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1. Introduction

In the light of the three main fixed point theorems [1–3], Goebel and Kirk [4] came up with the concept of asymptotic nonexpansive mappings. Nonexpansive mappings are a particular case of asymptotic nonexpansive mappings. But the study of the existence of their fixed points appears to be extremely difficult. Kirk [5, 6] initiated the concept of pointwise Lipschitz mappings, which naturally extends the class of Lipschitz mappings. The monotone mapping fixed point theory is quite recent and attracted a lot of attention. It began with the study of Ran and Reurings [7], which extended the classical principle of Banach Contraction in partially ordered metric spaces. We suggest a recent survey for interested readers [8]. Carl and Heikkila’s book [9] offers a wonderful source of monotonous mappings applications. The theory of fixed points in modular function spaces (MFS) is rooted in Khamsi, Kozlowski, and Reich’s original work [10]. The Kozlowski book [11] and the recent Khamsi and Kozlowski book [12] are very important references to this subarea.

In this work, we investigate the existence of the fixed points of a monotone asymptotic pointwise nonexpansive mapping defined in a modular function space. Our result extends the fixed point result of Khamsi and Kozlowski.

2. Preliminaries

Extensively, details of MFS appeared in the literature; therefore, for additional information, we refer the readers to the books [11, 14].

Let $A$ be a nonempty set such that

(i) $\Sigma$ is a nontrivial $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $A$;

(ii) $\mathcal{P} \subset \Sigma$ a $\delta$-ring such that $P \cap S \in \mathcal{P}$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $S \in \Sigma$;

(iii) $A = \bigcup A_n$, where $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{P}$ is an increasing sequence.

Denote by $\mathcal{E}$, the vector space of simple functions whose support is in $\mathcal{P}$. Next we consider $M_{\infty}$ the space of all real valued functions $f : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ such that there exists a sequence of simple functions $\{f_n\}$ which satisfy $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |f_n| \leq |f|$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t) = f(t)$, for all $t \in A$.

Definition 1 (see [11, 14]). A regular modular function $\varphi : M_{\infty} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is an even function which satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\varphi(f) = 0$ implies $f = 0$;

(ii) $\varphi$ is monotone; i.e., $|h(t)| \leq |H(t)|$ for all $t \in A$ implies $\varphi(h) \leq \varphi(H)$;

(iii) $|H_n(t)| \uparrow |H(t)|$ for all $t \in A$ implies $\varphi(H_n) \uparrow \varphi(H)$.

We will assume throughout that function modulaires are convex and regular. A subset $B \in \Sigma$ is said to be $\varphi$-null if $\varphi(g \ 1_B) = 0$, for any $g \in \mathcal{E}$, where $1_B$ is the characteristic function of the subset $B$. This will allow us to say that a property holds $\varphi$-almost everywhere, and write $\varphi$-a.e., if the

In this work, we investigate the existence of the fixed points of a monotone asymptotic pointwise nonexpansive mapping defined in MFS. In particular, we generalize the classical fixed point result of Kirk and Xu [13].
set where it does not hold is $\varrho$-null. Consider the set $\mathcal{M} = \{ f \in \mathcal{M}_c; |f(t)| < \infty \text{ a.e.}\}$. The MFS $L_\varrho$ is given by

$$L_\varrho = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{M}; \lim_{\lambda \to 0^-} \varrho (\lambda f) = 0 \right\}. \quad (1)$$

In the next theorem, we will review the most fundamental properties of the MFS needed in our work.

**Theorem 2** (see [11, 14]). Let $\varrho$ be a function modular.

(1) If $\varrho(\alpha h_n) \to 0$, for some $\alpha > 0$, then $h_{\varrho(n)} \to 0$ a.e. holds for some subsequence $\{h_{\varrho(n)}\}$.

(2) We have $\varrho(g) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \varrho(g_n)$, for any sequence $\{g_n\}$ such that $g_n \to g$ a.e.

The following definition will represent the modular versions of the classical metric concepts.

**Definition 3** (see [11, 14]). Let $\varrho$ be a function modular.

(1) $\{h_n\}$ is said to $\varrho$-converge to $h$ if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varrho(h_n - h) = 0$. $h$ will stand for the $\varrho$-limit of $\{h_n\}$.

(2) A sequence $\{h_n\}$ is called $\varrho$-Cauchy if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varrho(h_n - h_m) = 0$.

(3) $C \subset L_\varrho$ is $\varrho$-closed if and only if the $\varrho$-limit of any $\varrho$-convergent sequence $\{h_n\} \subset C$ belongs to $C$.

