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We discuss existence, uniqueness, and Hyers-Ulam stability of solutions for coupled nonlinear fractional order differential equations (FODEs) with boundary conditions. Using generalized metric space, we obtain some relaxed conditions for uniqueness of positive solutions for the mentioned problem by using Perov’s fixed point theorem. Moreover, necessary and sufficient conditions are obtained for existence of at least one solution by Leray-Schauder-type fixed point theorem. Further, we also develop some conditions for Hyers-Ulam stability. To demonstrate our main result, we provide a proper example.

1. Introduction

In last few decades, FODEs become area of interest for the researcher because of high quality accuracy and usability in various fields of science and technology. A lot of physical and natural phenomena can be modeled through FODEs which provide better result than integer order differential equations. Due to this, FODEs are regarded as a special tool for molding. Numerous applications of FODEs can be studied in various disciplines like chemical technology, viscoelasticity, industrial robotics, mathematical economy, turbulent filtration in porous media, fractals theory, ecology, economics, plasma physics, metallurgy, electromagnetic theory, biology, signal and image processing, control theory, electric technology, chemical reaction design, potential theory, radio physics, aerodynamics, pharmacokinetics, and so on; further details are available in literature [1–7]. In last few decades, the existence theory has been given great attention by the researchers. In the concerned theory, they studied existence, uniqueness, and multiplicity of solutions by using different techniques of nonlinear analysis. Therefore, theory on existence and uniqueness of solutions to nonlinear FODEs has been explored very well; see [8–12]. Systems of FODEs have been considered in large numbers of research articles, because most of physical, biological, and chemical phenomena can be modeled in the form of systems of FODEs. For example, Su [13] studied existence of solutions for coupled system of fractional differential equations with two-point boundary value problems given as

\[ D_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mu_1 (z) = \mathcal{F}_1 (z, \mu_2 (z), D_{\theta_2}^{\theta_1} \mu_2 (z)), \]

\[ D_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mu_1 (z) = \mathcal{F}_2 (z, \mu_1 (z), D_{\theta_2}^{\theta_1} \mu_1 (z)), \]

(1)

\[ 0 < z < 1, \]

\[ \mu_1 (0) = \mu_1 (1) = \mu_2 (0) = \mu_2 (1) = 0, \]

where \( D_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2}, D_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2}, \) and \( D_{\theta_2}^{\theta_1} \) denote Riemann-Liouville derivatives, \( 1 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < 2, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \) are continuous functions, and \( \mu, \nu \) satisfy \( \theta_1 - \zeta \) and \( \theta_2 - \nu \geq 1 \). Wang et al. [14] investigate existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to a coupled system of
fractional differential equations with three-point boundary conditions. The corresponding problem is given as follows:
\[ D^\theta_1 \mu_1 (z) = \mathcal{G}_1 (z, \mu_1 (z), \mu_2 (z)), \]
\[ D^\theta_2 \mu_2 (z) = \mathcal{G}_2 (z, \mu_1 (z), \mu_2 (z)), \]
\[ 0 < z < 1, \]
\[ \mu_1 (0) = 0, \]
\[ \mu_2 (0) = 0, \]
\[ \mu_1 (1) = a \mu_1 (\eta), \]
\[ \mu_2 (1) = b \mu_2 (\eta), \]
where functions \( \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2 : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) are continuous, \( 1 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq 2, \ 0 \leq a, b \leq 1, \ 0 < \eta < 1, \) and \( D^\theta_1, D^\theta_2 \) represent Riemann-Liouville derivatives. Liu et al. [15] studied existence of positive solutions to a coupled system of nonlinear FODEs with integral boundary conditions. The considered problem is given in the following sequel:
\[ D^\theta_1 \mu_1 (z) = \mathcal{G}_1 (z, \mu_1 (z), \mu_2 (z)), \]
\[ D^\theta_2 \mu_2 (z) = \mathcal{G}_2 (z, \mu_1 (z), \mu_2 (z)), \]
\[ 0 < z < 1, \]
\[ \mu_1 (0) = 0, \]
\[ \mu_2 (0) = 0, \]
\[ \mu_1 (1) = \int_0^1 \phi (z) \mu_1 (z) \, dz, \]
\[ \mu_2 (1) = \int_0^1 \phi (z) \mu_2 (z) \, dz, \]
where \( D^\theta_1, D^\theta_2 \) are in sense of Riemann-Liouville derivatives, \( \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2 : (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty) \), and \( \phi, \psi \in L^1 (0, 1) \) are nonlocal functions. The existence and uniqueness of solutions of FODEs are an active area of research for the last few decades. For some remarkable work, we refer the reader to [8, 9, 13, 16–20].

