Research Article

On a Class of Anisotropic Nonlinear Elliptic Equations with Variable Exponent

Guoqing Zhang^{1,2} and Hongtao Zhang¹

¹ College of Sciences, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China

² Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nevada Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV 89154-4020, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Hongtao Zhang; zhanght2007@sina.com

Received 13 May 2013; Accepted 16 July 2013

Academic Editor: Gen-Qi Xu

Copyright © 2013 G. Zhang and H. Zhang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Based on truncation technique and priori estimates, we prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solution for a class of anisotropic nonlinear elliptic equations with variable exponent $\vec{p}(x)$ growth. Furthermore, we also obtain that the weak solution is locally bounded and regular; that is, the weak solution is $L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and $C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$.

1. Introduction

Since the variable exponent spaces have reflected into a various range of applications such as non-Newtonian fluids, plasticity, image processing, and nonlinear elasticity [1–4], some authors began to study the various properties of variable exponent space and some nonlinear problems with variable exponent growth. Edmunds et al. [5], Fan and Zhao [6] obtained that the variable exponent space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ are reflexive Banach spaces under suitable conditions on $p(x)$. Later, Edmunds and Rákosník [7], Fan et al. [8] proved some continuous and compact Sobolev embedding theorems for the variable exponent spaces $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$. For the anisotropic variable exponents spaces, in 2008, Mihăilescu et al. [9] studied the eigenvalue problems for a class of anisotropic quasilinear elliptic equations with variable exponents. In 2011, Boureanu et al. [10] proved the existence of multiple solutions for a class of anisotropic elliptic equations with variable exponents. Recently, Stancu-Dumitru [11, 12] has studied the existence of nontrivial solutions for a class of nonhomogeneous anisotropic problem. In particular, Fan [13] established some embedding theorems for anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev spaces.

In this paper, we investigate the following anisotropic nonlinear elliptic equation:

$$-\partial_{x_i} a_i(x, u, \nabla u) + \sum_{i=1}^N T_i(x, \nabla u) = f - \partial_{x_i} h_i, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$u = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N > 2$) with Lipschitz conditions boundary, a_i and T_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) are Carathéodory functions, h_i and f satisfy suitable conditions, and $\partial_{x_i} a_i(x, u, \nabla u)$ and $\partial_{x_i} h_i(x)$ are Einstein Sum; that is, $\partial_{x_i} a_i(x, u, \nabla u) = \sum_{i=1}^N (\partial a_i(x, u, \nabla u) / \partial x_i)$, $\partial_{x_i} h_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N (\partial h_i(x) / \partial x_i)$. We usually use critical theory to obtain the existence of weak solutions. However, since the problem (1) has no variational structure, we cannot define the energy functional for the problem (1). Therefore, based on truncation technique and priori estimates, we prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for the problem (1) in $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, we obtain that the weak solution for the problem (1) is locally bounded.

In particular, for the special case

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_{x_i} a_i(x, \nabla u) &= f - \partial_{x_i} h_i, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u &= 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

we obtain that the weak solution is $C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$. To our knowledge, the above two problems have not been deeply studied in the anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some results on anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev spaces and state our main results. In Section 3, we prove the existence, uniqueness and locally bounded of weak solution for the problem (1). In Section 4, the regularity of weak solutions for the problem (2) is proved.

2. Preliminary and Main Results

This section is dedicated to a general overview on the $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$; for a deeper treatment on these spaces, see [5, 7, 8, 13, 14].

Let

$$C_+(\overline{\Omega}) := \{h : h \in C(\overline{\Omega}), h(x) > 1 \ \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}\}. \quad (3)$$

Set

$$h^+ = \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} h(x) \text{ and } h^- = \inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} h(x), \quad (4)$$

for any $p(x) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, and we define the variable exponent Lebesgue space

$$\begin{aligned} L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) &= \left\{ u : \text{a measurable real} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \text{valued function such that } \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We define the norm of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$:

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\mu} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (6)$$

From [6], we have the following:

- (1) $(L^{p(x)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(x)})$ is a Banach space;
- (2) $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is reflexive, if and only if $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$;
- (3) Hölder inequality.

For all $u, v \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{(p')^-} \right) \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)}, \quad (7)$$

where $1/p(x) + 1/p'(x) = 1$, $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ is the conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Now, we recall some results on anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ [13]; set

$$\begin{aligned} L_+^{\infty}(\Omega) &:= \{p \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) : p(x) \geq 1 \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Denote $\vec{p}(x) = (p_1(x), p_2(x), \dots, p_N(x)) \in (L_+^{\infty}(\Omega))^N$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in \Omega, \\ p_-(x) &= \min \{p_1(x), p_2(x), \dots, p_N(x)\}, \\ p_+(x) &= \max \{p_1(x), p_2(x), \dots, p_N(x)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) &= \left\{ u \in L^{p_+(x)}(\Omega) : D_i u \in L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega) \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, N \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{p_+(x)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|D_i u\|_{p_i(x)}. \quad (11)$$

If $p_i(x) \in L_+^{\infty}(\Omega)$, for $i=1, 2, \dots, N$, $p_i^- > 1$, then $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is reflexive. We define $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega)}, \quad (12)$$

and $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space (see [9]).

