Research Article

On the Boundedness of Biparameter Littlewood-Paley g_{λ}^* -Function

Mingming Cao and Qingying Xue

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems,
Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Qingying Xue; qyxue@bnu.edu.cn

Received 5 September 2016; Accepted 19 October 2016

Academic Editor: Dashan Fan

Copyright © 2016 M. Cao and Q. Xue. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let $m, n \geq 1$ and let $g_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^*$ be the biparameter Littlewood-Paley g_{λ}^* -function defined by $g_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^*(f)(x) = (\iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}} (t_2/(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|))^{m\lambda_2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} (t_1/(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1|))^{n\lambda_1} \times |\theta_{t_1, t_2} f(y_1, y_2)|^2 (dy_1 dt_1/t_1^{n+1})(dy_2 dt_2/t_2^{m+1}))^{1/2}$, $\lambda_1 > 1$, $\lambda_2 > 1$, where $\theta_{t_1, t_2} f$ is a nonconvolution kernel defined on $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. In this paper we show that the biparameter Littlewood-Paley function $g_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^*$ is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$. This is done by means of probabilistic methods and by using a new averaging identity over good double Whitney regions.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background and Motivation. It is well known that g_{λ}^* -function originated in the work of Littlewood and Paley [1] in the 1930s. In 1961, Stein [2] introduced and studied the following higher dimensional ($n \geq 2$) Littlewood-Paley g_{λ}^* -function:

$$g_{\lambda}^*(f)(x) = \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \left(\frac{t}{t + |x - y|} \right)^{n\lambda} |\nabla P_t f(y, t)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n-1}} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

$$\lambda > 1,$$

where $P_t f(y, t) = p_t * f(y)$, $p_t(y) = t^{-n} p(y/t)$ denotes the Poisson kernel, and $\nabla = (\partial/\partial y_1, \dots, \partial/\partial y_n, \partial/\partial t)$. It plays important roles in harmonic analysis and other fields. It is easy to show that g_{λ}^* is an isometry on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. With much greater difficulty, it can be proved that, for any $1 < p < \infty$, $\|g_{\lambda}^*(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are equivalent norms [3]. Moreover, in [3], Stein also proved that if $\lambda > 2$ then g_{λ}^* is of weak type $(1, 1)$ and is of strong type (p, p) for $1 < p < \infty$. In 1970, as a replacement of weak $(1, 1)$ bounds for $1 < \lambda < 2$, Fefferman [4] considered the endpoint weak (p, p) estimates of g_{λ}^* -function when $p > 1$ and $\lambda = 2/p$.

Recently, Cao et al. [5] gave a characterization of two-weight norm inequalities for the classical g_{λ}^* -function. The first step of the proof is to reduce the case to good Whitney regions. In addition, the random dyadic grids and martingale differences decomposition are used. The core of the proof is the construction of stopping cubes, which is a modern and effective technique to deal with two-weight problems. The stopping cubes were first introduced to handle two-weight boundedness for Hilbert transform [6, 7]. Then the related consequences and applications were given, as demonstrated in [5, 8, 9]. Still, more recently, Cao and Xue [10] established a local Tb theorem for the nonhomogeneous Littlewood-Paley g_{λ}^* -function with nonconvolution type kernels and upper power bound measure μ . It was the first time to investigate g_{λ}^* -function in the simultaneous presence of three attributes: local, nonhomogeneous, and L^p -testing condition. It is important to note that the testing condition here is L^p type with $p \in (1, 2]$, which means that the averaging identity over good Whitney regions used in [5] is not suitable for the new setting $p \in (1, 2)$. Thus, some new methods and more complicated techniques are needed.

When it comes to the multiparameter harmonic analysis, there is a very large existing theory. In terms of singular integrals, it was initiated in the work of Fefferman and Stein [11] on biparameter singular integral operators and then

continued by many authors. In 2012, a dyadic representation theorem for biparameter singular integrals was presented by Martikainen [12]. As a consequence, a new version of the product space $T1$ theorem was established. In 2014, Hytönen and Martikainen [13] proved a nonhomogeneous version of $T1$ theorem for certain biparameter singular integral operators. Moreover, they discussed the related nonhomogeneous Journé's lemma and product BMO theory with more general type of measures. Still, in 2014, a class of biparameter kernels and related vertical square functions in the upper half-space were first introduced by Martikainen [14]. Using modern dyadic probabilistic techniques adapted to the biparameter situation, the author gave a criterion for the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ boundedness of these square functions. It is worth pointing out that the kernels are assumed to satisfy some estimates, including a natural size condition, a Hölder estimate and two symmetric mixed Hölder and size estimates, the mixed Carleson and size conditions, the mixed Carleson and Hölder estimates, and a biparameter Carleson condition. Moreover, it should be noted that the biparameter Carleson condition is necessary for the square function to be bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$.

Motivated by the above works, in this paper, we keep on studying the Littlewood-Paley g_λ^* -function but in biparameter setting. To state more clearly, we first introduce the definition of the biparameter Littlewood-Paley g_λ^* -function.

Definition 1. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 1$, for any $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$, and the biparameter Littlewood-Paley g_λ^* -function is defined by

$$g_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^*(f)(x) := \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \cdot \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \times \left| \theta_{t_1, t_2} f(y_1, y_2) \right|^2 \frac{dy_1 dt_1}{t_1^{n+1}} \frac{dy_2 dt_2}{t_2^{m+1}} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where $\theta_{t_1, t_2} f(y_1, y_2) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} K_{t_1, t_2}(y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) f(z_1, z_2) dz_1 dz_2$.

Under certain structural assumptions, we will prove the following $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ boundedness of $g_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^*$, in other words, the following inequality:

$$\|g_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^*(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}. \quad (3)$$

Compared to the biparameter vertical square function, the biparameter Littlewood-Paley g_λ^* -function is significantly much more difficult to be dealt with. Actually, in biparameter case, additional integrals make most of the corresponding estimates more complicated. We could not use the assumptions in [14] directly, since addition terms appear in Definition 1. In fact, we will use much more weaker conditions than the conditions used in [14] (see assumptions in the following subsection). Unlike the one-parameter case and two-weight case [5], the proof of biparameter g_λ^* -function does

not involve the stopping cubes and martingale differences decomposition. In fact, the decomposition associated with Haar function in $\mathbb{R}^n$ provides a foundation for our analysis. And modern techniques, including probabilistic methods and dyadic analysis, will be used efficiently again. They were first used by Martikainen [12] in the study of the biparameter Calderón-Zygmund integrals and later appeared in [14]. For more applications, one can refer to [13, 15].

1.2. Assumptions and Main Result. To state our main results, the natural framework is to give some appropriate assumptions. From now on, we always assume that $\alpha, \beta > 0$. We use, for minor convenience, ℓ^∞ metrics on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Assumption 1 (standard estimates). The kernel $K_{t_1, t_2} : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is assumed to satisfy the following estimates:

(1) Size condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_{t_1, t_2}(x, y)| \\ & \lesssim \frac{t_1^\alpha}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \frac{t_2^\beta}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|)^{m+\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(2) Hölder condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_{t_1, t_2}(x, y) - K_{t_1, t_2}(x, (y_1, y_2')) - K_{t_1, t_2}(x, (y_1', y_2)) \\ & + K_{t_1, t_2}(x, y')| \lesssim \frac{|y_1 - y_1'|^\alpha}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \\ & \cdot \frac{|y_2 - y_2'|^\beta}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|)^{m+\beta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

whenever $|y_1 - y_1'| < t_1/2$ and $|y_2 - y_2'| < t_2/2$.

