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We discuss a class of singular boundary value problems of fractional $q$-difference equations. Some existence and uniqueness results are obtained by a fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets. Finally, we give an example to illustrate the results.

1. Introduction

In recent years, many papers on fractional differential equations have appeared, because of their demonstrated applications in various fields of science and engineering; see [1–11] and the references therein. Based on the increasingly extensive application of discrete fractional calculus and the development of $q$-difference calculus or quantum calculus (see [12–19] and the references therein), fractional $q$-difference equations have attracted the attention of researchers for the numerous applications in a number of fields such as physics, chemistry, aerodynamics, biology, economics, control theory, mechanics, electricity, signal and image processing, biophysics, blood flow phenomena, aerodynamics, and fitting of experimental data; see [20–23]. Some recent work on the existence theory of fractional $q$-difference equations can be found in [24–29]. However, the study of singular boundary value problems (BVPs) with fractional $q$-difference equations is at its infancy and lots of work on the topic should be done.

Recently, in [25], Ferreira has investigated the existence of positive solution for the following fractional $q$-difference equations BVP

\[
\begin{align*}
(D^q_0u) (t) + f (t, u (t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
u (0) &= u (1) = 0, \\
(D^q_u) (0) &= \beta \geq 0, \\
y (0) &= (D^q_0) y (0) = 0, \quad (D^q_u) y (1) = \beta \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

by applying a fixed point theorem in cones.

More recently, in [30], Caballero et al. have studied positive solutions for the following BVP:

\[
\begin{align*}
(D^q_0u) (t) + f (t, u (t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
u (0) &= u (1) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

by fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets.

Motivated by the work above, in this paper, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the singular BVPs of fractional $q$-difference equations given by

\[
\begin{align*}
(D^q_u) (t) + f (t, u (t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
u (0) &= u (1) = 0, \\
(D^q_u) (0) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]  

(3)

where $2 < \alpha \leq 3$ and $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous with $\lim_{t \to 0^+} f (t, \cdot) = \infty$ (i.e., $f$ is singular at $t = 0$).

2. Preliminary Results

For convenience, we present some definitions and lemmas which will be used in the proofs of our results.

Let $q \in (0, 1)$ and define

\[
[a]_q = \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4}
\]
The $q$-analogue of the power function $(a - b)^n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is

$$(a - b)^{(0)} = 1, \quad (a - b)^{(n)} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (a - bq^k), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5)$$

More generally, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(a - b)^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha \prod_{n=0}^{\alpha-1} (a - bq^{n+1}). \quad (6)$$

Note that if $b = 0$ then $a^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha$. The $q$-gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(1 - q)^{(x-1)}}{(1 - q)^x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}, \quad (7)$$

and it satisfies $\Gamma_q(x + 1) = [x]_q \Gamma_q(x)$.

Following, let us recall some basic concepts of $q$-calculus [12].

**Definition 1.** For $0 < q < 1$, we define the $q$-derivative of a real-value function $f$ as

$$\left(D_q f\right)(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1 - q)x},$$

$$\left(D_q f\right)(0) = \lim_{x \to 0} \left(D_q f\right)(x). \quad (8)$$

Note that $\lim_{q \to 1} D_q f(x) = f'(x)$.

**Definition 2.** The higher order $q$-derivatives are defined inductively as

$$\left(D_q^0 f\right)(x) = f(x),$$

$$\left(D_q^n f\right)(t) = D_q \left(D_q^{n-1} f\right)(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (9)$$

For example, $D_q(t^k) = [k]_q t^{k-1}$, where $k$ is a positive integer and the bracket $[k]_q = (q^k - 1)/(q - 1)$. In particular, $D_q(t^2) = (1 + qt)$.

