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Abstract

We estimate the norm of the product of two scale functions in Fourier-Besov spaces. As applications of these algebra properties, we establish the global well-posedness for small initial data and local well-posedness for large initial data of the generalized Navier-Stokes equations. Particularly, we give a blow-up criterion of the solutions in Fourier-Besov spaces as well as a space analyticity of Gevrey regularity.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the mild solutions to the generalized Navier-Stokes equations (NSE) in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$

\[ u_t + \mu (-\Delta)^{\beta} u = Q(u, u), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n; \]
\[ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1} \]

Here $u(t, x) = (u^1(t, x), \ldots, u^n(t, x))$, $\mu > 0$ is a constant, and the operator $(-\Delta)^{\beta}$ is the Fourier multiplier with symbol $|\xi|^{2\beta}$. The bilinear operator $Q$ denotes the map of the form

\[ Q(f, g) = \sum_{k,l,m=1}^{n} q_{kl,m}^{j}(\xi_k \xi_l / |\xi|^2) \hat{g}(\xi), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{2} \]

where $q_{kl,m}^{j}(g) = \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} \alpha_{k_l, m}^{j, a, b} \mathcal{F}^{-1}((\xi_a, \xi_b / |\xi|^2) \hat{g}(\xi))$ and $\alpha_{k_l, m}^{j, a, b}$ are real numbers.

The incompressible NSE is a particular case of (1), by taking $Q_{\text{NS}} = -(1/2) \mathcal{P}(\text{div}(u \otimes u) + \text{div}(v \otimes u))$ with the Leray projector $\mathcal{P}$ defined as

\[ \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P} f)^j(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\delta_{j,k} - 1) \frac{\xi_k}{|\xi|} \tilde{f}_k(\xi), \tag{3} \]

where $\delta_{j,k} = 1$ if $j = k$ and $\delta_{j,k} = 0$ if $j \neq k$; that is,

\[ u_t + \mu (-\Delta)^{\beta} u = -\mathcal{P} \text{div}(u \otimes u), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n; \]
\[ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4} \]

If we set the initial data $u_0$ to be a divergence free vector field, the above system is exactly the (fractional) incompressible NSE.

For the classical incompressible NSE ($\beta = 1, Q = Q_{\text{NS}}$), the study of mild solution started by Fujita and Kato [1] in the space frame $\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and was extended by many mathematicians in different spaces [2–5]. As for the generalized case $\beta > 0, Q = Q_{\text{NS}}$, Lions [6] proved the global existence of classical solutions in 3-dimension when $\beta \geq 5/4$ (see also Wu [7] in n-dimension). For the important case $\beta < 5/4$, Wu [8, 9] studied the well-posedness in $\dot{B}^{1-2\beta+3/p, p}_{\infty, q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Inspired by Xiao [10] in the classical case ($\beta = 1$), Li and Zhai [11, 12] studied (1) in some critical $Q$-type spaces for $\beta \in (1/2, 1)$, and Zhai [13] showed the well-posedness in $\dot{B}^{1-2\beta+3/2\beta-1}_{\infty, q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Yu and Zhai [14] showed the well-posedness when $\beta \in (1/2, 1)$, and Cheskidov and Shvydkoy [15] showed the ill-posedness when $\beta \in [1, 5/4)$. Deng and Yao [16] studied (1) in Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}^{1-\beta}_{\theta, \theta, \infty}$ in 3-dimension and obtained...
the well-posedness in $F^{−\beta}_{3/(\beta−1),2}$ and ill-posedness in $F^{−\beta}_{3/(\beta−1),r}$ ($r > 2$) in the case $\beta \in (1, 5/4)$.

We focus on the results in Fourier-Besov spaces. Early results came from Cannone and Karch [17] in pseudomeasure type spaces $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{M}^\alpha$, in which they discuss the singular and regular solution of NSE. Iwabuchi [18] introduced a Besov type space $\mathcal{B}^\alpha_q$ (named Fourier-Herz space in [19]) to study the well-posedness and ill-posedness of Keller-Segel system. Scapellato and Ragusa in [20] introduced a Morrey-type spaces, which were first introduced by Konieczny and Yoneda [22], to study the dispersive effect of the Coriolis for NSE.

Our first result on the estimates of the product $uv$ in Fourier-Besov spaces has the similar form with that in Sobolev spaces [23], which can be seen as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $s_1 < \frac{n}{p'}$, $s_2 < \frac{n}{p}$,

$$s_1 + s_2 > \max \left\{ \frac{n}{p'} - \frac{n}{p}, 0 \right\},$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Then for $u \in F^{s_1}_{p,q}, v \in F^{s_2}_{p,q}$, one has

$$\|uv\|_{F^{s_2}_{p,q} \ast F^{s_1}_{p,q}} \leq C \|u\|_{F^{s_1}_{p,q}} \|v\|_{F^{s_2}_{p,q}}.
(5)$$

Remark 2. An important case is $p = q = 2$, since by Plancherel's identity we know $F^{1}_{2,2} = \mathcal{H}^1$. This theorem gives that if $s_1 < n/2, s_2 < n/2, s_1 + s_2 > 0$, then $\|uv\|_{F^{s_2}_{2,2} \ast F^{s_1}_{2,2}} \leq C \|u\|_{F^{s_1}_{2,2}} \|v\|_{F^{s_2}_{2,2}}$. This is exactly the case in homogeneous Sobolev spaces [24]. A similar result in Besov spaces can be seen in p. 61 of [25].

