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We introduce Kirk-multistep-SP and Kirk-S iterative algorithms and we prove some convergence and stability results for these iterative algorithms. Since these iterative algorithms are more general than some other iterative algorithms in the existing literature, our results generalize and unify some other results in the literature.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Fixed point theory has an important role in the study of nonlinear phenomena. This theory has been applied in a wide range of disciplines in various areas such as science, technology, and economics; see, for example, [1–5]. The importance of this theory has attracted researchers’ interest, and consequently numerous fixed point theorems have been put forward; see, for example, [6–17] and the references included therein. In this highly dynamic area, one of the most celebrated theorems amongst hundreds is Banach fixed point theorem (also known as the contraction mapping theorem or contraction mapping principle) [7]. An important process which is called iteration method arises naturally during proving of this theorem. A fixed point iteration method is given by a general form as follows:

\[ x_0 \in X, \]
\[ x_{n+1} = f(T, x_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \]  

(1)

where \( X \) is an ambient space, \( x_0 \) is an arbitrary initial point, \( T : X \rightarrow X \) is an operator, and \( f \) is some function. For example, if \( f(T, x_n) = Tx_n \) in (1), then we obtain well-known Picard iteration [18] as follows:

\[ x_0 \in X, \]
\[ x_{n+1} = f(T, x_n) = Tx_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \]  

(2)

Iterative methods are important instruments commonly used in the study of fixed point theory. These powerful and useful tools enable us to find solutions for a wide variety of problems that arise in many branches of the above mentioned areas. This is a reason, among a number of reasons, why researchers are seeking new iteration methods or trying to improve existing methods over the years. In this respect, it is not surprising to see a number of papers dealing with the study of iterative methods to investigate various important themes; see, for example, [19–27].

The purpose of this paper is to introduce two new Kirk type hybrid iteration methods and to show that these iterative methods can be used to approximate fixed points of certain class of contractive operators. Furthermore, we prove that these iterative methods are stable with respect to the same class of contractive operators.

As a background to our exposition, we describe some iteration schemes and contractive type mappings.

The following multistep-SP iteration was employed in [20, 28]:

\[ x_0 \in X, \]
\[ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n) y_n^1 + \alpha_n T y_n^1, \]
\[ y_n^k = (1 - \beta_n^k) y_{n+1}^{k+1} + \beta_n^k T y_{n+1}^{k+1}, \]
\[ y_n^{k+1} = (1 - \beta_n^{k+1}) x_n + \beta_n^{k+1} T x_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \]  

(3)
where \( \mathbb{N} \) denotes the set of all nonnegative integers, including zero, and \( \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}, i = 1, k - 2, k \geq 2 \), are real sequences in \( [0, 1) \) satisfying certain conditions.

By taking \( k = 3 \) and \( k = 2 \) in (3) we obtain SP [25] and two-step Mann [27] iterative schemes, respectively. In (3), if we take \( k = 2 \) with \( \beta_n^0 = 0 \) and \( k = 2 \) with \( \beta_n^0 \equiv 0, \alpha_n \equiv \lambda \) (const.), then we get the iterative procedures introduced in [23, 29], which are commonly known as the Mann and Krasnoselskij iterations, respectively. The Krasnoselskij iteration [29] reduces to the Picard iteration [18] for \( \lambda = 1 \).

A sequence \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) defined by

\[
x_0 \in X,
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n + \alpha_nTy_n,
\]

\[
y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_nTx_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

is known as the S iteration process [6, 19].