(4) For a nonempty subset $C$, we define its $\varrho$-diameter as

$$\delta_\varrho(C) = \sup \{ \varrho(f - h); f, h \in C \}. \quad (2)$$

$C$ is $\varrho$-bounded if and only if $\delta_\varrho(C) < +\infty$.

Regardless the fact that the modular may not satisfy the triangle inequality, the $\varrho$-limit is unique. But $\varrho$-convergent sequences may not be $\varrho$-Cauchy. Indeed, a simple example may be found in the variable exponent space $L^{p(x)}$, where the function $p$ is defined by

$$p(x) = n, \quad x \in [n, n+1), \ n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3)$$

The function modular $\varrho$ is defined by

$$\varrho(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{[n, n+1)} |f(x)|^n \, dx. \quad (4)$$

If we take

$$f_n(t) = (-1)^n \frac{1}{2} I_{[n, n+1)}, \ n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $\varrho(f_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1/2^n)$, and

$$\varrho(f_n - f_{n+1}) = \varrho\left( (-1)^n \frac{1}{2} I_{[n, n+1)} - (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{2} I_{[n+1, n+2)} \right)$$

$$= \varrho\left( \frac{1}{2} I_{[n, n+1)} + \frac{1}{2} I_{[n+1, n+2)} \right)$$

$$= \varrho\left( \frac{1}{2} I_{[n, n+1)} + \frac{1}{2} I_{[n+1, n+2)} \right), \quad (6)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varrho(f_n) = 0, \quad \varrho(f_n - f_{n+1}) = +\infty, \quad (7) \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In other words, $\{f_n\}$ is $\varrho$-convergent to 0 and it is not $\varrho$-Cauchy.

Note that $\varrho$-balls $B_{\varrho}(f, r) = \{ h \in L_\varrho; \varrho(f - h) \leq r \}$ are $\varrho$-closed. It is interesting to notice that $\varrho$-Cauchy sequences in $L_\varrho$ are $\varrho$-convergent; i.e., $L_\varrho$ is $\varrho$-complete [11, 14].

The next result follows easily from Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4.** Let $\varrho$ be a function modular. Let $\{h_n\}$ be a sequence which $\varrho$-converges to $h$ in $L_\varrho$. If $\{h_n\}$ is monotone increasing (resp., decreasing), i.e., $h_n \leq h_{n+1} \varrho$-a.e. (resp. $h_n \geq h_{n+1} \varrho$-a.e.), for any $n \geq 1$, then $h_n \leq h$ $\varrho$-a.e. (resp., $h \leq h_n \varrho$-a.e.), for any $n \geq 1$.

Next we present the definition of the modular uniform convexity which is an essential tool in metric fixed point theory.

**Definition 5** (see [14]). Let $\varrho$ be a function modular. Then we will say that

(i) $\varrho$ is uniformly convex (in short (UC)) if for every $R > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\delta_\varrho(R, \varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{R^2} (f + g); \ (f, g) \in D \right\} > 0, \quad (8)$$

where $D$ is the set of all $f, g \in L_\varrho$ such that $\varrho(f) \leq R$, $\varrho(g) \leq R$ and $\varrho(f + g) \geq R$;

(ii) $\varrho$ is (UUC) if there exists $\eta(s, \varepsilon) > 0$ for every $s \geq 0, \ varepsilon > 0$ such that $\delta_\varrho(R, \varepsilon) > \eta(s, \varepsilon)$, for $R > s$.

**Remark 6.** The modular uniform convexity in Orlicz function spaces was initiated in the work of Khamsi et al. [15]. In particular, we know that the (UC) property of the modular in Orlicz spaces is satisfied if and only if the Orlicz function is (UC) [15, 16]. An example of an Orlicz function which is (UC) is $\varrho(t) = e^{t^2} - 1$ [17, 18].

Modular functions which are (UUC) have a similar property to the weak-compactness in Banach spaces.

**Theorem 7** (see [14, 15]). Let $\varrho$ be a (UUC) function modular. Then $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n \neq \emptyset$ for any sequence $\{C_n\}$ of nonempty $\varrho$-bounded, $\varrho$-closed, and convex subsets of $L_\varrho$ such that $C_{n+1} \subset C_n$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This intersection property is known as the property (R).

This property will be of huge help throughout our work. In particular, we have the following result.

**Theorem 8** (see [19]). Assume that $\varrho$ is (UUC). Let $C \subset L_\varrho$ be $\varrho$-bounded convex $\varrho$-closed nonempty subset. Let $\{g_n\} \subset C$ be a monotone increasing sequence (resp., decreasing). Then
that is called a minimizing sequence of $\varphi$.

The following result played a major role in the study of fixed point problems in MFS.

