Research Article

Relative Infinite Determinacy for Map-Germs

Changmei Shi^{1,2} and Donghe Pei¹

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, China

² School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Guizhou Normal College, Guiyang 550018, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Donghe Pei; peidh340@nenu.edu.cn

Received 5 May 2013; Revised 8 September 2013; Accepted 9 September 2013

Academic Editor: Stanislav Henc

Copyright © 2013 C. Shi and D. Pei. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The infinite determinacy for smooth map-germs with respect to two equivalence relations will be investigated. We treat the space of smooth map-germs with a constraint, and the constraint is that a fixed submanifold in the source space is mapped into another fixed submanifold in the target space. We study the infinite determinacy for such map-germs with respect to a subgroup of right-left equivalence group and finite and infinite determinacy with respect to a subgroup of contact group and give necessary and sufficient conditions for the corresponding determinacy.

1. Introduction

This work is concerned with the singularity theory of differentiable maps. Singularity theory of differentiable maps is a wide-ranging generalization of the theory of the maxima and minima of functions of one variable, and it is now an essential part of nonlinear analysis. This theory has numerous applications in mathematics and the natural sciences; see, for example, [1, 2].

In differentiable analysis, the local behavior of a differentiable map can be determined by the derivatives of the map at a point. Hence we have the theories of finitely and infinitely determined map-germs. We know that every finitely determined map-germ is equivalent to its Taylor polynomial of some degree, and infinite determinacy is a way to express the stability of smooth map-germs under flat perturbations. The analysis of the conditions for a map-germ to be finitely or infinitely determined involves the most important local aspects of singularity theory. Therefore, the study of finite and infinite determinacy of smooth map-germs is a very important subject in singularity theory.

Now there are several articles treating the question of infinite determinacy with respect to the most frequently encountered and naturally occurring equivalence groups, for instance, the right-equivalence group $\mathcal{R}$, left-equivalence group $\mathcal{L}$, group $\mathcal{C}$, contact group $\mathcal{K}$, and right-left-equivalence group $\mathcal{A}$. In [3, 4], Wilson characterized the

infinite determinacy of smooth map-germs with respect to one of the groups $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{K}$ and the infinite determinacy for finitely $\mathcal{K}$ -determined map-germs with respect to $\mathcal{A}$. Besides, for the case of $\mathcal{A}$, Brodersen [5] showed that the results of [4] hold for map-germs without assuming $\mathcal{K}$ -finiteness. We can see [6] for detailed survey of all of these results. Recently, there appeared the notion of relative infinite determinacy for smooth function-germs with nonisolated singularities. Sun and Wilson [7] treated the smooth function-germs with real isolated line singularities, and this work was later generalized and modified in the case where germs have a nonisolated singular set containing a more general set; for instance, see [8, 9]. In addition, [10] studied the relative versality for map-germs and these map-germs with the constraint that a fixed submanifold in the source space is mapped into another fixed submanifold in the target space.

Inspired by the aforementioned papers, we will study the relative infinite determinacy for map-germs with respect to a subgroup of the group $\mathcal{A}$ and relative finite and infinite determinacy with respect to a subgroup of the group $\mathcal{K}$ by means of some algebraic ideas and tools, and our main results extend the part of the works in [3, 4].

Now, we consider the following map-germs.

Let N and P be submanifolds without boundary of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^p$, respectively, both containing the origin. Since this paper

is concerned with a local study, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$N = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-n_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \quad P = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{p-p_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^p \quad (1)$$

$$(n_0, p_0 \geq 1).$$

Denote by C_n^p the space of map-germs $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$, with $f(N) \subset P$. Such map-germs are quite common in singularity theory and geometry.

Example 1. Let $f : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be given by (right helicoid)

$$f(u, v) = (u \cos v, u \sin v, cv). \quad (2)$$

It is clear that $f(N) \subset P$ for $N = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $P = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Example 2. Let $f : (\mathbb{R}^4, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ be given by

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1, x_2, x_3^2 + x_1x_4, x_4^2 + x_2x_3). \quad (3)$$

Then $f(N) \subset P$ for $N = P = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$.

In this paper we want to characterize the relative infinite determinacy for such map-germs. To formulate the main results we need to introduce some notations and definitions.

