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Some new coupled coincidence point and coupled fixed point theorems are established in partially ordered metric-like spaces, which generalize many results in corresponding literatures. An example is given to support our main results. As an application, we discuss the existence of the solutions for a class of nonlinear integral equations.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

As we all know, the fixed point theory is one of the most important tools in the field of nonlinear analysis. In particular, the coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric-like spaces are very valuable for discussing the existence and uniqueness of solutions of any nonlinear problem in fields of mathematics and physics.

In order to solve the more complex nonlinear analysis problems, the concept of a metric space has been extended in many aspects. In 1992, the notion of a partial metric was introduced by Matthews [1]. Considering \( d(x, x) \) may be greater than zero, he tried to extend the concept of a metric space. Since then, many authors proved various fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces (see [2–9]). In the interest of studying the existence of solutions of ordinary differential equations, the mixed monotone mapping was established. Afterwards, Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [10] substituted the mixed \( g \)-monotone mapping for the mixed monotone mapping and proved the coupled common fixed point theorems. On the other hand, Hitzler and Seda [11] first studied the dislocated space in 2000, and then Amini-Harandi [12] named it as a metric-like space. Subsequently, some authors discussed the fixed point and coincidence point results in generalized metric spaces. And several applications to operator equations and integral equations are given in a line of research (see [13–19]). Recently, B. Hazarika et al. [20] generalized some previous results and gave some new common fixed point theorems in metric-like spaces.

In this paper, inspired by the above literatures, we propose some new coupled coincidence point and coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric-like spaces, which extend the theorems of B. Hazarika et al. [20]. As an application, we discuss the existence of solutions for a system of nonlinear integral equations to illustrate our main results.

First, we review some concepts which are going to be used later.

Throughout this paper, let \( \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty) \), \( \mathbb{R}^+ = (0, +\infty) \), and \( \mathbb{R}^+_0 = [0, +\infty) \). Let \( \mathbb{N} \) be the set of all natural numbers and \( \mathbb{N}^+ \) be the set of all positive integer numbers.

**Definition 1** (see [12]). Let \( X \) be a nonempty set. A function \( \sigma : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+_0 \) is said to be a dislocated (metric-like) metric on \( X \) if, for all \( x, y, z \in X \), the following conditions hold:

\[(\sigma_1) \sigma(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y.\]

\[(\sigma_2) \sigma(x, y) = \sigma(y, x).\]

\[(\sigma_3) \sigma(x, y) \leq \sigma(x, z) + \sigma(z, y).\]

**Definition 2** (see [8]). Let \( (X, \sigma) \) be a metric-like space.

(a) A sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in \( X \) is said to be a Cauchy sequence if \( \lim_{n,m\rightarrow\infty} \sigma(x_n, x_m) \) exists and is finite.
coupled coincidence point of the mapping $g$ is monotone nonincreasing in $x$; that is,
\[ x_1 \leq x_2 \implies T(x_1, y) \leq T(x_2, y) \quad \text{for all } y \in X, \]
\[ y_1 \leq y_2 \implies T(x, y_2) \leq T(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \]

Definition 6 (see [10]). Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a partially ordered set and $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$. Then the map $T$ is said to have mixed $g$-monotone property if $T(x, y)$ is monotone nondecreasing in $x$ and monotone nonincreasing in $y$; that is,
\[ g x_1 \leq g x_2 \implies T(x_1, y) \leq T(x_2, y) \quad \text{for all } y \in X, \]
\[ g y_1 \leq g y_2 \implies T(x, y_2) \leq T(x, y_1) \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \]

Definition 7 (see [9]). An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point for the mapping $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $T(x, y) = x$ and $T(y, x) = y$.

Definition 8 (see [10]). Let $X$ be a nonempty set. We say that the mappings $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are commutative if $gT(x, y) = T(gx, gy)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 9 (see [10]). An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of the mapping $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $T(x, y) = gx$ and $T(y, x) = gy$.

2. Main Results

In this section, we put forward a new coupled coincidence point theorem in a partially ordered metric-like space, and if $g = I$, which is a self-mapping, we get a new coupled fixed point theorem. Then, we establish a common coupled fixed point theorem in a partially ordered metric-like space and prove the uniqueness of the coupled fixed point.

**Theorem 10.** Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a partially ordered set and $(X, \sigma)$ be a complete metric-like space. Let $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $T(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$.
2. $g(X)$ is closed.
3. $T$ has the mixed $g$-monotone property.
4. There exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that $g x_0 \preceq T(x_0, y_0)$ and $T(y_0, x_0) \preceq g y_0$.
5. \[ \psi(F(\sigma(T(x, y)), T(u, v)), \varphi(T(x, y)), \varphi(T(u, v)))) \leq \phi(M(x, u, v, v)), \]
6. where \[ M(x, u, v, v) = \max\{F(g x, g u), F(g x, g v), F(g u, g v)\}, \]
7. $F(g x, g y), \varphi(g x), \varphi(g y)$.