**Definition 3.** The $q$-integral of a function $f$ in the interval $[0, b]$ is given by

$$\left(I_q^b f\right)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) d_q t = x(1 - q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(xq^n) q^n x \in [0, b]. \quad (10)$$

If $a \in [0, b]$ and $f$ is defined in the interval $[0, b]$, its integral from $a$ to $b$ is defined by

$$\int_a^b f(t) d_q t = \int_0^b f(t) d_q t - \int_0^a f(t) d_q t. \quad (11)$$

Similarly as done for derivatives, an operator $I_q^n$ can be define, namely,

$$\left(I_q^0 f\right)(x) = f(x),$$

$$\left(I_q^n f\right)(x) = I_q \left(I_q^{n-1} f\right)(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (12)$$

Observe that

$$D_q I_q f(x) = f(x), \quad (13)$$

and if $f$ is continuous at $x = 0$, then $I_q D_q f(x) = f(x) - f(0)$.

We now point out three formulas ($D_q$ denotes the derivative with respect to variable $i$)

$$[a(t - s)]^{(\alpha)} = a^{(\alpha)}(t - s)^{\alpha}, \quad (14)$$

$$D_q \int_0^x f(x, t) d_q t = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + k - p + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} (D_q^i f)(x). \quad (15)$$

**Remark 4.** We note that if $\alpha \geq 0$ and $a \leq b \leq t$, then $(t-a)^{(\alpha)} \geq (t - b)^{(\alpha)}$ [24].

**Definition 5** (see [21]). Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $f$ be a function defined on $[0, 1]$. The fractional $q$-integral of the Riemann-Liouville type is $I_q^\alpha f(x) = f(x)$ and

$$\left(I_q^\alpha f\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x - qt)^{(\alpha-1)} f(t) d_q t, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad x \in [0, 1]. \quad (16)$$

**Definition 6** (see [23]). The fractional $q$-derivative of the Riemann-Liouville type of $\alpha \geq 0$ is defined by $(D_q^\alpha f)(x)$ and

$$\left(D_q^\alpha f\right)(x) = \left(D_q^{m \alpha} I_q^{m \alpha} f\right)(x), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad (17)$$

where $m$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to $\alpha$.

**Lemma 7** (see [21, 23]). Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and let $f$ be a function define on $[0, 1]$. Then, the next formulas hold:

1. $(I_q^\beta I_q^\alpha f)(x) = (I_q^{\alpha+\beta} f)(x),$
2. $(D_q^{\alpha+\beta} f)(x) = f(x).$

**Lemma 8** (see [24]). Let $\alpha > 0$ and let $p$ be a positive integer. Then, the following equality holds:

$$\left(D_q^\alpha f\right)(x) = \left(D_q I_q^\alpha f\right)(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma_q(\alpha + k - p + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} (D_q^k f)(0). \quad (18)$$

**Lemma 9.** Let $y(t) \in C[0, 1] \cap L^1[0, 1]$ and $2 < \alpha \leq 3$; then the BVP

$$\left(D_q^\alpha u\right)(t) + y(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1,$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0, \quad \left(D_q u\right)(0) = 0, \quad (19)$$
has a unique solution

\[ u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, qs) y(s) \, ds, \]  

(20)

where

\[ G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \alpha^{-1} (1 - s)^{(\alpha-1)} - (1 - t)^{(\alpha-1)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (1 - s)^{(\alpha-1)} - (1 - t)^{(\alpha-1)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \]

(21)

Proof. By Lemmas 7 and 8, we see that

\[
(D_t^\alpha u)(t) = -y(t)
\]

\[
\iff (I_\alpha G(t, s)y(s))(t) = -(I_\alpha y)(t)
\]

\[
\iff u(t) = c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2}
\]

\[
+ c_3 t^{\alpha-3} - \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - qs)^{(\alpha-1)} \, \, s 
\]

(22)

where \(c_1, c_2,\) and \(c_3\) are some constants to be determined. Since \(u(0) = 0,\) we must have \(c_3 = 0.\) Now, differentiating both sides of (22) and using (15), we obtain

\[
(D_t^\alpha u)(t) = [\alpha - 1] c_1 t^{\alpha-2} + [\alpha - 2] c_2 t^{\alpha-3}
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t [\alpha - 1]_q (t - qs)^{(\alpha-2)} \, ds. \]

(23)

Using \((D_0 u)(0) = 0 \text{ and } u(1) = 0,\) we must set \(c_2 = 0,\) and

\[
 c_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1 - qs)^{(\alpha-1)} \, ds. \]

(24)

Finally, we obtain

\[
u(t) = \int_0^t G(t, qs) y(s) \, ds
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - qs)^{(\alpha-1)} \, ds
\]

(25)

\[
= \int_0^1 G(t, qs) y(s) \, ds.
\]

The proof is complete.