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p}(x) &= \frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^N (1/p_i(x))}, \\ \bar{p}^*(x) &= \begin{cases} \frac{N\bar{p}(x)}{N-\bar{p}(x)} & \text{if } \bar{p}(x) < N, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \bar{p}(x) \geq N, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$p_{\infty}(x) = \max \{\bar{p}^*(x), p_+(x)\}.$$

Hence, we have the following embedding theorem for $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain and $\vec{p}(\cdot) = (p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), \dots, p_N(\cdot)) \in (C_+^0(\overline{\Omega}))^N$.

Theorem 1 (see [13, Theorem 2.5]).

(i) If $q \in C_+^0(\overline{\Omega})$ and

$$q(x) < p_{\infty}(x) \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad (14)$$

then $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. The embedding is compact.

(ii) If $\bar{p}(x) > N$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then there exists $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$.

The embedding is also compact.

Theorem 2 (see [13, Theorem 2.6]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain and $\vec{p}(\cdot) = (p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot), \dots, p_N(\cdot)) \in (C_+^0(\bar{\Omega}))^N$. Suppose that

$$p_+(x) < \bar{p}^*(x) \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}. \quad (15)$$

Then one has

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_+(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^{p_i(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega), \quad (16)$$

where C is a positive constant independent of $u \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

Remark 3. From [13], we know that if $p_+(x) < \bar{p}^*(x)$, for all $u \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, then $\|u\|_{L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\partial_{x_i} u\|_{L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega)}$, where C is a positive constant independent of $u \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

Assume that $a_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $T_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Carathéodory functions and satisfy the following:

(A1) for a.e. $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\lambda \sum_{i=1}^N |\xi_i|^{p_i(x)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N a_i(x, s, \xi) \xi_i \quad (17)$$

and $|a_i(x, s, \xi)| \leq \beta[|s|^{p_\infty(x)/p_i'(x)} + |\xi_i|^{p_i(x)-1}]$, where $\lambda, \beta > 0$;

(A2) for a.e. $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, for all $\xi_i \neq \xi'_i$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, a_i satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & [a_i(x, s, \xi) - a_i(x, s, \xi')] (\xi_i - \xi'_i) \\ & \geq \gamma(\varepsilon + |\xi_i| + |\xi'_i|)^{p_i(x)-2} |\xi_i - \xi'_i|^2; \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

(A3) for a.e. $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$,

$$c_1 |\zeta|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N a_{\xi_j}^i(x, s, \xi) \zeta_i \zeta_j \leq c_2 \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N |\xi_i|^{p_i(x)-2} \right) |\zeta|^2, \quad (19)$$

where $a_{\xi_j}^i(x, s, \xi) = \partial a_i(x, s, \xi) / \partial \xi_j$;

(A4) for a.e. $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$c_3 |\zeta|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N a_{x_k}^i(x, s, \xi) \zeta_i \leq c_4 (|\zeta|^2 + |s|^{q(x)}), \quad (20)$$

where $a_{x_k}^i(x, s, \xi) = \partial a_i(x, s, \xi) / \partial x_k$;

(T1) for a.e. $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N |T_i(x, \xi)| \leq c_5 |s|^{q(x)/2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N m_i |\xi_i|^{p_i(x)-1}, \quad (21)$$

where $m_i \in L^{b_i(x)}(\Omega)$ with $1/b_i(x) = 1/p_\infty'(x) - 1/p_i'(x)$, $c_1, \dots, c_5$ are positive constants.

We enumerate the hypotheses concerning f and h_i ,

(F1) $f \in L^{p_\infty'(x)}(\Omega)$;

(F2) for a.e. $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, $|f_{x_k}| \leq c_6 |s|^{q(x)/2}$, where $f_{x_k} = \partial f / \partial x_k$;

(H1) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $h_i \in L^{p_i'(x)}(\Omega)$;

(H2) for a.e. $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$c_7 |\zeta|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N h_{x_k}^i \zeta_i \leq c_8 (|\zeta|^2 + |s|^{q(x)}), \quad (22)$$

where $h_{x_k}^i = \partial h_i / \partial x_k$, c_6, c_7, c_8 are positive constants.

