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Wilson frames \( \{ \psi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) as a generalization of Wilson bases have been defined and studied. We give necessary condition for a Wilson system to be a Wilson frame. Also, sufficient conditions for a Wilson system to be a Wilson Bessel sequence are obtained. Under the assumption that the window functions \( w_0 \) and \( w_{-1} \) for odd and even indices of \( j \) are the same, we obtain sufficient conditions for a Wilson system to be a Wilson frame (Wilson Bessel sequence). Finally, under the same conditions, a characterization of Wilson frame in terms of Zak transform is given.

1. Introduction

In 1946, Gabor [1] proposed a decomposition of a signal in terms of elementary signals, which displays simultaneously the local time and frequency content of the signal, as opposed to the classical Fourier transform which displays only the global frequency content for the entire signal. On the basis of this development, in 1952, Duffin and Schaeffer [2] introduced frames for Hilbert spaces to study some deep problems in nonharmonic Fourier series. In fact, they abstracted the fundamental notion of Gabor for studying signal processing. Janssen [3] showed that while being complete in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), the set suggested by Gabor is not a Riesz basis. This apparent failure of Gabor system was then rectified by resorting to the concept of frames. Independently from the work of Daubechies, Jaffard, and Journe, orthonormal local trigonometric bases consisting of the functions \( w_j \cos(k + (1/2))\pi(\cdot - j) \), \( j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) were introduced by Malvar [16]. Some generalizations of Malvar bases exist in [17, 18]. A drawback of Malvar’s construction is the restriction on the support of the window functions. But the restriction on orthonormal bases allows only a small class of window functions. In [19], it has been proved that Wilson bases of exponential decay are not unconditional bases for all modulation spaces on \( \mathbb{R} \) including the classical Bessel potential space and the Schwartz spaces. Also, Wilson bases

\[ \psi^k_j(x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_k \cos(2k\pi x)w\left(x - \frac{j}{2}\right), & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\ 2 \sin((k + 1)\pi x)w\left(x - \frac{j + 1}{2}\right), & \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \]

(1)

with a smooth well-localized window function \( w \). For such bases the disadvantage described in the Balian-Low theorem is completely removed.

Independently from the work of Daubechies, Jaffard, and Journe, orthonormal local trigonometric bases consisting of the functions \( w_j \cos(k + (1/2))\pi(\cdot - j) \), \( j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) were introduced by Malvar [16]. Some generalizations of Malvar bases exist in [17, 18]. A drawback of Malvar’s construction is the restriction on the support of the window functions. But the restriction on orthonormal bases allows only a small class of window functions. In [19], it has been proved that Wilson bases of exponential decay are not unconditional bases for all modulation spaces on \( \mathbb{R} \) including the classical Bessel potential space and the Schwartz spaces. Also, Wilson bases

...
are not unconditional bases for the ordinary $L^p$ spaces for $p \neq 2$, shown in [19]. Approximation properties of Wilson bases are studied in [20]. Wilson bases for general time-frequency lattices are studied in [21]. Generalizations of Wilson bases to nonrectangular lattices are discussed in [13] with motivation from wireless communication and cosines modulated filter banks. Modified Wilson bases are studied in [22]. Bittner [23] considered the Wilson bases introduced by Daubechies et al. with nonsymmetric window functions for odd and even indices of $j$.

In this paper, we generalize the concept of Wilson bases and define Wilson frames. We give necessary condition for a Wilson system to be a Wilson frame. Also, sufficient conditions for a Wilson system to be a Wilson Bessel sequence are obtained. Under the assumption that the window functions for odd and even indices of $j$ are the same, we obtain sufficient conditions for a Wilson system to be a Wilson frame (Wilson Bessel sequence). Finally, under the same conditions, a characterization of Wilson frame in terms of Zak transform is given.

2. Preliminaries

We assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of Gabor frames, refer [8, 9] for further details.

**Definition 1.** Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote a Hilbert space. Let $I$ denote a countable index set. A family of vectors $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called a frame for $\mathbb{H}$ if there exist constants $A$ and $B$ with $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}. \tag{2}$$

The positive constants $A$ and $B$ are called lower frame bound and upper frame bound for the family $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$, respectively. The inequality (2) is called the frame inequality. If in (2) only the upper inequality holds, then $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called a Bessel sequence.