Lemma 10. Function \(G\) defined above satisfies the following conditions:

(i) \(G(t, qs)\) is a continuous function on \([0,1] \times [0,1].\)

(ii) \(G(t, qs) \geq 0\) for \(t, s \in [0,1].\)

Proof. (i) Obviously, \(G(t, qs)\) is continuous on \([0,1] \times [0,1].\)

(ii) Let

\[ g_1(t, s) = (1 - s)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t - s)^{(\alpha-1)}, \]

(26)

\[ 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \]

\[ g_2(t, s) = (1 - s)^{(\alpha-1)} f_{\alpha-1} - (1 - t)^{(\alpha-1)} f_{\alpha-1}, \]

(27)

\[ 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \]

It is clear that \(g_2(t, qs) \geq 0,\) for \(t, s \in [0,1].\) Now, in view of Remark 4, for \(t \neq 0\)

\[ g_1(t, qs) = (1 - qs)^{(\alpha-1)} f_{\alpha-1} - (t - qs)^{(\alpha-1)} f_{\alpha-1} \]

\[ = t^{\alpha-1} \left[ (1 - qs)^{(\alpha-1)} - (1 - q^2 f_{\alpha-1}) \right] \]

\[ \geq t^{\alpha-1} \left[ (1 - qs)^{(\alpha-1)} - (1 - q^2 f_{\alpha-1}) \right] = 0. \]

Therefore, \(G(t, qs) \geq 0.\) This proof is complete.

\(\square\)

By \(\mathcal{J}\) we denote the class of those functions \(\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]\) satisfying the following condition; \(\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1\) implies \(t_n \rightarrow 0.\)

Theorem 11 (see [31]). Let \((X, \leq)\) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric \(d\) in \(X\) such that \((X, d)\) is a complete metric space. Let \(T : X \rightarrow X\) be a nondecreasing mapping such that there exists an element \(x_0 \in X\) with \(x_0 \leq T x_0.\) Suppose that there exists \(\beta \in \mathcal{J}\) such that

\[ d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, y)) \cdot d(x, y) \]

(28)

for \(x, y \in X\) with \(x \geq y.\)

Assume that either \(T\) is continuous or \(X\) is such that

\[ \text{if } \{x_n\} \text{ is a nondecreasing sequence in } X \]

then \(x_n \rightarrow x\) then \(x_n \leq x \quad \forall n \in N.\)

Besides if

\[ \text{for each } x, y \in X \text{ there exists } z \in X \]

(30)

which is comparable to \(x\) and \(y,\)

then \(T\) has a unique fixed point.

Let \(C[0,1] = \{x : [0,1] \rightarrow R, \text{continuous}\}\) be the Banach space with the classic metric given by \(d(x, y) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t) - y(t)|.\)

Notice that this space can be equipped with a partial order given by

\[ x, y \in C[0,1], \quad x \leq y \iff x(t) \leq y(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1]. \]

(31)

In [32], it is proved that \((C[0,1], \leq)\) satisfies condition (29) of Theorem 11. Moreover, for \(x, y \in C[0,1],\) as the function \(\max(x, y) \in C[0,1], (C[0,1], \leq)\) satisfies condition (30).
3. Main Result

In this section, we will consider the question of positive solutions for BVP (3). At first, we prove some lemmas required for the main result.

Lemma 12. Let \( 0 < \sigma < 1 \), \( 2 < \alpha \leq 3 \) and \( F : (0, 1] \to R \) is a continuous function with \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} F(t) = \infty \). Suppose that \( t^\sigma F(t) \) is a continuous function on \([0, 1]\). Then the function defined by

\[
H(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, qs) F(s) \, ds
\]

is continuous on \([0, 1]\), where \( G(t, s) \) is Green function be given in Lemma 9.

Proof. We will divide the proof into three parts.