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that $g x_0 \preceq T(x_0, y_0)$ and $T(y_0, x_0) \preceq g y_0$. Since $T(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, we can find $x_1, y_1 \in X$ such that $g x_1 = T(x_0, y_0)$ and $g y_1 = T(y_0, x_0)$. Similarly, there exist $x_2, y_2 \in X$ such that $g x_2 = T(x_1, y_1)$ and $g y_2 = T(y_1, x_1)$. Repeating the above process, we can construct two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ such that $g x_{n+1} = T(x_n, y_n)$ and $g y_{n+1} = T(y_n, x_n)$. Since $T$ has the mixed $g$-monotone property, we get $g x_0 \preceq g x_1 \preceq \cdots \preceq g x_n \preceq \cdots$ and $g y_0 \preceq g y_1 \preceq \cdots \preceq g y_n \preceq \cdots$. If for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have $g x_{n+1} = g x_n, g y_{n+1} = g y_n$, then $T(y_n, x_n) = g y_{n+1} = g x_n, T(x_n, y_n) = g x_{n+1} = g y_n$. This means $T$ and $g$ have a coupled coincidence point.

First, we show that if $T$ and $g$ have a coupled coincidence point, i.e., $T(x, y) = g x$, $T(y, x) = g y$, then $\sigma(g x, g y) = \sigma(T(x, y), T(y, x)) = \sigma(g y, g x) = \sigma(T(y, x), T(x, y)) = 0$. Indeed, we have $g x \leq g x$, and supposing $\sigma(g x, g y) > 0$ and using (4), we obtain
\[ \psi(F(g x, g x), \varphi(g x), \varphi(g x)) \]
\[ = \psi(F(g T(x, y), T(u, v)), \varphi(T(x, y)), \varphi(T(u, v)))) \leq \phi(M(x, u, v, v)), \]
\[ \varphi(T(x, y))) = \phi(M(x, u, v, v)) \]
\[ = \phi(\max\{F(g x, g x), \varphi(g x), \varphi(g x)\}, \]
\[ F(g y, g y), \varphi(g y), \varphi(g y)), \]
\[ F(g x, g x), \varphi(g x), \varphi(g x), \varphi(g x)) \]
Similarly, since $g, y \leq g, y$, we get

$$
\psi(F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g))) = \psi(F(T(y, x)), \varphi(T(y, x))) \leq \phi(M(y, y, x, x)) \leq \phi(M(y, y, x, x)) = \phi(\max\{F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g)), F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g))\}) = \phi(\max\{F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g)), F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g))\})
$$

(7)

Noting that $\psi$ is nondecreasing and using (6) and (7), we have

$$
\psi(\max\{F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g)), F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g))\}) = \max\{\psi(F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g)), \varphi(g), \varphi(g))\} = \phi(\max\{F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g)), F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g))\})
$$

(8)

By Definition 3, we obtain that if $\psi(\omega) \leq \phi(\omega)$, then $\omega = 0$. On the other hand, through the definition of $F$, we can reach the following conclusions:

$$
\max\{F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g)), F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g))\}, F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g))\} = 0,
$$

max $\{F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g)), F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g))\} \leq \max\{F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g)), F(\sigma(g, g), \varphi(g), \varphi(g))\}
$$

(9)

This is a contradiction. Hence, if there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $T(x, y) = g, g, x$, we have

$$
\sigma(g, g, g) = \sigma(g, g, g) = \varphi(g) = \varphi(g) = 0.
$$

(10)

Now, suppose that $(g_{n+1}, g_{n+1}, g_{n+1}) \neq (g_{n}, g_{n}, g_{n})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which means that either $g_{n+1} \neq T(x_{n}, y_{n})$ or $y_{n+1} \neq g_{y_{n}}$. Since $g_{x_{n+1}} \leq g_{x_{n}}$ and $g_{y_{n}} \leq g_{y_{n-1}}$, we obtain

$$
\psi(F(\sigma(g_{x_{n}}, g_{x_{n+1}}, \varphi(g_{x_{n}}), \varphi(g_{x_{n+1}}))) = \psi(F(\sigma(T(x_{n}, y_{n}), T(x_{n}, y_{n}))) = \psi(F(T(x_{n}, y_{n})), \varphi(T(x_{n}, y_{n}))) = \phi(M(x_{n}, y_{n}, y_{n})),
$$

(11)

where

$$
M(x_{n}, y_{n}, y_{n}, y_{n}) = \max\{F(\sigma(g_{x_{n}}, g_{x_{n+1}}, \varphi(g_{x_{n}}), \varphi(g_{x_{n+1}})), \varphi(g_{y_{n}}), \varphi(g_{y_{n}}), \varphi(g_{y_{n}})), F(\sigma(g_{y_{n}}, g_{y_{n+1}}, \varphi(g_{y_{n}}), \varphi(g_{y_{n+1}})))
$$

(12)

Similarly, we have

$$
\psi(F(\sigma(g_{y_{n}}, g_{y_{n+1}}), \varphi(g_{y_{n}}), \varphi(g_{y_{n+1}}))) = \psi(F(\sigma(T(y_{n}, x_{n}), T(y_{n}, x_{n}))), \varphi(T(y_{n}, x_{n}))) = \phi(M(y_{n}, x_{n}, x_{n})),
$$

(13)

where

$$
M(y_{n}, x_{n}, x_{n}, x_{n}) = \max\{F(\sigma(g_{y_{n}}, g_{y_{n+1}}), \varphi(g_{y_{n}}), \varphi(g_{y_{n+1}})), F(\sigma(g_{x_{n}}, g_{x_{n+1}}, \varphi(g_{x_{n}}), \varphi(g_{x_{n+1}})))
$$