Another qualitative aspect which is very important from the numerical and optimization point of view is devoted to stability analysis of FODEs. The stability of fractional differential equations has gained great attention from researchers very recently. Different kinds of stability include exponential, Mittag-Leffler, and Lyapunov stabilities; see [21–23]. One of the most relaxed methods for stability for functional equations was introduced by Ulam [24] and Hyers [25] which is known as Hyers-Ulam stability. The aforesaid stability has been very well investigated for ordinary differential and integral equations as well as functional equations; see [26–29]. But for FODEs, the concerned stability is not properly investigated. Very few papers can be found in literature in which some initial and boundary value problems of FODEs have been considered; see [7, 23, 30–32].

Motivated by the aforementioned contributions of researchers, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions for coupled system of nonlinear FODEs with boundary conditions involving fractional integral and derivative. Further, we also investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability for the proposed problem designed by
\[ D^\theta_1 \mu_1 (z) = \mathcal{G}_1 (z, \mu_1 (z), \mu_2 (z)), \]
\[ 0 < z < 1, \]
\[ D^\theta_2 \mu_2 (z) = \mathcal{G}_2 (z, \mu_1 (z), \mu_2 (z)), \]
\[ 0 < z < 1, \]
\[ \mu_1 (0) = \mu_1 (0), \mu_1 (0) = \mu_1 (0), \cdots, \mu_1 (0) = \mu_1 (1) = 0, \]
\[ \mu_2 (0) = \mu_2 (0), \mu_2 (0) = \mu_2 (0), \cdots, \mu_2 (0) = \mu_2 (1) = 0, \]
where the derivative \( D \) is in sense of Riemann-Liouville, \( n - 1 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq n, n \geq 2, \) and the functions \( \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2 : I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) are continuous. We use Perov’s fixed point theorem [33] and Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem to develop some results for existence of at least one solution for our proposed coupled nonlinear FODEs with boundary conditions. Further, we establish some conditions for Hyers-Ulam type stability to the considered problem. The whole analysis is then demonstrated by providing a proper example.

2. Preliminaries

Here we provide some results and definitions for our proposed coupled nonlinear FODEs with boundary conditions from literature [1–3].

**Definition 1.** The fractional integral of order \( \theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) of a function \( \mathcal{G} : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) is defined by
\[ I^{\theta_1} \mathcal{G} (z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma (\theta_1)} \int_0^z (z - h)^{\theta_1 - 1} \mathcal{G} (h) \, dh, \]
provided that the integral on right is converging.

**Definition 2.** The Riemann-Liouville fractional order derivative of a function \( \mathcal{G} : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) is defined by
\[ D^{\theta_1} \mathcal{G} (z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma (n - \theta_1)} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \int_0^z (z - h)^{n-\theta_1-1} \mathcal{G} (h) \, dh, \]
where \( n = [\theta_1] + 1 \) and \( [\theta_1] \) represents the integer part of \( \theta_1 \), provided that the right side is pointwise defined on \( (0, \infty) \).

**Lemma 3.** The following result holds for fractional derivative and integral:
\[ I^{\theta_i} D^{\theta_i} \mathcal{G} (z) = \mathcal{G} (z) + c_1 z^{\theta_1-1} + c_2 z^{\theta_1-2} + c_3 z^{\theta_1-3} + \cdots + c_n z^{\theta_1-n}, \]
for arbitrary \( c_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \)
Lemma 4 (see [20]). Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Banach space with $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ closed and convex. Let $\delta$ be a relatively open subset of $\mathcal{P}$ with $0 \in \delta$ and $\mathcal{T} : \delta \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be a continuous and compact (completely continuous) mapping. Then either

(1) the mapping $\mathcal{T}$ has a fixed point in $\delta$ or

(2) there exist $\mu_1 \in \partial \delta$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ with $\lambda = \lambda \mathcal{T} \mu_1$.

