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The notion of C-class functions in Banach algebras is introduced. By using such concept, a new fixed point theorem is established. An example to illustrate main theorem is given. Finally, applications of our main result to cyclic mappings and weak contraction type mappings are given.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Huang and Zhang [1] introduced cone metric spaces which are generalizations of metric spaces, and they extended Banach’s contraction principle to such spaces, where after many authors (for example, [2–6] and references therein) studied fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces.

Recently, Liu and Xu [7] introduced the notion of cone metric spaces over Banach algebras, which is a modification of the concept of cone metric spaces over real Banach spaces [1], and proved the existence of fixed points for mappings defined on such spaces, and they gave an example that fixed point results in metric spaces and in cone metric spaces are not equivalent.

Very recently, Chandok et al. [8] introduced the concept of TAC-contractive mappings by using the notions of C-class functions and cyclic (α, β)-admissible mappings and established corresponding fixed point theorems in metric spaces.

In the paper, we introduce the notions of C-class functions and cyclic (α, β)-admissible mappings in Banach algebras. By using such concepts, we introduce a new contraction. We obtain a new fixed point theorem and give an example to illustrate main result. Finally, we give applications of our main result to cyclic mappings and weak contraction type mappings in cone metric spaces over Banach algebras.

A Banach space 𝑨 is called a (real) Banach algebra (with unit) if there exists a multiplication 𝑨 × 𝑨 → 𝑨 that has the followings properties:

for all 𝑥, 𝑦, 𝑧 ∈ 𝑨, 𝛼 ∈ ℜ,

(1) (𝑥𝑦)𝑧 = 𝑥(𝑦𝑧);
(2) 𝑥(𝑦 + 𝑧) = 𝑥𝑦 + 𝑥𝑧 and (𝑥 + 𝑦)𝑧 = 𝑥𝑧 + 𝑦𝑧;
(3) 𝛼(𝑥𝑦) = (𝛼𝑥)𝑦 = 𝑥(𝛼𝑦);
(4) there exists 𝑒 ∈ 𝑨 such that 𝑥𝑒 = 𝑒𝑥 = 𝑥;
(5) ∥𝑒∥ = 1;
(6) ∥𝑥𝑦∥ ≤ ∥𝑥∥∥𝑦∥.

An element 𝑥 ∈ 𝑨 is called invertible if there exists 𝑥⁻¹ ∈ 𝑨 such that 𝑥𝑥⁻¹ = 𝑥⁻¹ 𝑥 = 𝑒.

Proposition 1 (see [9]). Let 𝑨 be a Banach algebra, and let 𝑥 ∈ 𝑨. If the spectral radius ρ(𝑥) of 𝑥 is less than 1, i.e.,

\[ \rho(𝑥) = \lim_{n→∞} ∥x^n∥^{1/n} = \inf_{n≥1} ∥x^n∥^{1/n} < 1, \]  \tag{1}

then 𝑒 − 𝑥 ∈ 𝐺(𝑨), where 𝐺(𝑨) is the set of all invertible elements of 𝑨 and

\[ (e − x)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{∞} x^n. \]  \tag{2}

Remark 2. Let 𝑨 be a Banach algebra.

Then the following are satisfied:
(1) for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $\rho(x) \leq \|x\|$;
(2) if the condition $\rho(x) < 1$ is replaced by $\|x\| < 1$ in Proposition 1, then the conclusion holds.

Consistent with Liu and Xu [7], the following definitions will be needed in the sequel.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra. A subset $P$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is called cone if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $P$ is a nonempty and closed subset of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\{0, e\} \subset P$;
2. $ax + by \in P$, whenever $x, y \in P$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}(a, b \geq 0)$;
3. $P^2 = PP \subset P$;
4. $P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$.

Remark 3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra, and $P \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a cone. If $x \in P$ and $\rho(x) < 1$, then $e - x \in G(P)$.

Given a cone $P \subset \mathcal{A}$, we define a partial ordering $\leq$ with respect to $P$ by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We write $x < y$ to indicate that $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$.

For $x, y \in P, x \leq y$ stand for $y - x \in int(P)$, where $int(P)$ is the interior of $P$. A cone $P$ is called normal if there exists a number $K \geq 1$ such that, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, $\|x\| \leq K \|y\|$ whenever $0 \leq x \leq y$.