(3) Mixed Hölder and size conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_{t_1, t_2}(x, y) - K_{t_1, t_2}(x, (y_1, y_2'))| \\ & \lesssim \frac{t_1^\alpha}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \frac{|y_2 - y_2'|^\beta}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|)^{m+\beta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

whenever $|y_2 - y_2'| < t_2/2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_{t_1, t_2}(x, y) - K_{t_1, t_2}(x, (y_1', y_2))| \\ & \lesssim \frac{|y_1 - y_1'|^\alpha}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \frac{t_2^\beta}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|)^{m+\beta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

whenever $|y_1 - y_1'| < t_1/2$.

Assumption 2 (Carleson condition $\times$ standard estimates). If $I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a cube with side length $\ell(I)$, we define the associated Carleson box by $\hat{I} = I \times (0, \ell(I))$. We assume the following conditions: for every cube $I \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $J \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, it holds the following:

(1) Combinations of Carleson and size conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\iint_{\hat{I}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_I K_{t_1, t_2}(x - y, z_1, z_2) dz_1 \right|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \Big)^{1/2} \\ & \leq |I|^{1/2} \frac{t_2^\beta}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\iint_{\hat{J}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left| \int_J K_{t_1, t_2}(x - y, z_1, z_2) dz_2 \right|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^n} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \Big)^{1/2} \\ & \leq |J|^{1/2} \frac{t_1^\alpha}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

(8)

(2) Combinations of Carleson and Hölder conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\iint_{\hat{I}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_I [K_{t_1, t_2}(x - y, z_1, z_2) - K_{t_1, t_2}(x - y, z_1, z'_2)] dz_1 \right|^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \cdot \frac{|z_2 - z'_2|^\beta}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}}, \end{aligned}$$

(9)

whenever $|z_2 - z'_2| < t_2/2$. And

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\iint_{\hat{J}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left| \int_J [K_{t_1, t_2}(x - y, z_1, z_2) - K_{t_1, t_2}(x - y, z'_1, z_2)] dz_2 \right|^2 \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^n} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \cdot \frac{|z_1 - z'_1|^\alpha}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

(10)

whenever $|z_1 - z'_1| < t_1/2$.

Assumption 3 (biparameter Carleson condition). Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_n \times \mathcal{D}_m$, where $\mathcal{D}_n$ is a dyadic grid in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{D}_m$ is a dyadic grid in $\mathbb{R}^m$. For $I \in \mathcal{D}_n$, let $W_I = I \times (\ell(I)/2, \ell(I))$ be the associated Whitney region. Denote $n_1 = n$, $n_2 = m$, and

$$\begin{aligned} C_{IJ}^{\mathcal{D}} &= \iint_{W_J} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2} \mathbf{1}(y_1, y_2)|^2 \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{t_i}{t_i + |x_i - y_i|} \right)^{n_i \lambda_i} \right] \frac{dy_1 dy_2}{t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2}} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We assume the following *biparameter Carleson condition*: for every $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_n \times \mathcal{D}_m$ it holds that

$$\sum_{\substack{I \times J \in \mathcal{D} \\ I \times J \subset \Omega}} C_{IJ}^{\mathcal{D}} \lesssim |\Omega| \quad (12)$$

for all sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ such that $|\Omega| < \infty$ and such that for every $x \in \Omega$ there exists $I \times J \in \mathcal{D}$ so that $x \in I \times J \subset \Omega$.

Now we state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 2$, $0 < \alpha \leq n(\lambda_1 - 2)/2$, and $0 < \beta \leq m(\lambda_2 - 2)/2$. Assume that the kernel K_{t_1, t_2} satisfies the Assumptions 1–3. Then it holds that

$$\|g_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^*(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}, \quad (13)$$

where the implied constant depends only on the assumptions.

Remark 3. In Section 6, we shall show that the biparameter Carleson condition is necessary for $g_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^*$ -function bound on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$. Moreover, Assumptions 2 and 3 are much weaker than the similar conditions used in [14], since here two terms (both less than one) were added and more integrals related to y_1 or y_2 were used in our assumptions.

2. The Probabilistic Reduction

In this section, our goal is to simplify the proof of the main result. First, we recall the definitions of random dyadic grids, good/bad cubes, Haar function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which can be found in [12, 16, 17].

2.1. Random Dyadic Grids. Let $\beta_n = \{\beta_n^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where $\beta_n^j \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Let $\mathcal{D}_n^0$ be the standard dyadic grids on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We define the new dyadic grid in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_n &= \{I + \beta_n; I \in \mathcal{D}_n^0\} \\ &:= \left\{ I + \sum_{j: 2^{-j} < \ell(I)} 2^{-j} \beta_n^j; I \in \mathcal{D}_n^0 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Similarly, we can define the dyadic grids $\mathcal{D}_m$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$. There is a natural product probability structure on $(\{0, 1\}^n)^\mathbb{Z}$ and $(\{0, 1\}^m)^\mathbb{Z}$. So we have independent random dyadic grids $\mathcal{D}_n$ and $\mathcal{D}_m$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$, respectively. Even if $n = m$ we need two independent grids.

2.2. Good and Bad Cubes. A cube $I \in \mathcal{D}_n$ is said to be *bad* if there exists a $J \in \mathcal{D}_n$ with $\ell(J) \geq 2^\ell \ell(I)$ such that $\text{dist}(I, \partial J) \leq \ell(I)^{\gamma_n} \ell(J)^{1-\gamma_n}$. Otherwise, I is called *good*. Here $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\gamma_n \in (0, 1/2)$ are given parameters. Denote $\pi_{\text{good}}^n = \mathbb{P}_{\beta_n}(I + \beta_n \text{ is good}) = \mathbb{E}_{\beta_n}(\mathbf{1}_{\text{good}}(I + \beta_n))$. Then π_{good}^n is independent of $I \in \mathcal{D}_n^0$, and the parameter r is a fixed constant so that $\pi_{\text{good}}^n, \pi_{\text{good}}^m > 0$.

Throughout this article, we take $\gamma_n = \alpha/(2(n + \alpha))$, where $\alpha > 0$ appears in the kernel estimates. Moreover, roughly speaking, a dyadic cube I will be bad if it is relatively close to the boundary of a much bigger dyadic cube. It is important to observe that the position and goodness of a cube $I \in \mathcal{D}_n^0$ are independent. Indeed, according to the definition, the spatial position of

$$I + \beta_n = I + \sum_{j: 2^{-j} < \ell(I)} 2^{-j} \beta_n^j \quad (15)$$

depends only on β_n^j for $2^{-j} < \ell(I)$. On the other hand, the relative position of $I + \beta_n$ with respect to a bigger cube

$$J + \beta_n = I + \sum_{j: 2^{-j} < \ell(I)} 2^{-j} \beta_n^j + \sum_{j: \ell(I) \leq 2^{-j} < \ell(J)} 2^{-j} \beta_n^j \quad (16)$$

depends only on β_n^j for $\ell(I) \leq 2^{-j} < \ell(J)$. Thus, the position and goodness of $I + \beta_n$ are independent.

