $\mathcal{D}^s = \{u; u = \{u_i\}_1^\infty, u_i \in \mathbb{C}\}$, $\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^s} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{jq}|u_i|^q\right)^{1/q} < \infty$. (4.1)

Note that $\mathcal{D}^s$ is positive in $\mathcal{D}^s$. Let $s > 4$. Application to Vector-Valued Functions
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Let us consider the differential-operator equation (2.14). Let

$$X = B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n; E), \quad Y = B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n; E).$$

(5.1)

**Theorem 5.1.** Suppose the following conditions hold:

1. $s > 0$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq \theta < \infty$, $t_k > 0$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$;
2. $E$ is a Banach space satisfying the B-multiplier condition;
3. $A$ is a $q$-positive operator in $E$ and

$$A(x)A^{-1(\alpha; 2\alpha)}(x) \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; L(E)), \quad 0 < \mu < 1 - |\alpha: 2|.$$  

(5.2)

Then, for all $f \in B^s(p,\theta,\gamma)(\mathbb{R}^n; E)$ and for sufficiently large $|\lambda| > 0$, $\lambda \in S(\varphi)$, the equation (2.18) has a unique solution $u(x)$ that belongs to space $B^{2s}_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n; E(A), E)$ and the following uniform coercive estimate holds:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k \|D_{x_k}^2 u\|_{B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n; E)} + \|Au\|_{B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n; E)} \leq C \|f\|_{B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n; E)}.$$  

(5.3)

**Proof.** At first, we will consider the principal part of (2.14), that is, the differential-operator equation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k \|D_{x_k}^2 u\|_{B^s_{p,\theta,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n; E)} + (A + \lambda)u = f.$$  

(5.4)
Then, by applying the Fourier transform to (5.4), we obtain

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k \xi_k^{2l} \hat{u}(\xi) + (A + \lambda) \hat{u}(\xi) = f(\xi).
\]  

(5.5)

Since \(\sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k \xi_k^{2l} \geq 0\) for all \(\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n\), we can say that \(\omega = \omega(t, \lambda, \xi) = \lambda + \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k \xi_k^{2l} \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)\) for all \(\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\), that is, operator \(A + \omega\) is invertible in \(E\). Hence, (5.5) implies that the solution of (5.4) can be represented in the form \(u(x) = F^{-1}(A + \omega)^{-1} f\). It is clear to see that the operator-function \(\varphi_{\lambda,t}(\xi) = [A + \omega]^{-1}\) is a multiplier in \(B_{p,0,t}^s(\mathbb{R}^n; E)\) uniformly with respect to \(\lambda \in \mathcal{S}(\varphi)\). Actually, by definition of the positive operator, for all \(\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\) and \(\lambda \geq 0\), we get

\[
\|\varphi_{\lambda}(\xi)\|_{L(E)} = \|(A + \omega)^{-1}\| \leq M(1 + |\omega|)^{-1} \leq M_0.
\]

(5.6)

Moreover, since \(D_k \varphi_{\lambda,t}(\xi) = 2l_k t_k (A + \omega)^{-2}_k \xi_k^{2l_k} \), then \(\|\xi_k D_k \varphi_{\lambda,t}\|_{L(E)} \leq M\). By using this estimate for \(\beta \in U_n\), we get

\[
|\xi|^\beta \|D^\beta \varphi_{\lambda,t}(\xi)\|_{L(E)} \leq C.
\]

(5.7)

In a similar way to Theorem 3.1, we prove that \(\varphi_{k,\lambda,t}(\xi) = \xi_k^{2l_k} \varphi_{\lambda,t}\), \(k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\), and \(\varphi_{0,\lambda,t} = A \varphi_{\lambda,t}\) satisfy the estimates

\[
(1 + |\xi|)^{|\beta|} \|D^\beta \varphi_{k,\lambda,t}(\xi)\|_{L(E)} \leq C, \quad (1 + |\xi|)^{|\beta|} \|D^\beta \varphi_{0,\lambda,t}(\xi)\|_{L(E)} \leq C.
\]

(5.8)

