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We introduce anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersions from almost para-Hermitian manifolds onto semi-Riemannian manifolds. We give an example, investigate the geometry of foliations which are arisen from the definition of a semi-Riemannian submersion, and check the harmonicity of such submersions. We also obtain curvature relations between the base manifold and the total manifold.

1. Introduction

The theory of Riemannian submersion was introduced by O’Neill and Gray in [1, 2], respectively. Later, Riemannian submersions were considered between almost complex manifolds by Watson in [3] under the name of almost Hermitian submersion. He showed that if the total manifold is a Kähler manifold, then the base manifold is also a Kähler manifold. Since then, Riemannian submersions have been used as an effective tool to describe the structure of a Riemannian manifold equipped with a differentiable structure. Presently, there is an extensive literature on the Riemannian submersions with different conditions imposed on the total space and on the fibres. For instance, Riemannian submersions between almost contact manifolds were studied by Chinea in [4] under the name of almost contact submersions. Riemannian submersions have been also considered for quaternionic Kähler manifolds [5] and para-quaternionic Kähler manifolds [6, 7]. This kind of submersions have been studied with different names by many authors (see [8–14], and more).

On the other hand, para-complex manifolds, almost para-Hermitian manifolds, and para-Kähler manifolds were defined by Libermann [15] in 1952. In fact, such manifolds arose in [16]. Indeed, Rashevskij introduced the properties of para-Kähler manifolds, when he considered a metric of signature \((m, m)\) defined from a potential function the so-called scalar field on a 2\(m\)-dimensional locally product manifold called by him stratified space.

Semi-Riemannian submersions were introduced by O’Neill in his book [17]. It is known that such submersions have their applications in Kaluza-Klein theories, Yang-Mills equations, strings, and supergravity. For applications of semi-Riemannian submersions, see [18]. Since almost para-Hermitian manifolds are semi-Riemannian manifolds, one should consider semi-Riemannian submersions between such manifolds.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some notions needed for this paper. In Section 3 we give the definition of anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersions, provide an example and investigate the geometry of leaves of the distributions. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for such submersions to be totally geodesic or harmonic. We also find necessary and sufficient conditions for a Lagrangian semi-Riemannian submersion, a special anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion, to be totally geodesic. Moreover, we obtain decomposition theorems for the total manifold of such submersions. Finally, we obtain curvature relations between the base manifold and the total manifold.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we define almost para-Hermitian manifolds, recall the notion of semi-Riemannian submersions between semi-Riemannian manifolds, and give a brief review of basic facts of semi-Riemannian submersions.
An almost para-Hermitian manifold is a manifold \( M \) endowed with an almost para-complex structure \( P \neq \pm I \) and a semi-Riemannian metric \( g \) such that
\[
P^2 = I, \quad g(PX, PY) = -g(X, Y) \tag{1}
\]
for \( X, Y \) tangent to \( M \), where \( I \) is the identity map. The dimension of \( M \) is even and the signature of \( g \) is \((m, m)\), where \( \dim M = 2m \). Consider an almost para-Hermitian manifold \((M, P, g)\) and denote by \( \nu \) the Levi-Civita connection on \( M \) with respect to \( g \). Then \( M \) is called a para-Kähler manifold if \( P \) is parallel with respect to \( \nabla \); that is,
\[
(V_X P) Y = 0 \tag{2}
\]
for \( X, Y \) tangent to \( M \) [19].

Let \((M, g)\) and \((N, g')\) be two connected semi-Riemannian manifolds of index \( s \left( 0 \leq s \leq \dim M \right) \) and \( s' \left( 0 \leq s' \leq \dim N \right) \) respectively, with \( s > s' \). A semi-Riemannian submersion is a smooth map \( \pi : M \to N \) which is onto and satisfies the following conditions:

(i) \( \pi_* : T_p M \to T_{\pi(p)} N \) is onto for all \( p \in M \);

(ii) the fibres \( \pi^{-1}(p'), p' \in N \) are semi-Riemannian submanifolds of \( M \);

(iii) \( \pi_* \) preserves scalar products of vectors normal to fibres.

The vectors tangent to the fibres are called vertical and those normal to the fibres are called horizontal. We denote by \( \mathcal{V} \) the vertical distribution, by \( \mathcal{H} \) the horizontal distribution and by \( \nu \) and \( h \) the vertical and horizontal projection. A horizontal vector field \( X \) on \( M \) is said to be basic if \( X \) is \( \pi \)-related to a vector field \( X' \) on \( N \). It is clear that every vector field \( X' \) on \( N \) has a unique horizontal lift \( X \) to \( M \) and \( X \) is basic.