Definition 5. For a nonempty set $Z$, a mapping $d : Z \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a generalized metric on $Z$ if the following conditions hold:

$$(M_1) \quad d(\mu_1, \mu_2) = 0 \iff \mu_1 = \mu_2, \forall \mu_1, \mu_2 \in X.$$ 

$$(M_2) \quad d(\mu_1, \mu_2) = d(\mu_2, \mu_1), \forall \mu_1, \mu_2 \in X, \text{ (symmetric property).}$$

$$(M_3) \quad d(x, y) \leq d(x, \mu_3) + d(\mu_2, \mu_3) + d(\mu_1, y), \forall x, y, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in X \text{ (tetrahedral inequality).}$$

Note. The properties such as convergent sequence, cauchy sequence, and open/closed subset are the same for generalized metric spaces as held for the usual metric spaces.

Definition 6. For an $n \times n$ matrix $A$, the spectral radius is defined by $\rho(A) = \max ||\eta_i||, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, where $\eta_i, (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ are the eigenvalues of matrix $A$.

Lemma 7 (see [33]). Let $(Z, d)$ be a complete generalized metric space and let $\mathcal{T} : Z \rightarrow Z$ be an operator such that there exists a matrix $A \in M$ with $d(\mathcal{T} \mu_1, \mathcal{T} \mu_2) \leq Ad(\mu_1, \mu_2)$, for all $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in Z$. If $\rho(A) < 1$, then $\mathcal{T}$ has a fixed point $\mu^* \in Z$; further for any $\mu_0$ the iterative sequence $\mu_{n+1} = \mathcal{T} \mu_n$ converges to $\mu_0$.

Definition 8. Consider a Banach space $W_1 \times W_2$ such that $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 : W_1 \times W_2 \rightarrow W_1 \times W_2$ be two operators. Then the operatorial system provided by

$$\mu_1(z) = \mathcal{T}_1(\mu_1, \mu_2)(z),$$

$$\mu_2(z) = \mathcal{T}_2(\mu_1, \mu_2)(z)$$

(8)

is called Hyers-Ulam stable if we can find $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 > 0$ such that, for each $\varepsilon_i, (i = 1, 2) > 0$ and for each solution $(\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*) \in E$ of the inequalities given by

$$\|\mu_1^* - \mu_1\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon_1,$$

$$\|\mu_2^* - \mu_2\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon_2,$$

there exists a solution $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in E$ of system (8) which satisfies

$$\|\mu_1^* - \mu_1\|_{\infty} \leq \Lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \Lambda_2 \varepsilon_2,$$

$$\|\mu_2^* - \mu_2\|_{\infty} \leq \Lambda_3 \varepsilon_1 + \Lambda_4 \varepsilon_2.$$

Theorem 9 (see [26]). Considering a Banach space $W_1 \times W_2$ with $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 : W_1 \times W_2 \rightarrow W_1 \times W_2$ being two operators such that

$$\|\mathcal{T}_1(\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}_1(\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*)\|_{\infty} \leq \Lambda_1 \|\mu_1 - \mu_1^*\|_{\infty} + \Lambda_2 \|\mu_2 - \mu_2^*\|_{\infty},$$

$$\|\mathcal{T}_2(\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}_2(\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*)\|_{\infty} \leq \Lambda_1 \|\mu_1 - \mu_1^*\|_{\infty} + \Lambda_3 \|\mu_2 - \mu_2^*\|_{\infty},$$

(11)

and if the matrix $\left(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3, \Lambda_4\right)$ converges to zero, then the operatorial system (8) is Hyers-Ulam stable.

Lemma 10. An equivalent Fredholm integral representation of the system of boundary value problems (4) is given by

$$\mu_1(z) = \int_0^1 G_{\theta_1}(z, h) g_1(h, \mu_1(h), \mu_2(h)) dh,$$

$$\mu_2(z) = \int_0^1 G_{\theta_2}(z, h) g_2(h, \mu_1(h), \mu_2(h)) dh,$$

(12)

where $G_{\theta_1}, G_{\theta_2}$ are Green's functions given by

$$G_{\theta_1}(z, h) = \frac{\left[\frac{z(1-h)^{\theta_1-1}}{\Gamma(\theta_1)} - (z-h)^{\theta_1-1}\right]}{\Gamma(\theta_1)} , \quad 0 \leq z \leq h \leq 1,$$

$$G_{\theta_2}(z, h) = \frac{\left[\frac{z(1-h)^{\theta_2-1}}{\Gamma(\theta_2)} - (z-h)^{\theta_2-1}\right]}{\Gamma(\theta_2)} , \quad 0 \leq h \leq z \leq 1.$$