2.3. Haar Functions. In order to decompose a function $f \in L^2$, we next recall the definition of the Haar function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let h_I be an L^2 normalized Haar function related to $I \in \mathcal{D}_n$, where $\mathcal{D}_n$ is a dyadic grid on $\mathbb{R}^n$. With this we mean that $h_I, I = I_1 \times \cdots \times I_n$, is one of the 2^n functions $h_I^\eta, \eta = \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \{0, 1\}^n$, defined by

$$h_I^\eta = h_{I_1}^{\eta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{I_n}^{\eta_n}, \quad (17)$$

where $h_{I_i}^0 = |I_i|^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_{I_i}$ and $h_{I_i}^1 = |I_i|^{-1/2} (\mathbf{1}_{I_{i,l}} - \mathbf{1}_{I_{i,r}})$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here $I_{i,l}$ and $I_{i,r}$ are the left and right halves of the interval I_i , respectively. If $\eta \neq 0$, the Haar function is cancellative: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_I^\eta = 0$. All the cancellative Haar functions form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we may thus write

$$a = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}_n} \sum_{\eta \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{0\}} \langle a, h_I^\eta \rangle h_I^\eta. \quad (18)$$

However, we suppress the finite η summation and just write $a = \sum_I \langle a, h_I \rangle h_I$. We may expand a function f defined in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ using the corresponding product basis:

$$f = \sum_{I, J} f_{IJ} h_{I \times J} := \sum_{I, J} \langle f, h_I \otimes h_J \rangle h_I \otimes h_J. \quad (19)$$

2.4. Averaging over Good Whitney Regions. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$. Let always $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and $J_1, J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_m$. Note that the position and goodness of $I + \beta_n$ are independent. Therefore, one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^*(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}^2 &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{m+1}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2} f(x - y)|^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \times \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi_{\text{good}}^n} \frac{1}{\pi_{\text{good}}^m} \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\beta_n, \beta_m} \sum_{I_2, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_{I_2}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2} f(x - y)|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} = \frac{1}{\pi_{\text{good}}^n} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{1}{\pi_{\text{good}}^m} \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\beta_n, \beta_m} \sum_{I_2, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_{I_2}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{I_1, J_1} f_{I_1 J_1} \theta_{t_1, t_2} h_{I_1 \times J_1}(x - y) \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Indeed, to get this equality, we only need to apply the similar argument to one-parameter case twice. For more details in

one-parameter setting, see [5]. Consequently, we are reduced to bound the sum

$$\mathcal{G} := \sum_{I_2, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_{I_2}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{I_1, J_1} f_{I_1 J_1} \theta_{t_1, t_2} h_{I_1 \times J_1} (x - y) \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}. \quad (21)$$

Furthermore, we can carry out the decomposition

$$\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{G}_{<, <} + \mathcal{G}_{<, \geq} + \mathcal{G}_{\geq, <} + \mathcal{G}_{\geq, \geq}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_{<, <} := \sum_{I_2, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_{I_2}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{\substack{I_1, J_1 \\ \ell(I_1) < \ell(I_2) \\ \ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)}} f_{I_1 J_1} \theta_{t_1, t_2} h_{I_1 \times J_1} (x - y) \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}, \quad (23)$$

and the others are completely similar.

Sequentially, it is enough to focus on estimating the four pieces: $\mathcal{G}_{<, <}$, $\mathcal{G}_{<, \geq}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\geq, <}$, and $\mathcal{G}_{\geq, \geq}$ in the following sections.

3. The Case: $\ell(I_1) < \ell(I_2)$ and $\ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)$

For the sake of convenience, we first present two key lemmas, which will be used later.

Lemma 4 (see [8, 14]). *Let*

$$A_{I_1 I_2} = \frac{\ell(I_1)^{\alpha/2} \ell(I_2)^{\alpha/2}}{D(I_1, I_2)^{n+\alpha}} |I_1|^{1/2} |I_2|^{1/2}, \quad (24)$$

where the long distance $D(I_1, I_2) = \ell(I_1) + \ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2)$, $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then for any $x_{I_1}, y_{I_2} \geq 0$, we have the following estimate:

$$\left(\sum_{I_1, I_2} A_{I_1 I_2} x_{I_1} y_{I_2} \right)^2 \lesssim \sum_{I_1} x_{I_1}^2 \times \sum_{I_2} y_{I_2}^2. \quad (25)$$

In particular, it holds that

$$\sum_{I_2} \left[\sum_{I_1} A_{I_1 I_2} x_{I_1} \right]^2 \lesssim \sum_{I_1} x_{I_1}^2. \quad (26)$$

Lemma 5. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq n(\lambda_1 - 2)/2$. For a given cube $I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_n$ and $(x_1, t_1) \in W_{I_2}$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{I_1} \frac{dz_1}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \right)^2 \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \right]^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim \frac{|I_1|}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n+\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Proof. Fixed $(x_1, t_1) \in W_{I_2}$. If $|y_1| \leq (1/2)d(I_1, I_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1| & \geq \ell(I_2) + |x_1 - z_1| - |y_1| \\ & \geq \ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{|y_1| \leq (1/2)d(I_1, I_2)} \left(\int_{I_1} \frac{dz_1}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \right)^2 \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \right]^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim \frac{|I_1|}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n+\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

If $|y_1| > (1/2)d(I_1, I_2)$, then

$$\left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{1}{t_1^n} \lesssim \frac{\ell(I_2)^{n\lambda_1 - n}}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n\lambda_1}}. \quad (30)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\int_{|y_1| > (1/2)d(I_1, I_2)} \left(\int_{I_1} \frac{dz_1}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \right)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \right]^{1/2} \\
& \leq \frac{\ell(I_2)^{n\lambda_1/2-n/2}}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n\lambda_1/2}} \|\psi_{t_1} * \mathbf{1}_{I_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
& \leq \frac{\ell(I_2)^{n\lambda_1/2-n/2}}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n\lambda_1/2}} \|\psi_{t_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} |I_1| \\
& \leq \frac{\ell(I_2)^{n\lambda_1/2-n-\alpha}}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n\lambda_1/2}} |I_1| \\
& \leq \frac{|I_1|}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n+\alpha}},
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where $\psi_{t_1}(z_1) = (t_1 + |z_1|)^{-n-\alpha}$ and we have used the condition $0 < \alpha \leq n(\lambda_1 - 2)/2$ in the last step. $\square$

Now we turn our attention to the estimate of $\mathcal{G}_{<, <}$. An easy consequence of the Hölder estimates of the kernel K_{t_1, t_2} is that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\theta_{t_1, t_2} h_{I_1 \times J_1}(x - y)| \\
& \leq |I_1|^{-1/2} \int_{I_1} \frac{\ell(I_1)^\alpha}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \\
& \quad \times |J_1|^{-1/2} \int_{J_1} \frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}} dz_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Moreover, by Lemma 5, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}(x, t) &:= \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2} h_{I_1 \times J_1}(x - y)|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq |I_1|^{-1/2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{I_1} \frac{\ell(I_1)^\alpha dz_1}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \right)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \right]^{1/2} \times |J_1|^{-1/2} \\
& \quad \cdot \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{J_1} \frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta dz_2}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}} \right)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \right]^{1/2} \\
& \leq \frac{\ell(I_1)^\alpha}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n+\alpha}} |I_1|^{1/2} \\
& \quad \cdot \frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta}{(\ell(J_2) + d(J_1, J_2))^{m+\beta}} |J_1|^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Since $\ell(I_1) < \ell(I_2)$ and $\ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)$, then we get

$$\mathcal{P}(x, t) \lesssim A_{I_1, I_2} |I_2|^{-1/2} \cdot A_{J_1, J_2} |J_2|^{-1/2}. \tag{34}$$