Remark 4. Now, we give a simple example. Let $k = 1$, $x_0 = (3, 0)$, $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega = B(x_0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $u(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$, $\zeta = x_1^{3/2} + x_2^{3/2}$, $h_1(x) = x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2$, $h_2(x) = (1/2)x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2$, $T_1(x, \xi) = x_1 + x_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_i$, $T_2(x, \xi) = x_1x_2 + |\xi|^2$, $f(x) = (1/2)x_1^2 + x_1x_2$. By a simple calculation, we obtain that T_i satisfies (T1), f satisfies (F2), and h_i satisfies (H2), where $i = 1, 2$.

Now, we define the weak solution of the problem (1). A function $u \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the problem (1), if for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} [a_i(x, u, \nabla u) \varphi_{x_i} + T_i(x, \nabla u) \varphi] = \int_{\Omega} [f \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \varphi_{x_i}], \quad (23)$$

where $\varphi_{x_i} = \partial \varphi / \partial x_i$.

Theorem 5. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N > 2$) be a bounded open subset, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $x \in \Omega$, assume $p(x) < N$, $q \in C_+^0(\Omega)$ and $q(x) < p_\infty = \bar{p}^*(x)$, $p_i(x)$ satisfies

$$2 \leq p_i(x) \leq \frac{2Nr_i(x)}{Nr_i(x) - 2r_i(x) - 2N} \quad (24)$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^N (1/p_i(x)) < 2$, where $r_i(x) \geq \max\{N, p_\infty(x)p_i(x)/(p_\infty(x) - p_i(x))\}$. a_i satisfies the hypotheses (A1), (A2), the hypotheses (F1) and (H1) hold, and T_i satisfies (T1) and the following Lipschitz condition:

$$|T_i(x, \xi) - T_i(x, \xi')| \leq k_i(x) (|\xi_i| + |\xi'_i|)^{\delta_i(x)} |\xi_i - \xi'_i|, \quad (25)$$

where $k_i(x) \in L^{r_i(x)}(\Omega)$, $0 \leq \delta_i(x) \leq p_i(x)/N - p_i(x)/r_i(x) + (p_i(x) - 2)/2$. Then there exists a unique weak solution u for the problem (1). Furthermore, $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem 6. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N > 2$) be a bounded open subset. Suppose (A3), (A4) and (F2), (H2) hold, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $2 \leq p_i(x) \leq 2N/(N-2)$, $q \in C_+^0(\Omega)$ and $q(x) < \bar{p}^*(x)$. If u is a weak solution of the problem (2), then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$, for all $0 < \alpha < 1$.

3. The Proof of Theorem 5

We consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_{x_i} a_i(x, v_n, \nabla v_n) + \sum_{i=1}^N T_n^i(x, \nabla v_n) &= f - \partial_{x_i} h_i, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u &= 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $T_n^i(x, \nabla v)$ is the truncation at levels $\pm n$ of T_i . Due to [15], we obtain that there exists a weak solution $v_n \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)$ for the problem (26).

Lemma 7. If $\overline{p(x)} < N$, (A1), (A2), (T1), (F1), and (H1) hold.

Assume $v_n \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the problem (26); then one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} v_n|^{p_i(x)} \leq C. \quad (27)$$

Proof. Let $K \in \mathbb{R}^+$; K will be chosen later. There exist m measurable subsets $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_m$ of Ω and m functions $v_1, \dots, v_m$ such that if $i \neq j$, $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset$. For $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, $|\Omega_t| = K$, if $t = m$, $|\Omega_t| \leq K$. Let $\{x \in \Omega : |\nabla v_t| \neq 0\} \subset \Omega_t$, $\nabla v = \nabla v_t$ a.e. in Ω_t , $\nabla(v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_t)v_t = (\nabla v)v_t$, $v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_t = v$ in Ω . For $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, if $v_t \neq 0$, $\text{sign}(v) = \text{sign}(v_t)$.

Choose v_t as test function of the problem (26) and fix $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Using Young inequality, Hölder inequality, the embedding theorem of the $W_0^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)$, and the hypotheses (A1), (F1), and (H1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} v_t|^{p_i(x)} \\ &\leq c_1 \left(\|f\|_{L^{p'_{\infty}(x)}} A_t^{1/N} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |T^i(x, \nabla v)| |v_t| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |h_i|^{p'_i(x)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is a constant, $A_t = \prod_{i=1}^N \|\partial_{x_i} v_t\|_{L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega)}$. By (T1), Young inequality and Hölder inequality, we obtain, for $c_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} T^i(x, \nabla v) v_t \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} v|^{p_i(x)-1} |v_t| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \sum_{s=1}^t \int_{\Omega_s} |\partial_{x_i} v_s|^{p_i(x)-1} |v_t| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{s=1}^t \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\Omega_s} |\partial_{x_i} v_s|^{p_i(x)} |\Omega_s|^{1/p_i^- - 1/p_{\infty}^+} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + A_t^{p_i^+/N} |\Omega_s|^{1/p_i^- - 1/p_{\infty}^+} \right] \\ &\leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^N K^{1/p_i^- - 1/p_{\infty}^+} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\Omega_t} |\partial_{x_i} v_t|^{p_i(x)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \int_{\Omega_s} |\partial_{x_i} v_s|^{p_i(x)} + A_t^{p_i^+/N} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $p_i^- = \inf_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p_i(x)$, $p_i^+ = \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p_i(x)$, $p_{\infty}^+ = \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p_{\infty}(x)$.