We recall that the sections of \( \mathcal{V}' \), respectively \( \mathcal{H} \), are called the vertical vector fields, respectively, horizontal vector fields. A semi-Riemannian submersion \( \pi : M \to N \) determines two \((1, 2)\) tensor fields \( T \) and \( A \) on \( M \), by the formulas
\[
T(E, F) = T_{EF}^\nu F = h \nabla^\nu_{XE} F + \nu \nabla^\nu_{XE} F, \\
A(E, F) = A_{EF}^\nu F = v \nabla^\nu_{XE} F + h \nabla^\nu_{XE} F \tag{3}
\]
for any \( E, F \in \Gamma(TM) \), where \( \nu \) and \( h \) are the vertical and horizontal projections (see [20, 21]). From (3), one can obtain
\[
\nabla_V W = T_V W + \nabla^\nu_V W; \tag{4}
\]
\[
\nabla_V X = T_V X + h(\nabla^\nu_V X); \tag{5}
\]
\[
\nabla_X U = \nu(\nabla^\nu_X U) + A_X U; \tag{6}
\]
\[
\nabla_X Y = A_X Y + h(\nabla^\nu_X Y), \tag{7}
\]
for any \( X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker \pi_*')^\perp) \), \( U, W \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*') \). Moreover, if \( X \) is basic then \( h(\nabla^\nu_X X) = h(\nabla^\nu_X X) = A_X U \).

We note that for \( U, V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*') \), \( T_U V \) coincides with the second fundamental form of the immersion of the fibre submanifolds and for \( X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker \pi_*')^\perp) \), \( A_X Y = (1/2)\nu[X, Y] \) reflecting the complete integrability of the horizontal distribution \( \mathcal{H} \). It is known that \( A \) is alternating on the horizontal distribution: \( A_X Y = -A_Y X \), for \( X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker \pi_*')^\perp) \) and \( T \) is symmetric on the vertical distribution: \( T_U V = T_V U \), for \( U, V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*') \).

We now recall the following result which will be useful for later.

**Lemma 1** (see [17, 21]). If \( \pi : M \to N \) is a semi-Riemannian submersion and \( X, Y \) basic vector fields on \( M \), \( \pi \)-related to \( X' \) and \( Y' \) on \( N \), then one has the following properties:

1. \( h[X, Y] \) is a basic vector field and \( \pi_* h[X, Y] = [X', Y'] \circ \pi \);
2. \( h(\nabla_V Y) \) is a basic vector field \( \pi \)-related to \( (\nabla' X')Y' \), where \( \nabla \) and \( \nabla' \) are the Levi-Civita connection on \( M \) and \( N \);
3. \( [E, U] \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*), \) for any \( U \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*') \) and for any basic vector field \( E \).

Let \((M, g)\) and \((N, g')\) be (semi-)Riemannian manifolds and \( \pi : M \to N \) is a smooth map. Then the second fundamental form of \( \pi \) is given by
\[
(\nabla \pi_*)(X, Y) = \nabla^\nu_X \pi_* Y - \pi_* (\nabla_X Y) \tag{8}
\]
for \( X, Y \in \Gamma(TM) \), where we denote conveniently by \( \nabla \) the Levi-Civita connections of the metrics \( g \) and \( g' \). Recall that \( \pi \) is said to be harmonic if \( \text{trace}(\nabla \pi_*) = 0 \) and \( \pi \) is called a totally geodesic map if \( (\nabla \pi_*)(X, Y) = 0 \) for \( X, Y \in \Gamma(TM) \) [22]. It is known that the second fundamental form is symmetric.

### 3. Anti-Invariant Semi-Riemannian Submersions

In this section, we define anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersions from a para-Kähler manifold onto a semi-Riemannian manifold, investigate the integrability of distributions, and obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for such submersions to be totally geodesic map.

**Definition 2.** Let \((M, g, P)\) be an almost para-Hermitian manifold and \((N, g')\) a semi-Riemannian manifold. Suppose that there exists a semi-Riemannian submersion \( \pi : M \to N \) such that \( \ker \pi_* \) is anti-invariant with respect to \( P \); that is, \( P(\ker \pi_*) \subseteq (\ker \pi_*')^\perp \). Then we say \( \pi \) is an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion.