Since the space \(E\) satisfies the multiplier condition with respect to \(p\), then, in view of estimates (5.7) and (5.8), we obtain that the operator-functions \(\varphi_{k,\lambda,t}\), \(\varphi_{k,\lambda,t}\), \(\varphi_{0,\lambda,t}\) are multipliers in \(B_{p,0,t}^s(\mathbb{R}^n; E)\). Then, we obtain that there exists a unique solution of (5.4) for \(f \in B_{p,0,t}^s(\mathbb{R}^n; E)\) and the following estimate holds:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k \|D^l_k u\|_{B_{p,r}^s} + \|Au\|_{B_{p,r}^s} \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,r}^s}.
\]

(5.9)

Consider now the differential operator \(G_{\varphi}\) generated by problem (5.4), that is,

\[
D(G_{\varphi}) = B_{p,p,0}^{2l_s}(\mathbb{R}^n; E(A), E), \quad G_{\varphi} u = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k t_k D^l_k u + Au.
\]

(5.10)

The estimate (5.9) implies that the operator \(G_{\varphi} + \varphi\) has a bounded inverse from \(B_{p,0,t}^s(\mathbb{R}^n; E)\) into \(B_{p,0,t}^{2l_s}(\mathbb{R}^n; E(A), E)\) for all \(\varphi \geq 0\). Let \(G\) denote the differential operator in \(B_{p,0,t}^{2l_s}(\mathbb{R}^n; E)\)
generated by problem (2.14). In view of (2.18) condition, by virtue of Theorem 3.1, for all $u \in B_{p,θ}^{2l,s}(R^n; E(A), E)$, we have

$$
\|L_1u\|_{B_{p,θ}^p} \leq \sum_{|α| = l} a(t) \left\| A^{1-|α|2l−μ} D^α u \right\|_{B_{p,θ}^p} + \| Au \|_{B_{p,θ}^p} + h^{−(1−μ)} \| u \|_{B_{p,θ}^p}.
$$

(5.11)

Then, from (5.11), we have

$$
\|L_1u\|_{B_{p,θ}^p} \leq C \left[ h^θ \| (G_{0t} + λ) u \|_{B_{p,θ}^p} + h^{−(1−μ)} \| u \|_{B_{p,θ}^p} \right] + \| Au \|_{B_{p,θ}^p} \right) + h^{−(1−μ)} \| u \|_{B_{p,θ}^p} \right] \right].

(5.12)

Since $\| u \|_{B_{p,θ}^p} = (1/λ) \| (G_{0t} + λ) u − G_{0t} u \|_{B_{p,θ}^p}$ for all $u \in B_{p,θ}^{2l,s}(R^n; E(A), E)$, we get

$$
\| u \|_{B_{p,θ}^p} \leq \frac{1}{|λ|} \left[ \| (G_{0t} + λ) u \|_{B_{p,θ}^p} + \| G_{0t} u \|_{B_{p,θ}^p} \right].

(5.13)

From estimates (5.11)–(5.13), we obtain

$$
\|L_1u\|_{X_1} \leq Ch^θ \| (G_{0t} + λ) u \|_{X_1} + C_1 |λ|^{-1} h^{−(1−μ)} \| (G_{0t} + λ) u \|_{X_1}.

(5.14)

Then, by choosing $h$ and $λ$, such that $Ch^θ < 1, C_1 |λ|^{-1} h^{−(1−μ)} < 1$ from (5.14), we get the following uniform estimate:

$$
\left\| L_1(G_{0t} + λ)^{-1} \right\|_{L(E)} < 1.

(5.15)

Then, using the estimates of (5.9), (5.15) and the perturbation theory of linear operators, we obtain that the operator $G_t + λ$ is invertible from $B_{p,θ}^p(R^n, E)$ into $B_{p,θ}^{2l,s}(R^n; E(A), E)$. This implies the estimate (5.3).

Result 1. Let all conditions of Theorem 5.1 hold. Then,

(1) for $f \in B_{p,θ}^p(R^n, E)$, $λ \in S(φ)$, (2.16) has a unique solution $u \in B_{p,θ}^{2l,s}(R^n; E(A), E)$ and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^n t_k \left\| D_k^{[2l]} u \right\|_{B_{p,θ}^p(R^n, E)} + \| Au \|_{B_{p,θ}^p(R^n, E)} \leq C \| f \|_{B_{p,θ}^{2l,s}(R^n; E)}.