Continuing the above trend, we will introduce and employ the following iterative schemes which are called Kirk-multistep-SP and Kirk-S iterations, respectively:

\[
x_0 \in X,
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{s_n} \alpha_n T^i y_n,
\]

\[
y_n = \sum_{s_n=0}^{p_n} \beta_n^{s_n} T^{s_n+1} y_{n+1}, \quad p = 1, k - 2,
\]

\[
y_{n}^{-1} = \sum_{s_n=0}^{p_n} \beta_n^{s_n} T^{s_n+1} x_n, \quad k \geq 2, \forall n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

\[
x_0 \in X,
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{s_n} \alpha_n T^i y_n,
\]

\[
y_n = \sum_{s_n=0}^{p_n} \beta_n^{s_n} T^{s_n+1} x_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

where \( \sum_{s_n=0}^{p_n} \alpha_n T^i \geq 1, \sum_{s_n=0}^{p_n} \beta_n^{s_n} T^{s_n+1} = 1 \) for \( p = 1, k - 1; \alpha_n, \beta_n \) are sequences in \([0, 1]\) satisfying \( \alpha_n \geq 0, \alpha_n \neq 0, \beta_n \geq 0 \), and \( \beta_n \neq 0 \) for \( p = 1, k - 1 \) and \( s_n, s_n+1 \) for \( p = 1, k - 1 \) are fixed integers with \( s_n \geq s_n \geq \cdots \geq s_0 \).

By taking \( k = 3, k = 2, k = 2, k = 2, s_2 = 0 \) in (5) we obtain the Kirk-SP [30], a Kirk-two-step-Mann, and the Kirk-Mann [31] iterative schemes, respectively. Also, (5) gives the usual Kirk iterative process [32] for \( k = 2 \) with \( s_2 = 0 \) and \( \alpha_n = \alpha \). If we put \( s_1 = 1, s_1 = 1, \alpha_n = \alpha \), then we have the usual multistep-SP iteration (5) and S iteration (4), respectively, with \( \sum_{s_n=0}^{p_n} \alpha_n T^i \geq 1, \alpha_n \geq \alpha, \sum_{s_n=0}^{p_n} \beta_n^{s_n} T^{s_n+1} = 1, \beta_n \geq \beta_n \), \( p = 1, k - 1 \). The SP iteration [25], the two-step Mann iteration [27], the Mann iteration [23], the Krasnoselskij iteration [29], and the Picard iteration [18] schemes are special cases of the multistep-SP iterative scheme (3), as explained above. So we conclude that these are also special cases of the Kirk-multistep-SP iterative scheme (5).

We end this section with some definitions and lemmas which will be useful in proving our main results.

**Definition 1** (see [33]). Let \( X \) be a normed space. A mapping \( T : X \to X \) is called contractive-like mapping if there exists \( \lambda \in [0, 1) \) such that

\[
\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \phi(\|x - Tz\|) + \lambda \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in X,
\]

where \( \phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is a monotone increasing function with \( \phi(0) = 0 \).

**Remark 2.** By taking \( \phi(t) = Lt \) in (7), one can get contractive definition due to Osiike and Udomene [34]. Also, by putting \( \phi(t) = 2Lt \) in (7), condition (7) reduces to the contractive definition in [35]. In [35] it was shown that the class of these operators is wider than class of Zamfirescu operators given in [17], where \( \lambda := \max[a/b, b/(1 - b), c/(1 - c)] \), \( \lambda \in [0, 1) \) and \( a, b, c \) are real numbers satisfying \( 0 < a < 1, 0 < b, c < 1/2 \).

**Remark 3** (see [20, 28]). A map satisfying (7) need not have a fixed point. However, using (7), it is obvious that if \( T \) has a fixed point, then it is unique.

**Definition 4** (see [36, 37]). Let \( X \) be a normed space, \( T : X \to X \) a mapping, and \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset X \) an iterative sequence generated by the iterative process (1) with limit point \( q \in F_T := \{q : x \in X : q = Tq\} \). Let \( \{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) be an arbitrary sequence in \( X \) and set

\[
\varepsilon_n = \|y_{n+1} - f(T, y_n)\| \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots . \quad (8)
\]

We will say that the iterative sequence \( \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is \( T \)-stable or stable with respect to \( T \) if and only if

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0 \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = q. \quad (9)
\]

**Lemma 5** (see [8]). If \( \sigma \) is a real number such that \( \sigma \in [0, 1) \) and \( \{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is a sequence of nonnegative numbers such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} e_n = 0 \), then, for any sequence of positive numbers \( \{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) satisfying

\[
u_{n+1} \leq \sigma u_n + e_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

one has \( \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = 0 \).

