Research Article

Essential Norm of Difference of Composition Operators from Weighted Bergman Spaces to Bloch-Type Spaces

Ram Krishan,¹ Mehak Sharma,¹ and Ajay K. Sharma ²

¹School of Mathematics, Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University, Kakryal, Katra 182320, India

²Department of Mathematics, Central University of Jammu, Bagla, Rahya-Suchani, Samba 181143, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Ajay K. Sharma; aksju_76@yahoo.com

Received 10 November 2017; Accepted 31 January 2018; Published 13 March 2018

Academic Editor: Ruhan Zhao

Copyright © 2018 Ram Krishan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We compute upper and lower bounds for essential norm of difference of composition operators acting from weighted Bergman spaces to Bloch-type spaces.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, $H(\mathbb{D})$ the space of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$, and $S(\mathbb{D})$ the set of all holomorphic self-maps of $\mathbb{D}$. For $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, the composition operator C_φ is a linear operator defined by

$$C_\varphi f = f \circ \varphi, \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}). \quad (1)$$

For a general reference on composition operator we refer to [1]. To understand the topological structures of spaces of composition operators, many people have studied difference of composition operators on different spaces of holomorphic functions; see, for example, [2–26] and references therein. Recently, Zhu and Yang [26] characterized boundedness and compactness of the differences of two composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces to Bloch spaces. Motivated by these results, in this paper we compute essential norm of difference of composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces to Bloch-type spaces.

Throughout this paper, constants are denoted by C ; they are positive and not necessary the same in each occurrence. The notation $A \leq B$ means that A is less than or equal to a constant times B and $D \geq E$ means that D is greater than or equal to a constant times E . When $A \leq B$ and $A \geq B$, then we write $A \approx B$.

For $0 < \alpha < \infty$ and $0 < p < \infty$, the weighted Bergman space $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p$ is the space of all functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}^p := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z) < \infty, \quad (2)$$

where $dA(z)$ is the normalized area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. For any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the following point-evaluation estimate holds:

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{(2+\alpha)/p}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p. \quad (3)$$

The following equivalent norm in the weighted Bergman spaces is well-known. Let $0 < p < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p$ if and only if, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^{(n)}(z)(1 - |z|^2)^n \in L^p((1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA_\alpha)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}^p &\approx \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f^{(k)}(0)|^p \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n)}(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{pn+\alpha} dA(z) \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Thus, if $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p$, then $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{A}_{pn+\alpha}^p$ and $\|f^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{A}_{pn+\alpha}^p} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}$. Therefore, by (3) applied to the function $f^{(n)}$, for every z in $\mathbb{D}$, we have

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \frac{\|f^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p}}{(1-|z|^2)^{(2+pn+\alpha)/p}} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p}}{(1-|z|^2)^{(2+\alpha)/p+n}}. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2 in [26], for any $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1-|z|^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p} f'(z) - (1-|w|^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p} f'(w) \right| \\ & \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p} \rho(z, w) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p$. For more about weighted Bergman spaces, we refer the reader to [27, 28].

Let μ be a positive continuous function on $\mathbb{D}$, which we call a *weight*. A weight μ is called *typical* if it is radial; that is, $\mu(z) = \mu(|z|)$, for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\mu(|z|)$, decreasingly converges to 0 as $|z| \rightarrow 1$. Throughout this paper by a weight, we shall mean a typical weight. For a weight μ , the *weighted Bloch-type space* $\mathcal{B}_{\mu}$ on $\mathbb{D}$ is a Banach space of all analytic functions f on $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |f'(z)| < \infty. \quad (7)$$

The space $\mathcal{B}_{\mu}$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |f'(z)| < \infty. \quad (8)$$

In particular, the space $\mathcal{B}_{1-|z|^2}$ is the classical Bloch space and we denote it by $\mathcal{B}$.

2. Main Results

In this section, we compute upper and lower bounds for the essential norm of difference of composition operators mapping the weighted Bergman space into Bloch-type spaces.