A cone $P$ is called regular if every increasing sequence which is bounded from above is convergent. That is, if $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence such that for some $z \in \mathcal{A}$

$$u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \cdots \leq z,$$

then there exists $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - u\| = 0. \quad (4)$$

Equivalently, a cone $P$ is regular if and only if every decreasing sequence which is bounded from below is convergent.

It is well known that every regular cone is normal.
From now on, we assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra, $P \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a cone with $int(P) \neq \emptyset$, and $\leq$ is partial ordering with respect to $P$.

Let $X$ be a nonempty set, and let $d : X \times X \to \mathcal{A}$ be a map such that

1. $0 \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then $d$ is called a cone metric on $X$ (with a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$) and $(X, d)$ is called cone metric space (with a Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$).

Remark 4. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$ and $P = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}(z) \geq 0 \text{ and } \text{Im}(z) \geq 0\}$, where $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of all complex numbers, then we have that

1. $z_1 \leq z_2 \iff [\text{Re}(z_1) \leq \text{Re}(z_2) \text{ and } \text{Im}(z_1) \leq \text{Im}(z_2)] \iff z_2 - z_1 \in P$;
2. the complex valued metric $[10]$ is a cone metric.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points in a cone metric space $(X, d)$ converges to a point $x \in X$ (denoted by $\lim_{n\to \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \to x$) if for any $c \in \mathcal{A}$ with $0 < c$, there exists $N$ such that for all $n > N, d(x_n, x) < c$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points in a cone metric space $(X, d)$ is Cauchy if for any $c \in \mathcal{A}$ with $0 < c$, there exists $N$ such that for all $n, m > N, d(x_n, x_m) < c$. A cone metric space $(X, d)$ is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Remark 5. Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space and $\{x_n\} \subset X$ be a sequence and $x \in X$. Then the following are satisfied:

1. $\lim_{n\to \infty} x_n = x$ if and only if for any $c \in int(P)$, there exists $N$ such that for all $n > N, c - d(x_n, x) \in int(P)$;
2. $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if for any $c \in int(P)$, there exists $N$ such that for all $n, m > N, c - d(x_n, x_m) \in int(P)$.

Note that if $\lim_{n\to \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$, then $\lim_{n\to \infty} x_n = x$.

The converse is true if $P$ is a normal cone. Also, if $P$ is a normal cone, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$ if and only if $\lim_{n\to \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$.

Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space.
Let $\Phi$ be the class of all continuous function $\phi : \text{int}(P) \cup \{0\} \to \text{int}(P) \cup \{0\}$ satisfying the following:

$$(\phi_1) \phi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\};$$
$$(\phi_2) \phi(t) < t \text{ for all } t \in \text{int}(P);$$
$$(\phi_3) \text{ either } \phi(t) \leq d(x, y) \text{ or } d(x, y) \leq \phi(t) \text{ for all } t \in \text{int}(P) \text{ and } x, y \in X.$$

Lemma 6 (see [11]). Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space with regular cone $P$ such that $d(x, y) \in \text{int}(P)$ for $x \neq y$. Let $\{x_n\} \subset X$ be a sequence, and let $\phi \in \Phi$. If the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exist $c \in \text{int}(P)$ and two subsequences $\{x_m(k)\}$ and $\{x_n(k)\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $m(k)$ is the smallest index for which $m(k) > n(k) > k$,

$$\phi(c) - d(x_m(k), x_n(k)) \notin \text{int}(P) \quad (5)$$

and

$$\phi(c) - d(x_m(k), x_n(k)) \in \text{int}(P) \quad (6)$$

Moreover if $\lim_{n\to \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$, then we have

$$(1) \lim_{n\to \infty} d(x_m(k), x_n(k)) = \phi(c);$$
$$(2) \lim_{n\to \infty} d(x_m(k), x_n(k)) = \phi(c).$$

Let $(X, d)$ be a cone metric space.
A map $T : X \to X$ is called continuous at $x \in X$ if for any $V \in \tau$ containing $Tx$, there exists $U \in \tau$ containing $x$ such that $TU \subset V$, where $\tau$ is the topology on $X$ induced by the cone metric $d$. That is,

$$\tau = \{U \subset X : \forall x \in U, \exists B \in \beta, x \in B \subset U\},$$

$\beta = \{B(x, c) : x \in X, \forall c \in \text{int}(P)\}$,

$B(x, c) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < c\}$. 