Let E_n denote the ring of smooth function-germs at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and let m_n denote its unique maximal ideal. For a germ f , let $j^k f(x)$ denote the Taylor expansion of f of order k at x . In the case $k = \infty$, $j^\infty f(x)$ can be identified with the Taylor series of f at x .

Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the group of germs at the origin of local diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and let

$$\mathcal{R}_N = \{\phi \in \mathcal{R} : \phi|_N \equiv \text{id}_N\}, \quad (4)$$

where id denotes the identity. Then $\mathcal{R}_N$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{R}$.

Let C_N be the local ring $\{f \in E_n : f|_N \equiv \text{constant}\}$, and let m_N denote the maximal ideal of C_N .

Similarly, we can define the corresponding notation for $(\mathbb{R}^p, P)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_N = \{M \mid M : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow \text{GL}(p, \mathbb{R}) \text{ a } C^\infty \text{ map-germ and } M|_N = I_p\}$, where $\text{GL}(p, \mathbb{R})$ denotes the general linear group, and I_p denotes the $(p \times p)$ identity matrix.

Now, we define two groups

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} &= \mathcal{R}_N \times \mathcal{R}_P, \\ \mathcal{K}_N &= \mathcal{M}_N \times \mathcal{R}_N. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ and $\mathcal{K}_N$ are subgroups of the groups $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, respectively. In particular, when $N = P = \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{A}_{N,P} = \mathcal{A}$. The two groups act on the space C_n^p in the following way.

If $f \in C_n^p$, let $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ and $(M, h) \in \mathcal{K}_N$; then $(\phi, \psi) \cdot f$ and $(M, h) \cdot f$ are defined by

$$(\phi, \psi) \cdot f = \psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1}, \quad (M, h) \cdot f = M \cdot (f \circ h). \quad (6)$$

Remark 3. (1) Let $f, g \in C_n^p$. If f and g are $\mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ -equivalent or $\mathcal{K}_N$ -equivalent, then $f|_N \equiv g|_N$. Set $\mathcal{E}(f, N) = \{g \in C_n^p : f|_N \equiv g|_N\}$.

(2) In general, if f and g are $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent, then f and g also are $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalent. However, this result does not hold for $\mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ and $\mathcal{K}_N$. For example, let $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2^2)$ and $g(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_1 + x_2^2)$; where $N = P = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Set $\phi = \text{id}$ and $\psi(y_1, y_2) = (y_1, y_1 + y_2)$, then $(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ and $(\phi, \psi) \cdot f = g$. So f is $\mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ -equivalent to g . But f and g are not $\mathcal{K}_N$ -equivalent.

Let $e_1, \dots, e_p$ be the canonical basis of the vector space $\mathbb{R}^p$, and they define a system of generators of C_N -module

$$(C_N)^{\times p} = C_N \{e_1, \dots, e_p\}. \quad (7)$$

For any $f \in C_n^p$, then the germ f induces a ring homomorphism

$$f^* : C_P \longrightarrow C_N \quad (8)$$

defined by $f^*(h) = h \circ f$, for any $h \in C_P$.

This allows us to consider every C_N -module as an C_P -module via f^* .

Let $f^* m_P = \langle f_1, \dots, f_{p_0} \rangle$ be the ideal generated by the components $f_1, \dots, f_{p_0}$, and let $f^*(m_P)$ denote the image of m_P under f , which is not (in general) an ideal of C_N .

For a map-germ $f \in C_n^p$, define

$$\begin{aligned} T\mathcal{A}_{N,P}f &= m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + f^*(C_P) \{e_1, \dots, e_p\}, \\ T\mathcal{K}_Nf &= m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + f^* m_P \cdot (m_N)^{\times p}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The notation $T\mathcal{A}_{N,P}f$ and $T\mathcal{K}_Nf$ are very nearly the tangent spaces to the orbit of germ f under $\mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ -equivalence and $\mathcal{K}_N$ -equivalence, respectively.

Definition 4. Let $f \in C_n^p$; let G be a group acting on C_n^p . We say that the map-germ f is k - G -determined if for any germ $g \in \mathcal{E}(f, N)$ with $j^k f(0) = j^k g(0)$, g is G -equivalent to f . If f is k - G -determined for some $k < \infty$, then it is finitely G -determined. If $k = \infty$, we say that f is ∞ - G -determined.