(13)

Proof. Applying the operator $t^{\theta_1}$ on the first equation of (4) and using Lemma 3, we have

$$\mu_1(z) = -t^{\theta_1} \mathcal{F}_1(z, \mu_1(z), \mu_2(z)) + c_1 z^{\theta_1-1} + c_2 z^{\theta_1-2} + c_3 z^{\theta_1-3} + \cdots + c_n z^{\theta_1-n},$$

$$c_i \in \mathcal{R}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

The boundary conditions $\mu_1(0) = \mu_1'(0) = \mu_1''(0) = \cdots = \mu_1^{(n-2)}(0) = 0$ and they yield $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 0$ due to
singularity and \( c_1 = \int \delta^1 G_{\delta_1}(1, \mu_1(1), \mu_2(1)) \). Hence, (14) takes the form

\[
\mu_1(z) = \frac{\delta^1}{\Gamma(\delta_1)} \int_0^1 (1 - h)^{\delta_1 - 1} G_{\delta_1}(h, \mu_1(h), \mu_2(h)) \, dh
\]

(15)

\[
-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta_1)} \int_0^1 (z - h)^{\delta_1 - 1} G_{\delta_1}(h, \mu_1(h), \mu_2(h)) \, dh
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 G_{\delta_1}(z, h) G_{\delta_1}(h, \mu_1(h), \mu_2(h)) \, dh.
\]

Similarly, by the same process with the second equation of the system, we obtain the second part of (12).

**Lemma 11** (see [18]). Green’s function \( G = (G_{\delta_1}, G_{\delta_2}) \) of system (12) has the following properties:

1. \( G(z, h) \) is a continuous function on the unit square for all \((z, h) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]\).
2. \( G(z, h) \geq 0 \) for all \( z, h \in [0, 1] \) and \( G(z, h) > 0 \) for all \( z, h \in (0, 1) \).
3. \(
\max_{\delta \in [0,1]} G(z, h) = G(1, h), \forall h \in [0, 1].
\)
4. There exists a constant \( y \in (0, 1) \) such that

\[
\min_{z \in [0,1]} G(z, h) \geq y(h) G(1, h) \quad \text{for } \theta \in (0, 1), \ h \in [0, 1]
\]

where \( y = \min \left\{ y_{\delta_1} = \left( \frac{z}{h} \right)^{\delta_1 - 1}, y_{\delta_2} = \left( \frac{z}{h} \right)^{\delta_2 - 1} \right\} \).

**Proof.** The relation \( T(P) \subset P \) easily follows from the properties \( (C_1) \) and \( (C_2) \) of Lemma 11 and all we need to show is that \( T(\mathcal{K}) \subset \mathcal{K} \) holds. For \((\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathcal{K} \), we have \( T(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in P \) and in view of property \( (C_4) \) of Lemma 11, for all \( \nu \in J \), we obtain

\[
T_1(\mu_1(z), \mu_2(z)) = \int_0^1 G_{\delta_1}(z, h) G_{\delta_1}(h, \kappa_1(h), \mu_2(h)) \, dh
\]

(18)

\[
\geq y(z) \int_0^1 G_{\delta_1}(1, h) G_{\delta_1}(h, \mu_1(h), \mu_2(h)) \, dh.
\]

Hence, it follows that

\[
\min_{z \in J} T_1(\mu_1(z), \mu_2(z)) \geq y_0, \| T_1(\mu_1, \mu_2) \|_{\infty}, \forall z \in J.
\]

(19)

Similarly, we obatain

\[
\min_{z \in J} T_2(\mu_1(z), \mu_2(z)) \geq y_0, \| T_2(\mu_1, \mu_2) \|_{\infty}, \forall z \in J.
\]

(20)

It follows that

\[
\min_{z \in J} [T_1(\mu_1(z), \mu_2(z)) + T_2(\mu_1(z), \mu_2(z))] \geq y \| T_1(\mu_1, \mu_2), T_2(\mu_1, \mu_2) \|_{\infty}, \forall z \in J,
\]

which implies that \( T(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathcal{K} \).