**Definition 2** ([9]). Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $a$, $b$ be positive constants. The sequence $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is called a Gabor system for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Further,

(i) if $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then it is called a Gabor frame;

(ii) if $\{E_{mb}T_{na}g\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Bessel sequence for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then it is called a Gabor Bessel sequence.

**Definition 3** ([23]). The Wilson system associated with $w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is defined as a sequence of functions $\{\psi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$\psi^k_j(x) = \begin{cases} e_k \cos(2k\pi x) w_0 \left(x - \frac{j}{2}\right), & \text{if } j \text{ is even}, \\ 2 \sin(2(k + 1)\pi x) w_{-1} \left(x - \frac{j + 1}{2}\right), & \text{if } j \text{ is odd}, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and

$$e_k = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2}, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 2, & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

If $w_0 = w_{-1} = g$, then the Wilson system is given as $\{\psi^k_j : g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$.

**Definition 4** ([9]). The Zak transform of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is defined as a function of two variables given by $(Zf)(t, v) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(t - k) \exp(2\pi ikv), t, v \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. Main Results

We begin this section with the definition of a Wilson frame.

**Definition 5.** The Wilson System $\{\psi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ associated with $w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is called a Wilson frame if there exist constants $A$ and $B$ with $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\langle f, \psi^k_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \tag{5}$$

The constants $A$ and $B$ are called lower frame bound and upper frame bound, respectively, for the Wilson frame $\{\psi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$.

**Definition 6.** In (5), if only the upper inequality holds for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then the Wilson system $\{\psi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, associated with $w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, is called a Wilson Bessel sequence with Bessel bound $B$.

**Example 7.** (a) Let $g = w_0 = w_{-1} = \chi_{[0,1]}$. Then $\{\psi^k_j : g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a Wilson frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

(b) Let $w_0 \neq w_{-1}$ such that $|w_{-1}(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\epsilon}$, $|w_0(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\epsilon}$ for some constant $C$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let $Q^* = (0,1/2) \times [-1/2,1/2]$. Consider the matrix

$$M(x, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} Z u_0(x, \xi) & Z u_0(-x, \xi) \\ -Z u_{-1}(x, \xi) & Z u_{-1}(-x, \xi) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

Let $A_0 = \text{ess inf}_{(x,\xi) \in Q^*} \| Z^{-1}(x, \xi) \|_2$ and $B_0 = \text{ess sup}_{(x,\xi) \in Q^*} \| M(x, \xi) \|_2$. If $0 < A_0 \leq B_0 < \infty$, then the Wilson system $\{\psi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a Wilson frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with bounds $A_0$ and $B_0$.

(c) If we choose $g = w_0 = w_{-1} = \chi_{[0,1/2)}$, then $\{\psi^k_j : g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is not a Wilson frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

(d) Let $w_0(x) = \begin{cases} \sin \pi x, & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \tag{7}$
Then \( \{ \psi_j^k : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \} \) is a tight Wilson frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) with frame bound 2.

(e) Let \( g(x) = w_0(x) = w_{-1}(x) = 2^{1/2} \text{e}^{-x^2/4}(x) \). Then \( \{ \psi_j^k : g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \} \) is a Wilson frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

(f) Let \( g(x) = w_0(x) = w_{-1}(x) = 2^{-1/2}/(1 + 2\pi ix) \). Then \( \{ \psi_j^k : g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \} \) is a Wilson frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

(g) If \( w_0(x) = e^{-\xi(x-1/4)^2} \) and \( w_{-1}(x) = e^{-\xi(x+1/4)^2} \), where \( \xi > 0 \), then \( \{ \psi_j^k : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \} \) is a Wilson frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

(h) Let

\[
g(x) = \begin{cases} 
  1 + x, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1), \\
  \frac{x}{2}, & \text{if } x \in [1, 2), \\
  0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]  

Then \( \{ \psi_j^k : g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \} \) is a Wilson frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

Next, we give two Lemmas which will be used in the subsequent results. Lemma 8 is also proved in [24], but for the sake of completeness, we give the proof.