Therefore, from Minkowski's integral inequality and Lemma 4, it now follows that

$\mathcal{G}_{<, <}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lesssim \sum_{I_2, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_{I_2}} \left[\sum_{\substack{\ell(I_1) < \ell(I_2) \\ \ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)}} |f_{I_1, J_1}| \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2} h_{I_1 \times J_1}(x - y)|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \right)^{1/2} \right] \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \\
& \leq \sum_{J_2} \sum_{I_2} \left[\sum_{I_1} A_{I_1, I_2} \sum_{J_1} A_{J_1, J_2} |f_{I_1, J_1}| \right]^2 \leq \sum_{J_2} \sum_{I_1} \left[\sum_{J_1} A_{J_1, J_2} |f_{I_1, J_1}| \right]^2 \leq \sum_{I_1} \sum_{J_1} |f_{I_1, J_1}|^2 = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

4. The Case: $\ell(I_1) \geq \ell(I_2)$ and $\ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)$

In any case, we perform the splitting

$$\sum_{\ell(I_1) \geq \ell(I_2)} = \sum_{\substack{\ell(I_1) \geq \ell(I_2) \\ d(I_1, I_2) > \ell(I_2)^{\gamma_n} \ell(I_1)^{1-\gamma_n}}} + \sum_{d(I_1, I_2) \leq \ell(I_2)^{\gamma_n} \ell(I_1)^{1-\gamma_n}}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{\ell(I_2) \leq \ell(I_1) \leq 2^{\gamma'} \ell(I_2) \\ d(I_1, I_2) \leq \ell(I_2)^{\gamma_n} \ell(I_1)^{1-\gamma_n}}} .$$

(36)

These three parts are called separated, Nested, and adjacent, respectively. The term Nested makes sense, since the

summing conditions that I_2 is good actually imply that I_1 is the ancestor of I_2 . Thus, it holds

$$\mathcal{G}_{\geq, <} \leq \mathcal{G}_{\text{sep}, <} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{nes}, <} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{adj}, <}, \quad (37)$$

$\mathcal{G}_{\text{sep}, <}$

$$= \sum_{I_2, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_{I_2}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{\substack{I_1: \ell(I_1) \geq \ell(I_2) \\ d(I_1, I_2) > \ell(I_2)^{\gamma_n} \ell(I_1)^{1-\gamma_n}}} \sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(I_2)} f_{I_1 J_1} \times \theta_{t_1, t_2} h_{I_1 \times J_1}(x-y) \right|^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2},$$

$\mathcal{G}_{\text{nes}, <}$

$$= \sum_{I_2, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_{I_2}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{\substack{I_1: \ell(I_1) > 2^r \ell(I_2) \\ d(I_1, I_2) \leq \ell(I_2)^{\gamma_n} \ell(I_1)^{1-\gamma_n}}} \sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(I_2)} f_{I_1 J_1} \times \theta_{t_1, t_2} h_{I_1 \times J_1}(x-y) \right|^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}, \quad (38)$$

$\mathcal{G}_{\text{adj}, <}$

$$= \sum_{I_2, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_{I_2}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{\substack{I_1: \ell(I_2) \leq \ell(I_1) \leq 2^r \ell(I_2) \\ d(I_1, I_2) \leq \ell(I_2)^{\gamma_n} \ell(I_1)^{1-\gamma_n}}} \sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(I_2)} f_{I_1 J_1} \times \theta_{t_1, t_2} h_{I_1 \times J_1}(x-y) \right|^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}.$$

Now we are in position to estimate the above three terms, respectively.

4.1. Separated Part $\mathcal{G}_{\text{sep}, <}$. In this case, we note that the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{t_1^\alpha}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n+\alpha}} |I_1|^{1/2} \lesssim A_{I_1 I_2} |I_2|^{-1/2}. \quad (39)$$

Indeed, if $d(I_1, I_2) \geq \ell(I_1)$, then $D(I_1, I_2) \sim d(I_1, I_2)$. Therefore, we get

$$\frac{t_1^\alpha}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n+\alpha}} |I_1|^{1/2} \lesssim A_{I_1 I_2} |I_2|^{-1/2}. \quad (40)$$

If $d(I_1, I_2) < \ell(I_1)$, then $D(I_1, I_2) \sim \ell(I_1)$. Moreover, noticing that $\gamma_n(n+\alpha) = \alpha/2$ and $d(I_1, I_2) > \ell(I_2)^{\gamma_n} \ell(I_1)^{1-\gamma_n}$, one may conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t_1^\alpha}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n+\alpha}} |I_1|^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim \frac{\ell(I_1)^{\alpha/2} \ell(I_2)^{\alpha/2}}{\ell(I_1)^{n+\alpha}} |I_1|^{1/2} \lesssim A_{I_1 I_2} |I_2|^{-1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where

It is obvious that the mixed Hölder and size condition implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\theta_{t_1, t_2} h_{I_1 \times J_1}(x-y)| \\ & \lesssim |I_1|^{-1/2} \int_{I_1} \frac{t_1^\alpha}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \\ & \times |J_1|^{-1/2} \int_{J_1} \frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}} dz_2. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Thus, combining Lemma 5 with (39), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(x, t) & \lesssim |I_1|^{-1/2} \\ & \cdot \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{I_1} \frac{t_1^\alpha dz_1}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \right)^2 \right. \\ & \cdot \left. \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \right]^{1/2} \times |J_1|^{-1/2} \\ & \cdot \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{J_1} \frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta dz_2}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}} \right)^2 \right. \\ & \cdot \left. \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lesssim \frac{t_1^\alpha}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n+\alpha}} |I_1|^{1/2} \sim \frac{\ell(I_1)^{\alpha/2} \ell(I_2)^{\alpha/2}}{D(I_1, I_2)^{n+\alpha}}. \\
& \cdot \frac{\ell(I_1)^\beta}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{m+\beta}} |I_1|^{1/2} \\
& \lesssim \frac{\ell(I_2)^\alpha}{d(I_1, I_2)^{n+\alpha}} |I_1|^{1/2} A_{J_1 I_2} |J_2|^{-1/2} \lesssim A_{I_1 I_2} |I_2|^{-1/2} \\
& \cdot A_{J_1 I_2} |J_2|^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Consequently, by the similar argument as $\mathcal{G}_{<, <}$, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{sep}, <} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}^2. \tag{44}$$

4.2. Adjacent Part $\mathcal{G}_{\text{adj}, <}$. The summation conditions $\ell(I_2) \leq \ell(I_1) \leq 2^r \ell(I_2)$ and $d(I_1, I_2) \leq \ell(I_2)^{\gamma_n} \ell(I_1)^{1-\gamma_n}$ indicate that $\ell(I_1) \sim \ell(I_2) \sim D(I_1, I_2)$. Thus,

$$\frac{\ell(I_2)^\alpha}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n+\alpha}} |I_1|^{1/2} \lesssim \ell(I_2)^{-n}$$

It follows from (43) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}(x, t) & \lesssim \frac{\ell(I_2)^\alpha}{(\ell(I_2) + d(I_1, I_2))^{n+\alpha}} |I_1|^{1/2} \\
& \cdot A_{J_1 I_2} |J_2|^{-1/2} \\
& \lesssim A_{I_1 I_2} |I_2|^{-1/2} \cdot A_{J_1 I_2} |J_2|^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Therefore, exactly as we have seen before,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{adj}, <} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}^2. \tag{47}$$