Given Banach spaces X and Y , we recall that the essential norm of a bounded linear map $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined as

$$\|T\|_{e, X \rightarrow Y} = \{\|T - K\| : K : X \rightarrow Y \text{ is compact}\}; \quad (9)$$

that is, the essential norm of an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is the distance of T from the set of all compact operators from X to Y . Clearly, if $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is compact, then $\|T\|_{e, X \rightarrow Y} = 0$.

For $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, let η_{ζ} be the conformal automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ that interchanges 0 and ζ :

$$\eta_{\zeta}(z) = \frac{\zeta - z}{1 - \bar{\zeta}z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (10)$$

The pseudo-hyperbolic distance between ζ and z is given by

$$\rho(\zeta, z) = |\eta_{\zeta}(z)| = \left| \frac{\zeta - z}{1 - \bar{\zeta}z} \right|. \quad (11)$$

For μ , a typical weight, and $\varphi, \psi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, let $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}^{\varphi}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}^{\psi}$ be two functions defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{\mu}^{\varphi}(z) &= \frac{\mu(z) \varphi'(z)}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{1+(\alpha+2)/p}}, \\ \mathfrak{D}_{\mu}^{\psi}(z) &= \frac{\mu(z) \psi'(z)}{(1-|\psi(z)|^2)^{1+(\alpha+2)/p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$, $p \in (0, \infty)$, $\varphi, \psi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} = \|\psi\|_{\infty} = 1$ and let μ be a weight function such that $C_{\varphi}, C_{\psi} : \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mu}$ is bounded. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}\|_{e, \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mu}} \\ & \asymp \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} |\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}^{\varphi}(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) \\ & + \limsup_{|\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} |\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}^{\psi}(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) \\ & + \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} |\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}^{\varphi}(z) - \mathfrak{D}_{\mu}^{\psi}(z)|. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Proof. Let $(z_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(z_j)| \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}^{\varphi}(z_j)| \rho(\varphi(z_j), \psi(z_j)) \\ & = \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} |\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}^{\varphi}(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_{j,k,\varphi}$ be defined as

$$f_{j,k,\varphi}(z) = \frac{1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(z_j)}z)^{k+(2+\alpha)/p}}. \quad (15)$$