(14)

Using condition (i) of Definition 3, we get

$$
\max\{F(\sigma(g_{x_{n}}, g_{x_{n+1}}), \varphi(g_{x_{n}}), \varphi(g_{x_{n+1}})), F(\sigma(g_{y_{n}}, g_{y_{n+1}}), \varphi(g_{y_{n}}), \varphi(g_{y_{n+1}})))
$$

(15)

$$
\psi(F(\sigma(g_{x_{n}}, g_{x_{n+1}}, \varphi(g_{x_{n}}), \varphi(g_{x_{n+1}}))) = \psi(F(\sigma(T(x_{n}, y_{n}), T(x_{n}, y_{n}))), \varphi(T(x_{n}, y_{n}))) = \phi(M(x_{n}, y_{n}, y_{n})),
$$

(16)
which implies that \( \{ \max\{ F(\sigma (g\cdot n, g\cdot n+1), \varphi (g\cdot n), \varphi (g\cdot n+1)), F(\sigma (g\cdot n, g\cdot n+1), \varphi (g\cdot n), \varphi (g\cdot n+1)) \} \) is a decreasing nonnegative sequence. Therefore, there exists \( r \geq 0 \), such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \max \{ F(\sigma (g\cdot n, g\cdot n+1), \varphi (g\cdot n), \varphi (g\cdot n+1)), F(\sigma (g\cdot n, g\cdot n+1), \varphi (g\cdot n), \varphi (g\cdot n+1)) \} = r.
\]

Using condition (ii) of Definition 3, we get \( r = 0 \). Then

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \max\{(\sigma (g\cdot n), \sigma (g\cdot n+1)), (\varphi (g\cdot n), \varphi (g\cdot n+1))\} = 0.
\]

Thus

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma (g\cdot n, g\cdot n+1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi (g\cdot n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi (g\cdot n) = 0.
\]

Next, we show that \( \lim_{n,m \to \infty} \sigma (g\cdot n, g\cdot m) = 0 \) and \( \lim_{n,m \to \infty} \varphi (g\cdot n, g\cdot m) = 0 \). Assume that this is not true; that is,

\[
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \sigma (g\cdot n, g\cdot m) \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{n,m \to \infty} \varphi (g\cdot n, g\cdot m) \neq 0.
\]

Then there exist \( \varepsilon > 0, k_1 \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), and two subsequences \( \{g\cdot m(k)\}, \{g\cdot n(k)\} \) of \( \{g\cdot n\} \) with \( m(k) > n(k) > k_1 \), such that

\[
\max\{\sigma (g\cdot n(k), g\cdot m(k)), \sigma (g\cdot m(k), g\cdot n(k))\} \geq \varepsilon,
\]

and

\[
\max\{\sigma (g\cdot n(k), g\cdot m(k)-1), \sigma (g\cdot m(k), g\cdot n(k)-1)\} < \varepsilon.
\]

With the definitions of metric-like spaces, we obtain

\[
\sigma (g\cdot n(k), g\cdot m(k)) \leq \sigma (g\cdot n(k), g\cdot m(k)-1) + \sigma (g\cdot m(k)-1, g\cdot m(k)) < \varepsilon + \sigma (g\cdot m(k)-1, g\cdot m(k)),
\]

\[
\sigma (g\cdot m(k), g\cdot n(k)) \leq \sigma (g\cdot m(k), g\cdot m(k)-1) + \sigma (g\cdot m(k)-1, g\cdot n(k)) < \varepsilon + \sigma (g\cdot m(k)-1, g\cdot n(k)),
\]

which yields that

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma (g\cdot n(k), g\cdot m(k)) \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma (g\cdot n(k), g\cdot m(k)-1) \leq \varepsilon.
\]

Similarly, we have

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma (g\cdot m(k), g\cdot n(k)) \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma (g\cdot m(k), g\cdot n(k)-1) \leq \varepsilon.
\]

Thus, using (19) and (21), we obtain

\[
\varepsilon \leq \max\{ \lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma (g\cdot n(k), g\cdot m(k)), \lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma (g\cdot m(k), g\cdot n(k)) \}
\]

\[
\leq \max\{ \lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma (g\cdot n(k), g\cdot m(k)-1), \lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma (g\cdot m(k)-1, g\cdot n(k)) \} \leq \varepsilon.
\]
there exist \(m(k) > n(k) > k\)

\[
\begin{align*}
T(x_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}), \varphi(T(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1})) & \\
\varphi(T(x_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1})) \leq \varphi(M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}))
\end{align*}
\]  

(30)

where

\[
M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1})
\]

\[
= \max\left\{ F(\sigma(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{n(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{m(k)-1})) \right\}
\]

(31)

Since

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \max_{k, j} \left\{ F(\sigma(gx_{n(k)-1}, T(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1})), \varphi(gx_{n(k)-1}), \varphi(T(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}))) \right\} = 0 \]

(32)

there exists \(k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^+\) with \(k_2 > k_1\), such that

\[
\max\left\{ F(\sigma(gx_{n(k)-1}, \sigma(gx_{m(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{n(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{m(k)-1})) \right\} \geq \max\left\{ F(\sigma(gx_{n(k)-1}, T(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1})), \varphi(gx_{n(k)-1}), \varphi(T(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}))) \right\}
\]