**Lemma 8.** Let \( \{ \psi_j^k : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \} \) be the Wilson system associated with \( w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Then, for \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \),

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left< f, \psi_j^k \right> \right|^2 = 2 \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \left| \left< f, \cos(2k\pi T_j w_0) \right> \right|^2 + \left| \left< f, \sin(2k\pi T_j w_{-1}) \right> \right|^2 \right).
\]  

**Proof.** Let \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Then

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left< f, \psi_j^k \right> \right|^2 = \sum_{j \in \text{even}} \int f(x) \cos(2k\pi x) w_0 \left( x - \frac{j}{2} \right) dx \left|2 \right|^2 + \sum_{j \in \text{odd}} \int 2f(x) \sin(2(k + 1)\pi x) w_{-1} \left( x - \frac{j + 1}{2} \right) dx \left|2 \right|^2.
\]

This gives

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left< f, \psi_j^k \right> \right|^2 = 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \int f(x) w_0 \left( x - j \right) dx \left|2 \right|^2 \right) + \sum_{j \in \text{even}} \left| \int f(x) \cos(2k\pi x) \right|^2 w_0 \left( x - j \right) dx \left|2 \right|^2.
\]

Thus,

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left< f, \psi_j^k \right> \right|^2 = 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \int f(x) T_j w_0(x) dx \left|2 \right|^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int f(x) \cos(2k\pi T_j w_0(x) dx \left|2 \right|^2 \right) \right) + \sum_{j \in \text{even}} \left| \int f(x) \cos(2k\pi T_j w_0(x) \right|^2 w_0 \left( x - j \right) dx \left|2 \right|^2.
\]

Thus,

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left< f, \psi_j^k \right> \right|^2 = \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \left| \left< f, \cos(2k\pi T_j w_0) \right> \right|^2 + \left| \left< f, \sin(2k\pi T_j w_{-1}) \right> \right|^2 \right).
\]  

**Lemma 9.** Let \( \{ \psi_j^k : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \} \) be the Wilson system associated with \( w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Then, for \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \),

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left< f, \psi_j^k \right> \right|^2 = \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \left| \left< f, \cos(2k\pi T_j w_0) \right> \right|^2 + \left| \left< f, \sin(2k\pi T_j w_{-1}) \right> \right|^2 \right).
\]  

**Proof.** We have

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left< f, \psi_j^k \right> \right|^2 = \sum_{j \in \text{even}} \left| \left< f, \psi_j^k \right> \right|^2 + \sum_{j \in \text{odd}} \left| \left< f, \psi_j^k \right> \right|^2
\]

\[
= 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left< f, T_j w_0 \right> \right|^2 + \sum_{j \in \text{odd}} \left| \left< f, E_j T_j w_0 \right> \right|^2 + \sum_{j \in \text{even}} \left| \left< f, E_j T_j w_0 \right> \right|^2.
\]
Therefore, using

\[
\text{Re} \langle f, E_k T_j g \rangle \langle f, E_{-k} T_j g \rangle = \left( \left| \left( f, \cos(2k \pi \cdot) T_j g (\cdot) \right) \right|^2 - \left| \left( f, \sin(2k \pi \cdot) T_j g (\cdot) \right) \right|^2 \right),
\]

we finally get the result.

Remark 10. Combining Lemmas 8 and 9, we get

\[
\sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left( f, E_k T_j w_0 \right) \right|^2 + \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left( f, E_k T_j w_{-1} \right) \right|^2 = \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \left| \left( f, \cos(2k \pi \cdot) T_j w_{-1} (\cdot) \right) \right|^2 + \left| \left( f, \sin(2k \pi \cdot) T_j w_{0} (\cdot) \right) \right|^2 \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \left| \left( f, \cos(2k \pi \cdot) T_j w_{0} (\cdot) \right) \right|^2 + \left| \left( f, \sin(2k \pi \cdot) T_j w_{-1} (\cdot) \right) \right|^2 \right).
\]

Remark 11. In view of Lemma 8 and Remark 10, the Wilson system obtained by interchanging \( w_0 \) and \( w_{-1} \) is also a Wilson Bessel sequence if both the Gabor systems \( \{E_k T_j w_0 \}_{k,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \) and \( \{E_k T_j w_{-1} \}_{k,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \) are Bessel sequences.

The following result gives a necessary condition for a Wilson system \( \{\psi_j^k : w_0, w_{-1} \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) associated with \( w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) to be a Wilson frame.

The following result is motivated by Proposition 9.1.2 in [9].