4.3. Nested Part $\mathcal{G}_{\text{nes}, <}$. We use $I^{(k)} \in \mathcal{D}_n$ to denote the unique cube for which $\ell(I^{(k)}) = 2^k \ell(I)$ and $I \subset I^{(k)}$. We call $I^{(k)}$ as the k generation older dyadic ancestor of I . In this case, by the goodness of I_2 , it must actually have $I_2 \subsetneq I_1$. That is, I_1 is the ancestor of I_2 . This enables us to write

$\mathcal{G}_{\text{nes}, <}$

$$= \sum_{I, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(I_2)} f_{I^{(k)} J_1} \theta_{t_1, t_2} h_{I^{(k)} \times J_1}(x - y) \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n_{\lambda_1}} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m_{\lambda_2}} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}. \tag{48}$$

Introduce the notation

$$s_I^k = -\mathbf{1}_{(I^{(k-1)})^c} \langle h_{I^{(k)}} \rangle_{I^{(k-1)}} + \sum_{\substack{I' \in \text{ch}(I^{(k)}) \\ I' \neq I^{(k-1)}}} \mathbf{1}_{I'} h_{I^{(k)}}. \tag{49}$$

Then, it is easy to check that

$$h_{I^{(k)}} = s_I^k + \langle h_{I^{(k)}} \rangle_{I^{(k-1)}}, \tag{50}$$

$\text{supp } s_I^k \subset (I^{(k-1)})^c$, and $|s_I^k| \lesssim |I^{(k)}|^{-1/2}$.

Denote $f_{J_1} = \langle f, h_{J_1} \rangle$ so that $f_{J_1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(y_1, y_2) dy_2$, $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, we split

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{nes}, <} \lesssim \mathcal{G}_{\text{mod}, <} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{Car}, <}, \tag{51}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{\text{mod}, <} & = \sum_{I, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(I_2)} f_{I^{(k)} J_1} \theta_{t_1, t_2} (s_I^k \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y) \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n_{\lambda_1}} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m_{\lambda_2}} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}, \\
\mathcal{G}_{\text{Car}, <} & = \sum_{I, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(I_2)} f_{I^{(k)} J_1} \theta_{t_1, t_2} (\mathbf{1} \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y) \times \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \Delta_{I^{(k)}} f_{J_1} \rangle_{I^{(k-1)}} \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n_{\lambda_1}} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m_{\lambda_2}} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \\
& = \sum_{I, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(I_2)} \langle f_{J_1} \rangle_I \theta_{t_1, t_2} (\mathbf{1} \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y) \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n_{\lambda_1}} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m_{\lambda_2}} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

4.3.1. *Estimate of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{mod}, <}$.* We need the following lemma.

Lemma 6. *Let $0 < \beta \leq m(\lambda_2 - 2)/2$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Given cubes $I \in \mathcal{D}_n$, $J_1, J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_m$, $(x_1, t_1) \in W_I$, and $(x_2, t_2) \in W_{J_1}$, the following estimate holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(x, t) &:= \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2}(s_I^k \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \Big)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2^{-\alpha k/2} |I^{(k)}|^{-1/2} |J_1|^{1/2} \frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta}{(\ell(J_2) + d(J_1, J_2))^{m+\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Proof. By the mixed Hölder and size condition, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\theta_{t_1, t_2}(s_I^k \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y)| \\ &\leq |I^{(k)}|^{-1/2} \int_{(I^{(k-1)})^c} \frac{t_1^\alpha}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \\ &\quad \times |J_1|^{-1/2} \int_{J_1} \frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}} dz_2. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Proceeding as we did in (33), we only need to show

$$\mathcal{K} := \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{(I^{(k-1)})^c} \frac{t_1^\alpha dz_1}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \right]^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{-\alpha k/2}. \quad (55)$$

Indeed, if $k \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &\leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\ell(I)^\alpha dz_1}{(\ell(I) + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \Big]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\ell(I)^\alpha}{(\ell(I) + |x_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \lesssim \ell(I)^{-n} |I| \\ &\quad + \ell(I)^\alpha \int_{I^c} \frac{dz_1}{|z_1 - x_1|^{n+\alpha}} \lesssim 1 \sim 2^{-\alpha k/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

If $k > r$, we have by the goodness of I that

$$\begin{aligned} d(I, (I^{(k-1)})^c) &> \ell(I)^{\gamma_n} \ell(I^{(k-1)})^{1-\gamma_n} \\ &= 2^{(k-1)(1-\gamma_n)} \ell(I) \gtrsim 2^{k/2} \ell(I). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(I^{(k-1)})^c} \frac{\ell(I)^\alpha}{|z_1 - x_1|^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \\ &\leq \int_{B(x_1, d(I, (I^{(k-1)})^c))} \frac{\ell(I)^\alpha}{|z_1 - x_1|^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \\ &\leq \ell(I)^\alpha d(I, (I^{(k-1)})^c)^{-\alpha} \lesssim 2^{-\alpha k/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Given $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \{z_1 \in (I^{(k-1)})^c; |z_1 - x_1| \geq 2|y_1|\}, \\ E_2 &= \{z_1 \in (I^{(k-1)})^c; |z_1 - x_1| < 2|y_1|\}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Then, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &\leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{E_1} \frac{\ell(I)^\alpha dz_1}{(\ell(I) + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \Big]^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{E_2} \frac{\ell(I)^\alpha dz_1}{(\ell(I) + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \Big]^{1/2} := \mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(I) + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1| &> |z_1 - x_1| - |y_1| \geq \frac{1}{2} |z_1 - x_1|, \\ &\text{whenever } z_1 \in E_1. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

This yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_1 &\leq \int_{(I^{(k-1)})^c} \frac{\ell(I)^\alpha}{|z_1 - x_1|^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \right]^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{-\alpha k/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

As for $\mathcal{K}_2$, we by Young's inequality have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_2 &\leq \ell(I)^\alpha \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{E_2} \frac{\ell(I)^{n\lambda_1/2-n/2}}{(\ell(I) + |x_1 - z_1|)^{n\lambda_1/2}} \frac{1}{(t_1 + |y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \right)^2 dy_1 \right]^{1/2} \\
&\leq \ell(I)^\alpha \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{E_2} \frac{\ell(I)^{n/2+\alpha}}{(\ell(I) + |x_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \frac{1}{(t_1 + |y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \right)^2 dy_1 \right]^{1/2} = \ell(I)^{n/2+2\alpha} \|\xi * \eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq \ell(I)^{n/2+2\alpha} \|\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\eta\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \ell(I)^\alpha \int_{(I^{(k-1)})^c} \frac{\ell(I)^\alpha}{|z_1 - x_1|^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \lesssim 2^{-\alpha k/2},
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where

$$\eta(z_1) = \frac{\mathbf{1}_{E_2}(z_1)}{t_1 + |z_1 - x_1|^{n+\alpha}}. \tag{64}$$