(33)

for all \(m(k) > n(k) > k_2\). Hence, we get

\[
M(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1})
\]

\[
= \max\left\{ F(\sigma(gx_{n(k)-1}, \sigma(gx_{m(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{n(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{m(k)-1})) \right\}
\]

(34)

for all \(m(k) > n(k) > k_2\). Similarly, there exists \(k_3 \in \mathbb{N}^+\) with \(k_3 > k_1\), such that

\[
\psi(\varphi(gx_n), \varphi(gy_n)) = \psi(T(y_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})), \varphi(T(y_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})), \psi(T(y_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})), \varphi(T(y_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}))) \leq \psi(M(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}))
\]

(35)

for all \(m(k) > n(k) > k_3\). Letting \(k' = \max\{k_2, k_3\}\) and noting that \(\psi\) is nondecreasing, we obtain

\[
\psi(\max\left\{ F(\sigma(gx_{n(k)-1}, \sigma(gx_{m(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{n(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{m(k)-1}))) \right\}) \leq \psi(\max\left\{ F(\sigma(gx_{n(k)-1}, \sigma(gx_{m(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{n(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{m(k)-1}))) \right\})
\]

(36)

for all \(m(k) > n(k) > k'\). Taking the limit as \(k \to +\infty\) and using (25) and (29), we have

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \max_{k, j} \left\{ F(\sigma(gx_{n(k)-1}, \sigma(gx_{m(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{n(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{m(k)-1})) \right\} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \max_{k, j} \left\{ F(\sigma(gx_{n(k)-1}, \sigma(gx_{m(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{n(k)-1}), \varphi(gx_{m(k)-1})) \right\}
\]

(37)

According to the properties of \(\psi\), we get \(\epsilon = 0\), which is a contradiction. So, \(\{gx_n\}\) and \(\{gy_n\}\) are \(\sigma\)-Cauchy sequences in \((X, \sigma)\), which is a complete metric-like space. Since \(T(X \times X) \subseteq \sigma(X)\) and \(g(X)\) is closed, there exist \(x, y \in X\), such that \(\lim_{n \to \infty}gx_n = x, \lim_{n \to \infty}gy_n = y\). Correspondingly, we have

\[
\sigma(gx, gx) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(gx_n, gx) = 0,
\]

(38)

\[
\sigma(gy, gy) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(gy_n, gy) = 0.
\]
Since \( \varphi \) is a lower semicontinuous function, then \( 0 \leq \varphi(gx) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(gx_n) = 0 \). We have \( \varphi(gx) = 0 \). By same arguments, we can derive that \( \varphi(gy) = 0 \).

Finally, we claim that \( T \) and \( g \) have a coupled coincidence point. It follows from (4) that

\[
\psi(F(\sigma(T(x_n, y_n)), T(x, y)), \varphi(T(x_n, y_n)),
\]

\[
\varphi(T(x, y))) \leq \phi(M(x_n, x, y_n, y)) = \phi(\max \{F(\sigma(gx_n, gx), \varphi(gx_n), \varphi(gx))
\]

\[
+ F(\sigma(gy_n, gy), \varphi(gy_n), \varphi(gy)),
\]

\[
F(\sigma(gx_n, T(x_n, x_n), \varphi(gx_n), \varphi(T(x_n, x_n))),
\]

\[
F(\sigma(T(y_n, x_n), \varphi(gx_n), \varphi(T(y_n, x_n)))) = \phi(\max \{F(\sigma(gx_n, gx), \varphi(gx_n), \varphi(gx))
\]

\[
+ F(\sigma(gy_n, gy), \varphi(gy_n), \varphi(gy)),
\]

\[
F(\sigma(gx_n, gx), \varphi(gx_n), \varphi(gx)),
\]

\[
F(\sigma(gy_n, gy), \varphi(gy_n), \varphi(gy)),
\]

\[
F(\sigma(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), \varphi(gx_n), \varphi(gx_{n+1})),
\]

\[
F(\sigma(gy_n, gy_{n+1}), \varphi(gy_n), \varphi(gy_{n+1}))) = 0.
\]

Therefore, we get

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} F(\sigma(T(x_n, y_n)), T(x, y)), \varphi(T(x_n, y_n)), \varphi(T(x, y)) = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} F(\sigma(T(y_n, x_n)), T(y, x)), \varphi(T(y_n, x_n)), \varphi(T(y, x)) = 0.
\]

We can take advantage of the properties of \( F \) to get

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(T(x_n, y_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(T(y_n, x_n)) = 0.
\]

By triangle inequality in metric-like space, we have

\[
\sigma(gx, T(x, y)) \leq \sigma(gx, gx_{n+1}) + \sigma(gx_{n+1}, T(x, y))
\]

\[
= \sigma(gx, gx_{n+1}) + \sigma(T(x_n, y_n), T(x, y)).
\]

Letting \( n \to +\infty \), we get \( \sigma(gx, T(x, y)) = 0 \). Similarly, we have \( \sigma(gy, T(y, x)) = 0 \), that is, \( gx = T(x, y), gy = T(y, x) \). Therefore, \( T \) and \( g \) have a coupled coincidence point.

**Theorem 11.** Define the partial order in \( (X \times X, \leq) \) by \( (x, y) \leq (x', y') \iff x \leq x', y' \leq y \). Add to the hypotheses of Theorem 10 the following conditions:

(i) \( T \) and \( g \) commute at their coincidence points.

