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We modify a hybrid method and a proximal point algorithm to iteratively find a zero point of the sum of two monotone operators and fixed point of nonspreading multivalued mappings in a Hilbert space by using the technique of forward-backward splitting method. The strong convergence theorem is established and the illustrative numerical example is presented on this work. The results of this paper extend and improve some well-known results in the literature.

1. Introduction

In a Hilbert space, many authors have intensively studied the convergence of finding a zero point for monotone operators, that is, to find a point \( x \in H \) such that

\[
0 \in Ax,
\]

where \( A \) is a monotone operator and the set of zero point of \( A \) is denoted by \( A^{-1}(0) \). The first method for finding a zero point is introduced by Martinet [1] in 1970, it is well known as the proximal point algorithm (PPA) which generates a sequence

\[
x_{n+1} = J_{r_n}^A(x_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

where \( J_{r_n}^A = (I + r_n A)^{-1} \) is the resolvent operator of maximal monotone operator \( A \), \( I \) is the identity mapping and \( \{r_n\} \subset (0, \infty) \) is a regularization sequence. It can be related to many kinds of important problems, such as convex minimization problems, equilibrium problems, and variational inequality problems. An iterative (2) is equivalent to

\[
x_n \in x_{n+1} + r_n Ax_{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

It is known that \( J_{r_n}^A \) can be reduced to

\[
x_{n+1} = \arg\min \left\{ \phi(y) + \frac{1}{2r_n} \|x_n - y\|^2, \ y \in H \right\},
\]

\[
\forall n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

if we let \( \phi(x) : H \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \) be a proper convex and lower semicontinuous function.

Later, Rockafellar [2] presented an inexact variant of the following method:

\[
x_{n+1} = J_{r_n}^A(x_n) + e_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

where \( \{e_n\} \) is an error sequence. Rockafellar [2] proved that if \( e_n \to 0 \) quickly enough such that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e_n\| < \infty \), \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0 \), and \( A^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset \), then the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges weakly to a solution of a zero point of \( A \).

In 1979, Lions and Mercier [3] presented the splitting algorithms to iteratively find zero point of the sum of two nonlinear operators. This algorithm is extended to solve
the nonlinear equations seeking a solution of the following inclusion problem:

\[ 0 \in A + B, \quad (6) \]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are two monotone operators. The inclusion problem can be formulated to many important problems, such as a stationary solution of the initial value problem of the evolution equation [3], the minimization problem [4], which is widely used in image recovery, signal processing, and machine learning, equilibrium problems, and variational inequality problems; see [5]. A splitting method for solving the inclusion problem (6) intends an iterative method for which each iteration involves only with the individual operators \( A \) and \( B \) but not \( A + B \). Lions and Mercier [3] introduced the nonlinear splitting iterative algorithms to solve the inclusion problem (6), generated by

\[
x_{m+1} = \left( 2I - I^A_r \right) \left( 2I - I^B_r \right) x_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (7)
\]

\[
x_n = \left( I^A_r \left( 2I - I^B_r \right) + (1 - I^B_r) \right) x_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (8)
\]

where \( I^A_r \) and \( I^B_r \) are the resolvent operators of monotone operators \( A \) and \( B \), respectively, with \( r > 0 \). The algorithm (7) is called the nonlinear Peaceman-Rachford splitting iterative algorithm. Since \( (2I - I^A_r)(2I - I^B_r) \) is merely nonexpansive operator then it fails, in general, to converge but the mean averages of \( x_n \) can be weakly convergent; for more details see [6]. However, the algorithm, known as the nonlinear Douglas-Rachford splitting iterative algorithm (8), always converges in the weak topology to a point \( fB \) because the operator \( I^A_r(2I - I^B_r) + (1 - I^B_r) \) is firmly nonexpansive.