**Lemma 14.** Assume that \( \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \) are continuous; then \( \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \) is completely continuous.

**Proof.** We omit the proof, because it is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [18].

**Lemma 15.** Assume that \( \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \) are continuous on \([0, 1] \times \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \) and there exist \( \psi_i, \psi_i(1, 2) : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) such that the following hold:
(C3') \[ |\mathcal{G}_1(z, \mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{G}_1(z, \mu_1, \mu_2)| \leq \phi_1(z)|\mu_1 - \mu_1| + \\
\phi_2(z)|\mu_2 - \mu_2|, \quad z \in (0, 1), \text{for } \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0,
\]
(C4') \[ |\mathcal{G}_2(z, \mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{G}_2(z, \mu_1, \mu_2)| \leq \phi_2(z)|\mu_1 - \mu_1| + \\
\phi_2(z)|\mu_2 - \mu_2|, \quad z \in (0, 1), \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0.
\]
(C6') \[ \rho(A) < 1, \text{ where the matrix } A \in M_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ is defined by}
\]
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
\int_0^1 G_{\theta_1}(1, h) \psi_1(h) \, dh & \int_0^1 G_{\theta_1}(1, h) \psi_2(h) \, dh \\
\int_0^1 G_{\theta_2}(1, h) \psi_1(h) \, dh & \int_0^1 G_{\theta_2}(1, h) \psi_2(h) \, dh
\end{bmatrix}
\]
By (C6'), \( \rho(A) < 1 \). Hence by Lemma 7, system (12) has a unique positive solution.

**Lemma 16.** Let \( \mathcal{G}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{G}_2 \) be continuous on \([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) and there exist \( a_i, b_i, c_i \ (i = 1, 2) : (0, 1) \to [0, \infty) \) satisfying
\[
(C4') \quad |\mathcal{G}_1(z, \mu_1(z), \mu_2(z))| \leq a_1(z) + b_1(z)|\mu_1(z)| + c_1(z)|\mu_2(z)|, \quad z \in (0, 1), \mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0,
\]
(C5') \[ |\mathcal{G}_2(z, \mu_1(z), \mu_2(z))| \leq a_2(z) + b_2(z)|\mu_1(z)| + c_2(z)|\mu_2(z)|, \quad z \in (0, 1), \mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0.
\]
(C6') \[ \rho(A) < 1, \text{ where the matrix } A \in M_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ is defined by}
\]
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
\int_0^1 G_{\theta_1}(1, h) \psi_1(h) \, dh & \int_0^1 G_{\theta_1}(1, h) \psi_2(h) \, dh \\
\int_0^1 G_{\theta_2}(1, h) \psi_1(h) \, dh & \int_0^1 G_{\theta_2}(1, h) \psi_2(h) \, dh
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Then system (12) has at least one positive solution \((\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Proof.** Choose \( r = \min(2a_1/(1-2B_1), 2a_2/(1-2B_2)) \) and define \( \delta = \{ (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathbb{R} : \|\mu_1, \mu_2\| < r \} \). By Lemma 14, the operator \( F : \delta \to \mathbb{R} \) is completely continuous. Choose \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \) and \((\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \partial \delta \) such that \((\mu_1, \mu_2) = \lambda F(\mu_1, \mu_2)\). Then, by properties (C1), (C3), and (C6'), we obtain for all \( z \in [0, 1] \)
\[
\|\mu_1(z)\| \leq \lambda \max_{z \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_{\theta_1}(z, h)
\]
\[
\|\mathcal{G}_1(h)\| \|\mu_1(h)\| + \|\mathcal{G}_2(h)\| \|\mu_2(h)\|
\]
which implies that
\[
|\mathcal{T}_1(\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}_1(\mu_1, \mu_2)|
\leq \left( \int_0^1 G_1(1, h) \psi_1(h) \, dh \right) \|\mu_1 - \mu_1\| + \\
\left( \int_0^1 G_2(1, h) \psi_2(h) \, dh \right) \|\mu_2 - \mu_2\|.
\]
Similarly, we obtain
\[
|\mathcal{T}_2(\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}_2(\mu_1, \mu_2)|
\leq \left( \int_0^1 G_2(1, h) \psi_2(h) \, dh \right) \|\mu_1 - \mu_1\| + \\
\left( \int_0^1 G_2(1, h) \psi_2(h) \, dh \right) \|\mu_2 - \mu_2\|.
\]
Hence, it follows that
\[
|\mathcal{T}(\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}(\mu_1, \mu_2)| \leq Ad((\mu_1, \mu_2), (\mu_1, \mu_2)),
\]
\forall (\mu_1, \mu_2), (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2,
where
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
\int_0^1 G_{\theta_1}(1, h) \psi_1(h) \, dh & \int_0^1 G_{\theta_1}(1, h) \psi_2(h) \, dh \\
\int_0^1 G_{\theta_2}(1, h) \psi_1(h) \, dh & \int_0^1 G_{\theta_2}(1, h) \psi_2(h) \, dh
\end{bmatrix}
\]
4. **Hyers-Ulam Stability of (12)**