**Lemma 6** (see [31]). Let \( (X, \|\cdot\|) \) be a normed linear space and let \( T \) be a self-map of \( X \) satisfying (7). Let \( \phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) be a subadditive, monotone increasing function such that \( \phi(0) = 0 \), \( \phi(Lu) \leq L\phi(u) \), \( L \geq 0 \), \( u \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). Then, for all \( x \in X, L \geq 0 \) and for all \( x, y \in X \)

\[
\|T^ix - T^iy\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i} \left( i \right) a^j \phi(\|x - Tz\|) + a^i \|x - y\|, \quad (11)
\]

where \( a \in [0, 1) \).
2. Main Results

For simplicity we assume in the following four theorems that \( X \) is a normed linear space, \( T \) is a self map of \( X \) satisfying the contractive condition (7) with some fixed point \( q \in F_T \), and \( q^*: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is a subadditive monotone increasing function such that \( q(0) = 0 \) and \( q(Lu) \leq Lq(u), \ L \geq 0, \ u \in \mathbb{R}^+ \).

**Theorem 7.** Let \( \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence generated by the Kirk-multistep-SP iterative scheme (5). Then the iterative sequence \( \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) converges strongly to \( q \).

**Proof.** The uniqueness of \( q \) follows from (7). We will now prove that \( x_n \to q \).

Using Kirk-multistep-SP iterative process (5), condition (7), and Lemma 6, we get

\[
\|x_{n+1} - q\| \\
= \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} T^{i+1} y_n^i - q \\
= \alpha_{n,0} \|y_n^1 - q\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} \left( T^{i+1} y_n^i - T^i q \right) \\
\leq \alpha_{n,0} \|y_n^1 - q\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} \left( T^{i+1} y_n^i - T^i q \right) \\
\leq \alpha_{n,0} \|y_n^1 - q\| \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} \left( T^{i+1} y_n^i - T^i q \right) \\
\times \sum_{j=1}^{s_1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \alpha_{n,j} a^j \left( \|q - Tq\| + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|y_n^1 - q\| \right) \\
= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} a^i \right) \|y_n^1 - q\|. \tag{12}
\]

\[
\|y_n^1 - q\| \\
= \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} T^{i+1} y_n^2 - q \\
= \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} \left( T^{i+1} y_n^2 - T^i q \right) \\
\leq \beta_{n,0} \|y_n^2 - q\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} \left( T^{i+1} y_n^2 - T^i q \right) \\
\leq \beta_{n,0} \|y_n^2 - q\| \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} \left( T^{i+1} y_n^2 - T^i q \right) \\
\times \sum_{j=1}^{s_2} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \beta_{n,j} a^j \left( \|q - Tq\| + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|y_n^2 - q\| \right) \\
= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \|y_n^2 - q\|. \tag{13}
\]

\[
\|y_n^2 - q\| \\
\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{s_3} \beta_{n,i} T^{i+1} y_n^2 - q \\
= \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{s_3} \beta_{n,i} \left( T^{i+1} y_n^2 - T^i q \right) \\
\leq \beta_{n,0} \|y_n^2 - q\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_3} \beta_{n,i} \left( T^{i+1} y_n^2 - T^i q \right) \\
\leq \beta_{n,0} \|y_n^2 - q\| \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{s_3} \beta_{n,i} \left( T^{i+1} y_n^2 - T^i q \right) \\
\times \sum_{j=1}^{s_3} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \beta_{n,j} a^j \left( \|q - Tq\| + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|y_n^2 - q\| \right) \\
= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_3} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \|y_n^2 - q\|. \tag{14}
\]