Now consider the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{j,\varphi}(z) &= \frac{p}{(2+\alpha+p)\varphi(z_j)} f_{j,1,\varphi}(z), \\ h_{j,\varphi}(z) &= \frac{p}{(2+\alpha+2p)\varphi(z_j)} f_{j,2,\varphi}(z) \\ & - \frac{p^2}{(2+\alpha+2p)(\overline{\varphi(z_j)})^2} f_{j,1,\varphi}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Then it is easy to see that $g_{j,\varphi}$ and $h_{j,\varphi}$ are norm bounded sequences in $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p$. Moreover, both sequences $(g_{j,\varphi})$ and $(h_{j,\varphi})$ converge to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. Let $K : \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mu}$ be any compact operator. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}\|_{e, \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mu}} \\ & \geq C \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi} - K)g_{j,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu}} \\ & \geq C \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi})g_{j,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu}} \\ & - \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|Kg_{j,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu}}, \\ & \|C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}\|_{e, \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mu}} \\ & \geq C \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|(C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi} - K)h_{j,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq C \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (C_\varphi - C_\psi) h_{j,\varphi} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \\
&\quad - \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\| K h_{j,\varphi} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| (C_\varphi - C_\psi) g_{j,\varphi} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) \left| \left((C_\varphi - C_\psi) g_{j,\varphi} \right)' \right. \\
&\quad \cdot (z) \left| \geq \left| \frac{\mu(z_j) (1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2) \varphi'(z_j)}{(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2)^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\mu(z_j) (1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2) \psi'(z_j)}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(z_j)} \psi(z_j))^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} \right| \geq \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z_j) \right| \\
&\quad - \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z_j) \right| \\
&\quad \left| \frac{(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2) (1 - |\psi(z_j)|^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p}}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(z_j)} \psi(z_j))^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} \right|, \\
&\left\| (C_\varphi - C_\psi) h_{j,\varphi} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) \left| \left((C_\varphi - C_\psi) h_{j,\varphi} \right)' \right. \\
&\quad \geq \left| \frac{\mu(z_j) (1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2) |\psi'(z_j)|}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(z_j)} \psi(z_j))^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} \right| \\
&\quad \cdot \left| \frac{\varphi(z_j) - \psi(z_j)}{1 - \overline{\varphi(z_j)} \psi(z_j)} \right| = \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z_j) \right| \\
&\quad \cdot \left| \frac{(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2) (1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p}}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(z_j)} \psi(z_j))^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} \right| \\
&\quad \cdot \rho(\varphi(z_j), \psi(z_j)).
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Multiplying (18) by $\rho(\varphi(z_j), \psi(z_j))$ and then adding it to (19), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| (C_\varphi - C_\psi) g_{j,\varphi} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} + \left\| (C_\varphi - C_\psi) h_{j,\varphi} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \\
&\geq \left\| (C_\varphi - C_\psi) h_{j,\varphi} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \\
&\quad + \left\| (C_\varphi - C_\psi) g_{j,\varphi} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \rho(\varphi(z_j), \psi(z_j)) \\
&\geq \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z_j) \right| \rho(\varphi(z_j), \psi(z_j)).
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Similarly, by considering a sequence $(z_j)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $|\psi(z_j)| \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z_j) \right| \rho(\varphi(z_j), \psi(z_j)) \\
&= \limsup_{|\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z) \right| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)),
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| (C_\varphi - C_\psi) g_{j,\psi} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} + \left\| (C_\varphi - C_\psi) h_{j,\psi} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \\
&\geq \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z_j) \right| \rho(\varphi(z_j), \psi(z_j)).
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Combining (17) and (20) and using the fact that $\|K g_{j,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|K h_{j,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| C_\varphi - C_\psi \right\|_{e, \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu} \\
&\geq \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) \right| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)).
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Combining (17) and (22) and using the fact that $\|K g_{j,\psi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|K h_{j,\psi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| C_\varphi - C_\psi \right\|_{e, \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu} \\
&\geq \limsup_{|\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z) \right| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)).
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Again, let $(z_j)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(z_j)| \wedge |\psi(z_j)| \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z_j) - \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z_j) \right| \\
&= \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) - \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z) \right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Then from (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| (C_\varphi - C_\psi) g_{j,\varphi} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) \\
&\quad \cdot \left| \left((C_\varphi - C_\psi) g_{j,\varphi} \right)' \right. \\
&\quad \geq \left| \frac{\mu(z) (1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2) \varphi'(z_j)}{(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2)^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| -\frac{\mu(z) \left(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2\right) \psi'(z_j)}{\left(1 - \overline{\varphi(z_j)} \psi(z_j)\right)^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} \right| \geq |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z_j)| \\
& \quad - |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z_j)| - |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z_j)| \times \left| 1 - \frac{\left(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2\right) \left(1 - |\psi(z_j)|^2\right)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p}}{\left(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2\right)^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} \right| \\
& \geq |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z_j) - \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z_j)| - |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z_j)| \\
& \quad \cdot \rho(\varphi(z_j), \psi(z_j)).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Using (20) and (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(C_\varphi - C_\psi) g_{j,\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} + \|(C_\varphi - C_\psi) h_{j,\psi}\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \\
& \geq |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z_j) - \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z_j)|.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Combining (17) and (27) and using the fact that $\|Kg_j\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|Kh_j\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|C_\varphi - C_\psi\|_{e, \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu} \\
& \geq \lim_{|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z_j) - \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z_j)|.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Combining (23), (24), and (28), we get the lower bound as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|C_\varphi - C_\psi\|_{e, \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu} \\
& \geq \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) \\
& \quad + \limsup_{|\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) \\
& \quad + \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \mu(z) |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) - \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z)|.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Let $\tau_k(z) = (k/(k+1))z$. Then $\|\tau_k\|_\infty < 1$. Let