As an application of this theorem, we study the Cauchy problem of (1) in Fourier-Besov spaces $F^{1−2\beta n/p'}_{p,q}$.

**Theorem 3.** Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $q'/((1 + q') < \beta < (q'/((1 + q'))) \min\{1 + n/p'(1 + n/2)\}$. Then for any $u_0 \in F^{1−2\beta n/p'}_{p,q}$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$, the Cauchy problem (1) admits a unique mild solution $u$ and

$$u \in E_T = \mathcal{C}([0, T]; F^{1−2\beta n/p'}_{p,q})$$

$$\cap \mathcal{L}^q([0, T]; F^{1−2\beta n/p'+2\beta/q}_{p,q})$$

$$\cap \mathcal{L}^{2\beta}([0, T]; F^{1−2\beta n/p'+\beta/q}_{p,q}).$$  

(7)

Moreover, let $T^*$ denote the maximal time of existence of such a solution, then

(i) there is a constant $C_0$ such that if $\|u_0\|_{F^{1−2\beta n/p'}_{p,q}} \leq C_0\mu$, then

$$T^* = \infty;$$

(8)

(ii) if $T^* < \infty$, then

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2\beta}([0, T^*]; F^{1−2\beta n/p'+\beta/q}_{p,q})} = \infty.$$  

(9)

Particularly, our result also holds in the case $p \geq 1, q = 1, \beta = 1$.

This well-posedness result corresponds to the classical case for initial data $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ [2] if we take $n = 3, p = q = 2, \beta = 1, \mu = 1$ in Theorem 3. Unfortunately, our result is not suitable for the case $p = q = 1, \beta = 1$, which has been proved in [26]. To address this special case, we also prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2, \beta \in (1/(1 + 1/q'), (1 + n/2p')/(1 + 1/q')]$. Then for any $u_0 \in F^{1−2\beta n/p'}_{p,q}$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$, the Cauchy problem (1) admits a unique mild solution $u \in E_T$. Moreover, let $T^*$ denote the maximal time of existence of such a solution, then

(i) if $u_0$ such that if $\|u_0\|_{F^{1−2\beta n/p'}_{p,q}} \leq C_0\mu$, then

$$T^* = \infty;$$

(10)

(ii) if $T^* < \infty$, then

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2\beta}([0, T^*]; F^{1−2\beta n/p'+\beta/q}_{p,q})} = \infty.$$  

(11)

Particularly, our result also holds in the case $p = q = 1, \beta = 1$.

Now we focus on the space analyticity. Our main method is Gevrey estimate, which was introduced by Foias and Temam [27], since that Gevrey class technique has become an effective approach in the study of space analyticity of solutions. Ferrari and Titi [28] established Gevrey regularity for a very large class of parabolic equation with analytic nonlinearity. Grujić and Kukavica [29] prove the Gevrey regularity for NSE in $L^p$. More results on the analyticity of solution for NSE can be seen in Lemarie-Rieusset [5] and references therein. Biswas [30] established Gevrey class regularity of solutions to a large class of dissipative equations in Besov type spaces defined via caloric extension. Bae [31] proved the Gevrey estimate of solution for NSE in the spaces $\mathcal{X}^{-1}$. Inspired by this, we establish the Gevrey class regularity for the generalized NSE in the Fourier-Besov spaces. We indicate that any order derivative of the solution $u$ enjoys the same behavior with $u$ in some sense. In fact, denote by $e^{\mathcal{N}D^\alpha}$ the Fourier multiplier with symbol $e^{\mathcal{N}D^\alpha}$, then we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.** Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $q'/((1 + q') < \beta < (q'/((1 + q'))) \min\{1 + n/p'(1 + n/2), 1\}$. Then for any $u_0 \in F^{1−2\beta n/p'}_{p,q}$, the Cauchy problem (1) admits a unique mild
solution \( u \in E_T \) such that \( e^{\sqrt{\gamma}B_T} u \in E_T \). Particularly, our result also holds in the case \( p > 1, q = 1, \beta = 1 \).

**Theorem 6.** Let \( 1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2, q'^{/(1 + q')} < \beta < (q'/(1 + q'))(1 + n/2p') \), \( \beta \leq 1 \). Then for any \( u_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{1,2^{2n/\beta}} \), the Cauchy problem (1) admits a unique mild solution \( u \in E_T \) such that \( e^{\sqrt{\gamma}B_T} u \in E_T \). Particularly, our result also holds in the case \( p = q = 1, \beta = 1 \).

**Remark 7.** In our later proof, we can also obtain that conclusions (i), (ii) in Theorems 3 and 4 are also valid for \( e^{\sqrt{\gamma}B_T} u \) in Theorems 5 and 6, respectively.

Throughout this paper, the notation \( A \sim B \) means that there exist positive constants \( C_1 \leq C_2 \) such that \( C_1 A \leq B \leq C_2 A \). We use \( B^p_{p,q} \) to denote the classical homogeneous Besov spaces and \( \mathcal{H}^s \) the homogeneous Sobolev spaces. Also, \( C \) denotes a positive constant which may differ in lines if not being specified, and \( p' \) is the number satisfying \( 1/p + 1/p' = 1 \) for \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \). The inverse Fourier transform is denoted by \( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \).