If $T$ is continuous at each point $x \in X$, then it is called continuous.

Note that $T$ is continuous if and only if it is sequentially continuous, i.e., $\lim_{n \to \infty} T x_n = T x$ for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ (see [12]).

A point $x \in X$ is called a cluster point of $A \subset X$ if for every $c \in \mathcal{A}$ with $0 < c$,

$$\left( B(x, c) - \{x\} \right) \cap A \neq \emptyset. \quad (7)$$

Let $\Psi$ be the family of all continuous function $\psi : P \to P$ such that

$$\begin{align*}
(\psi_1) & \quad \psi \text{ is strictly increasing, i.e., } x < y \implies \psi(x) < \psi(y); \\
(\psi_2) & \quad \psi^{-1}(\{0\}) = 0.
\end{align*}$$

Note that if $\psi(x) \leq \psi(y)$, then $x \leq y$.

Alizadeh et al. [13] introduced the concept of cyclic $(\alpha, \beta)$-admissible mappings in $\mathbb{R}_+^n$.

We extend this concept to cones as follows.

Let $\alpha, \beta : X \to P$ be functions, where $X$ is a set. We say that $T : X \to X$ is a cyclic $(\alpha, \beta)$-admissible mapping if

$$\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad \alpha(x) - e \in P, \text{ where } x \in X \implies \beta(Tx) - e \in P; \\
(2) & \quad \beta(x) - e \in P, \text{ where } x \in X \implies \alpha(Tx) - e \in P.
\end{align*}$$

Example 7. Let $K$ be a compact Hausdorff topological space, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the family of all continuous functions from $K$ into $\mathbb{R}$.

Define the multiplication $xy$ of $x$ and $y$ as follows:

$$xy = x(t) y(t) \quad \forall t \in K. \quad (8)$$

Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra with unit $e(t) = 1 \quad \forall t \in K$.

Let $P = \{x \in \mathcal{A} : x(t) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in K\}$.

Then $P$ is a normal cone with normal constant $M = 1$.

Let $X = \mathcal{A}$, and let $\alpha, \beta : X \to P$ be functions defined by

$$\alpha(x) = \beta(x) = x \vee e. \quad (9)$$

Define $T : X \to X$ as follows:

$$Tx = x \vee 2. \quad (10)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{align*}
\alpha(x) - e & \in P, \quad x \in P \implies \\
\beta(Tx) - e & = x \vee 2 - e \in P \quad (11)
\end{align*}$$

and

$$\begin{align*}
\beta(x) - e & \in P, \quad x \in P \implies \\
\alpha(Tx) - e & = x \vee 2 - e \in P. \quad (12)
\end{align*}$$

Hence $T$ is cyclic $(\alpha, \beta)$-admissible.

## 2. Fixed Points

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a family of all continuous functions $f : P \times P \to P$ such that

1. $f(s, t) \leq s \forall s, t \in P$;
2. $f(s, t) = s \implies$ either $s = 0$ or $t = 0 \forall s, t \in P$.

Then we say that $f \in \mathcal{C}$ is a class function.

Note that $f(0, 0) = 0$.

Example 8. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra, and let $P \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a cone.

1. Let $f_b(s, t) = ks$, where $k \in P - \{0\}$ with $\rho(k) < 1$.
   
   Since $k \in P - \{0\}$ and $\rho(k) < 1$, from Remark 4 $e - k \in G(P)$. Hence $s - ks = s(e - k) \in P \forall s \in P$, and hence $0 \leq s - ks$. So $ks \leq s \forall s \in P$. Thus $f_b(s, t) = ks \leq s \forall s, t \in P$.

   If $f_b(s, t) = s$, then $ks = s$, and so $e - k = 0 \in P$. Since $e - k \in G(\mathcal{A})$, $e - k \neq 0$. Hence $s = 0$. Thus $f_b \in \mathcal{C}$.