Let \( \pi : (M, g, P) \to (N, g') \) be an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold \((M, g, P)\) to a semi-Riemannian manifold \((N, g')\). First of all, from Definition 2, we have \( P(\ker \pi_*) \subseteq (\ker \pi_*')^\perp \neq 0 \). We denote the complementary orthogonal distribution to \( P(\ker \pi_*) \in (\ker \pi_*')^\perp \) by \( \mu \). Then we have
\[
(\ker \pi_*)^\perp = P(\ker \pi_*) \oplus \mu. \tag{9}
\]
It is easy to see that $\mu$ is an invariant distribution of $(\ker\pi_*)^\perp$, under the endomorphism $P$. Thus, for $X \in \Gamma((\ker\pi_*)^\perp)$, we have

$$PX = BX + CX,$$

where $BX \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$ and $CX \in \Gamma(\mu)$. On the other hand, since $\pi_*(\ker\pi_*)^\perp = TN$ and $\pi$ is a semi-Riemannian submersion, using (10) we derive $g((\pi_*PV,\pi_*CX),\pi_*V) = 0$, for every $X \in \Gamma((\ker\pi_*)^\perp)$ and $V \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$, which implies that

$$TN = \pi_* (P(\ker\pi_*) \oplus \pi_* (\mu)).$$

Note that given a semi-Euclidean space $R^4$ with coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_4)$, we can canonically choose an almost para-complex structure $P$ on $R^4$ as follows:

$$P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + a_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + a_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}\right),$$

\begin{align*}
&= -a_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + a_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + a_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4},
\end{align*}

where $a_1, \ldots, a_4 \in R$.

Also the neutral metric compatible with $P$ is

$$g = (dx_1)^2 - (dx_2)^2 + (dx_1^2 - (dx_2)^2)^2.$$

We now give an example of an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion.

**Example 3.** Let $\pi : R^4_2 \to R^3_1$ be a map defined $\pi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1 + x_2)/\sqrt{2}, (x_2 + x_4)/\sqrt{2})$. Then, by direct calculations

$$\ker\pi_* = \text{Span} \left\{ Z_1 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, Z_2 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} \right\},$$

$$\ker\pi_*^\perp = \text{Span} \left\{ X_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, X_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} \right\}.$$  

Then it is easy to see that $\pi$ is a semi-Riemannian submersion. Moreover $PZ_1 = X_2$ and $PZ_2 = X_1$ imply that $P(\ker\pi_*) = (\ker\pi_*)^\perp$. As a result, $\pi$ is an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion.

**Lemma 4.** Let $\pi$ be an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold $(M, g, P)$ to a semi-Riemannian manifold $(N, g')$. Then one has

$$g(CY, PV) = 0,$$

$$g(\nabla_X CY, PV) = -g(CY, PA_X V)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker\pi_*)^\perp)$ and $V \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$.

**Proof.** For $Y \in \Gamma((\ker\pi_*)^\perp)$ and $V \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$, using (I) we have

$$g(CY, PV) = g(PY - BY, PV) = g(PY, PV) = 0$$

due to $BY \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$ and $PV \in \Gamma((\ker\pi_*)^\perp)$. Hence $g(PY, PV) = -g(Y, V) = 0$ which is (13). Since $M$ is a para-Kähler manifold, using (15) we get

$$g(\nabla_X CY, PV) = -g(CY, PA_X V)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker\pi_*)^\perp)$ and $V \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$. Then using (6) we have

$$g(\nabla_X CY, PV) = -g(CY, PA_X V) - g(CY, P\nabla_X V).$$

Since $P\nabla_X V \in \Gamma(P(\ker\pi_*)$, we obtain (16).

We now study the integrability of the distribution $(\ker\pi_*)^\perp$ and then we investigate the geometry of leaves of $(\ker\pi_*)$ and $(\ker\pi_*)^\perp$. We note that it is known that the distribution $(\ker\pi_*)$ is integrable.

**Theorem 5.** Let $\pi$ be an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold $(M, g, P)$ to a semi-Riemannian manifold $(N, g')$. Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other:

(i) $(\ker\pi_*)^\perp$ is integrable.