By combining (12), (13), and (14) we obtain

\[
\|x_{n+1} - q\| \\
\leq \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_3} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \|y_n^2 - q\|. \tag{15}
\]

Continuing the above process we have

\[
\|x_{n+1} - q\| \\
\leq \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_3} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \|y_n^{k-1} - q\|. \tag{16}
\]

Using again Kirk-multistep-SP iterative process (5), condition (7), and Lemma 6, we have

\[
\|y_n^{k-1} - q\| \\
\leq \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_k} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \|x_n - q\|. \tag{17}
\]

Substituting (17) into (16) we derive

\[
\|x_{n+1} - q\| \\
\leq \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_3} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_k} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \|x_n - q\|. \tag{18}
\]

Since \( a^i \in [0, 1] \) and \( \sum_{i=0}^{s_k} \alpha_{n,i} = 1, \sum_{i=0}^{s_k} \beta_{n,i} \beta^p_{n,i+1} = 1 \) for \( p = 1, k-1 \), then

\[
\left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_3} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_k} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_k} a^i \right) = 1. \tag{19}
\]

Hence, by an application of Lemma 5 to the inequality (18), we get \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = q \).

**Theorem 8.** Let \( \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence generated by the Kirk-multistep-SP iterative scheme (5). Then, the iterative sequence \( \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is \( T \)-stable.
Proof. Let \( \{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X, \{u^p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) for \( p = 1, k - 1 \), be arbitrary sequences in \( X \). Let \( \varepsilon_n = \|y_{n+1} - \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} T^i u^1_n - q\|, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), where \( u^p_n = \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \beta^p_n \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} T^i y_n, k \geq 2 \), and let \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0 \). Now we will prove that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = q \).

It follows from (5) and Lemma 6 that

\[
\begin{align*}
\|y_{n+1} - q\| &= \|y_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} T^i u^1_n + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} T^i u^1_n - q\| \\
&\leq \|y_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} T^i u^1_n + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} T^i u^1_n - q\| \\
&= \varepsilon_n + \|\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} (T^i u^1_n - T^i q)\| \\
&= \varepsilon_n + \|\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} T^i u^1_n - q\| \\
&\leq \varepsilon_n + \|\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} T^i u^1_n - q\| \\
&\leq \varepsilon_n + \|\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} T^i u^1_n - q\| \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} T^i u^1_n - q\right) \\
&\leq \varepsilon_n + \|\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} T^i u^1_n - q\| \\
&\times \left\{ \frac{i}{j} + \frac{1}{j} q^j (\|q - Tq\|) + a^j \|u^1_n - q\| \right\} \\
&= \varepsilon_n + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} a^i \right) \|u^1_n - q\|. \\
\end{align*}
\]

Combining (20), (21), and (22) we get

\[
\|y_{n+1} - q\| \leq \varepsilon_n + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{n,i} a^i \right) \|u^1_n - q\|.
\]
Using Lemma 6 we have

\[ \epsilon_n = \left\| y_{n+1} - q \right\| + \left\| q - \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} T^{i} u_n \right\| \]

\[ \leq \left\| y_{n+1} - q \right\| + \left\| q - \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} T^{i} u_n \right\| \]

\[ = \left\| y_{n+1} - q \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,0} (q - u_n^1) + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} (T^{i} q - T^{i} u_n^1) \right\| \]

\[ \leq \left\| y_{n+1} - q \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,0} \left\| u_n^1 - q \right\| \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} \left\| T^{i} q - T^{i} u_n^1 \right\| \]

\[ \leq \left\| y_{n+1} - q \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,0} \left\| q - u_n^1 \right\| \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} \left\| q - u_n^1 \right\| \]

\[ \leq \left\| y_{n+1} - q \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,0} \left\| q - u_n^1 \right\| \]

