A Lyapunov-Type Inequality for a Fractional Differential Equation under a Robin Boundary Condition
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We establish a new Lyapunov-type inequality for a class of fractional differential equations under Robin boundary conditions. The obtained inequality is used to obtain an interval where a linear combination of certain Mittag-Leffler functions has no real zeros.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let the following \( \chi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a continuous function. The well-known Lyapunov inequality [1] states that a necessary condition for the boundary value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
  u''(t) + \chi(t) u(t) &= 0, \quad a < t < b \\
  u(a) &= u(b) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

to have nontrivial solutions is that

\[
\int_a^b |\chi(t)| \, dt > \frac{4}{b - a}.
\]

(2)

This result found many practical applications in differential and difference equations (oscillation theory, disconjugacy, eigenvalue problems, etc.); see, for instance, [2–7]. On the other hand, many improvements of (2) have been carried out, and similar inequalities have been obtained for other types of differential equations; compare to the Pachpatte monograph [8]. The search for Lyapunov-type inequalities in which the starting differential equation is constructed via fractional differential operators has begun very recently. For example, in [9], a Lyapunov-type inequality was obtained for differential equations depending on the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative; that is, for the boundary value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
  (_{a}D^{\alpha} u)(t) + \chi(t) u(t) &= 0, \quad a < t < b, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\
  u(a) &= u(b) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

where \( _{a}D^{\alpha} \) denotes the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order \( \alpha \). Precisely, the author proved that if (3) has a nontrivial solution, then we have

\[
\int_a^b |\chi(t)| \, dt > \Gamma(\alpha) \left( \frac{4}{b - a} \right)^{\alpha - 1}. \tag{4}
\]

Clearly, if we let \( \alpha = 2 \) in (4), one obtains Lyapunov’s classical inequality (2).

In [10], a Lyapunov-type inequality was obtained for the Caputo fractional boundary value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
  (^{C}_{a}D^{\alpha} u)(t) + \chi(t) u(t) &= 0, \quad a < t < b, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\
  u(a) &= u(b) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

where \( ^{C}_{a}D^{\alpha} \) is the Caputo fractional derivative of order \( \alpha \). It was proved that if (5) has a nontrivial solution, then we have

\[
\int_a^b |\chi(t)| \, dt > \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\alpha^\alpha}{[\alpha - 1](b - a)^{\alpha - 1}}. \tag{6}
\]

Similarly, if we let \( \alpha = 2 \) in (6), one obtains Lyapunov’s classical inequality (2).
Motivated by the above works, we consider in this paper a Caputo fractional differential equation under Robin boundary conditions. More precisely, we consider the boundary value problem

\[
\left( C^\alpha_a D^\alpha u \right)(t) + \chi(t) u(t) = 0, \quad a < t < b, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad (7)
\]

\[
u(a) - \nu'(a) = u(b) + u'(b) = 0,
\]

and we get a corresponding Lyapunov-type inequality. This result is then used to obtain a real interval where a linear combination of certain Mittag-Leffler functions has no (real) zeros.

Before presenting the main results, let us start by recalling the concepts of the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and the Caputo fractional derivative of order \( \alpha \geq 0 \). For more details, we refer to [11].

**Definition 1.** Let \( \alpha \geq 0 \) and let \( f \) be a real function defined on \([a, b] \). The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order \( \alpha \) is defined by \( (\mathcal{I}_a^\alpha f)(t) \equiv f \) and

\[
(\mathcal{I}_a^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) \, ds, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (8)
\]

**Definition 2.** The Caputo fractional derivative of order \( \alpha \geq 0 \) is defined by \( (\mathcal{C}^\alpha_a D^\alpha f)(t) \equiv f \) and \( (\mathcal{C}^\alpha_a D^\alpha f)(t) = (\mathcal{I}_a^{m-\alpha} D^m f)(t) \) for \( \alpha > 0 \), where \( m \) is the smallest integer greater than or equal to \( \alpha \).

The following result is standard within the fractional calculus theory involving the Caputo differential operator (see [7]).

**Lemma 3.** One has that \( u \in \mathcal{C}[a, b] \) is a solution to (7) if and only if

\[
u(t) = c_0 + c_1 (t-a) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \chi(s) u(s) \, ds, \quad (9)
\]

where \( c_0 \) and \( c_1 \) are some real constants.

Now, we are ready to state and prove our main results.

**2. Main Results**

2.1. A Lyapunov-Type Inequality. At first, we consider the following notations:

\[
y = b - a + 2,
\]

\[
h_1(t, s) = 1 + t - a - \frac{y(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{(b-s)^{\alpha-1} + (\alpha - 1)(b-s)^{\alpha-2}}, \quad (10)
\]

\[
h_2(t, s) = 1 + t - a.
\]

Now, let us write problem (7) in its equivalent integral form.