We organize the paper as follows. In Section 2, we give some basic properties of Fourier-Besov spaces. Then we prove Theorem 1 as well as a corollary. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorems 3 and 4. And in Section 4, we prove the space analyticity of Theorems 5 and 6.

### 2. Algebraic Properties in Fourier-Besov Spaces

Let \( \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty (\mathbb{R}^n) \) be a radial real-valued smooth function such that \( 0 \leq \varphi(\xi) \leq 1 \) and

\[
\supp \varphi \subset \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3} \right\},
\]

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi (2^{-j} \xi) = 1 \quad (12)
\]

for any \( \xi \neq 0 \).

We denote \( \varphi_j(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j} \xi) \) and \( \mathcal{P} \) the set of all polynomials. The space of tempered distributions is denoted by \( S' \).

**Definition 8.** For \( s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty \), set

\[
\| f \|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}} = \left( \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \| \varphi_j \mathcal{F} f \|_{L^p_{\mathbb{R}^n}}^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad q < \infty;
\]

\[
\| f \|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}} = \left( \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \| \varphi_j \mathcal{F} f \|_{L^p_{\mathbb{R}^n}}^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad q = \infty.
\]

We define the homogeneous Fourier-Besov space \( \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q} \) as

\[
\mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q} = \left\{ f \in S' : \| f \|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}} < \infty \right\}.
\]

The Fourier-Besov spaces look similar to the classical Besov spaces, but without the inverse Fourier transform. In fact, there are close relationships between them [32]. These spaces are, also, similar to central Morrey spaces studied in [33]. In order to apply in PDE, we also need to derive the properties of Fourier-Besov spaces with space-time norm.

**Definition 9.** Let \( s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty \) and \( I = [0, T), T \in (0, \infty) \). The space-time norm is defined on \( f(t, x) \) by

\[
\| f(t, x) \|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}(I ; \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q})} = \left( \int_I \| f(t, \cdot) \|_{L^p_{\mathbb{R}^n}}^q \, dt \right)^{1/r}.
\]

**Remark 10.** By the definition and Minkowski’s inequality, we easily deduce that

\[
\| f(t, x) \|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}(I ; \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q})} \leq \int_I \| f(t, \cdot) \|_{L^p_{\mathbb{R}^n}}^q \, dt \, dt \quad (15)
\]

for \( r \leq q \);

\[
\| f(t, x) \|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}(I ; \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q})} \leq \int_I \| f(t, \cdot) \|_{L^p_{\mathbb{R}^n}}^q \, dt \, dt \quad (16)
\]

for \( r \geq q \).

**Proposition 11.** Let \( s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty, I = [0, T), T \in (0, \infty) \). The following inclusions hold.

1. If \( p = 2 \), then \( \mathcal{L}^2(I ; \mathcal{L}^{2,q}_{p,q}) \subset \mathcal{L}^2(I ; \mathcal{L}^{2,q}_{p,q}) \).
2. If \( p < 2 \), then \( \mathcal{L}^p(I ; \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}) \subset \mathcal{L}^p(I ; \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}) \).
3. If \( p > 2 \), then \( \mathcal{L}^p(I ; \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}) \subset \mathcal{L}^p(I ; \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}) \).
4. If \( p_1 \leq q_1 \), then \( \mathcal{L}^{p_1}(I ; \mathcal{L}^{q_1}_{p_1,q_1}) \subset \mathcal{L}^{p_1}(I ; \mathcal{L}^{q_1}_{p_1,q_1}) \).
5. If \( 1 \leq q \leq \infty \) for any \( \xi \neq 0 \), then \( \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q} \subset \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q} \).

\[
\| f \|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}(I ; \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q})} \leq \| f \|_{\mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q}(I ; \mathcal{L}^{p,q}_{p,q})} \quad (18)
\]

The special case \( p = q \) has an interesting equivalent norm, which can be seen by the following proposition (see [22] for the proof).

**Proposition 12.** Define the spaces \( \mathcal{X}_{s,p} \) as

\[
\mathcal{X}_{s,p} = \left\{ f \in S': \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^s |\varphi_j \mathcal{F} f |^p \, d\xi \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.
\]

Then we have \( \mathcal{X}_{s,p} = \mathcal{L}^{p,p}_{p,p} \) and the norms are equivalent

\[
\| f \|_{\mathcal{X}_{s,p}} \sim \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^s |\varphi_j \mathcal{F} f |^p \, d\xi \right)^{1/p}.
\]
Now we give the proof of Theorem 1. The tools we use are the paraproduct and Bony's decomposition, which can be found in [8, 17].

Proof of Theorem 1. We will use the technique of the paraproduct. Set

\[
\Delta_j u = \left(2^{-j} \phi_j \right) \ast u,
\]

\[
\tilde{S}_j = \sum_{k < j - 1} \Delta_k u,
\]

\[
\tilde{\Delta}_j u = \sum_{k > j + 1} \Delta_k u
\]

for \(\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}\).