(ii) $g'((\nabla\pi_*)(X, BY), \pi_* PV) = g'((\nabla\pi_*)(Y, BX), \pi_* PV) - g(CY, PA_X V) + g(CX, PA_Y V)$.

(iii) $g(PV, A_X BY - A_Y BX) = -g(CY, PA_X V) + g(CX, PA_Y V)$.

for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker\pi_*)^\perp)$ and $V \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$.

**Proof.** For $Y \in \Gamma((\ker\pi_*)^\perp)$ and $V \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$, we see from Definition 2, $PV \in \Gamma((\ker\pi_*)^\perp)$ and $PY \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$, $\alpha\mu$. Thus using (I) and (2) we obtain

$$g([X, Y], V) = -g(\nabla_X PY, PV) + g(\nabla_P PX, PV).$$

Then from (10) we get

$$g([X, Y], V) = -g(\nabla_X BY, PV) - g(\nabla_X CY, PV) + g(\nabla_Y BX, PV) + g(\nabla_Y CX, PV).$$

Since $\pi$ is a semi-Riemannian submersion, we have

$$g([X, Y], V) = -g'(\pi_*, \nabla_X BY, \pi_* PV) - g(\nabla_X CY, PV) + g'(\pi_*, \nabla_Y BX, \pi_* PV) + g(\nabla_Y CX, PV).$$

Thus, from (8) and (16) we obtain

$$g([X, Y], V) = g'(\pi_*, (\nabla\pi_*)(X, BY) - (\nabla\pi_*)(Y, BX), \pi_* PV) + g(\nabla_X CY, PV) - g(CX, PA_Y V)$$

which proves (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii). On the other hand, using (8) we have

$$\nabla_{\pi_*}(X, BY) - (\nabla\pi_*)(Y, BX) = -\pi_* (\nabla_X BY - \nabla_Y BX).$$

(24)
Then (6) implies that
\[ (\nabla_{\pi^*}(X, BY) - \nabla_{\pi^*}(Y, BX) = -\pi^*(A_X BY - A_Y BX). \]
\[ (25) \]
Since \( A_Y BX - A_X BY \in \Gamma((\ker \pi^*)^\perp) \), this shows that (ii) ⇔ (iii).

**Theorem 6.** Let \( \pi \) be an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold \((M, g, P)\) to a semi-Riemannian manifold \((N, g')\). Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other:

(i) \((\ker \pi^*)^\perp \) defines a totally geodesic foliation on \( M \).

(ii) \( g(A_X BY, PV) = g(CY, PA_X V) \).

(iii) \( g'(\nabla_{\pi^*}(X, BY), \pi^* PV) = -g(CY, PA_X V) \),

for \( X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker \pi^*)^\perp) \) and \( V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi^*) \).

**Proof.** From (1), (2) and (6) we obtain
\[ g(\nabla_Y X, V) = -g(A_X BY, PV) - g(\nabla_X CY, PV) \] (26)
for \( X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker \pi^*)^\perp) \) and \( V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi^*) \). Then by (16) we have
\[ g(\nabla_Y X, V) = -g(A_X BY, PV) + g(PA_X V, CY) \] (27)
which shows (i) ⇔ (ii). On the other hand from (6) and (8) we get
\[ g(A_X BY, PV) = g'(A_X BY, PV) \] (28)
This shows (ii) ⇔ (iii). \( \square \)

**Theorem 7.** Let \( \pi \) be an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold \((M, g, P)\) to a semi-Riemannian manifold \((N, g')\). Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other:

(i) \((\ker \pi^*)^\perp \) defines a totally geodesic foliation on \( M \).

(ii) \( g'(\nabla_{\pi^*}(X, BY), \pi^* PV) = 0 \).

(iii) \( T_Y BX + A_{CX} V \in \Gamma(\mu) \),

for \( X \in \Gamma((\ker \pi^*)^\perp) \) and \( V, W \in \Gamma(\ker \pi^*) \).