The extended PPA is introduced by Manaka and Takahashi [7] to the case of sum of two monotone operators \( A \) and \( B \) by using the technique of forward-backward splitting method which generates a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) defined by

\[
x_1 \in C, \quad (9)
\]

\[
x_{m+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T^{A}_r (I - r_B) x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\]

where \( T \) is a nonexpansive mapping on a nonempty closed convex subset \( C \) of \( H \), \( T^{A}_r \) is the resolvent of a maximal monotone operator \( A \) with \( \{r_n\} \) being a positive sequence, \( B \) is an inverse strongly monotone mapping, and \( \{\alpha_n\} \) is a sequence in \( (0, 1) \). This algorithm shows that a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges weakly to some point \( z \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap (A + B)^{-1}(0) \) provided that the control sequence satisfies some conditions.

In 2014, Cho et al. [8] presented the strong convergence theorem for the solution set \( \text{Fix}(T) \cap (A + B)^{-1}(0) \) in a Hilbert space by using the following iterative scheme:

\[
x_1 \in C, \quad (10)
\]

\[
z_n = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) x_n,
\]

\[
y_n = T^{A}_r (z_n - r_B z_n + e_n),
\]

\[
x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) (y_n + (1 - \gamma_n) T y_n)
\]

\[
\forall n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

where \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \) are sequences in \( (0, 1) \), \( \{r_n\} \) is a positive sequence, \( T \) is a strictly pseudo-contractive mapping with \( k \in [0, 1) \), and \( f \) is a contractive mapping.

An iterative algorithm for finding an approximate solution of the sum of two monotone operators and fixed point of several type mappings has received a lot of attention more recently; for more details, see [9–11].

On the other hand, Lemoto and Takahashi [12] study the approximation of common fixed points of \( A \) of nonexpansive mapping \( T \) and a nonexpansive mapping \( S \) of the form \( \text{Fix}(S) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \) nonempty then the sequence generated by (11) converges weakly to some fixed point of \( S \) and \( T \). For the extension of mappings, many authors have studied the convergence theorems of multivalued mappings (see [13–15]).

In 2016, Suantai et al. [16] considered iterative schemes for solving split equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of nonspreading multivalued mappings in Hilbert spaces and proved that the modified Mann iteration converges weakly to a common solution of the considered problems.

Inspired by [8, 16], in this paper, we present the convergence analysis on the set \( \text{Fix}(T) \cap (A + B)^{-1}(0) \), where \( T \) is a nonspreading multivalued mapping in a Hilbert space. The results of this paper extend and improve some well-known results in the literature. Furthermore, the illustrative numerical example is presented.

2. Preliminaries

Let \( H \) be a real Hilbert space with inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) and norm \( || \cdot || \), and let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of \( H \). For any \( x, y \in H \) and \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \), we see that

\[
\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2 \langle y, x + y \rangle,
\]

\[
\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y\|^2 = \lambda \|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda) \|y\|^2 - \lambda (1 - \lambda) \|x - y\|^2.
\]

An operator \( T : H \rightarrow H \) is called a nonexpansive mapping if

\[
\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H,
\]

(13)

and is called a firmly nonexpansive mapping if

\[
\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H.
\]

(14)

Clearly, the above inequality is equivalent to

\[
\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \| (I - T) x - (I - T) y \|^2
\]

(15)

\( \forall x, y \in H \).
where $I$ is identity mapping. For any point $x \in H$, there exists a unique nearest point of $C$, denoted by $P_Cx$, such that

$$
\|x - P_Cx\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad \forall y \in C.
$$

(16)

The operator $P_C$ denotes the metric projection from $H$ onto $C$. It is known that $P_C$ is a firmly nonexpansive mapping; that is,

$$
\|P_Cx - P_Cy\| \leq \langle P_Cx - P_Cy, x - y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H.
$$

(17)

Furthermore, for any $x \in H$ and $z \in C$, we note that $z = P_Cx$ if and only if

$$
\langle x - z, z - y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C.
$$

(18)