(ii) For every \((x, y)\) and \((x', y')\) in \( X \times X \), there exists \((u, v) \in X \times X \) such that \((T(u, v), T(v, u))\) is comparable to \((T(x', y'), T(y', x'))\) and \((T(x, y), T(y, x))\). Then \( T \) and \( g \) have a unique common coupled fixed point.

**Proof.** First, by Theorem 10, we know that the set of coupled coincidence points is nonempty. Let \( (x, y) \) and \( (x', y') \) be coupled coincidence points, i.e., \( gx = T(x, y), gy = T(y, x) \) and \( gx' = T(x', y'), gy' = T(y', x') \). Then we need to verify

\[
gx = gx'^*,
\]

\[
gy = gy'^*.
\]

From (ii), there exists \((u, v) \in X \times X \) such that \((T(u, v), T(v, u))\) is comparable to \((T(x', y'), T(y', x'))\), and \((T(x, y), T(y, x))\). Letting \( u_0 = u, v_0 = v \), we can choose
where

\[
\psi(F(\sigma(gx, gu_{n+1})), \phi(gx), \varphi(gu_{n+1}))
\leq \psi(F(\sigma(T(x, y), T(u_n, v_n)), \phi(T(x, y))), \varphi(T(u_n, v_n))) \leq \phi(M(x, u_n, y, v_n)),
\]

where

\[
M(x, u_n, y, v_n) = \max\{F(\sigma(gx, gu_n), \phi(gx), \varphi(gu_n)), F(\sigma(gy, gv_n), \phi(gy), \varphi(gv_n)), F(\sigma(gx, T(x, y)), \phi(gx), \varphi(gT(x, y))), F(\sigma(gy, T(y, x)), \phi(gy), \varphi(gT(y, x)))\}
\]

Similarly,

\[
\psi(F(\sigma(gy, gv_{n+1}), \phi(gy), \varphi(gv_{n+1}))) = \psi(F(\sigma(T(y, x), T(v_n, u_n)), \phi(T(y, x))), \varphi(T(v_n, u_n)))) \leq \phi(M(y, v_n, x, u_n)) = \max\{F(\sigma(gx, gu_n), \phi(gx), \varphi(gu_n)), F(\sigma(gy, gv_n), \phi(gy), \varphi(gv_n))\}
\]

Noting that \(\psi\) is nondecreasing, we have

\[
\psi(M(x, u_n, y, v_n)) \leq \phi(M(y, v_n, x, u_n)) = \max\{F(\sigma(gx, gu_n), \phi(gx), \varphi(gu_n)), F(\sigma(gy, gv_n), \phi(gy), \varphi(gv_n))\}
\]

which implies that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(gx, gu_n) = \varphi(gx) = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(gy, gv_n) = \varphi(gy) = 0.
\]

Through the same process, we can prove that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(gx^*, gu_n) = \varphi(gx^*) = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(gy^*, gv_n) = \varphi(gy^*) = 0.
\]

Using triangle inequality, we have

\[
\sigma(gx, gx^*) \leq \sigma(gx, gu_n) + \sigma(gx^*, gu_n),
\]

\[
\sigma(gy, gy^*) \leq \sigma(gy, gv_n) + \sigma(gy^*, gv_n).
\]

Letting \(n \to +\infty\), we get \(\sigma(gx, gx^*) = 0, \sigma(gy, gy^*) = 0\), which implies that \(gx = gx^*, gy = gy^*\).

Denote \(g = z, gy = w\). We now show that \((z, w)\) is a coupled coincidence point. Since \(T\) and \(g\) commute at their coincidence points, then we have

\[
gz = g(T(x, y)) = T(gx, gy) = T(z, w),
gw = g(T(y, x)) = T(gy, gx) = T(w, z).
\]

Hence, \((z, w)\) is a coupled coincidence point of \(T\) and \(g\). Using (45), we have \(gz = gx, gw = gy\), and, therefore, \(z = gz = T(z, w), w = gw = T(w, z)\), which means \((z, w)\) is a coupled common fixed point.

Finally, we prove the existence and uniqueness of coupled common fixed point. If \((t, s)\) is also a coupled common fixed point, i.e., \(t = gt = T(t, s), s = gs = T(s, t)\), then \((t, s)\) is also a coupled coincidence point. We have \(t = gt = gz = z, s = gs = gw = w\). Therefore, \(T\) and \(g\) have a unique common coupled fixed point.

**Corollary 12.** Define the partial order in \((X \times X, \leq)\) by \((x, y) \leq (x', y') \iff x \leq x' \land y^* \leq y^*\). Add to the hypotheses of Theorem 10 the following conditions:

(i) \(T\) and \(g\) commute at their coincidence points.

(ii) \(g(X)\) is a totally ordered subset of \(X\).

Then \(T\) and \(g\) have a unique common coupled fixed point.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that condition (ii) of Theorem 11 can be naturally established.

**Theorem 13.** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 11, add the following condition: suppose \(gy_0 \leq gx_0\). Then \(T\) and \(g\) have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form \((x, x)\).