\[ \left\| q - u_n^1 \right\| \]

\[ = \left\| q - \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} T^{i} u_n \right\| \]

\[ = \beta_{n,0} (q - u_n^0) + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} (T^{i} q - T^{i} u_n^0) \]

\[ \leq \beta_{n,0} \left\| q - u_n^0 \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} \left\| T^{i} q - T^{i} u_n^0 \right\| \]

\[ \leq \beta_{n,0} \left\| q - u_n^0 \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} \left\| q - u_n^0 \right\| \]

\[ \leq \beta_{n,0} \left\| q - u_n^0 \right\| \]

Combining (29), (30), and (31) we obtain

\[ \epsilon_n \leq \left\| y_{n+1} - q \right\| + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} a^1 \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} a^2 \right) \]

\[ \times \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} a^3 \right) \left\| q - u_n^3 \right\|. \]

Thus, by induction, we get

\[ \epsilon_n \leq \left\| y_{n+1} - q \right\| + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} a^1 \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} a^2 \right) \]

\[ \times \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} a^3 \right) \left\| q - u_n^3 \right\|. \]

Again using (5) and Lemma 6 we have

\[ \left\| q - u_n^{k-1} \right\| \leq \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} a^k \right) \left\| y_n - q \right\|. \]

Substituting (34) into (33) we derive

\[ \epsilon_n \leq \left\| y_{n+1} - q \right\| + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} a^1 \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} a^2 \right) \]

\[ \times \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} a^3 \right) \left\| y_n - q \right\|. \]

Again define

\[ \sigma := \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i} a^1 \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} a^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \beta_{n,i} a^3 \right) \]

Using the same argument as that of the first part of the proof we obtain \( \sigma \in (0, 1) \).

Hence (35) becomes

\[ \epsilon_n \leq \left\| y_{n+1} - q \right\| + \sigma \left\| y_n - q \right\|. \]

It therefore follows from assumption \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = q \) that \( \epsilon_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

**Theorem 9.** Let \( \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence generated by the Kirk-S iterative scheme (6). Then, the iterative sequence \( \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) converges strongly to \( q \).

**Proof.** The uniqueness of \( q \) follows from (7). We will now prove that \( x_n \to q \).
Using Kirk-S iterative process (6), condition (7), and Lemma 6, we get

\[
\|x_{n+1} - q\| \\
\leq a_{n,0} \|Tx_n - Tq\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} \|T_i y_n - T_i q\|
\]

\[
\leq a_{n,0} \|T x_n - T q\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} \|T_i y_n - T_i q\|
\]

\[
\leq a a_{n,0} \|x_n - q\|
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{i_1} \left( i \right) a^{i-j} \psi^j \|q - T q\| + a^i \|y_n - q\| \right\}
\]

\[
= a a_{n,0} \|x_n - q\| + \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} a^i \right\} \|y_n - q\|.
\]

Substituting (39) into (38) we obtain

\[
\|x_{n+1} - q\| \\
\leq \left[ a a_{n,0} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \right] \|x_n - q\|
\]

Since \(a^i \in [0, 1)\) and \(\sum_{i=0}^{s_1} a_{n,i} = \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} = 1\) with \(a_{n,0} \neq 0\),
\(\beta_{n,0} \neq 0\),

\[
a a_{n,0} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right)
\]

\[
< a a_{n,0} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} a_{n,i} = 1.
\]

Utilizing (41) and Lemma 5, (40) yields \(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = q\). \(\square\)

**Theorem 10.** Let \(\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be a sequence generated by the Kirk-S iterative scheme (6). Then, the iterative sequence \(\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is \(T\)-stable.

**Proof.** Let \(\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X\), \(\epsilon_n = \|y_{n+1} - a_{n,0} T y_n - \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} T_i u_n\|\), \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\), and \(u_n = \sum_{j=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,j} T_j^2 q\).