Theorem 12. Let \( \{\psi_j^k : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) be a Wilson frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) associated with \( w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Let \( A \) denote its lower frame bound. Then

\[
\frac{A}{2} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( |w_0 (x - j)|^2 + |w_{-1} (x - j)|^2 \right).
\]

More precisely, if the inequality (17) is not satisfied, then the given Wilson system does not satisfy the lower frame condition.

Proof. Assume that condition (17) is violated. Then there exists a measurable set \( \Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) having positive measure such that

\[
W(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( |w_0 (x - j)|^2 + |w_{-1} (x - j)|^2 \right) < \frac{A}{2} \quad \text{on } \Delta.
\]

We can assume that this \( \Delta \) is contained in an interval of length 1. Let

\[
\Delta_0 = \left\{ x \in \Delta : W(x) \leq \frac{A}{2} - 1 \right\},
\]

\[
\Delta_k = \left\{ x \in \Delta : \frac{A}{2} - \frac{1}{k} < W(x) < \frac{A}{2} - \frac{1}{k + 1} \right\}.
\]

Then \( \Delta \) is partitioned into disjoint measurable sets such that at least one of these measurable sets will have a positive measure. Let this set be \( \Delta_{k'} \). Choose \( f = \chi_{\Delta_{k'}} \). Then \( \|f\| = |\chi_{\Delta_{k'}}| \), where \( |\chi_{\Delta_{k'}}| \) is measure of \( \chi_{\Delta_{k'}} \).

Since for \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \), the functions \( f T_j w_0 \) and \( f T_j w_{-1} \) have support in \( \Delta_{k'} \), \( \{E_k T_j w_0 \}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) constitute an orthonormal basis for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), for every interval \( I \) of length 1 and \( \Delta_{k'} \) is contained in an interval of length 1, we have

\[
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left( f, E_k T_j w_0 \right) \right|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left( f T_j w_0, E_k \right) \right|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 |w_0 (x - j)|^2 dx.
\]

Also, since \( f = \chi_{\Delta_{k'}} \), we have

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left( f, E_k T_j w_0 \right) \right|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Delta_{k'}} |w_0 (x - j)|^2 dx.
\]

Similarly, we obtain

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left( f, E_k T_j w_{-1} \right) \right|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Delta_{k'}} |w_{-1} (x - j)|^2 dx.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \left| \left( f, E_k T_j w_0 \right) \right|^2 + \left| \left( f, E_k T_j w_{-1} \right) \right|^2 \right) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Delta_{k'}} \left( |w_0 (x - j)|^2 + |w_{-1} (x - j)|^2 \right) dx
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(x) dx.
\]
Further, since
\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left| \langle f, \phi^k_j \rangle \right|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \left| \langle f, E_k T_J w_0 \rangle \right|^2 + \left| \langle f, E_k T_J w_{-1} \rangle \right|^2 \right),
\]
we get
\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left| \langle f, \phi^k_j \rangle \right|^2 \leq 2 \int_{\Delta_j} W(x) \, dx
\leq 2 \left( \frac{A}{2} - \frac{1}{k' + 1} \right) \int_{\Delta_j} \, dx
= \left( A - \frac{2}{k' + 1} \right) \|f\|^2.
\]
Hence,
\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \langle f, \phi^k_j \rangle \right|^2 < A\|f\|^2.
\]
This is a contradiction. \qed

Next, we give a sufficient condition for a Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) to be a Wilson Bessel sequence.

**Theorem 13.** Let \( w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \),
\[
B_1 = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \phi^k_j(x - n) \, w_0(x - n - k) \right| \right\} < \infty,
\]
\[
B_2 = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \phi^k_j(x - n) \, w_{-1}(x - n - k) \right| \right\} < \infty.
\]
Then, the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson Bessel sequence with Bessel bound \( 2(B_1 + B_2) \). \qed

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 9.1.5 in [9], the Gabor systems \( \{E_k T_J w_0\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) and \( \{E_k T_J w_{-1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) are Gabor Bessel sequences with Bessel bounds \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \), respectively. Therefore, using Lemma 8 and Remark 10, the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson Bessel sequence with Bessel bound \( 2(B_1 + B_2) \).

**Corollary 14.** Let \( w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) be bounded and compactly supported. Then the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson Bessel sequence.