By Bony’s decomposition, we have for fixed \(j\) and H"older’s inequality
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\[
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{FB^s_{p,q} \cap FB^t_{-s,-m}/p'} & \leq C \|u\|_{FB^s_{p,q} \cap FB^t_{-s,-m}/p'} \\
\|u\|_{FB^s_{p,q} \cap FB^t_{-s,-m}/p'} & \leq C \|u\|_{FB^s_{p,q} \cap FB^t_{-s,-m}/p'}.
\end{align*}
\]

Using the inclusion \(FB^s_{-s,-m}/p' \subset FB^t_{-s,-m}/p'\), we have

\[
\left( \sum_{j} 2^{(s_1 + s_2 - n/p') j} \|\hat{F}^q_j\|_{L^p}^{1/q} \right)^{1/q} \leq C \|u\|_{FB^s_{p,q} \cap FB^t_{-s,-m}/p'}.
\]

In a similar way, we can prove

\[
\left( \sum_{j} 2^{(s_1 + s_2 - n/p') j} \|\hat{F}^q_j\|_{L^p}^{1/q} \right)^{1/q} \leq C \|u\|_{FB^s_{p,q} \cap FB^t_{-s,-m}/p'}.
\]

For the remaining term, we first consider the case \(p \leq 2\), in which \(s_1 + s_2 > 0\). By H"older’s inequality with \(1/p' = 1/p + 1/p - 1/p'\) and by Young’s inequality with \(1 + 1/p - 1/p' = 1/p + 1/p\), we have

\[
2^{(s_1 + s_2 - n/p') j} \|\hat{F}^q_j\|_{L^p} \leq C \left( \sum_{k \geq k_0} 2^{k - n/p'} \|\hat{F}^q_k\|_{L^p} \right) \leq C \left( \sum_{k \geq k_0} 2^{k - n/p'} \|\hat{F}^q_k\|_{L^p} \right) \leq C \left( \sum_{k \geq k_0} 2^{k - n/p'} \|\hat{F}^q_k\|_{L^p} \right). \tag{28}
\]

When \(q > 2\), we take \(L^q\)-norm of both sides of (28) and use Young’s inequality with \(1 + 1/q = 1/q' + 2/q\) to get

\[
\left( \sum_{j} 2^{(s_1 + s_2 - n/p') j} \|\hat{F}^q_j\|_{L^p}^{1/q} \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left( \sum_{k \geq k_0} 2^{k - n/p'} \|\hat{F}^q_k\|_{L^p} \right) \leq C \left( \sum_{k \geq k_0} 2^{k - n/p'} \|\hat{F}^q_k\|_{L^p} \right). \tag{29}
\]

When \(q \leq 2\), then \(FB^s_{p,q} \subset FB^t_{p,q}\); by definition, taking \(L^q\)-norm of both sides of (28) and using Young’s inequality with \(1 + 1/q = 1 + 1/q\), we get

\[
\left( \sum_{j} 2^{(s_1 + s_2 - n/p') j} \|\hat{F}^q_j\|_{L^p}^{1/q} \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left( \sum_{k \geq k_0} 2^{k - n/p'} \|\hat{F}^q_k\|_{L^p} \right) \leq C \left( \sum_{k \geq k_0} 2^{k - n/p'} \|\hat{F}^q_k\|_{L^p} \right). \tag{30}
\]
For the case $p > 2$, we have $s_1 + s_2 > n/p' - n/p$. By Hölder’s inequality, there holds
\[
2^{(s_1+s_2-n/p')j} \left\| \mathcal{P}_j \phi \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \sum_{k \leq -3} 2^{(s_1+s_2-n/p')j} \left\| \mathcal{P}_k \phi \right\|_{L^p} \cdot \sum_{|l-k| \leq 1} \left\| \mathcal{P}_l \phi \right\|_{L^p} 
\]
\[
\leq C \sum_{k \leq -3} 2^{(s_1+s_2-n/p')j} \left\| \mathcal{P}_k \phi \right\|_{L^p} \cdot \sum_{|l-k| \leq 1} \left\| \mathcal{P}_l \phi \right\|_{L^p} 
\]
\[
\cdot \sum_{j \leq 1} \left\| \mathcal{P}_j \phi \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \sum_{k \leq -3} 2^{(s_1+s_2-n/p')j} \left( \left\| \mathcal{P}_k \phi \right\|_{L^p} \right)^j 
\]
\[
\cdot \sum_{|l-k| \leq 1} \left\| \mathcal{P}_l \phi \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \sum_{k \leq -3} 2^{(s_1+s_2-n/p')j} (j-k)^2 \left\| \mathcal{P}_k \phi \right\|_{L^p} \cdot \sum_{|l-k| \leq 1} \left\| \mathcal{P}_l \phi \right\|_{L^p} \cdot \sum_{j \leq 1} \left\| \mathcal{P}_j \phi \right\|_{L^p} 
\]
\[
(31) 
\]

Following the same steps as in the case $p \leq 2$, we obtain the same estimate. Collecting the above estimates we finish our proof.

By a slight modification of the proof, we can also obtain the following.