The purpose of this paper is to characterize the ∞ - $\mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ -determinacy and ∞ - $\mathcal{K}_N$ -determinacy for map-germs. The main results in this paper are stated in Theorems 5 and 10.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will give a sufficient condition for ∞ - $\mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ -determined map-germs. In Section 3, we study the $\mathcal{K}_N$ -determinacy of map-germs. We will give necessary and sufficient conditions for a map-germ to be finitely $\mathcal{K}_N$ -determined or ∞ - $\mathcal{K}_N$ -determined.

Throughout the paper, all map-germs will be assumed smooth.

2. The $\infty\text{-}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ -Determinacy of Map-Germs

In this section, the main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let $f \in C_n^p$ be a map-germ. Suppose that f satisfies the following conditions.*

- (1) *For some $r < \infty$, $m_N^r m_N \subset m_N J(f) + f^* m_P \cdot m_N$, where $J(f)$ denotes the ideal in E_n generated by the determinants of $(p \times p)$ minors of the Jacobian matrix df of f .*
- (2) *$(m_N^\infty m_N)^{\times p} \subset T\mathcal{A}_{N,P}f$.*

Then f is $\infty\text{-}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ -determined.

In order to prove this theorem, we need the following results.

Lemma 6 (see [10]). *Let $f \in C_n^p$ and M be a finitely generated C_N -module. Then M is finitely generated as a $f^*(C_P)$ -module if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(M/f^* m_P \cdot M) < \infty$.*

Lemma 7. *Let $f, g \in C_n^p$. Let M be a finitely generated $(f, g)^*(C_{P \times P})$ -module. Then M is finitely generated as a $g^*(C_P)$ -module if and only if*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{M}{g^* m_P \cdot M} < \infty. \quad (10)$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that of Corollary 1.17 in [11]. $\square$

Lemma 8. *Let $f, g \in C_n^p$ and $g - f \in (m_N^\infty m_N)^{\times p}$. Then*

$$(f, g)^*(C_{P \times P}) \subset f^*(C_P) + m_N^\infty m_N. \quad (11)$$

Proof. For any $h \in C_{P \times P}$, then $(f, g)^*(h) - (f, f)^*(h)$ is in $m_N^\infty m_N$. So,

$$(f, g)^*(C_{P \times P}) \subset (f, f)^*(C_{P \times P}) + m_N^\infty m_N. \quad (12)$$

Let $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^p, P) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^p, P \times P)$ be given by $\phi(y) = (y, y)$.

Since $(f, f)^*(h) = f^*(\phi^*(h))$, it follows that

$$(f, f)^*(C_{P \times P}) \subset f^*(C_P). \quad (13)$$

Thus, (11) holds. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5. Suppose that $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}(f, N)$ and $j^\infty \tilde{f}(0) = j^\infty f(0)$. Let $u = \tilde{f} - f$; then $u \in (m_N^\infty m_N)^{\times p}$. For any $t_0 \in [0, 1]$, define

$$g : (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, (0, t_0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \quad (14)$$

by $g(x, t) = f(x) + tu(x)$ and $g_t(x) = g(x, t)$.

Let $F(x, t) = (f(x), t)$ and $G(x, t) = (g(x, t), t)$ denote the map-germs at $(0, t_0)$; then F (or G) induces a ring homomorphism:

$$F^* : C_{P \times \{t_0\}} \rightarrow C_{N \times \{t_0\}}, \quad h \mapsto h \circ F = F^*(h), \quad (15)$$

where $C_{N \times \{t_0\}}$ (resp., $C_{P \times \{t_0\}}$) denotes the ring of function-germs at $(0, t_0)$ which are constant when restricted to $N \times \{t_0\}$ (resp., $P \times \{t_0\}$).

Set $m_N^k C_{N \times \{t_0\}} = m_T^k$ and $m_P^k C_{P \times \{t_0\}} = m_{T'}^k$.

Let $\bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F = tF + \omega F$, where tF denotes $m_T \langle \partial f / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial f / \partial x_n \rangle$ and ωF denotes $F^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}})\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$.