2. Let $f_{bw}(s, t) = \phi(s)$, where $\phi : P \to P$ is a continuous function such that $\phi^{-1}(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\phi(s) < s \forall t \in P - \{0\}$.

   Then $f_{bw}(s, t) = \phi(s) \leq s \forall s, t \in P$.

   Let $f_{bw}(s, t) = s$.

   Then $s = \phi(s)$. If $s \neq 0$, then $s = \phi(s) < s$, which is a contradiction.

   Hence $s = 0$. Thus $f_{bw} \in \mathcal{C}$.

3. Let $f_w(s, t) = s - \phi(s)$, where $\phi \in \Phi$.

   Then $f_w(s, t) \leq s \forall s, t \in P$.

   If $f_w(s, t) = s$, then $\phi(s) = 0$, and so $s = 0$. Hence $f_w \in \mathcal{C}$.

4. Let $f_s(s, t) = s \beta(s)$, where $\beta : P \times P \to B = \{x \in P - \{0\} : \rho(x) < 1\}$ is continuous.

   Since $\beta(s) \in P - \{0\}$ and $\rho(\beta(s)) < 1, e - \beta(s) \in G(P)$.

   Hence $s - \beta(s) = s(e - \beta(s)) \in P \forall s \in P$, and hence $\beta(s) \leq s \forall s \in P$. Thus $f_s(s, t) = s \beta(s) \leq s \forall s, t \in P$.

   If $f_s(s, t) = s$, then $s(e - \beta(s)) = s - s \beta(s) = 0 \in P$.

   Since $e - \beta(s) \in G(P)$, $e - \beta(s) \neq 0$. Hence $s = 0$.

5. Let $f_h(s, t) = sh(s, t)$, where $h : P \times P \to B = \{x \in P - \{0\} : \rho(x) < 1\}$ is continuous.

   Since $h(s, t) \in P - \{0\}$ and $\rho(h(s, t)) < 1, e - h(s, t) \in G(P)$.

   Hence $s - h(s, t) = s(e - h(s, t)) \in P$, and hence $sh(s, t) \leq s$. Thus $f_h(s, t) = sh(s, t) \leq s \forall s, t \in P$.

   If $f_h(s, t) = s$, then $s(e - h(s, t)) = s - sh(s, t) = 0 \in P$.

   We have $e - h(s, t) \neq 0$, because $e - h(s, t) \in G(P)$.

   Hence $s = 0$. Thus $f_h \in \mathcal{C}$. 
From now on, let $X$ be a cone metric space with cone metric $d$ and regular cone $P$ such that for $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$
$$d(x, y) \in \text{int}(P).$$
(13)

**Theorem 9.** Let $X$ be complete, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that
$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq f(\psi(d(x, y)), \phi(d(x, y)))$$
(14)
for all $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x)\beta(y) - e \in P$, where $f \in C$, $\psi \in \Psi$, and $\phi \in \Phi$.

Also, suppose that the following are satisfied:

1. $T$ is cyclic $(\alpha, \beta)$-admissible;
2. There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0) - e \in P$ and $\beta(x_0) - e \in P$;
3. Either $T$ is continuous or if $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a sequence with $\beta(x_n) - e \in P \ \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ and $x$ is a cluster point of $\{x_n\}$, then
$$\beta(x) - e \in P.$$  
(15)

Then $T$ has a fixed point.
Moreover, if
$$\alpha(x) - e \in P$$
and $\beta(y) - e \in P$
(16)
for all fixed points $x, y$ of $T$, then $T$ has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $X$ by $x_n = T^{n-1}x_0$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$.

If there exists an integer $N$ such that $x_N = x_{N+1}$, then $x_N = T^{N}x_0$; i.e., $x_N$ is a fixed point of $T$.
Hence we assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1} \ \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$.