$$L_k f(z) = C_{\tau_k} f(z) = f\left(\frac{k}{k+1}z\right). \tag{30}$$

Then, we have the fact that $L_k : \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p$ is compact. Since $C_\varphi - C_\psi : \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded, so $(C_\varphi - C_\psi)L_k : \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is compact. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|C_\varphi - C_\psi\|_{e, \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu} \leq \|(C_\varphi - C_\psi) - (C_\varphi - C_\psi)L_k\| \\
& \leq \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p} \leq 1} \|C_\varphi - C_\psi(I - L_k)f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \\
& \leq \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p} \leq 1} \left[|(C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f(0)| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) \left| ((C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f)'(z) \right| \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where I is the identity operator on $\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p$. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p$ be such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p} \leq 1$. For any $r \in (0, 1)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) \left| ((C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f)'(z) \right| \\
& = \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| \leq r} \mu(z) \left| ((C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f)'(z) \right| \\
& \quad + \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r} \mu(z) \left| ((C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f)'(z) \right| \\
& \quad + \sup_{|\psi(z)| > r} \mu(z) \left| ((C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f)'(z) \right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

If $|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| \leq r$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| ((C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f)'(z) \right| = \left| f'(\varphi(z))\varphi'(z) \right. \\
& \quad - f'(\psi(z))\psi'(z) - \frac{k}{k+1}\varphi'(z)f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\varphi(z)\right) \\
& \quad + \frac{k}{k+1}\psi'(z)f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\psi(z)\right) \left. \right| \leq |f'(z)| \\
& \quad \cdot \left| f'(\varphi(z)) - f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\varphi(z)\right) \right| \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{k+1} \left| f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\varphi(z)\right) \right| + |\psi'(z)| \left| f'(\psi(z)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\psi(z)\right) \right| + \frac{1}{k+1} \left| f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\psi(z)\right) \right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Let $|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| \leq r$, and then $|\varphi(z)| \leq r$ and $|\psi(z)| \leq r$. Let $w \in \mathbb{D}$ be such that $|w| < r$. Denote the straight line segment from $kw/(k+1)$ to w by $[kw/(k+1), w]$. Then the segment

$[kw/(k+1), w] \subset D(0, r)$, where $D(0, r) = \{z : |z| \leq r\}$. Thus by (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f'(w) - f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}w\right) \right| = \left| \int_{[kw/(k+1), w]} f''(\zeta) d\zeta \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|w|}{k+1} \sup_{\zeta \in D(0, r)} |f''(\zeta)| \\ & \leq \frac{|w|}{k+1} \sup_{\zeta \in D(0, r)} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} \\ & \leq \frac{|w|}{k+1} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}}{(1-r^2)^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\left| f(w) - f\left(\frac{k}{k+1}w\right) \right| \leq \frac{|w|}{k+1} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}}{(1-r^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p}}. \quad (35)$$

Since $C_\eta : \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$ is bounded, where $\eta = \varphi$ or ψ , so

$$\|C_\eta f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\mu} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}. \quad (36)$$

By taking $f(z) = z$ in (36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |\varphi'(z)| < \infty, \\ M_2 &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |\psi'(z)| < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Combining (33), (34), and (37), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p} \leq 1} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq r} \mu(z) \left| \left((C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f \right)'(z) \right| \\ & \leq \mu(z) \frac{|\varphi'(z)\varphi(z)|}{k+1} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}}{(1-r^2)^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}}{(1-r^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p}} \\ & \leq \mu(z) \frac{|\psi'(z)\psi(z)|}{k+1} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}}{(1-r^2)^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}}{(1-r^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p}} \\ & \leq \frac{M_1}{k+1} \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{2+(2+\alpha)/p}} + \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p}} \\ & \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Using (35) with $w = \varphi(0)$ and $w = \psi(0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f(0) \right| \\ & = \left| f(\varphi(0)) - f\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\varphi(0)\right) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| f(\psi(0)) - f\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\psi(0)\right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\psi(0)| + |\varphi(0)|}{k+1} \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}}{(1-r^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p}} \\ & \leq \frac{|\psi(0)| + |\varphi(0)|}{k+1} \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

as $k \longrightarrow \infty$.