Any subset $C$ of a Hilbert space $H$ is said to be proximinal if, for all $x \in H$, there exists $y \in C$ such that

$$
\|x - y\| = d(x, C) = \inf \{\|x - z\| : z \in C\}.
$$

(19)

In this paper, we denote the sets $CB(C), K(C)$, and $P(C)$ are the families of nonempty closed bounded subsets, nonempty compact subsets, and nonempty proximal subsets of $C$, respectively. The Hausdorff metric on $CB(C)$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{H}(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A) \right\},
$$

(20)

$$
\forall A, B \in CB(C),
$$

where $d(x, B) = \inf_{b \in B} \|x - b\|$. Let $T : C \rightarrow CB(C)$ be a multi-valued mapping, an element $p \in C$ is called a fixed point of $T$ if $p \in Tp$ and we denote the fixed point set of a multi-valued operator $T$ by $\text{Fix}(T)$. A multi-valued mapping $T : C \rightarrow K(C)$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\mathcal{H}(T(x), T(y)) \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$ and said to be quasi-nonexpansive if $\mathcal{H}(T(x), Tp) \leq \|x - p\|$ for all $x \in C$ and $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$. In this paper, we focus on a $k$-nonspreading multivalued mapping $T$ that satisfies, for all $x, y \in C$,

$$
\mathcal{H}(T(x), T(y))^2 \leq k \left( d(Tx, y)^2 + d(Ty, y)^2 \right),
$$

(21)

for some $k > 0$.

**Condition (I).** Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $C$ be a subset of $H$. A multi-valued mapping $T : C \rightarrow CB(C)$ is said to satisfy Condition (I) if $\|x - p\| = d(x, Tp)$ for all $x \in H$ and $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$.

**Remark 1.** It is easy to see that $T$ satisfies Condition (I) if and only if $Tp = \{p\}$ for all $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$. We know that if $T$ is nonexpansive, then $T$ is quasi-nonexpansive. Clearly, if $T$ is a $1/2$-nonspreading and $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, then $T$ is quasi-nonexpansive. Example in [16] shows that $T$ is a $1/2$-nonspreading multivalued mapping which is not nonexpansive.

A mapping $B : C \rightarrow H$ is called $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone, if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$
\langle x - y, Bx - By \rangle \geq \alpha \|Bx - By\|^2,
$$

(22)

for all $x, y \in C$. We see that if $B$ is $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone, then $\langle x - y, Bx - By \rangle \geq 0$ and $\|Bx - By\| \geq (1/\alpha)\|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. Moreover, for any constant $r > 0$, it is easy to see that

$$
\| (I - rB) x - (I - rB) y \|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - r(2\alpha - r)\|Bx - By\|^2,
$$

(23)

where $I$ is identity mapping. In particular, if $r \in (0, 2\alpha)$, then $(I - rB)$ is a nonexpansive mapping. For more example of inverse-strongly monotone mappings, see [17, 18].

Let $A$ be a mapping of $H$ into $2^H$; the effective domain of $A$ is denoted by $\text{dom}(A)$; that is, $\text{dom}(A) = \{x \in H : Ax \neq 0\}$. A multivalued mapping $A$ is said to be a monotone operator on $H$ if

$$
\langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq 0
$$

(24)

for all $x, y \in \text{dom}(A), u \in Ax$, and $v \in Ay$. A monotone operator $A$ on $H$ is said to be maximal if its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator on $H$. For maximal monotone operator $A$ on $H$ and $r > 0$, we may define a single-valued operator $J_A^r : H \rightarrow \text{dom}(A)$ by $J_A^r = (I + rA)^{-1}$, which is called the resolvent of $A$ for $r > 0$. If we let $B : C \rightarrow H$ be a single value operator and let $A$ be a maximal monotone operator in $H$ with $D(A) \supset C$ and $D(B) \supset C$, then, using the concept by [19], for $r > 0$,

$$
\text{Fix}(J_A^r (I - rB)) = (A + B)^{-1}(0).
$$

(25)

**Lemma 2** (see [16]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$ and $T : C \rightarrow K(C)$ be a $k$-nonspreading multivalued mapping with $k \in (0, 1/2)$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $C$ such that $x_n \rightarrow p$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ for some $y_n \in Tx_n$. Then $p \in Tp$.