Since $\alpha(x_0) - e \in P$, from (1) we have $\beta(x_1) - e = \beta(Tx_0) - e \in P$. Again from (1) we obtain $\alpha(x_2) - e = \alpha(Tx_1) - e \in P$.
Inductively, we have
$$\alpha(x_{2n}) - e \in P$$
and $\beta(x_{2n+1}) - e \in P$
(17)
$$\forall n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Since $T$ is cyclic $(\alpha, \beta)$-admissible and $\beta(x_0) - e \in P$, $\alpha(x_1) - e = \alpha(Tx_0) - e \in P$. Similarly, we obtain
$$\beta(x_{2n}) - e \in P$$
and $\alpha(x_{2n+1}) - e \in P$
(18)
$$\forall n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Hence
$$\alpha(x_n) - e \in P$$
and $\beta(x_n) - e \in P$
(19)
$$\forall n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Since $\alpha(x_{n+1}) - e \in P$ and $\beta(x_n) - e \in P$,
$$\alpha(x_{n+1})\beta(x_n) - \alpha(x_{n+1}) - \beta(x_n) + e = (\alpha(x_{n+1}) - e)(\beta(x_n) - e) \in P.$$
(20)

Thus we have
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) = 0.$$  
(31)

We now show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.
On the contrary, assume that $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence.
By Lemma 6, there exists \( c \in \text{int}(P) \) and two subsequences \( \{x_{m(k)}\} \) and \( \{x_{n(k)}\} \) of \( \{x_n\} \) such that \( m(k) \) is the smallest index for which, for all \( k \in \mathbb{N}, m(k) > n(k) > k, \) (5) and (6) hold.

It follows from (19) that \( \alpha(x_{m(k)})\beta(x_{n(k)}) - e \in P \), and so from (14) with \( x = x_{m(k)} \) and \( y = x_{n(k)} \), we have

\[
\psi \left( d \left( x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1} \right) \right) = \psi \left( d \left( T x_{m(k)}, T x_{n(k)} \right) \right) \leq f \left( \psi \left( d \left( x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)} \right) \right), \phi \left( d \left( x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)} \right) \right) \right).
\]

By Letting \( k \rightarrow \infty \) and by using Lemma 6 (1) and (2), and using continuity of \( \psi, \phi, \) and \( f, \) we have

\[
\psi \left( \phi \left( c \right) \right) \leq f \left( \psi \left( \phi \left( c \right) \right), \phi \left( \phi \left( c \right) \right) \right) \leq \psi \left( \phi \left( c \right) \right)
\]

which implies

\[
\psi \left( \phi \left( c \right) \right) = f \left( \psi \left( \phi \left( c \right) \right), \phi \left( \phi \left( c \right) \right) \right) \leq \psi \left( \phi \left( c \right) \right)
\]

Hence

\[
f \left( \psi \left( \phi \left( c \right) \right), \phi \left( \phi \left( c \right) \right) \right) = \psi \left( \phi \left( c \right) \right)
\]

which implies either \( \psi(\phi(c)) = 0 \) or \( \phi(\phi(c)) = 0. \) Thus \( \phi(c) = 0, \) and so \( c = 0, \) which is a contradiction.

Therefore, \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence.

It follows from the completeness of \( X \) that there exists

\[
x_* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \in X.
\]

If \( T \) is continuous, then \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = T x_*, \) and so \( x_* = T x_* \).

Assume that (15) holds.

Then we have

\[
\beta \left( x_* \right) - e \in P.
\]

Since \( T \) is \( (\alpha, \beta) \)-admissible, \( \alpha(x_n) - e \in P \ \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).

Using (19) we have

\[
\alpha(x_n) \beta \left( x_* \right) - e \in P \ \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]

From (14) we have

\[
\psi \left( d \left( x_{n+1}, T x_* \right) \right) = \psi \left( d \left( T x_n, T x_* \right) \right) \leq f \left( \psi \left( d \left( x_{n}, x_* \right) \right), \phi \left( d \left( x_{n}, x_* \right) \right) \right).
\]

Letting \( n \rightarrow \infty \) in the inequality (39) and using continuity of \( f, \psi, \) and \( \phi, \) we have

\[
\psi \left( d \left( x_*, T x_* \right) \right) \leq f \left( \psi \left( 0 \right), \phi \left( 0 \right) \right) = 0,
\]

and so \( \psi \left( d \left( x_*, T x_* \right) \right) \in -P \)

which implies

\[
\psi \left( d \left( x_*, T x_* \right) \right) \in P \cap -P.
\]

Thus

\[
\psi \left( d \left( x_*, T x_* \right) \right) = 0.
\]

Hence

\[
d \left( x_*, T x_* \right) = 0,
\]

and hence \( x_* = T x_* \).