Combining (28) with (29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} v_t|^{p_i(x)} \\ &\leq c_1 \left\{ \|f\|_{L^{p'_{\infty}(x)}} A_t^{1/N} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |h_i|^{p'_i(x)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_2 \sum_{i=1}^N K^{1/p_i^- - 1/p_{\infty}^+} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\Omega_t} |\partial_{x_i} v_t|^{p_i(x)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \int_{\Omega_s} |\partial_{x_i} v_s|^{p_i(x)} + A_t^{p_i^+/N} \right] \Big\}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Let K satisfy $c_1 c_2 \sum_{i=1}^N K^{1/p_i^- - 1/p_{\infty}^+} < 1$; then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} v_t|^{p_i(x)} \\ &\leq c_3 \left\{ \|f\|_{L^{p'_{\infty}(x)}} A_t^{1/N} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |h_i|^{p'_i(x)} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \sum_{i=1}^N K^{1/p_i^- - 1/p_{\infty}^+} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega_s} |\partial_{x_j} v_s|^{p_j(x)} \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^N K^{1/p_i^- - 1/p_{\infty}^+} A_t^{p_i^+/N} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $c_3 > 0$ is a constant. Let $t = 1$; we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} v_1|^{p_i(x)} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} v_1|^{p_i(x)} \\ & \leq c_3 \left[\|f\|_{L^{p'_{\infty}(x)}} A_1^{1/N} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |h_i|^{p'_i(x)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^N K^{1/p_i^- - 1/p_{\infty}^+} A_1^{p_i^+/N} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Now, we recall the following classical inequality, for $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$ are positive numbers,

$$\prod_{i=1}^N a_i^{1/N} \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i. \quad (33)$$

From (33), we have

$$A_1 = \prod_{i=1}^N \|\partial_{x_i} v_1\|_{L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega)} \leq \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_{x_i} v_1\|_{L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega)} \right)^N. \quad (34)$$

Combining (32) with (34), there exists a constant c_4 such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} v_1|^{p_i(x)} \leq c_4. \quad (35)$$

Furthermore, put (35) in (31) and iterate on t ; we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} v_t|^{p_i(x)} \\ & \leq c_5 \left[\|f\|_{L^{p'_{\infty}(x)}} A_t^{1/N} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |h_i|^{p'_i(x)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N K^{1/p_i^- - 1/p_{\infty}^+} A_1^{p_i^+/N} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} v_t|^{p_i(x)} \leq c_6, \quad (37)$$

for some constant $c_6 > 0$. $\square$

Lemma 8. *If $\overline{p(x)} < N$, and a_i satisfies the hypotheses (A1), (A2), the hypotheses (T1), (F1), and (H1) hold. Then there exists at least a weak solution for the problem (1).*

Proof. By (27), we obtain that there exists a sequence $\partial_{x_i} u_n$ which is bounded in $L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega)$; we have for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $\partial_{x_i} u_n \rightharpoonup \partial_{x_i} u$ weakly in $L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega)$. We argue as in [16] to prove

$$\partial_{x_i} u_n \longrightarrow \partial_{x_i} u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (38)$$

From (38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) & \longrightarrow a_i(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ T_n^i(x, \nabla u_n) & \longrightarrow T_n^i(x, \nabla u) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

By the hypotheses (A1) and (T1), for $\kappa_i(x) \in [1, p'_i(x)]$ and $c > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)|^{\kappa_i(x)} \\ & \leq c \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_{\infty}(x)} \right)^{\kappa_i(x)/p'_i(x)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} \right)^{\kappa_i(x)/p'_i(x)} \right] |\Omega|^{(p_i^{'+} - \kappa_i^-)/p_i^{'+}}, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |T_n^i(x, \nabla u_n)|^{\kappa_i(x)} \\ & \leq c \left[\int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} \right]^{\kappa_i(x)/p'_i(x)} |\Omega|^{(p_i^{'+} - \kappa_i^-)/p_i^{'+}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Vitali Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) & \longrightarrow a_i(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{strongly in } L^{\kappa_i(x)}(\Omega), \\ T_n^i(x, \nabla u_n) & \longrightarrow T_i(x, \nabla u) \quad \text{strongly in } L^{\kappa_i(x)}(\Omega), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

for any $\kappa_i(x) \in [1, p'_i(x)]$. Hence, we complete the proof of Lemma 8. $\square$

Lemma 9. *If $\overline{p(x)} < N$, $p_{\infty}(x) = \overline{p}^*(x)$, a_i satisfies the hypotheses (A1), (A2), the hypotheses (F1) and (H1) hold, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $x \in \Omega$, $p_i(x)$ satisfies*

$$2 \leq p_i(x) \leq \frac{2Nr_i(x)}{Nr_i(x) - 2r_i(x) - 2N}, \quad (42)$$

where $r_i(x) \geq \max\{N, p_{\infty}(x)p_i(x)/(p_{\infty}(x) - p_i(x))\}$, T_i satisfies (T1) and (25). Then there exists a unique weak solution for the problem (1).