$$\xi(z_1) = \frac{1}{t_1 + |z_1|^{n+\alpha}},$$

Accordingly, Minkowski's integral inequality and Lemma 6 give that

$\mathcal{G}_{\text{mod}, <}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{I, J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_I} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)} |f_{I^{(k)}J_1}| \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2}(s_I^k \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y)|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \\
&\leq \sum_I \sum_{J_2} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \iint_{W_I} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha k/2} \sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)} A_{J_1 J_2} |f_{I^{(k)}J_1}| |I^{(k)}|^{-1/2} |J_1|^{1/2} \right]^2 \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \\
&\leq \sum_I \sum_{J_2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha k/2} \left(\frac{|I|}{|I^{(k)}|} \sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)} A_{J_1 J_2} |f_{I^{(k)}J_1}| \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 \leq \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha k/4} \cdot 2^{-\alpha k/4} \left(\sum_I \frac{|I|}{|I^{(k)}|} \sum_{J_2} \left(\sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)} A_{J_1 J_2} |f_{I^{(k)}J_1}| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha k/2} \sum_I \frac{|I|}{|I^{(k)}|} \sum_{J_2} \left(\sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)} A_{J_1 J_2} |f_{I^{(k)}J_1}| \right)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha k/2} \sum_{Q/J_1} \frac{|f_{Q/J_1}|^2}{|Q|} \sum_{I: I^{(k)} \supset Q} |I| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

4.3.2. *Estimate of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{Car}, <}$.* We also need to use the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let $J_1, J_2 \in \mathcal{D}_m$ be cubes, and $(x_2, t_2) \in W_{J_2}$. Then the Carleson condition holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(x_2, t_2) &:= \sum_{I' \subset I} \iint_{W_{I'}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2}(\mathbf{1} \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y)|^2 \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{t_i}{t_i + |y_i|} \right)^{n\lambda_i} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \\
&\leq |I| \left(\frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta |J_1|^{1/2}}{(\ell(J_2) + d(J_1, J_2))^{m+\beta}} \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Proof. The first step is to split

$$\mathcal{R}(x_2, t_2) \leq \mathcal{R}_1(x_2, t_2) + \mathcal{R}_2(x_2, t_2), \tag{67}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_1(x_2, t_2) &= \iint_{\widehat{3I}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2}(\mathbf{1}_{3I} \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y)|^2 \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{t_i}{t_i + |y_i|} \right)^{n\lambda_i} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1}, \\
\mathcal{R}_2(x_2, t_2) &= \iint_{\widehat{I}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2}(\mathbf{1}_{(3I)^c} \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y)|^2 \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{t_i}{t_i + |y_i|} \right)^{n\lambda_i} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \\
&:= \iint_{\widehat{I}} H(x, t) \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

From combinations of Carleson and Hölder conditions and Lemma 5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_1(x_2, t_2) &\leq |J_1|^{-1} \\
&\cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \iint_{\widehat{3I}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{J_1} \int_{3I} [K_{t_1, t_2}(x - y, (z_1, z_2)) - K_{t_1, t_2}(x - y, (z_1, z_2 + c_{J_1}))] dz_1 dz_2 \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \\
&\cdot \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \leq |J_1|^{-1} \\
&\cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left[\int_{J_1} \left(\iint_{\widehat{3I}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{J_1} [K_{t_1, t_2}(x - y, (z_1, z_2)) - K_{t_1, t_2}(x - y, (z_1, z_2 + c_{J_1}))] dz_1 \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \right)^{1/2} dz_2 \right]^2 \quad (69) \\
&\cdot \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \leq |I| |J_1|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{J_1} \frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta dz_2}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \leq |I| \\
&\cdot \left(\frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta |J_1|^{1/2}}{(\ell(J_2) + d(J_1, J_2))^{m+\beta}} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The mixed Hölder and size estimate gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\theta_{t_1, t_2}(\mathbf{1}_{(3I)^c} \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y)| \\
&\leq |J_1|^{-1/2} \int_{(3I)^c} \frac{t_1^\alpha}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \quad (70) \\
&\times \int_{J_1} \frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}} dz_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, collecting the estimates of Lemma 5 and (55), one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
H(x, t) &\leq |J_1|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{(3I)^c} \frac{t_1^\alpha dz_1}{(t_1 + |x_1 - y_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \right)^2 \\
&\cdot \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \\
&\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{J_1} \frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta dz_2}{(t_2 + |x_2 - y_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}} \right)^2 \\
&\cdot \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \leq t_1^{2\alpha} \ell(I)^{-2\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot \left(\frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta |J_1|^{1/2}}{(\ell(J_2) + d(J_1, J_2))^{m+\beta}} \right)^2. \quad (71)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_2(x_2, t_2) &= \iint_{\widehat{I}} H(x, t) \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \leq |I| \ell(I)^{-2\alpha} \\
&\cdot \int_0^{\ell(I)} t_1^{2\alpha-1} dt_1 \cdot \left(\frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta |J_1|^{1/2}}{(\ell(J_2) + d(J_1, J_2))^{m+\beta}} \right)^2 \leq |I| \quad (72) \\
&\cdot \left(\frac{\ell(J_1)^\beta |J_1|^{1/2}}{(\ell(J_2) + d(J_1, J_2))^{m+\beta}} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we finish the proof of Lemma 7. $\square$

Now we bound $\mathcal{G}_{\text{Car}, <}$. If $\ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)$, then we have

$$\mathcal{R}(x_2, t_2) \leq |I| (A_{J_1, J_2} |J_2|^{-1/2})^2. \quad (73)$$

Therefore, we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{\text{Car}, <} &= \sum_{J_2: \text{good}} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \sum_{I: \text{good}} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)} \langle f_{J_1} \rangle_I \theta_{t_1, t_2}(\mathbf{1} \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y) \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \\
&\leq \sum_{J_2} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \sum_I \left[\sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)} \left(\iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\langle f_{J_1} \rangle_I \theta_{t_1, t_2}(\mathbf{1} \otimes h_{J_1})(x - y)|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{J_2} \iint_{W_{J_2}} \left[\sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)} \left(\sum_I |\langle f_{J_1} \rangle_I|^2 \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2}(\mathbf{1} \otimes h_{J_1})(x-y)|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \\
&\leq \sum_{J_2} \left[\sum_{J_1: \ell(J_1) < \ell(J_2)} A_{J_1 J_2} \|f_{J_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]^2 \leq \sum_{J_1} \|f_{J_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

So far, we have completed the estimate of $\mathcal{G}_{\geq, <}$.

As for the term $\mathcal{G}_{\geq, \geq}$, it is completely symmetric with the term $\mathcal{G}_{\geq, <}$. It is worth noting that the mixed Hölder and size estimate and the combination of Carleson and Hölder estimate are symmetric, respectively. Thus the estimate for $\mathcal{G}_{\geq, \geq}$ is also true and we here omit its proof.

5. The Case: $\ell(I_1) \geq \ell(I_2)$ and $\ell(J_1) \geq \ell(J_2)$

Similar to what we have done before, the summation $\ell(I_1) \geq \ell(I_2)$ was decomposed into the separated, Nested, and adjacent terms. A similar splitting in the summation $\ell(J_1) \geq \ell(J_2)$ is also performed. This splits the whole summation into nine parts as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{\geq, \geq} &\leq \mathcal{G}_{\text{sep, sep}} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{sep, nes}} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{sep, adj}} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{nes, sep}} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{G}_{\text{nes, nes}} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{nes, adj}} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{adj, sep}} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{adj, nes}} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{G}_{\text{adj, adj}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

5.1. Nested/Nested: $\mathcal{G}_{\text{nes, nes}}$. We begin with the term $\mathcal{G}_{\text{nes, nes}}$, where the new biparameter phenomena will appear. Noting that although this is only one of the many cases one needs to discuss in order to obtain a full estimate for $\mathcal{G}_{\geq, \geq}$ term all the main difficulties in other cases are in fact already embedded in Nested/Nested. The fact will become more and more clear throughout the proof. Similarly, for the singular integral operators including biparameter and multiparameter cases, the Nested part is also the most difficult one. Because it involves in some paraproduct estimates and all the BMO type estimates.