**Corollary 13.** Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that
\[
s_1 \leq \frac{n}{p'}, 
\]
\[
s_2 \leq \frac{n}{p'}, 
\]
\[
s_1 + s_2 > \max \left\{ \frac{n}{p'} - \frac{n}{p}, 0 \right\}, 
\]
where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Then for $u \in \mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,1}, v \in \mathcal{F}^{s_2}_{p,1}$, one has
\[
\|uv\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1+s_2-n/p'}_{p,1}} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,1}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_2}_{p,1}}. 
\]

\[
(33) 
\]

### 3. The Well-Posedness

To prove the well-posedness, we invoke the fix point principle. We consider the mild solution which means the equivalent integral equation
\[
\tilde{u}(t,x) = e^{−\mu(t−\tau)(−\Delta)^{\delta}}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{−\mu(t−\tau)(−\Delta)^{\delta}}Q(u_0,u) (\tau,x) \, d\tau 
\]
\[
= e^{−\mu(t−\tau)(−\Delta)^{\delta}}u_0 + B(u_0,u). 
\]

**Lemma 14** (linear estimate). Let $I = [0,T), 0 < T \leq \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p,q \leq \infty$. Set $\mathcal{U}_0 = e^{−\mu(t−\tau)(−\Delta)^{\delta}}u_0$; we have
\[
\|\mathcal{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,q}} + \|\mathcal{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_2}_{p,q}} \leq C \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,q}}. 
\]

\[
(35) 
\]

**Proof.** By the Fourier transform, we have
\[
(\mathcal{U}_0)^\wedge (\xi) = e^{−\mu |\xi|^2 1/q} u_0. 
\]

Multiplying $\phi_j$ and taking the $L^p$-norm imply
\[
\|\phi_j (\mathcal{U}_0)^\wedge\|_p \leq e^{−\mu 2^{j\beta} (3/4)^{2\beta}} \|\phi_j\|_p, 
\]
\[
(37) 
\]

where we denote $\tilde{u}_j = \phi_j \tilde{u}$. Multiplying $2^j$ and taking $L^1$-norm
\[
\|\mathcal{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,q}} \leq \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,q}}. 
\]

\[
(38) 
\]

Similarly, multiplying $2^{(j+2\beta/\beta)}$ and taking $L^3$-norm with respect to time on $I$,
\[
2^{j(±2\beta/\beta)} \|\phi_j (\mathcal{U}_0)^\wedge\|_{L^3(I;L^p)} 
\]
\[
\leq \left( \int_I e^{−\mu q t 2^{j\beta}(3/4)^{2\beta}} 2^{2\beta} \, dt \right)^{1/q} 2^{j/2} \|\phi_j\|^2_{L^p} 
\]
\[
(39) 
\]

Since $\int_0^\infty e^{−\mu q t 2^{j\beta}(3/4)^{2\beta}} 2^{2\beta} \, dt = \mu q (3/4)^{2\beta}$, taking $L^1$-norm we get
\[
\|\mathcal{U}_0\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,1}} \leq C \mu^{-1/q} \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,1}}. 
\]

\[
(40) 
\]

**Lemma 15** (nonlinear estimate). Let $I = [0,T), 0 < T \leq \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p,q \leq \infty$. Set $Gf = \int_0^t e^{−\mu (t−\tau)(−\Delta)^{\delta}} f(\tau,x) \, d\tau$; we have
\[
\mu^{1/q} \|Gf\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,q}} + \mu \|Gf\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_2}_{p,q}} 
\]
\[
\leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,q}}. 
\]

\[
(41) 
\]

**Proof.** Fourier transform gives
\[
(\mathcal{G}f)^\wedge (\xi) = \int_0^t e^{−\mu (t−\tau)|\xi|^{2\beta}} \overline{f(\tau)} \, d\tau. 
\]

\[
(42) 
\]

Multiplying $\phi_j$ and taking the $L^p$-norm
\[
\|\phi_j (\mathcal{G}f)^\wedge\|_p \leq \int_0^t e^{−\mu (t−\tau)|\xi|^{2\beta}} \|f\|_p \, d\tau, 
\]
\[
(43) 
\]

where we denote $\tilde{u}_j = \phi_j \tilde{u}$. Multiplying $2^j$ and using Hölder’s inequality, we get
\[
2^{j/2} \|\phi_j (\mathcal{G}f)^\wedge\|_p 
\]
\[
\leq \left( \int_I e^{−\mu q t 2^{j\beta}(3/4)^{2\beta}} 2^{2\beta} \, dt \right)^{1/q} 2^{j/2} \|\phi_j\|^2_{L^p} 
\]
\[
(44) 
\]

Using $\int_0^\infty e^{−\mu q t 2^{j\beta}(3/4)^{2\beta}} 2^{2\beta} \, dt = \mu q (3/4)^{2\beta}$ and taking $L^1$-norm, we conclude
\[
\|\mathcal{G}f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,1}} \leq C \mu^{-1/q} \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^{s_1}_{p,1}}. 
\]

\[
(45) 
\]
Similarly, multiplying $2^{j(\beta+2\beta/q)}$

\[
2^{j(\beta+2\beta/q)} \| \phi_j (Gf)^\Delta \|_{L^p} \\
\leq \int_0^1 e^{-t(1-\gamma)2^{j(3/4)\beta} 2^{j(2\beta+2\beta/q)2^{j(\beta-2\beta/q)}} \int_I \|f\|_{L^p} dt.
\]

(46)

Taking $L^p$-norm with respect to time on $I$ and using Young's inequality,

\[
2^{j(\beta+2\beta/q)} \| \phi_j (Gf)^\Delta \|_{W(I,L^p)} \\
\leq \int_I e^{-\gamma t2^{j(3/4)\beta} 2^{j\beta} dt 2^{j(\beta-2\beta/q)}} \int_I \|f\|_{L^p} dt.
\]

(47)

Now taking $L^p$-norm, we obtain our desired inequality

\[
\|Gf\|_{L^p(I;F^\beta_{p,q})} \leq C \mu^{-1} \|f\|_{L^p(I;F^\beta_{p,q})}.
\]

(48)

\textbf{Lemma 16} (bilinear estimate). Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $q'/(1+q') < \beta < (q'/1+q') \min(1+n/p', 1+n/2)$. We have

\[
\|Q(u,v)\|_{L^p(I;F^\beta_{p,q})} \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(I;F^{2\beta+n}(p',p'/q)}) \|v\|_{L^p(I;F^\beta_{p,q})}.
\]

(49)

Particularly, the result also holds in the case $p > 1, q = 1, \beta = 1$.