Case 1 \((t_0 = 0)\). First, \( H(0) = 0 \). Since \( t^\sigma F(t) \) is continuous on \([0, 1]\), we can find a positive constant \( M \) such that \( |t^\sigma F(t)| \leq M \) for any \( t \in [0, 1] \). Thus,

\[
|H(t) - H(0)| = |H(t)| = \left| \int_0^t G(t, qs) F(s) \, ds \right|
\]

For \( \int_0^t (1 - (qs/t))^{(\alpha - 1)} s^{-\sigma} \, ds \), let \( u = s/t \); then we obtain

\[
\int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{qs}{t}\right)^{(\alpha - 1)} s^{-\sigma} \, ds = t^{1-\sigma} \int_0^1 (1-qu)^{(\alpha - 1)u^{-\sigma}} \, du.
\]

Hence,

\[
|H(t)| \leq M t^{\alpha-1} \int_0^1 (1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 1)} s^{-\sigma} \, ds
\]

\[
+ M t^{\alpha-\sigma} \int_0^1 (1 - qu)^{(\alpha - 1)u^{-\sigma}} \, du
\]

\[
= \left( M t^{\alpha-1} \int_0^1 (1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 1)} s^{-\sigma} \, ds \right) + M t^{\alpha-\sigma} \int_0^1 (1 - qu)^{(\alpha - 1)u^{-\sigma}} \, du
\]

where \( \beta_q \) denotes the \( q \)-beta function.

When \( t \to 0 \), we see that \( H(t) \to H(0) \); that is \( H(t) \) is continuous at \( t_0 = 0 \).

Case 2 \((t_0 \in (0, 1))\). We should prove \( H(t_n) \to H(t_0) \) when \( t_n \to t_0 \). Without loss of generality, we consider \( t_n > t_0 \) (it is the same argument for \( t_n < t_0 \)). In fact,

\[
|H(t_n) - H(t_0)| = \left| \int_0^{t_n} G(t, qs) F(s) \, ds - \int_0^{t_0} G(t, qs) F(s) \, ds \right|
\]

\[
= \left| \int_0^{t_n} G(t, qs) F(s) \, ds - \int_0^{t_0} G(t, qs) F(s) \, ds \right|
\]

\[
= \left| \int_0^{t_n} G(t, qs) F(s) \, ds - \int_0^{t_0} G(t, qs) F(s) \, ds \right|
\]

\[
\leq M \left[ \int_0^{t_n} G(t, qs) F(s) \, ds - \int_0^{t_0} G(t, qs) F(s) \, ds \right]
\]

\[
= M t^{\alpha-1} \left[ \int_0^{t_n} (1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 1)} s^{-\sigma} \, ds - \int_0^{t_0} (1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 1)} s^{-\sigma} \, ds \right]
\]

\[
+ M t^{\alpha-\sigma} \left[ \int_0^{t_n} (1 - qu)^{(\alpha - 1)u^{-\sigma}} \, du - \int_0^{t_0} (1 - qu)^{(\alpha - 1)u^{-\sigma}} \, du \right]
\]

\[
\leq M \left( t_0^{\alpha-1} - t_n^{\alpha-1} \right) \int_0^1 (1 - qs)^{(\alpha - 1)u^{-\sigma}} \, du
\]

\[
+ M \left( t_0^{\alpha-\sigma} - t_n^{\alpha-\sigma} \right) \int_0^1 (1 - qu)^{(\alpha - 1)u^{-\sigma}} \, du
\]
\[
+ \frac{M}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^{t} (t_n - q s)^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_q s
= \frac{M}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \beta_q (1 - \sigma, \alpha) \left( t_n^{\alpha-1} - t_0^{\alpha-1} \right) + \frac{M}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} (a_n + b_n),
\]

(36)

where
\[
a_n = \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( (t_n - q s)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0 - q s)^{(\alpha-1)} \right) s^{-\sigma} d_q s,
\]
\[
b_n = \int_{t_0}^{t} (t_n - q s)^{(\alpha-1)} s^\alpha d_q s.
\]

(37)

When \( n \to \infty \), we verify \( a_n \to 0 \).