In this section, we obtain some appropriate conditions under which the toppled system under our consideration is Hyers-Ulam stable.
Let the following assumption hold:

\((C^*_8)\) There exist constants \(\mathcal{G}_i > 0, \mathcal{K}_i > 0, \ i = 1, 2,\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{G}_1 (z, \mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{G}_1 (z, \mu_1, \mu_2)| & \leq \mathcal{K}_1 |\mu_1 - \mu_1| + \mathcal{K}_2 |\mu_2 - \mu_2|, \\
|\mathcal{G}_2 (z, \mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{G}_2 (z, \mu_1, \mu_2)| & \leq \mathcal{K}_1 |\mu_1 - \mu_1| + \mathcal{K}_2 |\mu_2 - \mu_2|.
\end{align*}
\]

(31)

**Theorem 17.** Assume that hypothesis \((C^*_8)\) holds and \(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 : \mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\|\mathcal{T}_1 (\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}_1 (\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*)\|_{\infty} & \leq \Lambda_1 \|\mu_1 - \mu_1^*\|_{\infty} + \Lambda_2 \|\mu_2 - \mu_2^*\|_{\infty}, \\
\|\mathcal{T}_2 (\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}_2 (\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*)\|_{\infty} & \leq \Lambda_3 \|\mu_1 - \mu_1^*\|_{\infty} + \Lambda_4 \|\mu_2 - \mu_2^*\|_{\infty},
\end{align*}
\]

for all solutions \((\mu_1, \mu_2), (\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*) \in \mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2,\) with

\[
\mathcal{G} = \left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda_1 & \Lambda_2 \\
\Lambda_3 & \Lambda_4
\end{array}\right),
\]

converges to zero. Then the solution of coupled system (4) is Hyers-Ulam stable.

**Proof.** Consider

\[
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{T}_1 (\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}_1 (\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*)| & \leq \max_{z \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 |G_{\theta_1} (z, h)| \ ds \\
& \leq \int_0^1 G_{\theta_1} (1, h) [\mathcal{G}_1 (h, \mu_1 (h), \mu_2 (h)) - \mathcal{G}_1 (h, \mu_1^* (h), \mu_2^* (h))] dh
\end{align*}
\]

(32)

which implies that

\[
\|\mathcal{T}_1 (\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}_1 (\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*)\|_{\infty} \leq \Lambda_1 \|\mu_1 - \mu_1^*\|_{\infty} + \Lambda_2 \|\mu_2 - \mu_2^*\|_{\infty},
\]

According to the previous sentence, \(\Lambda_1 = \mathcal{G}_1 / \Gamma (i_1 + 1), \ \Lambda_2 = \mathcal{G}_2 / \Gamma (i_2 + 1).\) Similarly, we can also get

\[
\begin{align*}
\|\mathcal{T}_2 (\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}_2 (\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*)\|_{\infty} & \leq \Lambda_3 \|\mu_1 - \mu_1^*\|_{\infty} + \Lambda_4 \|\mu_2 - \mu_2^*\|_{\infty},
\end{align*}
\]