\textbf{Proof}. By Remark 7 and Hölder's inequality, it is sufficient to prove

\[
\|Q(u,v)\|_{L^p(I;F^\beta_{p,q})} \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(I;F^{2\beta+n}(p',p'/q))} \|v\|_{L^p(I;F^\beta_{p,q})}.
\]

(50)

Note that all indices in the definition of $Q(u,v)$ are finite; we have

\[
\|Q(u,v)\|_{L^p(I;F^\beta_{p,q})} \leq C \sum \|\phi_j \|_{L^p(I;F^{2\beta+n}(p',p'/q))} \|v\|_{L^p(I;F^\beta_{p,q})}.
\]

(51)

On the other hand, by Definition 8, we know

\[
\|\phi_j \|_{L^p(I;F^{2\beta+n}(p',p'/q))} \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(I;F^{2\beta+n}(p',p'/q))}.
\]

(52)

Thus, we just need to consider the estimate of the product $\|uv\|_{L^p(I;F^{2\beta+n}(p',p'/q))}$. However, set $s_1 = s_2 = 1 - 2\beta + n/p' + \beta/q$, then by Theorem 1 and Corollary 13, we obtain our desired result.

Next we introduce an abstract lemma on the existence of fixed point solutions [14, 19].

\textbf{Lemma 17}. Let $X$ be a Banach space with norm $\| \cdot \|_X$ and $B : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a bounded bilinear operator satisfying

\[
\|B(u,v)\| X \leq \eta \|u\|_X \|v\|_X,
\]

(53)

for all $u, v \in X$ and a constant $\eta > 0$. Then for any fixed $y \in X$ satisfying $\|y\|_X < \epsilon < 1/4\eta$, the equation $x = y + B(x, x)$ has a solution $x \in X$ such that $\|x\|_X \leq 2\|y\|_X$. Also, the solution is unique in $B(0, 2\epsilon)$. Moreover, the solution depends continuously on $y$ in the sense: if $\|y'\|_X < \epsilon, x' = y' + B(x', x')$, $\|x'\|_X < 2\epsilon$, then

\[
\|x - x'\|_X \leq \frac{1}{1 - 4\eta} \|y - y'\|_X.
\]

(54)

This lemma allows us to solve the Cauchy problem (1) with bounded bilinear form and small data. Now we begin our proof.

\textbf{Proof of Theorem 3}. We first seek the solution in the spaces $\mathscr{E}^{2\beta,1}(I;F_{p,q}^{2\beta+n/p' + \beta/q})$. By (6) of Proposition II

\[
\|x\|_{\mathscr{E}^{2\beta,1}(I;F_{p,q}^{2\beta+n/p' + \beta/q})} \leq C \mu^{-1/2} \|x\|_{\mathscr{E}^{2\beta,1}(I;F_{p,q}^{2\beta+n/p' + \beta/q})}.
\]

(55)

By Lemma 15 with $s = 1 - 2\beta + n/p'$ and Lemma 16

\[
\|B(u,v)\|_{\mathscr{E}^{2\beta,1}(I;F_{p,q}^{2\beta+n/p' + \beta/q})} \leq C \mu^{-1/2} \|u\|_{\mathscr{E}^{2\beta,1}(I;F_{p,q}^{2\beta+n/p' + \beta/q})}. \]

(56)

By Lemma 17, we know that if $\|e^{-\mu t(-\Delta)^\beta} u_0\|_{\mathscr{E}^{2\beta,1}(I;F_{p,q}^{2\beta+n/p' + \beta/q})} \leq R$ with $R = \mu^{1/2 + 1/2q}/4C$, then (34) has a unique solution in $B(0, 2R)$, where

\[
B(0, 2R) = \left\{ x \in \mathscr{E}^{2\beta,1}(I;F_{p,q}^{2\beta+n/p' + \beta/q}) : \|x\|_{\mathscr{E}^{2\beta,1}(I;F_{p,q}^{2\beta+n/p' + \beta/q})} \leq 2R \right\}.
\]

(57)

Now we need to derive $\|e^{-\mu t(-\Delta)^\beta} u_0\|_{\mathscr{E}^{2\beta,1}(I;F_{p,q}^{2\beta+n/p' + \beta/q})} < R$. First, we consider small initial data. Lemma 14 and (55) imply that

\[
\|e^{-\mu t(-\Delta)^\beta} u_0\|_{\mathscr{E}^{2\beta,1}(I;F_{p,q}^{2\beta+n/p' + \beta/q})} \leq C \mu^{-1/2} \|u_0\|_{F_{p,q}^{2\beta+n/p' + \beta/q}}.
\]