As \( t_n \to t_0 \), then \( ((t_n - q s)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0 - q s)^{(\alpha-1)}) s^{-\sigma} \to 0 \), when \( n \to \infty \). Moreover,
\[
\left( (t_n - q s)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0 - q s)^{(\alpha-1)} \right) s^{-\sigma} \leq 2 s^{-\sigma},
\]
\[
\int_{0}^{1} 2 s^{-\sigma} d_q s = \frac{2}{[1 - \sigma]_q^{1}} \leq \frac{2}{[1 - \sigma]_q} < \infty.
\]

(38)

We have \( ((t_n - q s)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0 - q s)^{(\alpha-1)}) s^{-\sigma} \) converges pointwise to the zero function and \( |(t_n - q s)^{(\alpha-1)} - (t_0 - q s)^{(\alpha-1)}| s^{-\sigma} \) is bounded by a function belonging to \( L^1[0,1] \), by Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem \( a_n \to 0 \) when \( n \to \infty \).

Now, we prove \( b_n \to 0 \) when \( n \to \infty \).

In fact, as
\[
b_n = \int_{t_0}^{t} (t_n - q s)^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_q s
\]
\[
\leq \int_{t_0}^{t} s^{-\sigma} d_q s = \frac{s^{1-\sigma}}{[1 - \sigma]_q} \int_{t_0}^{t} s^{1-\sigma}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{[1 - \sigma]_q} (t_n^{1-\sigma} - t_0^{1-\sigma}),
\]

(39)

and taking into account that \( t_n \to t_0 \), we get \( b_n \to 0 \) when \( n \to \infty \).

Above all, we obtain \( |H(t_n) - H(t_0)| \to 0 \), when \( n \to \infty \).

Case 3 \((t_0 = 1)\). It is easy to check that \( H(1) = 0 \) and \( H(t) \) is continuous at \( t_0 = 1 \). The proof is the same as the proof of Case 1.

Lemma 13. Suppose that \( 0 < \sigma < 1 \). Then,
\[
\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, q s) s^{-\sigma} d_q s = \frac{A^{\alpha-1} - A^{-\alpha-\sigma}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \beta_q (1 - \sigma, \alpha),
\]

(40)

where \( A = ((\alpha - 1)/(\alpha - \sigma))^{1/(\alpha - \sigma)} \).

Proof.
\[
\int_{0}^{1} G(t, q s) s^{-\sigma} d_q s
= \int_{0}^{t} \left( 1 - q s \right)^{(\alpha-1)} t^{\alpha-1} - (t - q s)^{(\alpha-1)} \right) s^{-\sigma} d_q s
\]
\[
+ \int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \left( 1 - q s \right)^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_q s
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} \left( 1 - q s \right)^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_q s
\]
\[
- \frac{t^{\alpha-\sigma}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \left( 1 - q s \right)^{(\alpha-1)} s^{-\sigma} d_q s
\]
\[
= \frac{t^{\alpha-1} - t^{\alpha-\sigma}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \beta_q (1 - \sigma, \alpha).
\]

(41)

Let \( g(t) = t^{\alpha-1} - t^{\alpha-\sigma}, \; \; t \in [0, 1] \).

Since \( g'(t) = (\alpha - 1)t^{\alpha-2} - (\alpha - \sigma)t^{\alpha-\sigma-1} \), let \( g'(t) = 0 \); we can get \( g(t) \) has a maximum at the point \( t_0 = \Lambda = ((\alpha - 1)/(\alpha - \sigma))^{1/(\alpha - \sigma)} \).

Hence,
\[
\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, q s) s^{-\sigma} d_q s = \frac{A^{\alpha-1} - A^{-\alpha-\sigma}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \beta_q (1 - \sigma, \alpha).
\]

(42)

For the convenience, we denote \( \max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, q s) s^{-\sigma} d_q s \) by \( K \).

Next, we denote the class of functions \( \phi : \; \; [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) by \( \mathcal{A} \) satisfying
(i) \( \phi \) is nondecreasing;
(ii) \( \phi(x) < x \) for any \( x > 0 \);
(iii) \( \beta(x) = \phi(x)/x \in \mathcal{F} \), where \( \mathcal{F} \) is the class of functions appearing in Theorem II.

We give our main result as follows.