**Lemma 4.** One has that \( u \in \mathcal{C}[a, b] \) is a solution to (7) if and only if \( u \) satisfies the integral equation

\[
u(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s) \chi(s) u(s) \, ds, \quad (11)
\]

where

\[
G(t, s) = \frac{(b-s)^{\alpha-2}(b-s+\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} H(t, s), \quad (12)
\]

\[
H(t, s) = \begin{cases} h_1(t, s), & a \leq s \leq t \leq b, \\ h_2(t, s), & a \leq t \leq s \leq b. \end{cases} \quad (13)
\]

**Proof.** From Lemma 3, we have

\[
u(t) = c_0 + c_1 (t-a) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \chi(s) u(s) \, ds, \quad (14)
\]

where \( c_0 \) and \( c_1 \) are some real constants. Then,

\[
u'(t) = c_1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (\alpha - 1)(t-s)^{\alpha-2} \chi(s) u(s) \, ds. \quad (15)
\]

Since \( u(a) - u'(a) = 0 \), we obtain

\[
c_0 = c_1. \quad (16)
\]

From the boundary condition \( u(b) + u'(b) = 0 \), we get

\[
c_1 = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^b ((\alpha - 1)(b-s)^{\alpha-2} + (b-s)^{\alpha-1}) \chi(s) u(s) \, ds. \quad (17)
\]

Using (14), (16), and (17), we obtain the desired result. \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.** For all \( (t, s) \in [a, b] \times (a, b) \), one has

\[
|H(t, s)| \leq \max \left\{ 1 + b - a, \frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha - 1} (b-a) - 1 \right\}. \quad (18)
\]

**Proof.** It is easy to see that, for \( a \leq t \leq s \leq b \), we have

\[
0 \leq h_2(t, s) \leq 1 + b - a. \quad (19)
\]

On the other hand, for \( a \leq s < t \leq b \), we have

\[
\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial t}(t, s) = 1 - \frac{(\alpha - 1)y(t-s)^{\alpha-2}}{(b-s)^{\alpha-1} + (\alpha - 1)(b-s)^{\alpha-2}}. \quad (20)
\]

Hence,

\[
\lim_{t \to s^+} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial t}(t, s) \in [\alpha, 0]. \quad (21)
\]

Now, for fixed \( s \) in \((a, b)\), we want to study the variation of the function \( t \mapsto h_1(t, s) \) for \( t \) in \([s, b]\). First, we have

\[
\left. \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial t}(t, s) \right|_{t=s} = 1 - \frac{(\alpha - 1)y}{\alpha - 1 + b - s}. \quad (22)
\]

Let

\[
a^* = b + (1 - \gamma)(\alpha - 1). \quad (23)
\]

We distinguish two eventual cases according to the value of \( a^* \). \( \square \)
Case 1. If $a^* \leq a$, in this case, we have

$$\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial t}(t, s) \bigg|_{t=b} \leq 0, \quad s \in (a, b). \quad (24)$$

From (20), (21), and (24), we deduce

$$\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial t}(t, s) \leq 0, \quad s < t. \quad (25)$$

This yields

$$h_1(b, s) = 1 + b - a - \frac{\gamma (b - s)}{b - s + \alpha - 1} \leq h_1(t, s) \leq h_1(s, s) \leq 1 + b - a. \quad (26)$$

Observe that, in this case, we have

$$\frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \leq \frac{1}{b - a} \quad (27)$$

Using the above inequality, we obtain

$$(1 + b - a)(b - s) + (\alpha - 1)(1 + b - a) - \gamma (b - s)$$

$$= s - b + (\alpha - 1)(1 + b - a)$$

$$\geq (b - a)(\alpha - 2) + \alpha - 1$$

$$\geq 0,$$

which implies that

$$h_1(b, s) \geq 0. \quad (29)$$

From (26) and (29), we deduce

$$0 \leq h_1(t, s) \leq 1 + b - a. \quad (30)$$

Case 2. If $a < a^* \leq b$, in this case, we have two possibilities.

(i) If $a^* \leq s < b$, in this case, we have

$$\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial t}(t, s) \bigg|_{t=b} \leq 0. \quad (31)$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$h_1(b, s) \leq h_1(t, s) \leq h_1(s, s) \leq 1 + b - a. \quad (32)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(1 + b - a)(b - s + \alpha - 1) - \gamma (b - s)$$

$$= (1 + b - a - \gamma)(b - s) + (\alpha - 1)(1 + b - a)$$

$$= s - b + (\alpha - 1)(1 + b - a)$$

$$\geq (2 - \gamma + b - a)(\alpha - 1) \geq 0,$$

which implies that

$$h_1(b, s) \geq 0. \quad (34)$$

From (32) and (34), we deduce

$$0 \leq h_1(t, s) \leq 1 + b - a. \quad (35)$$

(ii) If $a < s < a^*$, in this case, we have

$$\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial t}(t, s) \bigg|_{t=a^*} > 0. \quad (36)$$