(5.16)

(2) the operator $Q_t$ has a resolvent $(Q_t + λ)^{-1}$ for $|arg λ| ≤ φ$, and the following uniform estimate holds:

$$
\sum_{|α| = l} a(t) |λ|^{−|α|2l} \left\| D^{[α]} (Q_t + λ)^{-1} \right\|_{L(Y_2)} + \| A(Q_t + λ)^{-1} \|_{L(Y_2)} \leq C.

(5.17)
Remark 5.2. Result 1 implies that operator $Q_t$ is uniformly positive in $B_{p,q}^s(R^n; E)$. Then, by virtue of [3, Section 1.14.5], the operator $Q_t$ is a generator of an analytic semigroup in $B_{p,q}^s(R^n; E)$.

6. Cauchy Problem for Degenerate Parabolic DOE with Parameters

Consider the Cauchy problem for the degenerate parabolic DOE

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k t_k D^{[2k]}_k u + Au + \sum_{a(\ell)<1} \alpha(t) A_a(x) D^{[a]} u = f(y, x), \quad u(0, x) = 0,$$

(6.1)

where $A$ and $A_a(x)$ are linear operators in a Banach space in $E$. Let $F = B_{p,q}^s(R^n; E)$.

Theorem 6.1. Assume all conditions of Theorem 5.1 hold for $\varphi \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ and $s > 0$. Then, for $f \in B_{p,q}^s(R^n; F)$, (6.1) has a unique solution $u \in B_{p,q}^1(R^n; D(Q_t), F)$ satisfying

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right\|_{B_{p,q}^s(R^n; F)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| D^{[2k]}_k u \right\|_{B_{p,q}^s(R^n; F)} + \left\| Au \right\|_{B_{p,q}^s(R^n; F)} \leq C \left\| f \right\|_{B_{p,q}^s(R^n; F)}.$$

(6.2)

Proof. The problem (6.1) can be expressed as

$$\frac{du}{dy} + Q_t u(y) = f(y), \quad u(0) = 0, y \in (0, \infty).$$

(6.3)

Result 1 implies the uniform positivity of $G_t$. So, by [6, Application D], we obtain that, for $f \in B_{p,q}^s(R^n; F)$, the Cauchy problem (6.3) has a unique solution $u \in B_{p,q}^{s+1}(R^n; D(Q_t), F)$ satisfying

$$\left\| D_t u \right\|_{B_{p,q}^{s+1}(R^n; F)} + \left\| Q_t u \right\|_{B_{p,q}^s(R^n; F)} \leq C \left\| f \right\|_{B_{p,q}^s(R^n; F)}.$$

(6.4)

In view of Result 1, the operator $Q_t$ is uniform separable in $F$; therefore, the estimate (6.4) implies (6.2).

7. Infinite Systems of the Quasielliptic Equation

Consider the following infinity systems:

$$(L + \lambda) u_m = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k t_k D^{[2k]}_k u_m(x) + (d_m + \lambda) u_m(x) + \sum_{a(\ell)<1} \alpha(t) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{km}(x) D^{[a]} u_m$$

(7.1)

$$= f_m(x), \quad x \in R^n, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, \infty.$$
Consider the following infinity systems of parabolic Cauchy problem

\[
\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial y} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k t_k D_{k}^{2h} u_m + d_m(x) u_m + \sum_{|\alpha|<1} a(t) d_{akm}(x) D^{a} u_m = f_m(y, x), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ u_m(0, x) = 0, \ m = 1, 2, \ldots, \infty.
\]

and let \( t_k \) be positive parameters. Let \( O_t \) denote the differential operator in \( B = L(B_{p,\theta,\gamma}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^n; l_q)) \) generated by problem (7.1).