**Proof.** Since, \( w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) are bounded and compactly supported, \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \), as defined in Theorem 13, are both finite, and hence, the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson Bessel sequence. \qed

In the following results, we give a sufficient condition for the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) to be a Wilson Bessel sequence in terms of Zak transforms of \( w_0 \) and \( w_{-1} \).

**Theorem 15.** Let \( w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), and let there exist \( B_1 > 0 \), \( B_2 > 0 \) such that \( |\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi^k_j(x - n) \, w_0(x - n - k)|^2 \leq B_1 \) and \( |\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^k_j(x - n) \, w_{-1}(x - n - k)|^2 \leq B_2 \). Then, the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson Bessel sequence with Bessel bound \( 2(B_1 + B_2) \).

**Proof.** In view of Proposition 9.7.3 in [9], the Gabor systems \( \{E_k T_J w_0\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) and \( \{E_k T_J w_{-1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) are Gabor Bessel sequences with Bessel bounds \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \), respectively. Therefore, using Lemma 8 and Remark 10, the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0, w_{-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson Bessel sequence with Bessel bound \( 2(B_1 + B_2) \).

Next, we give sufficient conditions for a Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) to be a Wilson frame.

**Theorem 16.** Let \( w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) and
\[
B = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \phi^k_j(x - n) \, w_0(x - n - k) \right| \right\} < \infty.
\]
Then the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson Bessel sequence. Further, if
\[
A = \inf_{x \in [0,1]} \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \phi^k_j(x - n) \, w_0(x - n - k) \right|^2 \right] > 0,
\]
then the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson frame with frame bounds \( A/2 \) and \( B/2 \).

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 9.1.5 in [9], the Gabor system \( \{E_k T_J w_0\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) is a Gabor frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). If we choose \( w_0 = w_{-1} \) in Lemma 9, then
\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( \langle f, \phi^k_j \rangle \right)^2 = 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \langle f, E_k T_J w_0 \rangle \right)^2 \qquad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).
\]
Hence, the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson frame with frame bounds \( A/2 \) and \( B/2 \).

**Corollary 17.** Suppose \( w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) has support in an interval of length 1; then the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

**Proof.** Since \( w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) has support in an interval of length 1, we have
\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi^k_j(x - n) \, w_0(x - n - k) = 0,
\]
for all \( k \neq 0 \). Thus, \( B < \infty \), \( A > 0 \). Hence, in view of Theorem 16, the Wilson system \( \{\phi^k_j : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

The following result gives a class of functions \( w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) for which the associated Wilson system is a Bessel sequence but not a frame.
Theorem 18. Suppose \( w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) is a continuous function with compact support. Then the Wilson system \( \{ \psi_j^k : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson Bessel sequence for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) but not a frame.

Proof. Since \( w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) is a bounded function with compact support, \( B \) defined in Theorem 16 is finite, and hence, the Wilson system \( \{ \psi_j^k : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson Bessel sequence for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Moreover, since \( w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) is a continuous function with compact support, in view of corollary 9.7.4 in [9], the Gabor system \{\( E_k T_j w_0 \)\}_{k, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \) can never become a frame, and hence, the Wilson system \( \{ \psi_j^k : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is not a Wilson frame.

Finally, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a Wilson system \( \{ \psi_j^k : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) to be a Wilson frame in terms of the Zak transform of \( w_0 \).

Theorem 19. The Wilson system \( \{ \psi_j^k : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) with bounds \( 2A \) and \( 2B \) if and only if \( A \leq |Zw_0|^2 \leq B \).

Proof. If we choose \( w_0 = w_{-1} \) in Lemma 9, then

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \langle f, \psi_j \rangle \right|^2 = 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \langle f, E_k T_j w_0 \rangle \right|^2 \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).
\]

(31)

Therefore, the Wilson system \( \{ \psi_j^k : w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) is a Wilson frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) with bounds \( 2A \) and \( 2B \) if and only if the Gabor system \{\( E_k T_j w_0 \)\}_{k, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \) is a frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) with bounds \( A \) and \( B \). Since, the Gabor system \{\( E_k T_j w_0 \)\}_{k, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \) is a frame for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) with bounds \( A \) and \( B \) if and only if \( A \leq |Zw_0|^2 \leq B \), the result follows.
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