The decomposition of $h_{I^{(k)}}$ in (50) gives that

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{nes, nes}} \leq \mathcal{G}_{\text{mod, mod}} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{Car, Car}} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{mod, Car}} + \mathcal{G}_{\text{Car, mod}}, \tag{76}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{G}_{\text{mod, mod}} \\
&= \sum_{I, J: \text{good}} \iint_{W_J} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{I^{(k)}} \theta_{t_1, t_2} (s_I^k \otimes s_J^i) (x-y) \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}, \\
&\mathcal{G}_{\text{mod, Car}} \\
&= \sum_{I, J: \text{good}} \iint_{W_J} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{I^{(k)}} \rangle_J \theta_{t_1, t_2} (s_I^k \otimes \mathbf{1}) (x-y) \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}, \\
&\mathcal{G}_{\text{Car, mod}} \\
&= \sum_{I, J: \text{good}} \iint_{W_J} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{J^{(\ell)}} \rangle_I \theta_{t_1, t_2} (\mathbf{1} \otimes s_J^\ell) (x-y) \right|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}, \\
&\mathcal{G}_{\text{Car, Car}} = \sum_{I, J: \text{good}} |\langle f \rangle_{I \times J}|^2 \iint_{W_J} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2}(\mathbf{1})(x-y)|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

5.1.1. Estimate of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{mod, mod}}$. We proceed using the standard argument as in Lemma 6. The size condition and (55) lead to the bound

$$\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2} (s_I^k \otimes s_J^i) (x-y)|^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\cdot \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \Big)^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2^{-\alpha k/2} |I^{(k)}|^{-1/2} \cdot 2^{-\beta i} |J^{(i)}|^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

(78)

It is similar to estimate $\mathcal{G}_{\text{mod}, <}$ to analyze $\mathcal{G}_{\text{mod}, \text{mod}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\text{mod}, \text{mod}} &\leq \sum_{k,i} 2^{-\alpha k/2} 2^{-\beta i} \sum_{Q,R} |f_{QR}|^2 \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{I: I^{(k)}=Q} |I| \cdot \frac{1}{|R|} \sum_{J: J^{(i)}=R} |J| \quad (79) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

5.1.2. Estimate of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{Car}, \text{Car}}$. Applying the biparameter Carleson condition, it immediately yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\text{Car}, \text{Car}} &= \sum_{I,J} |\langle f \rangle_{I \times J}|^2 C_{IJ}^{\mathcal{D}} = 2 \int_0^\infty \sum_{I,J} C_{IJ}^{\mathcal{D}} t \, dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \sum_{I \times J \subset \{M_{\mathcal{D}} f > t\}} C_{IJ}^{\mathcal{D}} t \, dt \quad (80) \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty |\{M_{\mathcal{D}} f > t\}| t \, dt \leq \|M_{\mathcal{D}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}^2 \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{G}_{\text{Car}, \text{mod}}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{J: \text{good}} \iint_{W_J} \sum_I \left[\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\langle f_{J^{(i)}} \rangle_I| \left(\iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2}(\mathbf{1} \otimes s_J^i)(x-y)|^2 \times \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \right)^{1/2} \right]^2 \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \quad (82) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty 2^{-\beta i/2} \sum_R \|f_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \frac{1}{|R|} \sum_{J: J^{(i)}=R} |I| \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

5.2. The Rest of Terms. As for the estimates of the remaining terms, they are simply combinations of the techniques we have used above. Thereby, we here only present certain key points.

When reviewing the above proof, one will realize that the central part is to dominate $\mathcal{P}(x, t)$, $\mathcal{Q}(x, t)$, and $\mathcal{R}(x_2, t_2)$. So do the rest of terms. Moreover, the initial estimates of $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{Q}$, and $\mathcal{R}$ are retained in the inequality (33) and Lemmas 6 and 7, respectively. They do not involve the relationship of side length of cubes I_1 , I_2 , J_1 , and J_2 . Thus, based on the inequality (33) and Lemmas 6 and 7, one only needs to add the corresponding the relationship of side length.

Consequently, using the size condition or the mixed Hölder and size condition, it yields the bounds for $\mathcal{G}_{\text{sep}, \text{sep}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\text{sep}, \text{adj}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\text{adj}, \text{adj}}$, and $\mathcal{G}_{\text{adj}, \text{sep}}$ directly. Finally, for the terms $\mathcal{G}_{\text{nes}, \text{sep}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\text{nes}, \text{adj}}$, nes is split into mod and Car. Applying the size condition and the combinations of Carleson and size estimate, we will bound them. The terms $\mathcal{G}_{\text{sep}, \text{nes}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\text{adj}, \text{nes}}$ are symmetric with respect to them, respectively.

where in the last step we have used the L^p ($1 < p < \infty$) boundedness of the strong maximal function associated with rectangles.

5.1.3. Estimate of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{Car}, \text{mod}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\text{mod}, \text{Car}}$

Lemma 8. Let $J \in \mathcal{D}_{m, \text{good}}$, $(x_2, t_2) \in W_{J_2}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Then the Carleson condition is satisfied as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{I' \subset I} \iint_{W_{I'}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2}(\mathbf{1} \otimes s_J^i)(x-y)|^2 \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \\ &\leq 2^{-\beta i} |I| \cdot |J^{(i)}|^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma 8 is similar to Lemma 7. The size condition and mixed Carleson and size estimate are used. In addition, the inequality (55) is used twice. $\square$

Therefore, $\mathcal{G}_{\text{Car}, \text{mod}}$ is bounded as below.

6. The Necessity of Biparameter Carleson Condition

We here show that the biparameter Carleson condition is necessary for $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^*$ -function bound on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$.

Suppose that $\theta_{t_1, t_2} = \theta_{t_1}^n \otimes \theta_{t_2}^m$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$, where $\theta_{t_1}^n$ has a kernel $s_{t_1}^n(x_1, y_1)$ and $\theta_{t_2}^m$ has a kernel $s_{t_2}^m(x_2, y_2)$, $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $t_1, t_2 > 0$. We assume that these satisfy the size condition and the corresponding L^2 bounds in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$. We shall show that the biparameter Carleson condition (12) holds.