For the uniqueness of fixed point, assume that (16) holds and that \( y_* \) is another fixed point of \( T \).

Then from (16) we have \( \alpha(x_*) - e \in P \) and \( \beta(y_*) - e \in P. \) Hence \( \alpha(x_*)\beta(y_*) - e \in P. \)

It follows from (14) that

\[
\psi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right) = \psi \left( d \left( T x_*, T y_* \right) \right) \leq f \left( \psi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right), \phi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right) \right)
\]

\[
\leq \psi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right)
\]

which implies

\[
\psi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right) \leq f \left( \psi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right), \phi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right) \right) \in P \cap -P.
\]

Thus

\[
f \left( \psi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right), \phi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right) \right) = \psi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right)
\]

which implies

\[
\psi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right) = 0
\]

or \( \phi \left( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) \right) = 0. \)

So \( d \left( x_*, y_* \right) = 0, \) and hence \( x_* = y_* \).

\( \square \)

Example 10. Let \( \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^2, P = \{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{A} : x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \}, \) and let \( ||x|| = |x_1| + |x_2| \ \forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{A}. \)

Define the multiplication \( xy \) of \( x = (x_1, x_2) \) and \( y = (y_1, y_2) \) as follows:

\[
xy = (x_1, x_2) (y_1, y_2) = (x_1y_1, x_1y_2 + x_2y_2).
\]

Then \( \mathcal{A} \) is a Banach algebra with unit \( e = (1, 0), \) \( P \) is regular cone, and \( \text{int}(P) = \{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{A} : x_1, x_2 > 0 \}. \)

Let \( X = \mathbb{R}^2, \) and let \( d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \) be a mapping defined by

\[
d \left( x, y \right) = \max \left\{ |x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2| \right\}.
\]

Then \( (X, d) \) is a complete cone metric space, and \( d \left( x, y \right) \in \text{int}(P) \ \forall x, y \in X \) with \( x \neq y. \)

Define a mapping \( T : X \rightarrow X \) by

\[
Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} (x_1, x_2), & x = (x_1, x_2) \in P \text{ and } ||x|| < 1; \\ (\log |x_1, x_2|, e^{1/x_1}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Let \( \varphi(t) = (1/2)t, \varphi(t) = t, \) and \( \phi(t) = (1/3)t \ \forall t = (t_1, t_2) \in P. \)
We define two functions $\alpha, \beta : X \to P$ by

$$\alpha(x) = \beta(x) = \begin{cases} e & x = (x_1, x_2) \in P \text{ and } \|x\| < 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

Obviously, $T$ is $(\alpha, \beta)$-admissible.

Let $f(s, t) = f_{bw} (s, t) \forall s, t \in P$.

Then we have

$$f_{bw} (\psi (d(x, y)), \phi (d(x, y))) - \psi (d(Tx, Ty))$$

$$= f_{bw} (d(x, y), \phi(d(x, y))) - d(Tx, Ty)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \max \{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|\}$$

$$- d\left(\frac{1}{4}(x_1, x_2), \frac{1}{4}(y_1, y_2)\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \max \{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|\}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \max \{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \max \{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \max \{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \max \{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|\}$$

$$\in P$$

(52)

Hence $\psi (d(Tx, Ty)) \leq f_{bw} (\psi (d(x, y)), \phi(d(x, y))) \forall x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x) \beta(y) - e \in P$.

Then we obtain

$$\beta((0, 0)) - e = (0, 0) \in P$$

(53)

Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = (0, 0)$, and so $(0, 0)$ is a cluster point of $\{x_n\}$.

Thus, all hypotheses of Theorem 9 are satisfied and $T$ has a fixed point $x_* = (0, 0)$.

**Corollary 11.** Let $X$ be complete, and let $T : X \to X$ be a mapping such that

$$\psi (\alpha(x) \beta(y) d(Tx, Ty))$$

$$\leq f (\psi (d(x, y)), \phi(d(x, y)))$$

(55)

for all $x, y \in X$, where $f \in C$, $\psi \in \Psi$, and $\phi \in \Phi$.