**Theorem 7.1.** Let \( a_{p,\theta,\gamma} \in C_b(R^n), \ d_{m} \in C_b(R^n), \ d_{akm} \in L_{\infty}(R^n) \) such that

\[
\max_{\alpha} \sup_{m} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} d_{akm}(x) t^{|\alpha|} D_{k}^{0} \leq M
\]

for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ p, q \in (1, \infty), \theta \in [1, \infty] \) and \( 0 < \mu < 1 - |\alpha : l|. \)

Then,

(a) for all \( f(x) \in \{ f_m(x) \}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in B_{p,\theta,\gamma}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^n; l_q) \), for \( |\arg \lambda| \leq \varphi \) and for sufficiently large \( |\lambda| \), the problem (7.1) has a unique solution \( u = \{ u_m(x) \}_{m=1}^{\infty} \) that belongs to space \( B_{p,\theta,\gamma}^{s+2l}(\mathbb{R}^n, l_q(d), l_q) \) and the uniform coercive estimate holds

\[
\sum_{|\alpha|<1} \| D^{a} u \|_{B_{p,\theta,\gamma}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^n, l_q)} + \| Qu \|_{B_{p,\theta,\gamma}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^n, l_q)} \leq C \| f \|_{B_{p,\theta,\gamma}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^n, l_q)},
\]

(7.3)

(b) for \( |\arg \lambda| \leq \varphi \) and for sufficiently large \( |\lambda| \), there exists a resolvent \( (O_t + \lambda)^{-1} \) of operator \( O_t \) and

\[
\sum_{|\alpha|<1} \alpha(t)|\lambda|^{1-|\alpha|} \| D^{a} (O_t + \lambda)^{-1} \|_{B} + \| Q(O_t + \lambda)^{-1} \|_{B} \leq M.
\]

(7.4)

**Proof.** Really, let \( E = l_q, \ A(x), \) and let \( A_a(x) \) be infinite matrices such that

\[
A = [d_m(x) \delta_{km}], \quad A_a(x) = [d_{akm}(x)], \quad k, m = 1, 2, \ldots, \infty.
\]

(7.5)

It is clear that the operator \( A \) is positive in \( l_q \). Therefore, from Theorem 6.1, we obtain that the problem (7.1) has a unique solution \( u \in B_{p,\theta,\gamma}^{s+2l}(\mathbb{R}^n; l_q(Q), l_q) \) for all \( f \in B_{p,\theta,\gamma}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^n; l_q), \ |\arg \lambda| \leq \varphi \), sufficiently large \( |\lambda| \) and estimate (7.3) holds. From estimate (7.3), we obtain (7.4). \( \square \)

**8. Cauchy Problem for Infinite Systems of Parabolic Equations**

Consider the following infinity systems of parabolic Cauchy problem

\[
\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial y} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k t_k D_{k}^{2h} u_m + d_m(x) u_m + \sum_{|\alpha|<1} a(t) d_{akm}(x) D^{a} u_m = f_m(y, x), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ u_m(0, x) = 0, \ m = 1, 2, \ldots, \infty.
\]
Theorem 8.1. Let all conditions of Theorem 7.1 hold. Then, the parabolic systems (8.1) for sufficiently large \( \varepsilon > 0 \) have a unique solution \( u \in B^{1+2\varepsilon}_{p,\delta}(R^n,I_t(Q),I_q) \), and the following estimate holds:

\[
\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right\|_{B^{\mu}_{q,p}(R^n)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\| I_k D_k^{[2\varepsilon]} u \right\|_{B^{\mu}_{q,p}(R^n)} + \left\| Qu \right\|_{B^{\mu}_{q,p}(R^n)} \leq C \left\| f \right\|_{B^{\mu}_{q,p}(R^n)}.
\] (8.2)

Proof. Really, let \( E = I_q \), and let \( A \) and \( A_{\alpha}(x) \) be infinite matrices, such that

\[
A = [d_m(x)\delta_{km}], \quad A_{\alpha}(x) = [d_{akm}(x)], \quad k, m = 1, 2, \ldots \infty.
\] (8.3)

Then, the problem (8.1) can be expressed in the form (6.3), where

\[
A = [d_m(x)\delta_{km}], \quad A_{\alpha}(x) = [d_{akm}(x)], \quad k, m = 1, 2, \ldots \infty.
\] (8.4)

Then, by virtue of Theorems 6.1 and 7.1, we obtain the assertion. \( \square \)
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