Proof. Suppose that there exist two distinct weak solutions u and v for the problem (1). Denote $\varphi = \max\{u - v, 0\}$, and for $t \in [1, \sup \varphi]$, let $\Omega_t = \{x \in \Omega : t < \varphi < \sup \varphi\}$. Otherwise, if $t \in [0, 1)$, we choose $m = 1/t$ and let $\Omega_m = \{x \in \Omega : m < \varphi < \sup \varphi\}$. We choose

$$\varphi_t = \begin{cases} \varphi - t, & \varphi > t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

as test function. From (A2) and (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_t} |\partial_{x_i} \varphi_t|^2 (\varepsilon + |\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{p_i(x)-2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_t} k_i(x) (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{\delta_i(x)} |\partial_{x_i} \varphi_t| \varphi_t \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

when $\delta_+(x) \geq (p_i(x) - 2)/2$. By Young inequality, for c independent on t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_t} |\partial_{x_i} \varphi_t|^2 (\varepsilon + |\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{p_i(x)-2} \\ & \leq c \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_t} k_i(x)^2 (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{2\delta_+(x)-p_i(x)+2} \varphi_t^2. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{C} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \varphi_t^{2^*} \right)^{2/2^*} \\ & \leq \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega_t} |\partial_{x_i} \varphi_t|^2 \right)^{1/N} \\ & \leq c_1 \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega_t} |\partial_{x_i} \varphi_t|^2 (\varepsilon + |\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{p_i(x)-2} \right)^{1/N} \\ & \leq c_1 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_t} k_i(x)^2 (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{2\delta_+(x)-p_i(x)+2} \varphi_t^2 \\ & \leq c_1 \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \varphi_t^{2^*} \right)^{2/2^*} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega_t} k_i(x)^N (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{(2\delta_+(x)-p_i(x)+2)(N/2)} \right)^{2/N}, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where c_1 is a constant independent on t . Hence, we have

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega_t} k_i(x)^N (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{(2\delta_+(x)-p_i(x)+2)(N/2)} \right)^{2/N}. \quad (47)$$

Since $N/r_i(x) + ((2\delta_i(x) - p_i(x) + 2)/p_i(x))(N/2) \leq 1$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \sup \varphi} \int_{\Omega_t} k_i(x)^N (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{(2\delta_+(x)-p_i(x)+2)(N/2)} = 0. \quad (48)$$

Therefore, (48) leads to a contradiction.

On the other hand, if $\delta_+(x) < (p_i(x) - 2)/2$, from (44), and Young inequality, for some constant c_2 (independent on t), we have

$$\int_{\Omega_t} |\partial_{x_i} \varphi_t|^2 \leq c_2 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_t} k_i(x)^2 (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{2\delta_+(x)} \varphi_t^2. \quad (49)$$

Argue as in (46), for some constant c_3 (independent on t), we have

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq c_3 \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega_t} k_i(x)^N (|\partial_{x_i} u| + |\partial_{x_i} v|)^{N\delta_+(x)} \right)^{2/N}. \quad (50)$$

Since $N/r_i(x) + N\delta_i(x)/p_i(x) \leq 1$, we obtain a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 10. If (A1), (T1), (F1), and (H1) hold, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $2 \leq p_i(x)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N (1/p_i(x)) < 2$, $q \in C_+^0(\Omega)$ and $q(x) < p_\infty = \overline{p}^*(x)$, and u is a weak solution of the problem (1), then $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. We denote $E = W_0^{1, \overline{p(x)}}(\Omega)$. Let $t > 0$, $\varphi = \max\{u - t, 0\}$, and choose φ as test function to the problem (1). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} [a_i(x, u, \nabla u) \varphi_{x_i} + T_i(x, \nabla u) \varphi] \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \left[f\varphi + \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \varphi_{x_i} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Let $x_0 \in \Omega$, fix $k > 1$, $B(x_0, R)$ be a ball, and let $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $\delta R < s < t < R$, and $A_{k,t} = \{x \in B(x_0, t), u(x) > k\}$. Using (51), (A1), (F1), (H1), and Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} \\ & \leq c \left[\|f\|_{L^{p_\infty}(A_{k,t})} \|u - k\|_{L^{p_\infty}(A_{k,t})} \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} |T_i(x, \nabla u)| |u - k| \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} h_i \partial_{x_i} u \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