(58)
Thus we can take \( u_0 \) such that \( \| u_0 \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p} < C_0 \mu \) with \( C_0 = (4\alpha^2)^{-1} \). Next, for the large initial data \( u_0 \), we divide \( u_0 = u_0^1 \) by \( u_0 = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{X}(l \mathcal{L}_Y) u_0^1 + \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{X}(l \mathcal{L}_Y) u_0^2 \), where \( Y = y(u_0) > 0 \) is a large real number determined later. Since \( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{X}(l \mathcal{L}_Y) u_0 \) converges to 0 in \( FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p \) as \( Y \to +\infty \), by (58) there exists some large enough such that

\[
\| e^{\alpha(t-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0^1 \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p} \leq C \mu^{1/2q} \| u_0^1 \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p} \leq \frac{\mu^{1/2+1/2q'}}{8C}.
\]  

(59)

Now for \( u_0^1 \), there holds

\[
\| e^{\alpha(t-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0^1 \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p}^q \leq \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(1-2\beta+\nu/p+\beta/qq)} \right)^{1/q} \cdot \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\alpha \mu (\mathcal{X}(l \mathcal{L}_Y) u_0^1)^q \| \mathcal{X}(l \mathcal{L}_Y) u_0^1 \|_{L^2(l \mathcal{L}_p)}^q} \right)^{1/q} \leq C \| u_0^1 \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p}.
\]

(60)

Thus we can choose \( T \) small enough such that

\[
T \leq \left( \frac{\mu^{1/2+1/2q'}}{8C^2 \mu^{1/2q} \| u_0 \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p}} \right)^{2q}.
\]  

(61)

We now conclude that (34) has a unique solution \( u \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(I;FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p). \) By (34), Lemmas 14 and 15, and Theorem 1, we conclude

\[
u \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(I;FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p) \cap \mathcal{D}^\beta(I;FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p+2\beta/q}_p).
\]  

(62)

The continuity with respect to time is standard and thus we prove Theorem 3 up to the blow-up criterion. Next we prove the blow-up criterion. Suppose \( T^* \) is the maximal time of existence of mild solution associated with \( u_0 \). If we have a solution of (1) on \([0, T^*)\) such that

\[
\| u \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p} < \infty,
\]

then the integral equation (34), Lemmas 14 and 15, and Theorem 1 imply that for all \( t \in [0, T^*) \)

\[
\| u(t) \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p} < \infty.
\]  

(64)

Using the integral equation (34), and by similar method with the proof of Lemmas 14, 15, and 16

\[
\| u(t) - u(t') \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p} + \| Q(u, u) \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p} \leq C \| u \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p}^2.
\]

(65)

Since \( \| I - e^{-\mu (t-t')(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p} \) converges to 0 as \( t' \to t \), and from (63) we know that

\[
\| Q(u, u) \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p} \leq \| u \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p}^2.
\]  

(66)

Thus we can conclude that the other two terms also converge to 0 as \( t' \to t \), so \( u(t) \) satisfies the Cauchy criterion at \( T^* \). Thus there exists an element \( u^* \in FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p \) such that \( u(t) \to u^* \) as \( t \to T^* \). Now set \( u(T^*) = u^* \), and consider the fractional Navier-Stokes equations starting by \( u^* \). Using Theorem 1 we obtain that a solution exists on a larger time interval than \([0, T^*)\), which is a contradiction.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Using the same method in proving Theorem 3, to prove Theorem 4, it is sufficient to re-estimate \( B(u, v) \), and by Lemma 15 we only need to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 18.** Let \( 1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2, \beta \in (1/1+1/q', (1+ n/2p')/(1+1/q')) \). Then there exists some constant \( C = C(\beta, p, q) > 0 \) depending on \( \beta, p, q \) such that

\[
\| Q(u, u) \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p} \leq C \| u \|_{FB^{1-2\beta+\nu/p}_p}^2.
\]  

(67)

Particularly, it is true for the case: \( p = q = 1, \beta = 1 \).
Proof. Similarly to Lemma 16, we only need to estimate \( \|uv\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \). Using Bony’s decomposition

\[
\|uv\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{8}{3} \left[ \left( \sum_j 2^{(1-\frac{1}{p}+n|p-1|)} q \|T_j\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) + \left( \sum_j 2^{(1-\frac{1}{p}+n|p-1|)} q \|T_j\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \right].
\]

The terms \( I_j \) and \( II_j \) are symmetrical. Using Young’s inequality and Hölder’s inequality we have

\[
\|T_j\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sum_{|k-j| \leq 3} \|\tilde{T}_k\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{|l-k| \leq 2} \|\tilde{T}_l\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sum_{|k-j| \leq 3} \|\tilde{T}_k\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left( \sum_{l=k-2}^{k+2} \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+3} q \|\tilde{T}_j\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \bigg]\frac{1}{q}.
\]

Recalling \( L_{p,q}^2(I;F_{-}\mathbb{R}^n_{+},L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subset L_{p,q}^2(I;F_{-}\mathbb{R}^n_{+},L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \) in Proposition II, there holds

\[
(\sum_j 2^{(1-\frac{1}{p}+n|p-1|)} q \|T_j\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \leq C \|u\|_{L_{p,q}^2(I;F_{-}\mathbb{R}^n_{+},L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \|v\|_{L_{p,q}^2(I;F_{-}\mathbb{R}^n_{+},L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}.
\]