**Proof.** Using (1) and (2) we have \( g(\nabla_Y W, X) = -g(\nabla_Y PW, PX) \). Hence we get \( g(\nabla_Y W, X) = g(h\nabla_Y PX, PW) \). Then a semi-Riemannian submersion \( \pi \) and (8) imply that
\[ g(\nabla_Y W, X) = -g'(\nabla_{\pi^*}(V, PX), \pi^* PV) \] (29)
which is (i) ⇔ (ii). By direct calculation, we derive
\[ g(\nabla_Y PX, PW) = -g'(\nabla_{\pi^*}(V, PX), \pi^* PV) \] (30)
Using (10) we obtain
\[ g(\nabla_Y BX + \nabla_Y CX, PW) = -g'(\nabla_{\pi^*}(V, PX), \pi^* PW) \] (31)
Hence we have
\[ g(\nabla_Y BX + [V, CX] + \nabla_{CX} V, PW) = -g'(\nabla_{\pi^*}(V, PX), \pi^* PW) \] (32)
Since \([V, CX] \in \Gamma(\ker \pi^*)\), using (4) and (6), we get
\[ g(T_Y BX + A_{CX} V, PW) = -g'(\nabla_{\pi^*}(V, PX), \pi^* PW) \] (33)
This shows (ii) ⇔ (iii). \( \square \)

We say that an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion is a Lagrangian semi-Riemannian submersion if \( P(\ker \pi^*) = (\ker \pi^*)^\perp \). If \( \mu \neq \{0\} \), then \( \pi \) is called a proper anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion.

We note that the anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion given in Example 3 is a Lagrangian semi-Riemannian submersion.

If \( \pi \) is a Lagrangian submersion, then (II) implies that \( TN = \pi_*(P(\ker \pi^*)) \).

From Theorem 5 we have the following:

**Corollary 8.** Let \( \pi \) be a Lagrangian semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold \((M, g, P)\) to a semi-Riemannian manifold \((N, g')\). Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other:

(i) \((\ker \pi^*)^\perp \) is integrable.

(ii) \( \nabla_{\pi^*}(V, PX) = 0 \).

(iii) \( A_X PY = A_Y PX \),

for \( X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker \pi^*)^\perp) \).

**Theorem 9.** Let \( \pi \) be a Lagrangian semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold \((M, g, P)\) to a semi-Riemannian manifold \((N, g')\). Then the following assertions are equivalent to each other:

(i) \((\ker \pi^*)^\perp \) defines a totally geodesic foliation on \( M \).

(ii) \( \nabla_{\pi^*}(V, PX) = 0 \).

(iii) \( T_Y PW = 0 \),

for \( X \in \Gamma((\ker \pi^*)^\perp) \) and \( V, W \in \Gamma(\ker \pi^*) \).

**Proof.** (i) ⇔ (ii) is clear from Theorem 7. We only prove (ii) ⇔ (iii). From (8), we get
\[ g(\nabla_Y PW, PX) = g'(\nabla_{\pi^*}(V, PX), \pi^* PW) \] (34)
for \( X \in \Gamma((\ker \pi^*)^\perp) \) and \( V, W \in \Gamma(\ker \pi^*) \). Then using (5) we have
\[ g'(\nabla_{\pi^*}(V, PX), \pi^* PW) = g(T_Y PW, PX) \] (35)
Since \( T_Y PW \in \Gamma(\ker \pi^*) \), we get (ii) ⇔ (iii). \( \square \)

We note that a differentiable map \( \pi \) between two semi-Riemannian manifolds is called totally geodesic if \( \nabla_{\pi^*} = 0 \).
Theorem 10. Let $\pi$ be a Lagrangian semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold $(M, g, P)$ to a semi-Riemannian manifold $(N, g')$. Then $\pi$ is a totally geodesic map if and only if

\[
T_W PV = 0, \quad \forall W, V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*),
\]
\[
A_X PW = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma((\ker \pi_*)^\perp).
\]

(36)

Proof. First of all, we recall that the second fundamental form of a semi-Riemannian submersion satisfies

\[
(\nabla \pi_*) (X, Y) = 0, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker \pi_*)^\perp).
\]

(37)

For $W, V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*)$, by using (2), (5), and (8), we get

\[
(\nabla \pi_*) (W, V) = -\pi_*(P T_W PV).
\]

(38)

On the other hand, from (1), (2), and (8), we have

\[
(\nabla \pi_*) (X, W) = -\pi_*(P V_P W)
\]

for $X \in \Gamma((\ker \pi_*)^\perp)$. Then using (7), we get

\[
(\nabla \pi_*) (X, W) = -\pi_*(P A_X PW).
\]

(40)

Since $P$ is nonsingular, proof comes from (37)–(40).

We give a necessary and sufficient condition for a Lagrangian semi-Riemannian submersion to be harmonic.