For $2 \leq p_i(x)$ and (T1), by Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} |T_i(x, \nabla u)| |u - k| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{A_{k,t}} |u - k|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{A_{k,t}} |T_i(x, \nabla u)|^2 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} |u - k|^{p_i(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} |u|^{q(x)} \\ & \leq c \int_{A_{k,t}} \left| \frac{u - k}{t - s} \right|^{\overline{p(x)}^*} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} |u|^{q(x)}, \\ & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} h_i \partial_{x_i} u \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} h_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} |\partial_{x_i} u|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} h_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

As $2 \leq p_i(x)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N (1/p_i(x)) < 2$, if $\overline{p(x)} < N$, there exists $\varepsilon = 1/2 - \overline{p(x)}/\overline{p(x)}^* > 0$ such that

$$c \left(\int_{A_{k,t}} h_i^2 \right)^{1/2} |A_{k,t}|^{1/2} \leq c |A_{k,t}|^{\overline{p(x)}/\overline{p(x)}^* + \varepsilon}. \quad (54)$$

If $\overline{p(x)} \geq N$, a similar estimate is true; just only choose a suitable $\overline{p(x)}^*$.

Due to $q \in C_+^0(\Omega)$ and $q(x) < p_\infty(x) = \overline{p(x)}^*$, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_\infty}} \|u - k\|_{L^{p_\infty}} \leq c \int_{A_{k,t}} |u|^{q(x)}. \quad (55)$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_{k,t}} |u|^{q(x)} &= \int_{A_{k,t}} |(u - k) + k|^{q(x)} \\ &\leq c \int_{A_{k,t}} |u - k|^{q(x)} + ck^{q^+} |A_{k,t}| \\ &\leq c \int_{A_{k,t}} \left| \frac{u - k}{t - s} \right|^{\overline{p(x)}^*} + ck^{q^+} |A_{k,t}|, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

and (52)–(55), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,s}} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,t}} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} + c \int_{A_{k,t}} \left| \frac{u - k}{t - s} \right|^{\overline{p(x)}^*} \\ &\quad + ck^{q^+} |A_{k,t}| + c |A_{k,t}|^{\overline{p(x)}/\overline{p(x)}^* + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Using Lemma 3.1 in [17], and (57), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{A_{k,\delta R}} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} \\ &\leq c \int_{A_{k,R}} \left| \frac{u - k}{R - \delta R} \right|^{\overline{p(x)}^*} + ck^{q^+} |A_{k,R}| \\ &\quad + c |A_{k,R}|^{\overline{p(x)}/\overline{p(x)}^* + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

By Lemma 2.4 in [18], (58), we obtain that u is bounded from above on $B(x_0, R/2)$. Note that $-u$ is also a weak solution of the problem (1), where $\tilde{a}_i(x, u, \nabla u) = a_i(x, -u, -\nabla u)$ and $\tilde{T}_i(x, \nabla u) = T_i(x, -\nabla u)$. Hence, $-u$ is also bounded from above on $B(x_0, R/2)$, and $u \in L^\infty(B(x_0, R/2))$. This implies that $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5. From Lemmas 8, 9, and 10, we obtain that there exists a uniqueness weak solution $u \in W_0^{1, \overline{p(x)}}(\Omega)$ for the problem (1), and what is more, $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$. Hence we complete the proof of Theorem 5. $\square$

4. The Proof of Theorem 6

In this section, we prove the regularity of weak solutions for the problem (2).

Proof of Theorem 6. We denote $E = W_0^{1, \overline{p(x)}}(\Omega)$. For understanding, we write $a^i(u, Du) = a_i(u, \nabla u)$, and $h^i = h_i$. If g is

any function with compact support in Ω , for $1 \leq k \leq N$, for small enough m , we define

$$\Delta_m^k g(x) = \frac{g(x + me_k) - g(x)}{m}, \quad (59)$$

where $e_k = (0, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$. Hence, if for any $\phi \in E$, ϕ has compact support in Ω and $|m| < (1/2) \text{dist}(\text{supp } \phi, \partial\Omega)$; from the definition of weak solution for the problem (1), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \Delta_m^k a^i(x, Du) \phi_{x_i} - \int_{\Omega} \Delta_m^k f \phi - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \Delta_m^k h^i \phi_{x_i} = 0. \quad (60)$$