Also, suppose that conditions (1), (2), and (3) of Theorem 9 hold.

Then $T$ has a fixed point.

Moreover, if (16) holds, then $T$ has a unique fixed point.

### 3. Discussion

We give an application of Theorem 9 to prove existence of fixed points for cyclic mappings.

**Theorem 12.** Let $X$ be complete, and let $A$ and $B$ be two closed subsets of $X$ such that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, and let $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be a mapping such that

$$TA \subset B$$

and

$$TB \subset A.$$  \hspace{1cm} (56)

Assume that

$$\psi (d(Tx, Ty)) \leq f (\psi (d(x, y)), \phi(d(x, y)))$$

(57)

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, where $f \in C$, $\psi \in \Psi$, and $\phi \in \Phi$.

Then $T$ has a unique fixed point in $A \cap B$.

**Proof.** Define $\alpha, \beta : X \to P$ by

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} e & x \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \hspace{1cm} (58)$$

$$\beta(x) = \begin{cases} e & x \in B \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \hspace{1cm} (59)$$

Then we have that $\forall x, y \in A \cup B$

$$\alpha(x) \beta(y) - e \in P \iff e \leq \alpha(x) \beta(y) \iff x \in A$$

(60)

$$x \in A \land y \in B.$$  \hspace{1cm} (61)

Hence (57) implies (13).

It follows from (56), (58), and (59) that

$$\alpha(x) \beta(y) - e \in P \iff e \leq \alpha(x) \beta(y) \iff x \in A \land y \in B.$$  \hspace{1cm} (62)

Hence (57) implies (13).

Thus, all hypotheses of Theorem 9 are satisfied and $T$ has a fixed point $x_* = (0, 0)$.
Thus $T$ is cyclic $(\alpha, \beta)$-admissible.

Because $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, there exists an $x_0$ in $A \cap B$. By definition of functions $\alpha$ and $\beta$, $\alpha(x_0) - e \in P$ and $\beta(x_0) - e \in P$. Hence condition (2) of Theorem 9 is satisfied.

Let $\{x_n\} \subset X$ be a sequence such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0
\]
and $\beta(x_n) - e \in P$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$.

Then $x_n \in B$, $\forall n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. Since $B$ is closed, $x \in B$ and so $\beta(x) - e \in P$. Hence condition (3) of Theorem 9 holds.

Thus all conditions of Theorem 9 are satisfied. It follows from Theorem 9 that $T$ has a fixed point in $A \cup B$; say $x^*$ in $A \cup B$.

If $x^* \in A$, then from (56) $x^* = T\{x^* \in B$. Thus $x^* \in A \cap B$.

Similarly, we have $x^* \in A \cap B$, when $x^* \in B$.

Let $x, y$ be any two fixed points of $T$.

Then $x, y \in A \cap B$, and so $\alpha(x)\beta(y) - e \in P$. It follows from Theorem 9 that $T$ has a unique fixed point in $A \cap B$.

**Corollary 13.** Let $X$ be complete, and let $A$ and $B$ be two closed subsets of $X$ such that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, and let $T: A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be a mapping such that

$TA \subset B$

and $TB \subset A$.

Assume that
\[
d(Tx, Ty) \leq f(d(x, y), \phi(d(x, y)))
\]
for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, where $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\phi \in \Phi$.

Then $T$ has a unique fixed point in $A \cap B$.

**Corollary 14.** Let $X$ be complete, and let $A$ and $B$ be two closed subsets of $X$ such that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, and let $T: A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be a mapping such that

$TA \subset B$

and $TB \subset A$.

Assume that
\[
d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y)
\]
for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, where $k \in P - \{0\}$ and $\rho(k) < 1$.

Then $T$ has a unique fixed point in $A \cap B$.

**Proof.** From (67) we have
\[
d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y) \iff d(Tx, Ty) \leq f_k(d(x, y), \phi(d(x, y))
\]
for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, where $k \in P - \{0\}$, $\rho(k) < 1$, and $\phi \in \Phi$.