We are trying to show that $\tilde{f}$ is $\mathcal{A}_{N,P}$ -equivalent to f . It suffices to show that there exist germs $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, (0, t_0)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ and $\psi : (\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}, (0, t_0)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (1) $\phi(x, t) = x$ and $\psi(y, t) = y$, for any t sufficiently close to t_0 , $x \in N$ and $y \in P$.
- (2) $\phi_{t_0} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $\psi_{t_0} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^p}$.
- (3) $g_{t_0} = \psi_t \circ g_t \circ \phi_t^{-1}$, for any t sufficiently close to t_0 .

By the method initiated by Mather in [12], it suffices to show that

$$(m_N^\infty m_T)^{\times p} \subset m_T \left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + G^*(m_{T'})\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}. \quad (16)$$

To see this, it remains to show that

- (i) $(m_N^\infty m_T)^{\times p} \subset \bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F$.
- (ii) $\bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F = \bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}G$.

If (i) and (ii) hold, then

$$(m_N^\infty m_T)^{\times p} \subset \bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}G. \quad (17)$$

Multiplying (17) by $G^* m_{T'}$, we get

$$G^* m_{T'} \cdot (m_N^\infty m_T)^{\times p} \subset m_T \left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + G^*(m_{T'})\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}. \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, using condition (1) in Theorem 5, and

$$J(f) \cdot (m_N)^{\times p} \subset m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle, \quad (19)$$

we get

$$(m_N^r m_N)^{\times p} \subset m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + f^* m_P \cdot (m_N)^{\times p}. \quad (20)$$

Obviously, we have

$$(m_N^\infty m_N)^{\times p} \subset m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + f^* m_P \cdot (m_N)^{\times p}. \quad (21)$$

Since $g_t - f \in (m_n^\infty m_N)^{\times P}$, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, this gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + g_t^* m_P \cdot (m_N)^{\times P} \\ & \subset m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + f^* m_P \cdot (m_N)^{\times P}, \\ & m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + f^* m_P \cdot (m_N)^{\times P} \\ & \subset m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + g_t^* m_P \cdot (m_N)^{\times P} \\ & \quad + m_n(m_n^\infty m_N)^{\times P}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Hence, by Nakayama's lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} & m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + f^* m_P \cdot (m_N)^{\times P} \\ & = m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + g_t^* m_P \cdot (m_N)^{\times P}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

From (21), it follows that

$$(m_n^\infty m_N)^{\times P} \subset m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + g_t^* m_P \cdot (m_N)^{\times P}, \quad (24)$$

for any given $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Multiplying (24) by $m_n^\infty C_{N \times \{t_0\}}$, we get

$$(m_n^\infty m_T)^{\times P} \subset m_T \left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle + G^* m_{T'} \cdot (m_n^\infty m_T)^{\times P}. \quad (25)$$

Thus, (16) follows by substituting (18) in (25).

Now we prove the assertion (i).

By hypothesis, we have

$$m_n^r m_N \subset m_N J(f) + f^* m_P \cdot C_N, \quad (26)$$

for some $r < \infty$.

This means that ideal $m_N J(f) + f^* m_P \cdot C_N$ has finite codimension in C_N . Let

$$\frac{C_N}{(m_N J(f) + f^* m_P \cdot C_N)} = \mathbb{R} \{\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_s\}, \quad (27)$$

where $a_i \in C_N$ and $\bar{a}_i$ is the projection of a_i in the quotient space, $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Now, set $M = C_{N \times \{t_0\}} / m_T J(f)$; then M is a finitely generated $C_{N \times \{t_0\}}$ -module. Since $F^* m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot C_{N \times \{t_0\}} = f^* m_P \cdot C_{N \times \{t_0\}} + (t - t_0) C_{N \times \{t_0\}}$;

$$\frac{M}{F^* m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot M} \cong \frac{C_N}{m_N J(f) + f^* m_P \cdot C_N}. \quad (28)$$

Thus, by Lemma 6, M is finitely generated $F^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}})$ -module; that is,

$$M = F^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}}) \{a_1, \dots, a_s\}. \quad (29)$$

In particular,

$$C_{N \times \{t_0\}} = m_T J(f) + F^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}}) C_N. \quad (30)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} F & \supset \left(m_N \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. + F^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}}) \{e_1, \dots, e_p\} \right) \cdot F^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}}) \\ & \supset T \mathcal{A}_{N,P} f \cdot F^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}}) \\ & \supset (m_n^\infty m_N)^{\times P} \cdot F^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}}), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

and $m_N J(f) \cdot (C_{N \times \{t_0\}})^{\times P} \subset m_T \langle \partial f / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial f / \partial x_n \rangle$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} F & \supset (m_n^\infty m_N)^{\times P} \\ & \quad \cdot [m_T J(f) + F^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}}) C_N] \\ & = (m_n^\infty m_T)^{\times P}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

So (i) holds.