For the definition of Δ_m^k and [19], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_m^k a^i(x, Du) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} [a^i(x + tme_k, Du + t\Delta_m^k Du)] dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[a_{x_k}^i + \sum_{j=1}^N a_{\xi_j}^i D_j (\Delta_m^k u) \right] dt \\ &\doteq e^i + g_{ij} D_j (\Delta_m^k u), \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where $e^i = \int_0^1 a_{x_k}^i dt$, $g_{ij} = \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^N a_{\xi_j}^i dt$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_m^k f &= \frac{1}{m} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} f(x + tme_k) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 f_{x_k} dt \doteq F, \\ \Delta_m^k h^i &= \frac{1}{m} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} h^i(x + tme_k) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 h_{x_k}^i dt \doteq H^i. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Then, combining (60) with (61)–(62), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} e^i D_i \phi + \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} g_{ij} D_j (\Delta_m^k u) D_i \phi \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} F \phi - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} H^i D_i \phi = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Due to (A4), (F2), (H2), (63), Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} g_{ij} D_j (\Delta_m^k u) D_i \phi \\ &= \int_{\Omega} F \phi + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} H^i D_i \phi - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} e^i D_i \phi \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega} |D\phi|^2 + c \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} + c \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)/2} \phi \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega} |D\phi|^2 + c \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} + c \int_{\Omega} \phi^2; \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} g_{ij} D_j (\Delta_m^k u) D_i \phi \\ & \leq c \int_{\Omega} |D\phi|^2 + c \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} + c \int_{\Omega} \phi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Choose $\phi = \psi^2 \Delta_m^k u$, where $\psi \in C_0^1(\bar{\Omega})$, $|\psi| \leq 1$, and (65), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} g_{ij} \psi^2 D_i (\Delta_m^k u) D_j (\Delta_m^k u) \\ & \leq -2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \psi u g_{ij} D_i \psi D_j (\Delta_m^k u) + c \int_{\Omega} |D\psi^2 \Delta_m^k u|^2 \\ & \quad + c \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} + c \int_{\Omega} (\psi^2 \Delta_m^k u)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

From (66), (A3), Cauchy inequality and Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |g_{ij} \psi^2 D_i (\Delta_m^k u) D_j (\Delta_m^k u)| \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\psi u g_{ij} D_i \psi D_j (\Delta_m^k u)| \\ & \quad + c \int_{\Omega} |D\psi^2 \Delta_m^k u|^2 + c \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} + c \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^4 |\Delta_m^k u|^2 \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left[\int_{\Omega} g_{ij} \psi^2 D_i (\Delta_m^k u) D_i (\Delta_m^k u) \right]^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left[\int_{\Omega} g_{ij} (\Delta_m^k u)^2 D_i \psi D_j \psi \right]^{1/2} + c \int_{\Omega} |D\psi^2 \Delta_m^k u|^2 \\ & \quad + c \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} + c \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^4 |\Delta_m^k u|^2 \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} g_{ij} \psi^2 D_i (\Delta_m^k u) D_i (\Delta_m^k u) \right) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left(\int_{\Omega} g_{ij} (\Delta_m^k u)^2 D_i \psi D_j \psi \right) \right] + c \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} \\ & \quad + c \int_{\Omega} \psi^2 |D(\Delta_m^k u)|^2 \\ & \quad + c \int_{\Omega} |\Delta_m^k u|^2 |D\psi|^2 + c \int_{\Omega} |\Delta_m^k u|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

By (A3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \psi^2 |D(\Delta_m^k u)|^2 \\ & \leq c \int_{\Omega} \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(|D_i u(x)|^{p_i(x)-2} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + |D_i u(x + me_k)|^{p_i(x)-2} \right) \right] \\ & \quad \times |\Delta_m^k u|^2 |D\psi|^2 + c \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Assume $p_N = p_+^+ = \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p_+(x)$; we have for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $p_i(x) \leq p_N$. For $q(x) < \bar{p}^*(x)$, let $k = N$ and take $m \rightarrow 0$; then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi^2 |D(D_N u)|^2 \leq c \int_{\Omega} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i u|^{p_i(x)-2} \right) |D\psi|^2 |D_N u|^2. \quad (69)$$

Hence, $D_N u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. If we take $\phi = \psi^2 (\Delta_m^N u - r)^+$, where $r > 0$, $|\psi| < 1$, $(\Delta_m^N u - r)^+ = \max\{\Delta_m^N u - r, 0\}$. Since $D_N u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $D_N u \in L_{loc}^{2N/(N-2)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \psi^2 |D(D_N u - r)^+|^2 \\ & \leq c \int_{\Omega} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i u|^{p_i(x)-2} \right) |D\psi|^2 |(D_N u - r)^+|^2 \\ & \leq c \int_{\Omega} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |D_i u|^{p_i(x)-2} \right) |D\psi|^2 |(D_N u - r)^+|^2 \\ & \quad + c \int_{\Omega} |D_N u|^{p_N-2} |D\psi|^2 |(D_N u - r)^+|^2 \\ & \leq c \int_{\Omega} |(D_N u - r)^+|^{p_N} |D\psi|^2 + c r^{p_N-2} \int_{\Omega} |(D_N u - r)^+|^2 \\ & \quad + c \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(|(D_N u - r)^+|^{2N/(N-2)} |D\psi|^{2N/(N-2)} \right)^{(N-2)/N} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{\{D_N u > k\} \cap \text{supp } \psi} \left(1 + |D_i u|^{p_i(x)-2} \right)^{N/2} \right)^{2/N}. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