**Proof.** Through the proof of Theorem 10, we construct the sequences \(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \in X\) such that \(gx_{n+1} = T(x_n, y_n), gy_{n+1} = T(y_n, x_n)\) and \(gx_0 \leq gx_1 \leq gx_2 \leq \cdots \leq gx_n \leq \cdots\) and \(gy_0 \geq gy_1 \geq gy_2 \geq \cdots \geq gy_n \geq \cdots\). And \(g(X)\) is closed; then there exist \(x, y \in X\), such that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = gx, \lim_{n \to \infty} gy_n = gy\). In Theorem 11, we prove that \((x, y)\) is the unique coupled common fixed point of \(T\) and \(g\), i.e., \(x = gx = T(x, y), y = gy = T(y, x)\).
Next, we shall show $gx = T(x, y) = gy = T(y, x)$. Owing to the supplementary condition, we have $gy \leq g_{x_0} \leq \cdots \leq g_{y_0} \leq g_{x_1} \leq \cdots \leq g_{y_n} \leq gx$. From (4), we obtain

$$
\psi(F(\sigma(gx, gy), \varphi(gx), \varphi(gy))) \leq \phi(M(x, y, x)) = \phi(\max\{F(\sigma(gx, gy), \varphi(gx), \varphi(gy))\}) = \phi(F(gy, gx, \varphi(gx), \varphi(gx))).
$$

By (10), we get $\varphi(gx) = \varphi(gy) = 0$, and thus we have

$$
F(\sigma(gx, gy), \varphi(gx), \varphi(gy)) = \sigma(gx, gy) = F(\sigma(gy, gx), \varphi(gy), \varphi(gx)).
$$

So (55) can be converted into

$$
\psi(\sigma(gx, gy)) \leq \phi(\sigma(gx, gy)),
$$

which implies that $gx = gy$. Then $T$ and $g$ have a unique common coupled fixed point of the form $(x, x)$.

**Example 14.** Let $X = [0, +\infty)$ and $\sigma : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ be defined by

$$
\sigma(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2x, & \text{if } x = y, \\ \max\{x, y\}, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
$$

Then $(X, \sigma)$ is a complete metric-like space, rather than a partial metric space. Indeed, if $x < y < 2x$, we obtain $\sigma(x, x) > \sigma(x, y)$. Let $T : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ be defined as

$$
T(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - y}{6}, & x \geq y, \\ 0, & x < y. \end{cases}
$$

Define function $g : X \longrightarrow X$ by $gx = x$. Let $\psi, \varphi : X \longrightarrow X$ be defined by

$$
\psi(t) = \ln(1 + t),
$$

$$
\phi(t) = \min\left(1 + \frac{t}{2}\right)
$$

Let $\varphi : X \longrightarrow X$ be defined by $\varphi(t) = t$ and $F : [0, \infty)^3 \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the function defined by $F(a, b, c) = a + b + c$.

It is clear that $T$ has the mixed $g$-monotone property. Easily, we can know $g(X)$ is closed and $T(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$.

Next, we shall show $g$ is a fixed point of $T$.

**Case 1** ($v \leq y \leq x \leq u$). If $T(x, y) = T(u, v)$, we get $v = y$ and $x = u$.

$$
\psi(F(\sigma(T(x, y), T(u, v)), \varphi(T(x, y)), \varphi(T(u, v)))) = \min\left[1 + 2 + \frac{x - y}{6} + \frac{x - y}{6} + \frac{u - v}{6}, \frac{u - v}{6}\right] = \frac{u - v}{6}.
$$

$$
\phi(M(x, u, y, v)) = \min\left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \times \max\left\{4x, 4y, 2x + \frac{x - y}{6} + \frac{u - v}{6}, \frac{u - v}{6}\right\}\right] = \frac{u - v}{6}.
$$

If $T(x, y) \neq T(u, v)$, we get $v \neq y$ or $x \neq u$.

Then we have

$$
\phi(M(x, u, y, v)) \geq \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.
$$

Hence, $\psi(F(\sigma(T(x, y), T(u, v)), \varphi(T(x, y)), \varphi(T(u, v)))) \leq \phi(M(x, u, y, v))$ is proved.
If $T(x, y) \neq T(u, v)$, we have $T(x, y) = 0$ and $u \neq v$.

\[
\psi(F(\sigma(T(x, y), T(u, v)), \varphi(T(x, y)), \varphi(T(u, v)))) = \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{u - v}{3} \right],
\]

Then we have
\[
\phi(M(x, u, y, v)) \geq \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{u + x}{2} \right].
\]

Hence, $\psi(F(\sigma(T(x, y), T(u, v)), \varphi(T(x, y)), \varphi(T(u, v)))) \leq \phi(M(x, u, y, v))$ is tenable.

Case 3 ($x \leq u, x < y, v \leq y, v < u$).

\[
M(x, u, y, v) = \max \left\{ \frac{4u + 2y + v, 2x + 2y + \frac{v - x}{6}}, \frac{4u + 4y, 2x + 2y + \frac{y - x}{6}}, \frac{2u + x, 4y, 2x + 2y + \frac{y - x}{6}} \right\},
\]

if $v \neq y$ and $x = u$,

if $x = u$ and $v = y$,

if $v = y$ and $x \neq u$,

if $v \neq y$ and $x \neq u$.

Note that all conditions of Theorems 10–13 are satisfied. Hence, $T$ and $g$ have a unique common coupled fixed point, which is $(0, 0)$.