Theorem 14. Let \( 0 < \sigma < 1, \; 2 < \alpha \leq 3, \; f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) is continuous and \( \lim_{(t, y) \to (0, 0)} f(t, y) = \infty \), and \( t^\sigma f(t, y) \) is a continuous function on \( \{0, 1\} \times [0, \infty) \). Assume that there exists \( 0 < \lambda \leq 1/K \) such that for \( x, y \in [0, \infty) \) with \( y \geq x \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \),
\[
0 \leq t^\sigma \left( f(t, y) - f(t, x) \right) \leq \lambda \phi (y - x),
\]

(43)

where \( \phi \in \mathcal{A} \). Then the BVP (3) has a unique positive solution \( i.e., x(t) > 0 \) for \( t \in (0, 1) \).
Proof. We define the cone $P$ by

$$ P = \{ u \in C[0,1] : u(t) \geq 0 \}. \tag{44} $$

It is clear that $P$ is a complete metric space as $P$ is a closed set of $C[0,1]$.

We define the operator $T$ by

$$ (Tu)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,qs) f(s,u(s))d_q s \tag{45} $$

In view of Lemma 12, $Tu \in C[0,1]$. Moreover, it follows from the nonnegativeness of $G(t,qs)$ and $f(t,y)$ that $Tu \in P$ for $u \in P$. Thus, $T : P \to P$.

Next, we will prove that assumptions in Theorem 11 are satisfied.

First, for $u \geq v$, we have

$$ d(TuTv) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |(Tu)(t) - (Tv)(t)| $$

$$ = \max_{t \in [0,1]} (Tu(t) - Tv(t)) $$

$$ = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,qs) (f(s,u(s)) - f(s,v(s)))d_q s $$

$$ \geq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,qs) s^{-\sigma}s^\gamma (f(s,u(s)) - f(s,v(s)))d_q s $$

$$ = (Tv)(t). \tag{46} $$

Hence, the operator $T$ is nondecreasing. Besides, for $u \geq v$ and $u \neq v$,

$$ d(TuTv) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,qs) s^{-\sigma} \lambda \phi(u(s) - v(s))d_q s \tag{47} $$

Since $\phi$ is nondecreasing and $u(s) - v(s) \leq d(u,v)$,

$$ d(TuTv) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,qs) s^{-\sigma} \lambda \phi(u(s))d_q s $$

$$ = \lambda \phi(d(u,v)) \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t,qs) s^{-\sigma}d_q s $$

$$ = \lambda \phi(d(u,v)) K. \tag{48} $$

Moreover, when $0 < \lambda \leq 1/K$, we get

$$ d(TuTv) \leq \phi(d(u,v)) \leq \frac{\phi(d(u,v))}{d(u,v)} \cdot d(u,v) \tag{49} $$

$$ = \beta(d(u,v)) \cdot d(u,v). $$

Obviously, the last inequality is satisfied for $u = v$.

Taking into account that the zero function satisfies $0 \leq T_0$ in view of Theorem 11, the operator $T$ has a unique fixed point $x(t)$ in $P$.

At last, we will prove $x(t)$ is a positive solution. We assume that there exists $0 < t_1 < 1$ such that $x(t_1) = 0$. Since $x(t)$ of problem (3) is a fixed point of the operator $T$, we have

$$ x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,qs) f(s,x(s))d_q s \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{50} $$

$$ x(t_1) = \int_0^1 G(t,qs) f(s,x(s))d_q s = 0. $$

For the nonnegative character of $G(t,qs)$ and $f(s,x)$, the last relation gives

$$ G(t,qs) f(s,x(s)) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. (s)} \tag{51} $$

$f$ is continuous and $\lim_{t \to 0} f(t,\cdot) = \infty$; then for $M > 0$, we can find $\delta > 0$, and, for $s \in [0,1] \cap (0,\delta)$, we have $f(s,0) > M$. It is clear that $[0,1] \cap (0,\delta) \subset [s \in [0,1] : f(s,x(s)) > M]$ and $\mu([0,1] \cap (0,\delta)) > 0$, where $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$. That is to say, $G(t_1,qs)f(s,x(s)) = 0$ a.e. (s). This is a contradiction because $G(t_1,qs)$ is a rational function in $s$.

Therefore, $x(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0,1)$.