In a similar way, we can prove

\[
(\sum_j 2^{(1-\frac{1}{p}+n|p-1|)} q \|T_j\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \leq C \|u\|_{L_{p,q}^2(I;F_{-}\mathbb{R}^n_{+},L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \|v\|_{L_{p,q}^2(I;F_{-}\mathbb{R}^n_{+},L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}.
\]

For the remaining term, we invoke Minkowski’s inequality. In fact when \( p' = n \), we have

\[
(\sum_j 2^{(1-\frac{1}{p}+n|p-1|)} q \|T_j\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \leq \sum_{|k-j| \leq 3} \|\tilde{T}_k\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left( \sum_{l=k-2}^{k+2} \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+3} q \|\tilde{T}_j\|_{L_{p,q}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \bigg]\frac{1}{q}.
\]
In the last inequality we use $L^2(a(I;F\tilde{B}_{p,q}^{1-2\beta+n/p'-\beta/q'})) \subset L^2(a(I;F\tilde{B}_{p,q}^{1-2\beta+n/p'-\beta/q'}))$ for $q \in [1, 2]$ by Proposition 11. Collecting the above estimates we conclude our desired result.

4. Space Analyticity

The proof is similar with the proof of Theorem 3. Let

$$U(t, x) := e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} u(t, x).$$

(73)

By the integral equation (34), we have

$$U(t, x) = e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} u(0) + \int_0^t e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta(t-\tau)} e^{-\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta \tau} Q(u, \tau) d\tau.$$

Since $e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta(t-\tau)}$ and $e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta(t-\tau)}$ are uniformly bounded on $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $\tau \in [0, t]$, together with Lemmas 14 and 15 we only need to consider the estimate of $\|e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} Q(u, \tau)\|_{L^p(I;F^{1,\beta+n/p'-\beta/q'})}$. To apply Lemma 17, we need a bilinear estimate. To this end, we write $Q(u, \tau) = e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} V(\tau)$ with $V := e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} v$ and we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 19.** Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $q'/(1 + q') < \beta < (q'/(1 + q')) \min \{1 + n/p', 1 + n/2\}$, $\beta \leq 1$. Then

$$\|e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} Q(u, \tau)\|_{L^p(I;F^{1,\beta+n/p'-\beta/q'})} \leq C \|U\|_{L^p(I;F^{1,\beta+n/p'-\beta/q'})} \|V\|_{L^p(I;F^{1,\beta+n/p'-\beta/q'})}.$$

(75)

Particularly, our result also holds in the case $p > 1, q = 1, \beta = 1$.

**Proof.** Using the same discussion in the proof of Lemma 16, it is sufficient to consider the estimate of $\|e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} (uv)\|_{L^p(I;F^{1,\beta+n/p'-\beta/q'})}$. By Bony's decomposition, we have for fixed $j$

$$\Delta_j e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} (uv) = \sum_{|k| \leq j} \Delta_j e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} (\Delta_k u \Delta_k v) + \sum_{|k| > j} \Delta_j e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} (\Delta_k \Delta_k u) + \sum_{|k| > j} \Delta_j e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} (\Delta_k \Delta_k v)$$

$$:= R^i_j + R^j_j + R^j^j.$$

The idea is that the $L^p$-norm of $\mathcal{F}\Delta_j e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} (uv)$ can be bounded by that of $\mathcal{F}\Delta_j (uv)$. In fact,

$$\|R^i_j\|_{L^p} = \left\| \sum_{|k| \leq j} \phi_k e^{\sqrt{\gamma}|k|^\beta} \left[ \left( \sum_{|l| \leq 2} e^{-\sqrt{\gamma}|l|^\beta} \Delta l \right) \right] \right\|_{L^p} \leq \sum_{|k| \leq j} \phi_k \left\| e^{-\sqrt{\gamma}|k|^\beta} \Delta l \right\|_{L^p} \left\| e^{-\sqrt{\gamma}|l|^\beta} \Delta l \right\|_{L^p} (77)$$

Similarly, we can also obtain that

$$\|R^j^j\|_{L^p} \leq \left\| \sum_{|k| \leq j} \phi_k \Delta l \right\|_{L^p} \left\| \sum_{|l| \leq 2} \Delta l \right\|_{L^p}$$

(79)

Following the same step in the proof of Theorem 1 and Lemma 16, it is easy to conclude our desired result.

By this lemma and the above observations, we can obtain Theorem 5 by following the proof of Theorem 3 line by line. Similarly, to prove Theorem 6, it is sufficient to obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 20.** Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2, q'/(1 + q') < \beta < (q'/(1 + q'))(1 + n/2p')$, $\beta \leq 1$. Then

$$\|e^{\sqrt{\gamma}D_j^\beta} Q(u, \tau)\|_{L^p(I;F^{1,\beta+n/p'-\beta/q'})} \leq C \|U\|_{L^p(I;F^{1,\beta+n/p'-\beta/q'})} \|V\|_{L^p(I;F^{1,\beta+n/p'-\beta/q'})}.$$

(80)

Particularly, our result also holds in the case $p = q = 1, \beta = 1$.

**Proof.** Using the discussion in Lemma 19 and following the same steps in the proof of Lemma 18, it is easy to conclude our desired result.
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