Theorem 11. Let $\pi$ be a Lagrangian semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold $(M, g, P)$ to a semi-Riemannian manifold $(N, g')$. Then $\pi$ is harmonic if and only if $\text{Trace } P T_V = 0$ for $V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*)$.

Proof. From [23] we know that $\pi$ is harmonic if and only if $\pi$ has minimal fibres. Thus $\pi$ is harmonic if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^r T \epsilon_i = 0$. On the other hand, from (2), (4), and (5), we obtain

\[
T_V PW = P T_V W
\]

(41)

for any $V, W \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*)$. Using (41), we get

\[
\sum_{i=1}^r g(T \epsilon_i, P e_i, V) = -\sum_{i=1}^r g(T \epsilon_i, P e_i, PV)
\]

(42)

for any $V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*)$. Thus using the properties of the O'Neill tensor $T$ we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^r g(T \epsilon_i, P e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r g(T \epsilon_i, P e_i, PV).
\]

(43)

Since $T$ is symmetric, we obtain

\[
\sum_{i=1}^r g(T \epsilon_i, P e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r g(T \epsilon_i, P e_i, PV).
\]

(44)

Denote by $\{L_i\}$ the canonical foliations on a product manifold $M_1 \times M_2$ with natural projections $p_i$ onto $M_i$.

Theorem 12. Let $(M, g, P)$ be a para-Kähler manifold and $(N, g')$ be a semi-Riemannian manifold. If there exists a Lagrangian semi-Riemannian submersion from $M$ onto $N$ such that $M$ is locally twisted product manifold of the form $M_{\ker \pi_1} \times_f M_{\ker \pi_2}$, then $M$ is a usual (locally product) manifold, where $M_{\ker \pi_1}$ and $M_{\ker \pi_2}$ are integral manifolds of the distribution $(\ker \pi_1)^\perp$ and $(\ker \pi_2)^\perp$.

Proof. Suppose that $\pi : (M, g, P) \rightarrow (N, g')$ is a Lagrangian semi-Riemannian submersion and $M$ is a locally twisted product of the form $M_{\ker \pi_1} \times_f M_{\ker \pi_2}$. Then $M_{\ker \pi_1}$ is a totally geodesic foliation and $M_{\ker \pi_2}$ is a totally umbilical foliation. We denote the second fundamental form of $M_{\ker \pi_1}$ by $h$. Then we get $g(\nabla_{X,Y}, V) = g(h(X, Y), V)$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker \pi_1)^\perp)$ and $V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_2)$. Since $\ker \pi_2$ is totally umbilical we have

\[
g(\nabla_{X,Y}, V) = g(H, V) g(X, Y).
\]

(46)

where $H$ is the mean curvature vector field of $M_{\ker \pi_2}$. On the other hand, from (2), we derive $g(\nabla_{X,Y}, V) = g(\nabla_{X,Y}, PV, PY)$. Then using (7), we obtain

\[
g(\nabla_{X,Y}, V) = g(A_{X,Y}, PV, PY).
\]

(47)
Thus, from (46) and (47), we have
\[ A_X PV = -g(H, V) PX. \]  
(48)

Hence, we arrive at
\[ g(A_X PV, PX) = g(H, V) g(X, X). \]  
(49)

Then using (7) we get
\[ g(\nabla_X PV, PX) = g(H, V) g(X, X). \]  
(50)

Thus (2) implies that
\[ -g(\nabla_X X, X) = g(H, V) g(X, X). \]  
(51)

Hence, we obtain
\[ g(\nabla_X X, V) = g(H, V) g(X, X). \]  
(52)

Then, since \( A \) is alternating on the horizontal distribution, we have \( A_X X = 0 \) which implies that \( g(H, V) g(X, X) = 0 \). Since \( g \) is a semi-Riemannian metric and \( H \in \Gamma(\ker \pi) \), we conclude that \( H = 0 \). This shows that \( (\ker \pi)^+ \) is totally geodesic, so \( M \) is usual product of Riemannian manifolds. Thus the proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Theorem 13.** Let \( \pi \) be a Lagrangian semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold \( (M, g, P) \) to a semi-Riemannian manifold \( (N, g') \). Then \( M \) is a locally twisted product manifold of the form \( M_{(\ker \pi)^+} \times f^* M_{\ker \pi} \), if and only if
\[ T_V PX = -g(X, T_V V) g(V, V)^{-1} PV \]  
(53)

for \( X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker \pi)^+) \) and \( V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi) \), where \( M_{(\ker \pi)^+} \) and \( M_{\ker \pi} \) are integral manifolds of the distribution \( (\ker \pi)^+ \) and \( \ker \pi \), respectively.