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.** Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of Hilbert spaces $H$. Let $A : D(A) \subseteq C \rightarrow 2^H$ be a maximal monotone operator, $B : C \rightarrow H$ be an $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone operator, and $T : C \rightarrow K(C)$ be a $1/2$-nonspreading multivalued mapping. Assume that $\Theta = \text{Fix}(T) \cap (A + B)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence defined by

$$
x_0 \in C \text{ arbitrary},
$$

$$
u_n = J_{x_n}^\alpha (I - r_nB)x_n,
$$

$$
y_n \in a_n x_n + (1 - a_n) Tu_n,
$$

$$
D_{n+1} = \{x \in D_n : \|y_n - x\| \leq \|x_n - x\|\},
$$

$$
x_{n+1} = P_{D_{n+1}} x_0, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \ D_0 = C \subset H
$$

(26)

for all $n \geq 0$, where $\{a_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{r_n\}$ is a real number sequence in $(0, 2\alpha)$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:
\( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0, \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty. \)

(b) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = r \) and \( r \in (0, \alpha). \)

Then, the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to a point \( x^* \in \Theta. \)

**Proof.** First, we will show that \( \Theta \subset D_n, \forall n \geq 0 \) by using the mathematical induction. Clearly, \( \Theta \subset C = D_0 \) and assume that \( \Theta \subset D_n \) for some \( n \geq 0. \) Let \( p \in \Theta \) be fixed. So, we can obtain that \( p \in TP \) and \( p = f^n(I - r_B) \) and since \( I^n \) and \( (I - r_B) \) are nonexpansive mappings, we have

\[
\|u_n - p\|^2 = \|f^n(I - r_B) x_n - f^n(I - r_B) p\|^2 \\
\leq \|(I - r_B) x_n - (I - r_B) p\|^2 \\
= \|x_n - p\|^2. 
\]

Since \( y_n \in a_n x_n + (1 - a_n) Tu_n, \) there is \( z_n \in Tu_n \) such that \( y_n = a_n x_n + (1 - a_n) z_n \) and then we get

\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 = a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|y_n - p\|^2 \\
= a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 \\
= a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|z_n - z_n\|^2. 
\]

From (27), (28), and Condition (1), it follows that

\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 \leq a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 \\
= a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) d(z_n, TP)^2 \\
\leq a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|Tu_n, TP\|^2 \\
\leq a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|u_n - p\|^2 \\
\leq a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
= \|x_n - p\|^2. 
\]

That is, \( p \in D_{n+1} \) and so \( \Theta \subset D_{n+1}. \) Therefore, \( \Theta \subset D_n \) for all \( n \geq 0. \)

By the assumptions, we can conclude that \( D_n \) is nonempty closed convex subset of \( H \) and then \( \Theta \subset D_{n+1} \subset D_n, \forall n \geq 0. \)

For fixed \( p \in \Theta \) and from \( x_{n+1} = P_{D_n} x_n, \) we obtain that

\[
\|x_{n+1} - x_0\| = \|P_{D_n} x_0 - x_0\| \leq \|p - x_0\|. 
\]

This implies that the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is bounded. Since \( x_n = P_{D_n} x_0 \) and \( D_{n+1} \subset D_n, \forall n \geq 0, \) by the properties of the metric projection, we have

\[
\langle x_0 - x_n, x_m - x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle \geq 0, \tag{31}
\]

for any \( n \geq m \geq 0. \) Next, we want to show that \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence. We compute

\[
\|x_n - x_0\|^2 = \langle x_n - x_0, x_n - x_0 \rangle \\
= \langle x_n - x_0, x_n - x_{n+1} + x_{n+1} - x_0 \rangle \\
= \langle x_n - x_0, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle \\
+ \langle x_n - x_0, x_{n+1} - x_0 \rangle. \tag{32}
\]