**Lemma 10** (see [20]). Let $\varphi$ be a function modular and $C \subseteq L_\varphi$ be nonempty. A function $\varphi : C \to [0, \infty]$ is said to be a $\varphi$-type if for any $f \in C$, we have

$$
\varphi(f) = \lim \sup_{m \to \infty} \varphi(g_m - f),
$$

(9)

for some sequence $\{g_m\}$ in $L_\varphi$. Any sequence $\{h_n\} \subseteq C$ such that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(h_n) = \inf \{\varphi(f) : f \in C\}
$$

(10)

is called a minimizing sequence of $\varphi$.

Next we give the definitions of monotone Lipschitzian mappings which mimic their metric equivalents. First, recall that $f$ and $g$ are said to be comparable if $f \leq g \varphi$-a.e. or $g \leq f \varphi$-a.e., for any $f, g \in L_\varphi$.

**Definition II** (see [21]). Let $C \subseteq L_\varphi$ be nonempty. A mapping $T : C \to C$ is said to have

1. monotone if and only if we have

$$
f \leq g \varphi - a.e. \quad \text{implies} \quad T(f) \leq T(g) \varphi - a.e.,
$$

(11)

for any $f, g \in C$;

2. monotone asymptotically pointwise Lipschitzian if and only if $T$ is monotone and there exists a sequence of mappings $k_n : C \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\varphi(T^n(f) - T^n(g)) \leq k_n(f) \varphi(f - g),
$$

(12)

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ whenever $f$ and $g$ are comparable elements in $C$. If $\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} k_n(f) = 1$, for any $f \in C$, then $T$ is monotone asymptotically pointwise nonexpansive mapping.

A point $f \in C$ is a fixed point of $T$ if and only if $T(f) = f$.

We can always assume that $\{k_n(f)\}$ is a decreasing sequence for any $f \in K$.

### 3. Main Results

In this section, we will extend the result of Khamis and Kozlowski [20] to the monotone case. The first result is the pointwise formulation of the main result of [21]. A powerful tool used to prove the existence of fixed points of asymptotic pointwise $\varphi$-nonexpansive mappings will be the existence of minimum points of $\varphi$-type functions. Since $\varphi$ may fail to satisfy the triangle inequality, $\varphi$-type functions may fail to have any good continuity properties that may guarantee the existence of a minimum point. Using the conclusion of Lemma 5.1 from the book [14], we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 12.** Let $\varphi$ be a regular modular. We will say that $\varphi$ is type-lsc if every $\varphi$-type function $\tau$ defined on a $\varphi$-bounded, $\varphi$-closed, and convex nonempty subset of $L_\varphi$ is $\varphi$-lower semicontinuous, i.e.,

$$
\tau(f) \leq \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \tau(f_n),
$$

(13)

for any $\{f_n\}$ which $\varphi$-converges to $f$.

According to Lemma 5.1 from the book [14], any uniformly continuous modular $\varphi$ is type-lsc. In [19], the authors investigated the existence of a fixed point for any monotone asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in MFS. Next we prove the pointwise version of their result.

**Theorem 13.** Assume that $\varphi$ is (UUC) and type-lsc. Let $C \subseteq L_\varphi$ be $\varphi$-bounded $\varphi$-closed convex nonempty subset. Let $T : C \to C$ be $\varphi$-continuous monotone asymptotically pointwise $\varphi$-nonexpansive. Assume there exists $f_0 \in C$ such that $f_0$ and $T(f_0)$ are comparable. Then $T$ has a fixed point comparable to $f_0$.

**Proof.** Without any loss of generality, we assume that $f_0 \leq T(f_0)$ $\varphi$-a.e. From the monotonicity of $T$, we deduce that the sequence $\{T^n(f_0)\}$ is monotone increasing. Let

$$
C_\infty = \{f \in C; T^n(f_0) \leq f \varphi - a.e. \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.
$$

(14)

**Remark 14.** Implies that $C_\infty \neq \emptyset$. Let $\varphi : C_\infty \to [0, +\infty)$ be the $\varphi$-type generated by $\{T^n(f_0)\}$, i.e.,

$$
\varphi(h) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{m \to \infty} \varphi(T^n(f_0) - h).
$$

(15)

Note that $\varphi(h) < \infty$, for any $h \in C_\infty$, since $C$ is $\varphi$-bounded. Let $\varphi_0 = \inf \{\varphi(h); h \in C_\infty\}$, and let $\{f_n\} \subset C_\infty$ be a minimizing sequence of $\varphi$. Using Lemma 10, we conclude that $\{f_n\}$ $\varphi$-converges to some $f \in C_\infty$. Since $\varphi$ is type-lsc, we deduce that $\varphi$ is $\varphi$-lower semicontinuous. Hence we have

$$
\varphi(f) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \varphi(f_n) = \varphi_0.
$$