Define $\tilde{\Omega} = \{M_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega} > 1/2\}$ and $\hat{\Omega} = \{M \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\Omega}} > c\}$ for a small enough dimensional constant $c = c(n, m)$, where $M_{\mathcal{D}}$ denotes the strong maximal function related to the grid $\mathcal{D}$ and M denotes the strong maximal function. From the endpoint estimates for M and $M_{\mathcal{D}}$, it follows that $|\hat{\Omega}| \leq |\tilde{\Omega}| \leq |\Omega|$. Hence, it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{\substack{I \times J \in \mathcal{D} \\ I \times J \subset \Omega}} \iint_{W_J} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2} \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\Omega}^c}(y_1, y_2)|^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \times \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \leq |\Omega|. \quad (83)$$

For every $J \in \mathcal{D}_m$ we let $\mathcal{F}_J$ consist of the maximal $F \in \mathcal{D}_n$ for which $F \times J \subset \widehat{\Omega}$. Then we define $F_J := \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_J} 2F$. Moreover, for fixed $I \in \mathcal{D}_n$, let $\mathcal{G}_I$ be the family of the

maximal $G \in \mathcal{D}_m$, for which $I \times G \subset \Omega$, and $I_G \in \mathcal{D}_n$ be the maximal cube for which $I_G \supset I$ and $I_G \times G \subset \widehat{\Omega}$. So, we only need to show the following inequalities:

$\mathcal{G}_1$

$$= \sum_{\substack{I \times J \in \mathcal{D} \\ I \times J \subset \Omega}} \iint_{W_J} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2} (\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\Omega}^c} \mathbf{1}_{F_J})(y_1, y_2)|^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \times \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \quad (84)$$

$$:= \sum_J \iint_{W_J} \mathcal{G}_J(x_2, t_2) \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \leq |\Omega|,$$

$\mathcal{G}_2$

$$= \sum_{\substack{I \times J \in \mathcal{D} \\ I \times J \subset \Omega}} \iint_{W_J} \iint_{W_I} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2} (\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\Omega}^c} \mathbf{1}_{F_J})(y_1, y_2)|^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \times \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \quad (85)$$

$$:= \sum_I \iint_{W_I} \mathcal{G}_I(x_1, t_1) \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \leq |\Omega|.$$

To attain the goal, we need to first estimate $\mathcal{G}_J(x_2, t_2)$ and $\mathcal{G}_I(x_1, t_1)$. Actually, Minkowski's integral inequality and size estimate yield that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{G}_J(x_2, t_2) \\ & \leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |K_{t_2}^m(y_2, z_2)|^2 |\theta_{t_1, t_2} (\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\Omega}^c} \mathbf{1}_{F_J})(y_1, y_2)|^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \times \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \right)^{1/2} dz_2 \right]^2 \\ & \leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\frac{t_2^\beta}{(t_2 + |y_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \right)^{1/2} \times \|\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\Omega}^c}(\cdot, z_2) \mathbf{1}_{F_J}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dz_2 \right]^2 \\ & \leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\ell(J)^\beta}{(\ell(J) + |x_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}} \|\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\Omega}^c}(\cdot, z_2) \mathbf{1}_{F_J}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dz_2 \right]^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\ell(J)^\beta}{(\ell(J) + |x_2 - z_2|)^{m+\beta}} \|\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\Omega}^c}(\cdot, z_2) \mathbf{1}_{F_J}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dz_2 \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\ell(J)^\beta}{|z_2 - c_J|^{m+\beta}} \|\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\Omega}^c}(\cdot, z_2) \mathbf{1}_{F_J}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dz_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathbf{1}_{F_J}(z_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\ell(J)^\beta}{|z_2 - c_J|^{m+\beta}} \mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\Omega}^c}(z_1, z_2) dz_2 dz_1. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

Similarly, we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}_I(x_1, t_1) \\
&= \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_I} \sum_{J: J \subset G} \iint_{W_J} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |\theta_{t_1, t_2}(\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{\Omega}^c} \mathbf{1}_{F_J})(y_1, y_2)|^2 \left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + |x_1 - y_1|} \right)^{n\lambda_1} \times \left(\frac{t_2}{t_2 + |x_2 - y_2|} \right)^{m\lambda_2} \frac{dy_1}{t_1^n} \frac{dy_2}{t_2^m} \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \\
&\leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\ell(I)^\alpha}{(\ell(I) + |x_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \left(\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_I} \mathbf{1}_{(2I_G)^c}(z_1) |G| \right)^{1/2} dz_1 \right]^2 \leq \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_I} |G| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\ell(I)^\alpha}{(\ell(I) + |x_1 - z_1|)^{n+\alpha}} \mathbf{1}_{(2I_G)^c}(z_1) dz_1 \quad (87) \\
&\leq \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_I} |G| \int_{I_G^c} \frac{\ell(I)^\alpha}{|z_1 - c_{I_G}|^{n+\alpha}} dz_1 \leq \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}_I} |G| \left(\frac{\ell(I)}{\ell(I_G)} \right)^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining calculation is a routine application of the idea of [14]. We here omit the details. Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_1 &= \sum_J \iint_{W_J} \mathcal{G}_J(x_2, t_2) \frac{dx_2 dt_2}{t_2} \lesssim |\Omega|, \\
\mathcal{G}_2 &= \sum_I \iint_{W_I} \mathcal{G}_I(x_1, t_1) \frac{dx_1 dt_1}{t_1} \lesssim |\Omega|.
\end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

Thus, we have proved the necessity.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

The second author was supported partly by NSFC (nos. 11471041 and 11671039), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (no. 2014KJJCA10), and NCET-13-0065.

References

- [1] J. Littlewood and R. Paley, "Theorems on Fourier series and power series, II," *Proceedings London Mathematical Society*, vol. 42, pp. 52–89, 1936.
- [2] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 1970.
- [3] E. M. Stein, "On some functions of Littlewood-Paley and Zygmund," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 67, pp. 99–101, 1961.
- [4] C. Fefferman, "Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 124, pp. 9–36, 1970.
- [5] M. Cao, K. Li, and Q. Xue, "A characterization of two weight norm inequality for Littlewood-Paley $\mathbf{g}_\lambda^*$ -function," <http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.07850>.
- [6] M. T. Lacey, "The two weight inequality for the Hilbert transform: a primer," <https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.5004>.
- [7] M. T. Lacey, "Two-weight inequality for the Hilbert transform: a real variable characterization, II," *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 163, no. 15, pp. 2821–2840, 2014.
- [8] M. T. Lacey and K. Li, "Two weight norm inequalities for g function," *Mathematical Research Letters*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 521–536, 2014.
- [9] M. T. Lacey, E. T. Sawyer, C.-Y. Shen, and I. Uriarte-Tuero, "Two-weight inequality for the Hilbert transform: a real variable characterization, I," *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 163, no. 15, pp. 2795–2820, 2014.
- [10] M. Cao and Q. Xue, "A non-homogeneous local Tb theorem for Littlewood-Paley $\mathbf{g}_\lambda^*$ -function with Lp-testing condition," <https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.05291>.
- [11] R. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, "Singular integrals on product spaces," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 117–143, 1982.
- [12] H. Martikainen, "Representation of bi-parameter singular integrals by dyadic operators," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 229, no. 3, pp. 1734–1761, 2012.
- [13] T. Hytönen and H. Martikainen, "Non-homogeneous T1 theorem for bi-parameter singular integrals," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 261, pp. 220–273, 2014.
- [14] H. Martikainen, "Boundedness of a class of bi-parameter square functions in the upper half-space," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 267, no. 10, pp. 3580–3597, 2014.
- [15] Y. Ou, "A T(b) theorem on product spaces," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 367, no. 9, pp. 6159–6197, 2015.
- [16] T. P. Hytönen, "The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón-Zygmund operators," *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 175, no. 3, pp. 1473–1506, 2012.
- [17] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, and A. Volberg, "The Tb-theorem on non-homogeneous spaces," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 190, no. 2, pp. 151–239, 2003.

Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>