This implies that

\[
\langle x_0 - x_n, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle = -\|x_n - x_0\|^2 \\
+ \langle x_n - x_0, x_{n+1} - x_0 \rangle \\
= -\|x_n - x_0\|^2 \\
+ \|x_n - x_0\| \|x_{n+1} - x_0\|. \tag{33}
\]

By (31) and (33), we get that

\[
0 \leq -\|x_n - x_0\|^2 + \|x_n - x_0\| \|x_{n+1} - x_0\|. \tag{34}
\]

Therefore,

\[
\|x_n - x_0\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_0\|, \forall n \geq 0. \tag{35}
\]

We have from (30) and (35) that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_0\| \) exists. For any \( n \geq m, \) by using (31) again, we get

\[
\|x_n - x_m\|^2 = \|x_n - x_m + x_m - x_0\|^2 \\
= \|x_n - x_m\|^2 + \|x_m - x_0\|^2 \\
+ 2 \langle x_n - x_m, x_m - x_0 \rangle \\
\geq \|x_n - x_m\|^2 + \|x_m - x_0\|^2. \tag{36}
\]

Consequently, we obtain that

\[
\|x_n - x_m\|^2 \leq \|x_n - x_0\|^2 - \|x_m - x_0\|^2. \tag{37}
\]

Hence, as \( m \to \infty \) and \( n \to \infty, \) we have

\[
\|x_n - x_m\| \to 0. \tag{38}
\]

Therefore the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

\[
x_n \to x^* \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{39}
\]

Next, we will prove that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|z_n - u_n\| = 0 \) for some \( z_n \in Tu_n \) by dividing the proof into 4 steps.

**Step 1.** We will prove that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0. \)

Since \( x_{n+1} = P_{D_{n+1}} x_0 \in D_{n+1} \subset D_n, \) from (26), we have

\[
\|y_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 \tag{40}
\]

and we obtain

\[
\|y_n - x_{n+1}\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|. \tag{41}
\]

By (38), we conclude that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - x_{n+1}\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| = 0. \tag{42}
\]

Consider

\[
\|y_n - x_n\| \leq \|y_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|. \tag{43}
\]
Then, by (38) and (42), we obtain that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{44}
\]

**Step II.** We will show that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Bx_n - Bp\| = 0\).

Note that
\[
\|y_n - \rho\|^2 = \|a_n x_n + (1 - a_n) T\|_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - \rho\|^2
\]
\[
= a_n \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|T\|_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - \rho\|^2
\]
\[
- a_n (1 - a_n) \|x_n - T\|_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n\|^2
\]
\[
\leq a_n \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|T\|_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - \rho\|^2
\]
\[
= a_n \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|Tu_n - \rho\|^2
\]
\[
= a_n \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|z_n - \rho\|^2
\]  
for some \(z_n \in Tu_n\)
\[
= a_n \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + (1 - a_n) d(z_n, Tp)^2
\]
\[
\leq a_n \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|\mathcal{X}(Tu_n, Tp)\|^2
\]
\[
\leq a_n \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|u_n - \rho\|^2
\]
\[
= a_n \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|\|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - J_n^A (I - r_n B) p\|^2.
\]

It follows that
\[
\|y_n - \rho\|^2 \leq a_n \|x_n - \rho\|^2
\]
\[
+ (1 - a_n) \|(I - r_n B) x_n - (I - r_n B) \rho\|^2
\]
\[
\leq a_n \|x_n - \rho\|^2
\]
\[
+ (1 - a_n) \|\|x_n - \rho\|^2 - 2r_n (2\alpha - r_n) \|Bx_n - Bp\|^2
\]
\[
= a_n \|x_n - \rho\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|x_n - \rho\|^2
\]
\[
- 2r_n (2\alpha - r_n) (1 - a_n) \|Bx_n - Bp\|^2.
\]