Proof of Assertion (ii). Since $g - f \in (m_n^\infty m_T)^{\times P}$, by (i) we get

$$\bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} G \subseteq \bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} F = \bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} G + (m_n^\infty m_T)^{\times P}. \quad (33)$$

Applying (25) and (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (m_n^\infty m_T)^{\times P} & \subset m_T \left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle \\ & \quad + G^* m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot (m_n^\infty m_T)^{\times P} \\ & \subset m_T \left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle \\ & \quad + G^* m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot \bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} F. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

By (33) and (34), we have

$$\bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} G \subseteq \bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} F = \bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} G + G^* m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot \bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} F. \quad (35)$$

Set $E = \bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} F / tG$, and (35) implies

$$E = \frac{\bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} G}{tG} + G^* m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot E. \quad (36)$$

Now it remains to show that

(a) E is a finitely generated $G^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}})$ -module.

For if this holds, then by Nakayama's lemma,

$$E = \frac{\bar{T} \mathcal{A}_{N,P} G}{tG}. \quad (37)$$

Hence, $\bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F = \bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}G$.

To prove (a), by Lemma 7, it suffices to show that

(b) E is a finitely generated $(F, G)^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\} \times P \times \{t_0\}})$ -module.

(c) $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(E/G^*m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot E) < \infty$.

Set $R = (F, G)^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\} \times P \times \{t_0\}})$. By Lemma 8 and (i), we get

$$R \cdot \bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F \subset [F^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}}) + m_n^\infty m_T] \cdot \bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F \subset \bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F. \quad (38)$$

Thus, $\bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F$ is a R -module. Hence, E is a R -module.

Obviously, ωF is a finitely generated $F^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}})$ -module; hence ωF is also finitely generated as a R -module.

Moreover, since $tF/tG \cap tF$ is a finitely generated $C_{N \times \{t_0\}}$ -module and annihilated by $m_T J(g_t)$. Thus, $tF/tG \cap tF$ is a finitely generated $C_{N \times \{t_0\}}/m_T J(g_t)$ -module. By the argument as equality (29), it follows that $C_{N \times \{t_0\}}/m_T J(g_t)$ is a finitely generated $G^*(C_{P \times \{t_0\}})$ -module. Hence, $tF/tG \cap tF$ is a finitely generated R -module.

The earlier argument shows that E is finitely generated as a R -module.

Besides, by (35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{E}{G^*m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot E} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}G + G^*m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot \bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F}{tG + G^*m_{P \times \{t_0\}}(\bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}G + \bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F)} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\omega G + (tG + G^*m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot \bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F)}{G^*m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot \omega G + (tG + G^*m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot \bar{T}\mathcal{A}_{N,P}F)} \\ &\leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\omega G}{G^*m_{P \times \{t_0\}} \cdot \omega G} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

So (b) and (c) hold. This completes the proof. $\square$

3. The $\mathcal{K}_N$ -Determinacy of Map-Germs

In this section, by a similar way as [13], we will give necessary and sufficient conditions for finitely $\mathcal{K}_N$ -determined map-germs and ∞ - $\mathcal{K}_N$ -determined map-germs.