Assume that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \epsilon_n = 0\). Now we will prove that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = q\).

It follows from (6) and Lemma 6 that

\[
\|y_{n+1} - q\|
\]

\[
\leq \|y_{n+1} - a_{n,0} T y_n - \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} T_i u_n\| + \|a a_{n,0} T y_n + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} T_i u_n - q\|
\]

\[
= \epsilon_n + \|a a_{n,0} T y_n + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} T_i u_n - q\|
\]

\[
\leq \epsilon_n + a a_{n,0} \|y_n - q\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} \|T_i u_n - T_i q\|
\]

\[
\leq \epsilon_n + a a_{n,0} \|y_n - q\| + \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} \|T_i u_n - T_i q\|
\]

\[
= \epsilon_n + a a_{n,0} \|y_n - q\| + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} a^i \right) \|u_n - q\|.
\]

Combining (42) and (43) we have

\[
\|y_{n+1} - q\| \leq \epsilon_n + a a_{n,0} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right) \|u_n - q\|.
\]

Define

\[
\sigma := a a_{n,0} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} a_{n,i} a^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i} a^i \right).
\]
We now show that $\sigma \in (0,1)$. Since $\alpha^{i,k} \in [0,1), \alpha_{n,0} > 0$, $\sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i_1} = 1$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i_2} = 1$, we obtain

$$
\sigma < \alpha_{n,0} + \left( \sum_{i_1=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i_1} \right) \left( \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i_2} \right) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i_1} = 1.
$$

(46)

Thus, (44) becomes

$$
\|y_{n+1} - q\| \leq \eta \|y_n - q\| + \epsilon_n.
$$

(47)

Therefore, an application of Lemma 5 to (47) leads to $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = q$.

Now suppose that $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = q$. Then, we will show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = q$.

Using Lemma 6 we have

$$
\epsilon_n \leq \|y_{n+1} - q\| + a\alpha_{n,0} \|y_n - q\|
$$

(48)

$$
\leq \|q\| + \|q - \alpha_{n,0} T y_n - \sum_{i_1=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i_1} T^{i_1} u_n\|
$$

$$
\leq \|y_{n+1} - q\| + a\alpha_{n,0} \|y_n - q\|
$$

(49)

$$
\leq \|y_{n+1} - q\| + a\alpha_{n,0} \|y_n - q\|
$$

$$
\leq \|y_{n+1} - q\| + a\alpha_{n,0} \|y_n - q\|
$$

$$
\leq \|y_{n+1} - q\| + a\alpha_{n,0} \|y_n - q\|
$$

Substituting (49) into (48) we get

$$
\epsilon_n \leq \|y_{n+1} - q\| + \left[ a\alpha_{n,0} + \left( \sum_{i_1=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i_1} a^{i_1} \right) \left( \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i_2} a^{i_2} \right) \right] \|y_n - q\|.
$$

(50)

Again define

$$
\sigma := a\alpha_{n,0} + \left( \sum_{i_1=1}^{s_1} \alpha_{n,i_1} a^{i_1} \right) \left( \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2} \beta_{n,i_2} a^{i_2} \right).
$$

(51)

Using the same argument as that of the first part of the proof we obtain $\sigma \in (0,1)$.

Hence (50) becomes

$$
\epsilon_n \leq \|y_{n+1} - q\| + a\alpha_{n,0} \|y_n - q\|.
$$

(52)

It therefore follows from assumption $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = q$ that $\epsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

\textbf{Remark II.} Theorem 7 is a generalization and extension of Theorem 2.1 of [38], Theorem 2.1 of [39], Theorem 1 of [20], and Theorem 2.4 of [30]. Theorems 8 is a generalization and extension of Theorem 3.6 of [38] and Theorem 3 of [40]. Theorem 9 is a generalization and extension of Theorem 8 of [41] and Theorem 3 of [20].
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