Hence, there would exist $t^* \in (s, b)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial t}(t, s) \bigg|_{t=t^*} = 0. \quad (37)$$

As mentioned above, it is easy to verify that $h_1(s, s) \geq 0$ and $h_1(b, s) \leq 0$. This yields

$$h_1(t^*, s) \leq h_1(b, s) \leq h_1(s, s) \leq \frac{r}{p} + b - a. \quad (38)$$

Then,

$$\left| h_1(t, s) \right| \leq \max \{-h_1(t^*, s), 1 + b - a\}. \quad (39)$$

Observe that $(\partial h_1/\partial t)(t, s) \big|_{t=t^*} = 0$ is equivalent to

$$(\alpha - 1)\gamma (t^* - s)^{\alpha - 2} = (b - s)^{\alpha - 1} + (\alpha - 1)(b - s)^{\alpha - 2}. \quad (40)$$

Therefore, we get

$$h_1(t^*, s) = \frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha - 1} t^* + \frac{s}{\alpha - 1} + 1 - a$$

$$\geq \frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha - 1} b + \frac{a}{\alpha - 1} + 1 - a$$

$$= (b - a) \frac{\alpha - 2}{\alpha - 1} + 1. \quad (41)$$

Finally, using the above inequality and (39), we obtain

$$\left| h_1(t, s) \right| \leq \max \left\{1 + b - a, \frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha - 1}(b - a) - 1\right\}, \quad (42)$$

which makes end to the proof.

Our first main result is as follows.

**Theorem 6.** If (7) admits a nontrivial continuous solution, then

$$\int_a^b (b - s)^{\alpha - 2}(b - s + \alpha - 1) \left| \chi (s) \right| ds$$

$$\geq \frac{\gamma \Gamma (\alpha)}{\max \left\{b - a + 1, \frac{(2 - \alpha)}{(\alpha - 1)}(b - a) - 1\right\}}. \quad (43)$$
Proof. Let $B = C[a, b]$ be the Banach space endowed with norm

$$
\|x\|_\infty = \max_{a \leq t \leq b} |x(t)|, \quad x \in B.
$$

(44)

From Lemma 4, for all $t \in [a, b]$, we have

$$
\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\gamma(t)} \times \int_a^b (b - s)^{\alpha - 2} (b - s + \alpha - 1) \chi(s) u(s) ds.
$$

(45)

Now, an application of Lemma 3 yields

$$
\|u\|_\infty \leq \|u\|_\infty \max \left\{ \frac{b - a + 1, (2 - \alpha) / (\alpha - 1) (b - a) - 1)}{\gamma(t)}, ((b - s)\chi(s)\|ds,
$$

(46)

from which the above theorem follows.

2.2. Nonexistence Result of Real Zeros for a Linear Combination of Certain Mittag-Leffler Functions. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ be fixed. The complex function

$$
E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha + k\beta)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

(47)

is analytic in the whole complex plane; it will be referred to as the Mittag-Leffler function with parameters $(\alpha, \beta)$.

At this stage, using the above Lyapunov-type inequality, we give an interval where a linear combination of Mittag-Leffler functions has no real zeros. More precisely, we prove

Theorem 7. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. Then $E_{\alpha,2}(z) + E_{\alpha,1}(z) + zE_{\alpha,0}(z)$ has no real zeros for

$$
z \in \left( -3\alpha^2(\alpha), 1 + \alpha \max \{2, ((2 - \alpha) / (\alpha - 1)) - 1\} \right).
$$

(48)

Proof. Let $(a, b) = (0, 1)$, and consider the fractional Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial D^\alpha} u \right)(t) + \lambda u(t) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
u(a) - \nu'(a) &= u(b) + \nu'(b) = 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(49)

The real values of $\lambda$, for which there exists a non-trivial solution to (49), are called eigenvalues of (49); and the corresponding solutions are called eigenfunctions.

As established in [15], the eigenvalues of (49) must be positive; moreover, the positive number $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of (49) if and only if

$$
E_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda) + E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda) - \lambda E_{\alpha,0}(-\lambda) = 0.
$$

(50)

Thanks to Theorem 6, if a positive real eigenvalue $\lambda$ of (49) exists, then

$$
\lambda \geq \frac{3\Gamma(\alpha)}{\max \{2, ((2 - \alpha) / (\alpha - 1)) - 1\}}.
$$

(51)

Hence,

$$
\lambda \left( \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha} \right) \geq \frac{3\Gamma(\alpha)}{\max \{2, ((2 - \alpha) / (\alpha - 1)) - 1\}},
$$

(52)

which concludes the proof. □
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