Combining (38) and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p} \leq 1} \left[\left| (C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f(0) \right| \right. \\ & \quad + \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p} \leq 1} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| \leq r} \mu(z) \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \left| \left((C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f \right)'(z) \right| \right] \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(z) \left| \left((C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f \right)'(z) \right| = \mu(z) \left| \varphi'(z) \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left(f'(\varphi(z)) - \frac{k}{k+1} f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\varphi(z)\right) \right) - \psi'(z) \\ & \quad \cdot \left(f'(\psi(z)) - \frac{k}{k+1} f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\psi(z)\right) \right) \Big| \\ & \leq |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z)| \left| (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p} \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left(f'(\varphi(z)) - \frac{k}{k+1} f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\varphi(z)\right) \right) \\ & \quad \left. - (1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p} \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left(f'(\psi(z)) - \frac{k}{k+1} f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\psi(z)\right) \right) \Big| + |\mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) \\ & \quad - \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z)| \left| (1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{1+(2+\alpha)/p} \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left| f'(\psi(z)) - \frac{k}{k+1} f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\psi(z)\right) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

For every $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(f'(z) - \frac{k}{k+1} f'\left(\frac{k}{k+1}z\right) \right) = 0 \quad (42)$$

and by Banach-Steinhaus theorem; it converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$, so we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p} \leq 1} \sup_{|z| \leq r} \left| f'(z) - \frac{k}{k+1} f' \left(\frac{k}{k+1} z \right) \right| = 0. \quad (43)$$

Also, for the boundedness of $C_\varphi, C_\psi : \mathcal{A}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu$, we have the facts that $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |\varphi'(z)| < \infty$ and $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |\psi'(z)| < \infty$. Using these facts and (41), we see that for each $r \in (0, 1)$ and $|\varphi(z)| \leq r$ the right hand side of (41) is dominated by a constant multiple of

$$\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) \right| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)). \quad (44)$$

If $|\varphi(z)| > r$, then we see that the right hand side of (41) is dominated by a constant multiple of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) \right| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) \\ & + \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| > r} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) - \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r} \mu(z) \\ & \cdot \left| \left((C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f \right)'(z) \right| \leq \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) \right| \\ & \cdot \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) \\ & + \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) - \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p}} \sup_{|\psi(z)| > r} \mu(z) \\ & \cdot \left| \left((C_\varphi - C_\psi)(I - L_k)f \right)'(z) \right| \leq \limsup_{|\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z) \right| \\ & \cdot \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) \\ & + \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) - \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Combining (31), (32), (40), (46), and (47), we have the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|C_\varphi - C_\psi\|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha^p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\mu} \\ & \leq \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \wedge |\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) - \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z) \right| \\ & + \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\varphi(z) \right| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) \\ & + \limsup_{|\psi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \left| \mathfrak{D}_\mu^\psi(z) \right| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Combining (29) and (48), we get the desired result. $\square$

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The first author acknowledges DST (India) for Inspire fellowship (DST/Inspire fellowship/2013/281). The third author acknowledges NBHM (DAE) (India) for the Project Grant no. 02011/30/2017/R&D II/12068.