The proof is complete. \qed

4. Example

Consider the following singular BVP:

$$ D_{1/2}^{3/2}u(t) + \frac{\lambda (t^2 + 1) \ln(1 + u(t))}{t^{1/2}} = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad \lambda > 0, $$

$$ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \quad (D_{1/2}^{3/2}u)(0) = 0. \tag{52} $$

Here, $\alpha = 2.5, q = 1/2, \sigma = 1/2$, and $f(t,u) = \lambda(t^2 + 1) \ln(1 + u(t))/t^{1/2}$ for $(t,u) \in [0,1] \times [0,\infty)$. Notice that $f$ is continuous in $[0,1] \times [0,\infty]$ and $\lim_{t \to 0} f(t,\cdot) = \infty$.

At first, we define $\phi$ by

$$ \phi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty), \quad \phi(x) = \ln(1 + x). \tag{53} $$

It is clear that $\phi(x) = \ln(1 + x)$ is a nondecreasing function; for $u \geq v$, we can get

$$ \phi(u) - \phi(v) \geq 0. \tag{54} $$

Moreover, for $u \geq v$, $\phi$ also satisfies

$$ \phi(u) - \phi(v) \leq \phi(u) - \phi(u). \tag{55} $$
In fact, when $u \geq v$,
\[
\phi(u - v) - (\phi(u) - \phi(v)) = \ln(1 + u - v) - (\ln(1 + u) - \ln(1 + v))
\]
\[
= \ln \frac{(1 + u - v)(1 + v)}{(1 + u)}
\]
\[
= \ln \left(1 + \frac{(u - v)v}{1 + u}\right) \geq 0,
\]
equivalently
\[
\phi(u) - \phi(v) \leq \phi(u - v). \tag{57}
\]
Above all, $0 \leq \phi(u) - \phi(v) \leq \phi(u - v)$ for $u \geq v$.

Second, for $u \geq v$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have
\[
0 \leq t^{1/2} (f(t, u) - f(t, v))
\]
\[
= \lambda \left(t^2 + 1\right) \left[\ln(1 + u) - \ln(1 + v)\right]
\]
\[
\leq \lambda \left(t^2 + 1\right) \ln(1 + u - v)
\]
\[
\leq 2\lambda \ln(1 + u - v);
\]
that is, $f$ satisfies assumptions of Theorem 14.

Third, we should prove $\beta(x)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$. By elemental calculus, it is easy to check that $\phi$ is nondecreasing and $\phi(x) < x$, for $x > 0$.

In order to prove $\beta(x) = \phi(x)/x \in \mathcal{F}$, we notice that if $\beta(t_n) \to 1$, then the sequence $(t_n)$ is a bounded sequence because in contrary case, that is, $t_n \to \infty$, we get
\[
\beta(t_n) = \frac{1 + t_n}{t_n} \to 0. \tag{59}
\]

Now, we assume that $t_n \to 0$, and then we find $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each $n \in N$ there exists $\rho_n \geq n$ with $|t_n| \geq \epsilon$.

Since $(t_n)$ is a bounded sequence, we can find a subsequence $(t_{n_k})$ of $(t_n)$ with $t_{n_k} \to a$, for certain $a \in [0, 1)$. When $\beta(t_{n_k}) \to 1$, it implies that
\[
\beta(t_{n_k}) = \frac{1 + t_{n_k}}{t_{n_k}} \to 1. \tag{60}
\]
and, as the unique solution of $\ln(1 + x) = x$ is $x_0 = 0$, we deduce that $a = 0$. Therefore, $t_{n_k} \to 0$ and this contradicts the fact that $t_{n_k} \geq \epsilon$ for every $n \in N$.

Thus, $t_n \to 0$ and this proves that $\beta \in \mathcal{F}$.

Finally, in view of Theorem 14,
\[
2\lambda \leq \frac{1}{K} = \left(\frac{(1/4)^{3/2} - (1/4)^{1/2}}{\beta_{1/2}(3/2)}\right) \cdot \beta_{1/2}(1/2, 3/2)
\]
\[
= 10.96511985; \tag{61}
\]
that is, when $\lambda \leq 5.48256$, boundary value problem (52) has a unique positive solution.
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