This implies that
\[
2r_n (2\alpha - r_n) (1 - a_n) \|Bx_n - Bp\|^2 \leq \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - \|y_n - \rho\|^2.
\]
\[
\leq \|x_n - \rho\|^2 - \|y_n - \rho\|^2. \tag{47}
\]

By using (44), \(r_n \in (0, 2\alpha)\), and \(a_n \in (0, 1)\), then we conclude that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|Bx_n - Bp\| = 0. \tag{48}
\]

**Step III.** We will show that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - x_n\| = 0\).

Note that
\[
\|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\|^2 = \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n
\]
\[
- (I - r_n B) p\|^2 \leq \|(I - r_n B) x_n
\]
\[
- (1 - r_n B) p, J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - J_n^A (I - r_n B) p\|^2
\]
\[
= \langle J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p, (I - r_n B) x_n
\]
\[
- (I - r_n B) p \rangle.
\]

Consider
\[
\|\langle J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\rangle
\]
\[
- \|(I - r_n B) x_n - (I - r_n B) p\|^2
\]
\[
= \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\|^2 - 2 \langle J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n
\]
\[
- p, (I - r_n B) x_n - (I - r_n B) p \rangle + \|(I - r_n B) x_n
\]
\[
- (I - r_n B) p \|^2.
\]

Then, we get
\[
\langle J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p, (I - r_n B) x_n - (I - r_n B) p \rangle
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\|^2 + \|(I - r_n B) x_n
\]
\[
- (I - r_n B) p\|^2 - \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\|^2
\]
\[
- \|(I - r_n B) x_n - (I - r_n B) p\|^2 \right\}.
\]

From (49) and (51), we obtain that
\[
\|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\|^2
\]
\[
+ \|(I - r_n B) x_n - (I - r_n B) p\|^2
\]
\[
- \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\|^2
\]
\[
- \|(I - r_n B) x_n - (I - r_n B) p\|^2 \right\}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\|^2 + \|(I - r_n B) x_n
\]
\[
- (I - r_n B) p\|^2 - \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\|^2
\]
\[
- r_n (Bp - Bx_n)\|^2 \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\|^2
\]
\[
+ \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\|^2
\]
\[
+ 2r_n \|J_n^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\|Bx_n - Bp - \|r_n\|^2
\]
\[
\cdot \|Bp - Bx_n\|^2 \right\}.
\]
Form (52), we have
\[
\|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\|^2 
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\|^2 
- \|r_n\|^2 \|Bx_n - Bp\|^2 
+ 2r_n \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\| \|Bx_n - Bp\|
\]
\[
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\|^2 
- \|r_n\|^2 \|Bx_n - Bp\|^2 
+ 2r_n \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\| \|Bx_n - Bp\|
\]
(53)

Then, from (45) and (53), we obtain that
\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 \leq a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - p\|^2 
- \|r_n\|^2 \|Bx_n - Bp\|^2 
+ 2r_n \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\| \|Bx_n - Bp\|
\]
\[
\leq a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 
- \|r_n\|^2 \|Bx_n - Bp\|^2 
+ 2r_n \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\| \|Bx_n - Bp\|
\]
(54)

It follows that
\[
(1 - a_n) \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - p\|^2 
- \|r_n\|^2 \|Bx_n - Bp\|^2 
+ 2r_n \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\| \|Bx_n - Bp\|
\]
\[
\leq (1 - a_n) \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\|^2 
- \|r_n\|^2 \|Bx_n - Bp\|^2 
+ 2r_n \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\| \|Bx_n - Bp\|
\]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(55)}

Form (55), in view of conditions (b), and (44), we conclude that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - x_n\| = 0.
\]
(56)

**Step IV.** We will show that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - z_n\| = 0\), for some \(z_n \in Tu_n\).