References

- [1] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, CRC Press Boca Raton, New York, NY, USA, 1995.
- [2] R. F. Allen, K. C. Heller, and M. Pons, "Compact differences of composition operators on weighted Dirichlet spaces," *Central European Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 1040–1051, 2014.
- [3] J. Bonet, M. Lindström, and E. Wolf, "Differences of composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions," *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 9–20, 2008.
- [4] B. R. Choe, H. Koo, and I. Park, "Compact Differences of Composition Operators on the Bergman Spaces Over the Ball," *Potential Analysis*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 81–102, 2014.
- [5] T. Hosokawa, K. Izuchi, and S. Ohno, "Topological structure of the space of weighted composition operators on H^∞ ," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 509–526, 2005.
- [6] T. Hosokawa and S. Ohno, "Differences of composition operators on the Bloch spaces," *The Journal of Operator Theory*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 229–242, 2007.
- [7] S. Li, R. Qian, and J. Zhou, "Essential norm and a new characterization of weighted composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces and Hardy spaces into the Bloch space," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 67(142), no. 3, pp. 629–643, 2017.
- [8] S. Li and S. Stevic, "Weighted composition operators from Bergman-type spaces into Bloch spaces," *The Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences – Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 117, no. 3, pp. 371–385, 2007.
- [9] M. Lindström and E. Saukko, "Essential norm of weighted composition operators and difference of composition operators between standard weighted Bergman spaces," *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 1411–1432, 2015.
- [10] M. Lindström and E. Wolf, "Essential norm of the difference of weighted composition operators," *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, vol. 153, no. 2, pp. 133–143, 2008.
- [11] B. MacCluer, S. Ohno, and R. Zhao, "Topological structure of the space of composition operators on H^∞ ," *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 481–494, 2001.
- [12] J. Moorhouse, "Compact differences of composition operators," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 219, no. 1, pp. 70–92, 2005.
- [13] J. Moorhouse and C. Toews, "Differences of composition operators," in *Trends in Banach Spaces and Operator Theory*, Contemporary Mathematics, 2001.
- [14] P. J. Nieminen and E. Saksman, "On compactness of the difference of composition operators," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 298, no. 2, pp. 501–522, 2004.

- [15] E. Saukko, "Difference of composition operators between standard weighted Bergman spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 381, no. 2, pp. 789–798, 2011.
- [16] E. Saukko, "An application of atomic decomposition in Bergman spaces to the study of differences of composition operators," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 262, no. 9, pp. 3872–3890, 2012.
- [17] A. K. Sharma and R. Krishan, "Difference of composition operators from the space of Cauchy integral transforms to the Dirichlet space," *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 141–152, 2016.
- [18] A. K. Sharma, R. Krishan, and E. Subhadarsini, "Difference of composition operators from the space of Cauchy integral transforms to Bloch-type spaces," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 145–155, 2017.
- [19] Y. Shi and S. Li, "Differences of composition operators on Bloch type spaces," *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 227–242, 2017.
- [20] Y. Shi and S. Li, "Essential norm of the differences of composition operators on the Bloch space," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 543–555, 2017.
- [21] S. Stevic, "Essential norm of differences of weighted composition operators between weighted-type spaces on the unit ball," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 5, pp. 1811–1824, 2010.
- [22] S. Stevic and Z. J. Jiang, "Compactness of the differences of weighted composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 2647–2665, 2011.
- [23] E. Wolf, "Compact differences of composition operators," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 161–165, 2008.
- [24] K.-B. Yang and Z.-H. Zhou, "Essential norm of the difference of composition operators on Bloch space," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 60(135), no. 4, pp. 1139–1152, 2010.
- [25] X. D. Yang and L. H. Khoi, "Compact differences of composition operators on Bergman spaces in the ball," *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 407–418, 2010.
- [26] X. Zhu and W. Yang, "Differences of composition operators from weighted Bergman spaces to Bloch spaces," *Filomat*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 1935–1941, 2014.
- [27] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum, and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2000.
- [28] K. Zhu, in *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, vol. 139, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1990.

Submit your manuscripts at
www.hindawi.com