By Corollary 13 with $f(s, t) = f_k(s, t)$ $\forall s, t \in P$, $T$ has a unique fixed point in $A \cap B$.

**Remark 15.** If $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}$ and $P = [0, \infty)$, then Corollary 14 reduces to Theorem 1.1 of [15].

**Remark 16.** Corollary 14 is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 of [7] (resp., Theorem 3.1 of [16]) to cyclic mappings under assumption of regularity.

### 4. Applications

By applying $C$-class functions of Example 8 to Theorem 9, we derive some existing fixed point results in the literature and have generalizations of well-known fixed point theorems in metric spaces to cone metric spaces.

In particular, by taking $f(s, t) = f_w(s, t)$, we have the following result.

**Theorem 17.** Let $X$ be complete, and let $T: X \to X$ be a cyclic $(\alpha, \beta)$-admissible mapping such that
\[
\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(d(x, y)) - \phi(d(x, y))
\]
for all $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x)\beta(y) - e \in P$, where $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\phi \in \Phi$ such that
\[
\phi(\psi(t)) \leq \phi(t) \quad \forall t > 0.
\]

Suppose that $\alpha(x_0) - e \in P$ and $\beta(x_0) - e \in P$, where $x_0 \in X$.

Assume that either

(1) $T$ is continuous or

(2) if $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ and $\beta(x_n) - e \in P$ $\forall n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, then
\[
\beta(x) - e \in P.
\]

Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$. Further if $\alpha(x)\beta(y) - e \in P$ for all fixed points $x, y$ of $T$, then $T$ has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Let $f_w(s, t) = s - \phi(s)$ $\forall s \in P$, where $\phi \in \Phi$. Then $f_w$ is a $C$-class function.

From (69) and (70) we have that for all $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x)\beta(y) - e \in P$

\[
0 \leq (d(x, y)) - \phi(d(x, y)) - \psi(d(Tx, Ty))
\]
\[
\leq \psi(d(x, y)) - \phi(\psi(d(x, y)) - \psi(d(Tx, Ty))
\]
\[
= f_w(\psi(d(x, y)), \phi(d(x, y))) - \psi(d(Tx, Ty)).
\]

Hence
\[
\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq f_w(\psi(d(x, y)), \phi(d(x, y)))
\]
for all $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x)\beta(y) - e \in P$.

It follows that (13) holds with $f = f_w$. All conditions of Theorem 9 hold, and so we have a desired result.

**Corollary 18.** Let $X$ be complete, and let $T: X \to X$ be a cyclic $(\alpha, \beta)$-admissible mapping such that
\[
d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \phi(d(x, y))
\]
for all $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x)\beta(y) - e \in P$, where $\phi \in \Phi$. 


Suppose that $\alpha(x_0) - e \in P$ and $\beta(x_0) - e \in P$, where $x_0 \in X$.
Assume that either

1. $T$ is continuous or
2. if $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ and $\beta(x_n) - e \in P$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, then

$$ \beta(x) - e \in P. \quad (75) $$

Then $T$ has a fixed point in $X$. Further if $\alpha(x) \beta(y) - e \in P$ for all fixed points $x, y$ of $T$, then $T$ has a unique fixed point.

By taking $\alpha(x) = e$ and $\beta(x) = e$ for all $x \in X$ in Theorem 17, we have the following result.

**Corollary 19.** Let $X$ be complete, and let $T : X \to X$ be a mapping such that

$$ \psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(d(x, y)) - \phi(d(x, y)) \quad (76) $$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\phi \in \Phi$ with $\phi(\psi(t)) \leq \psi(t)$ for all $t > 0$.

Then $T$ has a unique fixed point in $X$.

**Corollary 20.** Let $X$ be complete, and let $T : X \to X$ be a mapping such that

$$ d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \phi(d(x, y)) \quad (77) $$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\phi \in \Phi$.

Then $T$ has a unique fixed point in $X$.

**Remark 21.** By taking $\mathcal{A}$ a real Banach space in Corollary 19 (resp., Corollary 20), we have Theorem 2.1 of [10] (resp., Theorem 2.1 of [4]).

**Data Availability**

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

**Conflicts of Interest**

The author declares that he has no conflicts of interest.

**References**