By using Condition (1) and (28), we obtain that
\[
\|y_n - p\|^2 = a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 
\]
for some \(z_n \in Tu_n\)
\[
= a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 
- a_n (1 - a_n) \|x_n - z_n\|^2 
= a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) d(z_n, Tp)^2 
- a (1 - a_n) \|x_n - z_n\|^2
\]
\[
\leq a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|x_n - z_n\|^2 
- a_n (1 - a_n) \|x_n - z_n\|^2 
\leq a_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - a_n) \|u_n - p\|^2 
- a_n (1 - a_n) \|x_n - z_n\|^2 
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - a_n (1 - a_n) \|x_n - z_n\|^2.
\]
(57)

This implies that
\[
a_n (1 - a_n) \|x_n - z_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - p\|^2.
\]
(58)

Form (44), we conclude that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - z_n\| = 0.
\]
(59)

Consider
\[
\|z_n - u_n\| \leq \|z_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - u_n\|.
\]
Therefore, we get from (56) and (59)
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|z_n - u_n\| = 0.
\]
(60)

Finally, We will prove that \(x^* \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap (A + B)^{-1}(0)\). Since \(x_n \to x^*\) and by (56), we get that \(u_n \to x^*\) also. Since \(z_n \in Tu_n\) and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - z_n\| = 0\), by using Lemma 2, we have \(x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)\).

Consider
\[
\|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x^* - x^*\| 
\leq \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x^*\| 
+ \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - x^*\| 
\leq \|x_n - x^*\| + \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x_n - x_n\| 
\]
(62)

Since \(x_n \to x^*\) and by (56), we get that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_{r_n}^A (I - r_n B) x^* - x^*\| = 0.
\]
(63)

That is, \(T_{r_n}^A (I - rB)x^* = x^*\) as \(n \to \infty\), which implies that \(x^* \in (A + B)^{-1}(0)\). Therefore, we conclude that \(x^* \in \Theta\) which completes the proof. \(\square\)

### 4. Numerical Example and Convergence Analysis

In this section, we give the following numerical example to confirm the convergence of Theorem 3 by using the algorithm (26).

**Example 1.** Let \(H = \mathbb{R}\) and \(C = [0, 4]\). Define the mappings \(A : D(A) \subseteq C \to 2^H\), \(B : C \to H\), and \(T : C \to K(C)\) by the following:
Number of Iterations ($n$)

$x_0 = 0.648729$
$x_0 = 3.177138$
$x_0 = 1.244860$

$x_n$-Values

Figure 1: The comparison of convergent rate from 3 initial points.

Number of Iterations ($n$)

$A(x) = 2 \left( x + \frac{1}{4} \right)$,

$B(x) = \frac{1}{2} (x - 1)$,

$T(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x \in [0, 2] \\
[0, e^{x-2}], & x \in (2, 4] 
\end{cases}$

Figure 2: The comparison of convergent rate from 3 different alpha parameters.

Figure 3: The behaviors of the set $D_n$.

We see that the proposed mappings satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 3. For each $r_n > 0$, we obtain that $J_{r_n}^A(I - r_nB)(x) = x(2 - r)/(2 + 4r)$. It is easy to see that a point $0$ is in the fixed point sets of $J_{r_n}^A(I - r_nB)$ and $T$; that is, $0 \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap (A + B)^{-1}(0)$.

In Figure 1, these initial points are randomly chosen from the set $D_0 = C$ and we find optimal solution in 20 steps. This indicates that the sequence $x_n$ in algorithm (26) converges to the same point; that is, $0 \in \Theta$ as a solution of this example. In this experiment, Figure 1 indicates the behaviour of $x_n$ for algorithm (26) that converges to the same solution; that is, $0 \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap (A + B)^{-1}(0)$ as a solution of this example. Moreover, the decreasing on alpha function decreases rate of convergence to the optimal solutions which is shown in Figure 2. Figure 3 shows that $D_{n+1} \subset D_n \subset \cdots \subset D_2 \subset D_1 \subset D_0 = C$. This means that the iteration of $C_n$ will squeeze the area until we obtain the approximated solution.
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