Theorem 9. Suppose that $f \in C_n^p$. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) f is finitely $\mathcal{K}_N$ -determined.
- (2) $(m_n^r m_N)^{\times p} \subset T\mathcal{K}_N f$, for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $J^{r+1}(n, p)$ denote the set of $(r+1)$ -jets at 0 of elements in $\mathcal{E}(f, N)$. If f is r - $\mathcal{K}_N$ -determined, then for any $g \in \mathcal{E}(f, N)$ with the same r -jet as f , the $\mathcal{K}_N$ -orbit of f contains g ; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{g \in \mathcal{E}(f, N) : j^r g(0) = j^r f(0)\} \\ & \subset \{g \in \mathcal{E}(f, N) : g \text{ and } f \\ & \quad \text{are } \mathcal{K}_N\text{-equivalent}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Taking $(r+1)$ -jets on both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{j^{r+1} g(0) \in J^{r+1}(n, p) : j^r g(0) = j^r f(0)\} \\ & \subset \{j^{r+1} g(0) \in J^{r+1}(n, p) : g \text{ and } f \\ & \quad \text{are } \mathcal{K}_N\text{-equivalent}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Taking tangent spaces at $j^{r+1} f(0)$ on both sides, we have

$$(m_n^r m_N)^{\times p} \subset T\mathcal{K}_N f + (m_n^{r+1} m_N)^{\times p}. \quad (42)$$

By Nakayama's lemma, this implies

$$(m_n^r m_N)^{\times p} \subset T\mathcal{K}_N f. \quad (43)$$

Then (1) implies (2).

Conversely, let $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ be fixed and $g \in \mathcal{E}(f, N)$ with $j^{r+1} f(0) = j^{r+1} g(0)$. Define

$$F : (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, (0, t_0)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \quad (44)$$

by $F(x, t) = f(x) + t(g(x) - f(x))$ and $F_t(x) = F(x, t)$.

We are trying to show that g is $\mathcal{K}_N$ -equivalent to f . Since $F_0 = f$ and $F_1 = g$, and $[0, 1]$ is connected, it suffices to show that F_t is $\mathcal{K}_N$ -equivalent to F_{t_0} , for all t sufficiently close to t_0 .

Since $F_{t_0}(x) - f(x) = t_0(g(x) - f(x))$ and $g - f \in (m_n^{r+1} m_N)^{\times p}$, from condition (2), it follows that

$$T\mathcal{K}_N F_{t_0} \subset T\mathcal{K}_N f, \quad (45)$$

and $T\mathcal{K}_N f \subset T\mathcal{K}_N F_{t_0} + m_n \cdot (T\mathcal{K}_N f)$.

Since $T\mathcal{K}_N f$ is a finitely generated E_n -module, and m_n is the maximal ideal of E_n , by Nakayama's lemma, we get

$$T\mathcal{K}_N F_{t_0} = T\mathcal{K}_N f. \quad (46)$$

Hence $T\mathcal{K}_N F_{t_0}$ also satisfies condition (2). So

$$(m_n^r m_N E_{n+1}^*)^{\times p} \subset T\mathcal{K}_N F_{t_0} \cdot E_{n+1}^*, \quad (47)$$

where E_{n+1}^* denotes the ring of smooth function-germs in variables (x, t) at the point $(0, t_0)$.

Let m_{n+1}^* denote the maximal ideal of E_{n+1}^* .

Let $\bar{T}\mathcal{K}_N F = m_N E_{n+1}^* \langle \partial F / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial F / \partial x_n \rangle + F^* m_p \cdot (m_N E_{n+1}^*)^{\times p}$. Obviously, $\bar{T}\mathcal{K}_N F$ is a finitely generated E_{n+1}^* -module.

By the same argument as (46), we have

$$\bar{T}\mathcal{K}_N F = T\mathcal{K}_N F_{t_0} \cdot E_{n+1}^*. \quad (48)$$

By (47) and (48), we get

$$(m_n^r m_N E_{n+1}^*)^{\times p} \subset \bar{T}\mathcal{K}_N F. \quad (49)$$

Since $\partial F / \partial t = g - f \in (m_n^{r+1} m_N)^{\times p}$, this means that there exist germs X_i in $m_N E_{n+1}^*$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$(g - f) + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(x, t) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \in F^* m_p \cdot (m_N E_{n+1}^*)^{\times p}. \quad (50)$$

Thus, we can find a germ of vector field X in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ of the following form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad (51)$$

such that $DF(X) \in F^*m_p \cdot (m_N E_{n+1}^*)^{\times p}$.