### 3. Consequences of the Main Result

By choosing suitable mappings $\psi, \phi, g,$ and $F$, one can deduce subsequent corollaries.

Letting $g = I$ (the identity mapping on $X$) in Theorem 10, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 15.** Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a partially ordered set and $(X, \sigma)$ be a complete metric-like space. Let $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping, satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $T$ has the mixed monotone property.

(ii) There exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq T(x_0, y_0)$, $T(y_0, x_0) \preceq y_0$ and $y_0 \preceq x_0$.

(iii) $\psi(F(\sigma(T(x, y), T(u, v)), \varphi(T(x, y)), \varphi(T(u, v)))) \leq \phi(M(x, u, y, v))$, where

\[
M(x, u, y, v) = \max \left\{ F(\sigma(x, u), \varphi(x), \varphi(u)), F(\sigma(x, y), \varphi(y), \varphi(v)), F(\sigma(x, T(x, y)), \varphi(x), \varphi(T(x, y))), F(\sigma(y, T(x, y)), \varphi(y), \varphi(T(y, x))) \right\},
\]

with $x \preceq u$ and $v \preceq y$, or $u \preceq x$ and $y \preceq v$, for all $x, y, u, v \in X, F \in \mathbb{F}$, $(\psi, \varphi) \in \Psi$, $\psi$ is nondecreasing, and $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a lower semicontinuous function.

Then $T$ has a coupled fixed point.

### Setting $F(a, b, c) = a + b + c$ and $\varphi(t) = 0, t \geq 0$ in Theorem 10, we get another corollary.

**Corollary 16.** Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a partially ordered set and $(X, \sigma)$ be a complete metric-like space. Let $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $T(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$.

(ii) $g(X)$ is closed.

(iii) $T$ has the mixed $g$-monotone property.

(iv) There exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that $gx_0 \preceq T(x_0, y_0)$.

\[
\psi(\sigma(T(x, y), T(u, v))) \leq \phi(\max \{ \sigma(gx, gy), \sigma(gy, gx), \sigma(T(x, y)), \sigma(T(y, x)) \}),
\]

with $x \preceq u$ and $v \preceq y$, or $u \preceq x$ and $y \preceq v$, for all $x, y, u, v \in X, (\psi, \varphi) \in \Psi$, and $\psi$ is nondecreasing.

Then $T$ and $g$ have a coupled coincidence point.

**Corollary 17.** Let $(X, \preceq)$ be a partially ordered set and $(X, \sigma)$ be a complete metric-like space. Let $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $T(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$.

(ii) $g(X)$ is closed.

(iii) $T$ has the mixed $g$-monotone property.

(iv) There exist $x_0, y_0 \in X$ such that $gx_0 \preceq T(x_0, y_0)$.

\[
\sigma(T(x, y), T(u, v)) \leq a\sigma(gx, gy) + b\sigma(gy, gx) + c\sigma(T(x, y)) + d\sigma(gy, T(y, x)),
\]

with $x \preceq u$ and $v \preceq y$, or $u \preceq x$ and $y \preceq v$, for all $x, y, u, v \in X, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $a + b + c + d \in [0, 1]$.

Then $T$ and $g$ have a coupled coincidence point.
Proof. Denote \( \psi(t) = t, \phi(t) < t \), we can note that

\[
\begin{align*}
& a \sigma(gx, gu) + b \sigma(gy, gv) + c \sigma(gx, T(x, y)) \\
& + d \sigma(gy, T(y, x)) \leq (a + b + c + d) \\
& \cdot \max \{ \sigma(gx, gu), \sigma(gy, gv), \sigma(gx, T(x, y)), \\
& \sigma(gy, T(y, x)) \} .
\end{align*}
\]

(71)

Hence, the conditions of Corollary 16 can be satisfied.

Now, we discuss the existence of the unique solution.

**Theorem 19.** Let \( X = C(I) \) be the set of all continuous functions defined on \( I = [0, 1] \) with a dislocated metric given by \( \sigma(x, y) = \sup_{t \in I} |x(t) - y(t)| \). Assume that \( T : X \times X \rightarrow X \) has the mixed monotone property, and \( T \) is defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
T(x, y)(t) &= h(t) + \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) f_1(s, x(s)) ds \\
& + \int_0^1 k_2(t, s) f_2(s, y(s)) ds
\end{align*}
\]

(73)

which satisfy the following conditions:

(i) \( h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is continuous.

(ii) \( k_i : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) and \( f_i : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) are continuous. There exists a constant \( D_i \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that \( \int_0^1 k_i(t, s) ds \leq D_i \). And \( \int_0^1 k_i(t, s) f_i(s, x(s)) ds \leq K_i \), where \( K_i \geq 0 \) is a constant real number.

(iii) \( \phi \in \Psi \) is nondecreasing and \( \phi(t) < t \). There exists a constant \( L_i \in [0, 1) \) such that for all \( x, y \in X \),

\[
|f_i(t, x) - f_i(t, y)| \leq L_i \phi(|x - y|) \quad (i = 1, 2) .
\]

(74)

(75)

(iv) \( 2K \cdot \max\{L_1D_1, L_2D_2\} < 1 \).