(33)

where \(\Lambda_3 = \mathcal{G}_1 / \Gamma (i_2 + 1), \ \Lambda_4 = \mathcal{G}_2 / \Gamma (i_2 + 1).\) Therefore from (34) and (35), we have the following system of inequalities:

\[
\begin{align*}
\|\mathcal{T}_1 (\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}_1 (\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*)\|_{\infty} & \leq \Lambda_1 \|\mu_1 - \mu_1^*\|_{\infty} + \Lambda_2 \|\mu_2 - \mu_2^*\|_{\infty}, \\
\|\mathcal{T}_2 (\mu_1, \mu_2) - \mathcal{T}_2 (\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*)\|_{\infty} & \leq \Lambda_3 \|\mu_1 - \mu_1^*\|_{\infty} + \Lambda_4 \|\mu_2 - \mu_2^*\|_{\infty},
\end{align*}
\]

(36)

where \(\mathcal{M} = \left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda_1 & \Lambda_2 \\
\Lambda_3 & \Lambda_4
\end{array}\right)\) which converges to zero. Thus, in view of Theorem 9, the solution of coupled system (4) is Hyers-Ulam stable.

5. Examples

**Example 1.** Consider the following coupled nonlinear FODEs of boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
D^{5/2} \mu_1 (z) + \Gamma \left(\frac{5}{2}\right) \left[ \zeta \mu_1 (z) + \frac{z^2 \mu_2 (z)}{32} \right] = 0, \\
D^{5/2} \mu_2 (z) + \Gamma \left(\frac{5}{2}\right) \left[ \frac{9 \zeta^2 |\cos (\mu_1 (z))|}{16 \sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{9 \zeta |\cos (\mu_2 (z))|}{32 \sqrt{\pi}} \right] = 0, \\
z \in [0, 1],
\end{align*}
\]

(37)

Here \(n = 3\) and \(\phi_1 (z) = \Gamma (5/2) (z^2 / 32), \ \phi_2 (z) = \Gamma (5/2) (9 z^5 / 16 \pi), \ \psi_1 (z) = \Gamma (5/2) (9 z / 32 \pi).\) Moreover

\[
A = \left[\begin{array}{cc}
\int_0^1 G_{\theta_1} (1, h) \phi_1 (h) dh & \int_0^1 G_{\theta_1} (1, h) \psi_1 (h) dh \\
\int_0^1 G_{\theta_2} (1, h) \phi_2 (h) dh & \int_0^1 G_{\theta_2} (1, h) \psi_2 (h) dh
\end{array}\right]
\]

(38)

Here, \(\rho (A) = 4.61 \times 10^{-2} < 1;\) hence by Lemma 15 the BVP (37) has a unique solution. For \(\mathcal{G}_1\) and \(\mathcal{G}_2,\) we have \(a_1 (z) = 0,\) \(b_1 (z) = \Gamma (5/2) (z / 16),\) \(c_1 (z) = \Gamma (5/2) (z^3 / 32),\) \(a_2 (z) = 0,\) \(b_2 (z) = \Gamma (5/2) (9 z^5 / 16 \pi),\) \(c_2 (z) = \Gamma (5/2) (9 z / 32 \pi).\) and by simple calculation, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_1 = \int_0^1 G_{\theta_1} (z, h) \ a_1 (h) dh & < \infty, \\
\mathcal{A}_2 = \int_0^1 G_{\theta_2} (z, h) \ a_2 (h) dh & < \infty, \\
\mathcal{B}_1 = \int_0^1 G_{\theta_1} (z, h) \ [b_1 (h) + c_1 (h)] dh & < 1, \\
\mathcal{B}_2 = \int_0^1 G_{\theta_2} (z, h) \ [b_2 (h) + c_2 (h)] dh & < 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, by using Lemma 16, BVP (37) has at least one positive solution. Further, it is easy to compute the matrix \(\mathcal{G}\) which converges to zero and so the solution is Hyers-Ulam stable by using Theorem 17.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we investigate existence and uniqueness of solutions for the nonlinear FODEs with boundary conditions
and also investigate Hyers-Ulam stability for the mentioned problem. We use Perov’s fixed point theorem [33] and Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem to develop some results for existence of at least one solution for our proposed coupled nonlinear FODEs with boundary conditions. Further, we establish some conditions for Hyers-Ulam type stability to the considered problem. The whole paper is very easy because of relaxed methods and conditions.
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