That is, we can find a $(p \times p)$ matrix $A(x, t)$ with entries in $m_N E_{n+1}^*$ such that

$$DF(X) = A(x, t) \cdot F(x, t). \quad (52)$$

By integrating the vector field X , we get a one-parameter family of local diffeomorphisms ϕ_t in $\mathcal{R}_N$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F(\phi_t(x), t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(\phi_t(x), t) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\phi_t(x), t) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(\phi_t(x), t) \\ &= A(\phi_t(x), t) \cdot F(\phi_t(x), t). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Hence, for fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $F(\phi_t(x), t)$ is a solution of the differential equation $\dot{y} = A(\phi_t(x), t)y$ with initial condition $y(x, t_0) = F_{t_0}(x)$.

Since the solution of this differential equation is unique and of the form

$$y(x, t) = B(x, t) \cdot y(x, t_0), \quad (54)$$

with $B(x, t)$ as an invertible matrix, $B(x, t_0) = I_p$ and $B(x, t) = I_p$ for each $x \in N$. Thus

$$F_t(x) = B(\phi_t^{-1}(x), t) \cdot (F_{t_0} \circ \phi_t^{-1}(x)). \quad (55)$$

Thus, F_t and F_{t_0} are $\mathcal{K}_N$ -equivalent for all t sufficiently close to t_0 , which completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 10. Suppose that $f \in C_n^p$. Then f is $\infty\text{-}\mathcal{K}_N$ -determined if and only if

$$(m_n^\infty m_N)^{\times p} \subset T\mathcal{K}_N f. \quad (56)$$

Proof. “Only if”: if f is $\infty\text{-}\mathcal{K}_N$ -determined, by the definition, we get

$$f + (m_n^\infty m_N)^{\times p} \subset \mathcal{K}_N \cdot f. \quad (57)$$

Taking tangent spaces at f on both sides, we have

$$T_f(f + (m_n^\infty m_N)^{\times p}) \subset T_f(\mathcal{K}_N \cdot f). \quad (58)$$

Note that $(m_n^\infty m_N)^{\times p} \subset T_f(f + (m_n^\infty m_N)^{\times p})$, and $T_f(\mathcal{K}_N \cdot f) \subset T\mathcal{K}_N f$. Hence,

$$(m_n^\infty m_N)^{\times p} \subset T\mathcal{K}_N f. \quad (59)$$

“If”: the proof is the same as that of Theorem 9. $\square$

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 11271063) and supported in part by Graduate Innovation Fund of Northeast Normal University of China (no. 12SSXT140). The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions which improved the first version of the paper.

References

- [1] V. I. Arnol'd, V. V. Goryunov, O. V. Lyashko, and V. A. Vasil'ev, “Singularity theory II: classification and applications,” *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 39, pp. 1–235, 1993.
- [2] Z. Wang, D. Pei, L. Chen, L. Kong, and Q. Han, “Singularities of focal surfaces of null cartan curves in minkowski 3-space,” *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 823809, 20 pages, 2012.
- [3] L. C. Wilson, “Infinitely determined map germs,” *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 671–684, 1981.
- [4] L. C. Wilson, “Mapgerms infinitely determined with respect to right-left equivalence,” *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 235–245, 1982.
- [5] H. Brodersen, “On finite and infinite C^k -A-determinacy,” *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 369–435, 1997.
- [6] C. T. C. Wall, “Finite determinacy of smooth map-germs,” *The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 481–539, 1981.
- [7] B. Sun and L. C. Wilson, “Determinacy of smooth germs with real isolated line singularities,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 129, no. 9, pp. 2789–2797, 2001.
- [8] V. Grandjean, “Infinite relative determinacy of smooth function germs with transverse isolated singularities and relative Łojasiewicz conditions,” *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 518–530, 2004.
- [9] V. Thilliez, “Infinite determinacy on a closed set for smooth germs with non-isolated singularities,” *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 134, no. 5, pp. 1527–1536, 2006.
- [10] R. Bulajich, J. A. Gómez, and L. Kushner, “Relative versality for map germs,” *Unione Matematica Italiana*, vol. 1, no. 7, pp. 305–320, 1987.
- [11] T. Gaffney and A. du Plessis, “More on the determinacy of smooth map-germs,” *Inventiones Mathematicae*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 137–163, 1982.
- [12] J. N. Mather, “Stability of C^∞ mappings III: finitely determined map-germs,” *Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques. Publications Mathématiques*, vol. 35, pp. 127–156, 1969.
- [13] H. Brodersen, “A note on infinite determinacy of smooth map germs,” *The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 397–402, 1981.