If \( x \leq u, v \leq y \), or \( u \leq x, y \leq v \), and there exist \( x_0, y_0 \in X \) such that \( x_0 \leq T(x_0, y_0) = y_0 \), then \( T \) has a coupled fixed point; i.e., (83) has a unique solution in \( C(I) \).

Proof. Define \( \sigma(x, y) = \sup_{t \in I} |x(t) - y(t)| \). Letting \( x \leq u, v \leq y \), or \( u \leq x, y \leq v \), and \( x, y, u, v \in X \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
T(x, y)(t) - T(u, v)(t) &= \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) f_1(s, x(s)) ds - \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) f_1(s, u(s)) ds \\
& + \int_0^1 k_2(t, s) f_2(s, y(s)) ds - \int_0^1 k_2(t, s) f_2(s, v(s)) ds
\end{align*}
\]

(76)
Hence, we get $\sigma(T(x, y), T(u, v)) \leq \phi(\max\{\sigma(y, v), \sigma(u, x), \sigma(T(x, y), u), \sigma(T(y, x), v)\})$. On the other hand, by the assumptions of the theorem, the other conditions of Corollary 16 are satisfied with $g(t) = t$. Then $T$ has a coupled fixed point; i.e., (73) has a unique solution in $C(I)$.

**Example 20.** Consider the following system of nonlinear integral equations:

$$x(t) = \ln(t+1) + \int_0^t s e^s \cdot \frac{s^2}{3(1+s^2)} ds - \frac{|x(s)|}{1+|x(s)|} ds,$$

$$y(t) = \ln(t+1) + \int_0^t s e^s \cdot \frac{s^2}{3(1+s^2)} ds - \frac{|y(s)|}{1+|y(s)|} ds,$$

where $t \in [0,1]$. Then we can define the functions by

$$h(t) = \ln(t+1),$$

$$k_1(t,s) = se^s,$$

$$k_2(t,s) = \frac{s^2}{3(1+s^2)},$$

$$f_1(s,x(s)) = \frac{s^2}{3(1+s^2)} \cdot \frac{|x(s)|}{1+|x(s)|},$$

$$f_2(s,y(s)) = \frac{1}{3(1+s^2)} \cdot \frac{|y(s)|}{1+|y(s)|}.$$

It is clear that $h$ and $f_i, k_i$ ($i=1,2$) are continuous functions, and $f_i(t,x) \geq 0$. Supposing $\phi(t) = (1/3)t$, we obtain $\phi \in \Psi$ with $\phi(t) < t$ and $\phi$ is nondecreasing. Since

$$|f_1(t,x(t)) - f_1(t,u(t))| \leq \frac{t^2}{3(1+t^2)} \cdot \frac{|x(t)|}{1+|x(t)|} - \frac{|u(t)|}{1+|u(t)|} \leq \frac{1}{6}|x-u| = \frac{1}{2}\phi(x-u),$$

$$|f_2(t,y(t)) - f_2(t,v(t))| \leq \frac{1}{6}|y-v| = \frac{1}{2}\phi(y-v),$$

we can get $L_1 = L_2 = 1/2$. And we have

$$\int_0^1 k_1(t,s) f_1(s,x(s)) ds \leq \int_0^1 se^{s} \cdot \frac{s^2}{3(1+s^2)} ds = \frac{1}{12} \ln 2,$$

$$\int_0^1 k_2(t,s) f_2(s,x(s)) ds \leq \int_0^1 \frac{s}{1+t^2} \cdot \frac{1}{3(1+s^2)} ds \leq \frac{1}{6} \ln 2.$$
Proof. Denote $\sigma(x, y) = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} (|x(t)| + |y(t)|)$. Let $x \leq u, v \leq y$, or $u \leq x, y \leq v$, and $x, y, u, v \in X$, and we have

$$
|T(x, y)(t)| + |T(u, v)(t)| = \left| \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) f_1(s, x(s)) ds \int_0^1 k_2(t, s) f_2(s, y(s)) ds \right|
+ \left| \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) f_1(s, u(s)) ds \int_0^1 k_2(t, s) f_2(s, v(s)) ds \right|
\leq \left| \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) \left[ |f_1(s, x(s))| + |f_2(s, y(s))| \right] ds \int_0^1 k_2(t, s) f_2(s, v(s)) ds \right|
+ \left| \int_0^1 k_1(t, s) \left[ |f_1(s, u(s))| + |f_2(s, v(s))| \right] ds \int_0^1 k_2(t, s) f_2(s, v(s)) ds \right| 
\leq K \cdot \left| \int_0^1 k_2(t, s) L_2(\phi(\sigma(y, v))) ds \right|
+ K \cdot \left| \int_0^1 k_2(t, s) L_1(\phi(\sigma(x, u))) ds \right|
\leq 2K \cdot \max \{L_1D_1, L_2D_2\} \cdot \phi \left( \max \{\sigma(y, v), \sigma(u, x)\} \right)
\leq \phi(\max \{\sigma(y, v), \sigma(u, x), \sigma(T(x, y), u), \sigma(T(y, x), v)\})
$$

Hence, we get $\sigma(T(x, y), T(u, v)) \leq \phi(\max \{\sigma(y, v), \sigma(u, x), \sigma(T(x, y), u), \sigma(T(y, x), v)\})$. Then, all the conditions of Corollary 16 are satisfied with $g = t$. Then $T$ has a coupled fixed point; i.e., (83) has a unique solution in $C(I)$.
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