For $2 \leq p_i(x) \leq 2N/(N-2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\{D_N u > k\} \cap \text{supp } \psi} \left(1 + |D_i u|^{p_i(x)-2} \right)^{N/2} \right)^{2/N} \\ & \leq c |\{D_N u > k\} \cap \text{supp } \psi|^{2/N-2/p_-+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

If we fix $B(x_0, R)$, $\text{supp } \psi \subset B(x_0, R)$, where $R < 1$; let $r \geq 1$, $0 < \delta < 1$, and take $\psi \in C_0^1(B(x_0, R))$, $\psi \equiv 1$ on $B(x_0, \delta R)$; $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $|D\psi| \leq c/(R - \delta R)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A_{r,\delta R}} |D(D_N u - r)^+|^2 \\ & \leq c \int_{A_{r,R}} \left(\frac{|D_N u - r|}{R - \delta R} \right)^{2N/(N-2)} \\ & \quad + c \left(\int_{A_{r,R}} \left(\frac{|D_N u - r|}{R - \delta R} \right)^{2N/(N-2)} \right)^{(N-2)/N} \\ & \quad \times |A_{r,R}|^{2/N-2/p_-+1} + c r^{2N/(N-2)} |A_{r,R}|. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

Hence, (72) implies that $D_N u$ is in $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$. So we obtain $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2}(\Omega)$. By De Giorgi-Moser regularity theorem, for any $\omega \subset\subset \Omega$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have $D_N u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\omega)$; then $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$, for $0 < \alpha < 1$. $\square$

Acknowledgments

The authors express their sincere thanks to the referees for their valuable suggestions. This paper was supported by Shanghai Natural Science Foundation Project (no. 11ZR1424500) and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (no. XTKX2012).

References

- [1] Y. Chen, S. Levine, and M. Rao, "Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 1383–1406, 2006.
- [2] M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, "A multiplicity result for a nonlinear degenerate problem arising in the theory of electrorheological fluids," *Proceedings of The Royal Society of London A*, vol. 462, no. 2073, pp. 2625–2641, 2006.
- [3] M. Ružička, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2000.
- [4] V. V. Zhikov, "Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory, Math," *USSR-Izvestiya*, vol. 29, pp. 33–66, 1987.
- [5] D. E. Edmunds, J. Lang, and A. Nekvinda, "On $L^{p(x)}$ norms," *The Royal Society of London A*, vol. 455, no. 1981, pp. 219–225, 1999.
- [6] X. Fan and D. Zhao, "On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 263, no. 2, pp. 424–446, 2001.
- [7] D. E. Edmunds and J. Rákosník, "Sobolev embeddings with variable exponent," *Studia Mathematica*, vol. 143, no. 3, pp. 267–293, 2000.
- [8] X. Fan, J. Shen, and D. Zhao, "Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 262, no. 2, pp. 749–760, 2001.
- [9] M. Mihăilescu, P. Pucci, and V. Rădulescu, "Eigenvalue problems for anisotropic quasilinear elliptic equations with variable exponent," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 340, no. 1, pp. 687–698, 2008.
- [10] M.-M. Boureanu, P. Pucci, and V. D. Rădulescu, "Multiplicity of solutions for a class of anisotropic elliptic equations with variable exponent," *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, vol. 56, no. 7–9, pp. 755–767, 2011.
- [11] D. Stancu-Dumitru, "Multiplicity of solutions for a nonlinear degenerate problem in anisotropic variable exponent spaces," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 117–130, 2013.
- [12] D. Stancu-Dumitru, "Two nontrivial solutions for a class of anisotropic variable exponent problems," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 1205–1219, 2012.
- [13] X. Fan, "Anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev spaces and $\overrightarrow{p(x)}$ -Laplacian equations," *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, vol. 56, no. 7–9, pp. 623–642, 2011.
- [14] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and M. Ružička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*, vol. 2017 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2011.
- [15] J. Leray and J.-L. Lions, "Quelques résultats de Višik sur les problèmes elliptiques nonlinéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder," *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, vol. 93, pp. 97–107, 1965.
- [16] L. Boccardo and F. Murat, "Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 581–597, 1992.
- [17] G. Mariano, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear elliptic Systems*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 1983.
- [18] N. Fusco and C. Sbordone, "Some remarks on the regularity of minima of anisotropic integrals," *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 18, no. 1–2, pp. 153–167, 1993.
- [19] P. Marcellini, "Regularity and existence of solutions of elliptic equations with p, q -growth conditions," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 1–30, 1991.