**Proof.** From (2) and (5), we obtain
\[ g(\nabla_X W, X) = -g(T_V PW, PX) \]  
(54)

for \( X \in \Gamma((\ker \pi)^+) \) and \( V, W \in \Gamma(\ker \pi) \). Since \( T_V \) is skew-symmetric, we get
\[ g(\nabla_X W, X) = g(T_V PW, PW). \]  
(55)

This implies that \( \ker \pi \) is totally umbilical if and only if
\[ X(\lambda) PV = T_V PX, \]  
(56)

where \( \lambda \) is a function on \( M \). Then by direct computations, it is easy to see that this is equivalent to
\[ T_V PX = -g(X, T_V V) g(V, V)^{-1} PV. \]  
(57)

Thus the proof is complete. \( \square \)

### 4. Curvature Relations for Anti-Invariant Semi-Riemannian Submersions

In this section, we are going to obtain curvature relations of anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersions.

Let \((M, g)\) be a semi-Riemannian manifold. The sectional curvature \( K \) of a 2-plane in \( T_p M, p \in M \), spanned by \([X, Y]\), is defined by
\[ K(X, Y) = \frac{R(X, Y, X, Y)}{g(X, X) g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2}. \]  
(58)

It is clear that the above definition makes sense only for nondegenerate planes, that is, those satisfying \( Q(X, Y) = g(X, X) g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2 \neq 0 \).

**Lemma 14.** Let \( \pi \) be an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold \((M, g, P)\) to a semi-Riemannian manifold \((N, g')\). Then for \( X, Y \in \Gamma((\ker \pi)^+) \) and \( U, V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi) \), we have the following relations:
\[ B T_U V = T_U PV; \]  
(59)
\[ h V_U PV = C T_U V + P \tilde{V} U V; \]  
(60)
\[ P A_X Y + C h V_X Y = A_X BY + h V_X CY; \]  
(61)
\[ B h V_X Y = v V_X BY + A_X CY; \]  
(62)
\[ B A_X U = A_X PU. \]  
(63)

**Proof.** From (2) and (5) we have
\[ P V_U V = h V_U PV + T_U PV. \]  
(64)

Using (4) we get
\[ P T_U V + P \tilde{V} U U = h V_U PV + T_U PV. \]  
(65)

Then (10) implies that
\[ B T_U V + C T_U V + P \tilde{V} U U = h V_U PV + T_U PV. \]  
(66)

Taking the vertical and horizontal parts of this equation, we obtain (59) and (60). The other assertions can be obtained in a similar way. \( \square \)

Using both Lemma 14 and pages 13-14 of [21], we obtain the following,

**Theorem 15.** Let \( \pi \) be an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold \((M, g, P)\) to a semi-Riemannian manifold \((N, g')\). Let \( U \) and \( V \) be nonzero nonlightlike orthogonal unit vertical vectors. Then, we have
\[ K(U \wedge V) = K^*(PU \wedge PV) + \epsilon_U \epsilon_V \left[ 3g(A_{PU} V, A_{PV} V) + 3g(CA_{PU} V, CA_{PV} V) \right] \]  
(67)
\[ H(U) = -g(\nabla_{PU} T_U U, PU) + g(T_U U, T_U U) + g(C T_U U, C T_U U) + g(A_{PU} U, A_{PV} U), \]  
(68)
where \( e_U = g(U, U) \in \{ \pm 1 \}, e_V = g(V, V) \in \{ \pm 1 \} \) and \( H(U) = K(U \wedge P_U) \) is the para-holomorphic sectional curvature of \( M \).

From Theorem 15 we have the following result.

**Corollary 16.** Let \( \pi \) be an anti-invariant semi-Riemannian submersion from a para-Kähler manifold \((M, g, P)\) to a semi-Riemannian manifold \((N, g')\). Let \( U \) and \( V \) be nonzero nonlightlike orthogonal unit vertical vectors. Then, \( K(U \wedge V) = K^*(PU \wedge PV) \) if and only if \( g(A_{PU}V, A_{PV}V) = -g(CA_{PU}V, CA_{PV}V) \), where \( K^* \) is the sectional curvature of \( N \).
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