(16)
Therefore, we must have \( \varphi(f) = \varphi_0 \). Next, we show that \( f \) is a fixed point of \( T \). Fix \( h \in C_\infty \). Since \( T \) is monotone, we have
\[
\varphi(T^m(h)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(T^n(f_0) - T^m(h))
\]
\[
\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} k_m(h) \varphi(T^{n-m}(f_0) - h)
\]
\[
= k_m(h) \varphi(h),
\]
for any \( m \geq 1 \). In other words, the inequality \( \varphi(T^m(h)) \leq k_m(h) \varphi(h) \) is satisfied for any \( h \in C_\infty \) and \( m \geq 1 \). Therefore, we have
\[
\varphi(f) \leq \varphi(T^m(f)) \leq k_m(f) \varphi(f) = k_m(f) \varphi_0,
\]
for any \( m \geq 1 \). Since \( T \) is asymptotically pointwise \( \varphi \)-nonexpansive, we have \( \lim_{m \to \infty} k_m(f) = 1 \), which implies that \( \lim_{m \to \infty}(f) \) is a minimizing sequence. Using Lemma 10, we conclude that \( \lim_{m \to \infty}(f) \) \( \varphi \)-converges to \( f \). Since \( T \) is \( \varphi \)-continuous, \( \lim_{m \to \infty} T(f) \) will \( \varphi \)-converge to \( T(f) \). The uniqueness of the \( \varphi \)-limit implies that \( T(f) = f \); i.e., \( f \) is a fixed point of \( T \).

In the proof of Theorem 13, the assumption type-lsc is crucial to secure the existence of the minimum point of a type which happens to be the desired fixed point of the map. Therefore, if we relax the type-lsc, one expects the proof to get more complicated. In this case, we will follow the ideas developed by Khamsi and Kozlowski [20] which allowed them to prove the existence of a fixed point for asymptotic pointwise nonexpansive mappings defined in modular function spaces by using the existence of a minimizing sequence for a \( \rho \)-type function which is \( \rho \)-convergent.

**Theorem 15.** Assume that \( \varphi \) is \( (UUC) \). Let \( K \subset L_\varphi \) be \( \varphi \)-bounded \( \varphi \)-closed convex nonempty subset. Let \( T : K \to K \) be \( \varphi \)-continuous monotone asymptotically pointwise \( \varphi \)-nonexpansive. Assume there exist \( f_0 \in K \) such that \( f_0 \) and \( T(f_0) \) are comparable. Then \( T \) has a fixed point comparable to \( f_0 \).

**Proof.** Without any loss of generality, we assume that \( f_0 \leq T(f_0) \) \( \varphi \)-a.e. As we did in the proof of Theorem 13, let \( K_{\infty} = \{ f \in K; \ T(f) \leq f \ \varphi - a.e. \ and \ n \in \mathbb{N} \} \) and define the \( \varphi \)-type function \( \varphi : K_{\infty} \to [0, +\infty) \) generated by \( \{ T^n(f_0) \} \), i.e.,
\[
\varphi(h) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(T^n(f_0) - h).
\]
Set \( \varphi_0 = \inf(\varphi(h); h \in K_{\infty}) \). Let \( \{ f_n \} \subset K_{\infty} \) be a minimizing sequence of \( \varphi \). As we did before, we know that \( \{ f_n \} \) \( \varphi \)-converges to some \( f \in K_{\infty} \). Since we do not know that \( \varphi \) is \( \varphi \)-lower semicontinuous, we may not be able to show that \( f \) is a minimum point of \( \varphi \). Recall that we have \( \varphi(T^n(h)) \leq k_n(h) \varphi(h) \), for any \( h \in K_{\infty} \) and \( m \geq 1 \), which implies
\[
\varphi(T^m(f_n)) \leq k_m(f_n) \varphi(f_n),
\]
for any \( n, m \geq 1 \). Next, we build by induction an increasing sequence of integers \( \{ M_n \} \), such that
\[
k_m(f_{M_n}) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{M_n},
\]
for any \( n \geq 1 \) and \( m \geq M_n \). Set \( M_1 = 1 \). Since \( \lim_{m \to \infty} k_m(f_{M_1}) = 1 \), there exists \( M_2 > M_1 \) such that
\[
k_m(f_{M_2}) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{M_1},
\]
for all \( m \geq M_2 \). Again since \( \lim_{m \to \infty} k_m(f_{M_2}) = 1 \), there exists \( M_3 > M_2 \) such that
\[
k_m(f_{M_3}) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{M_2},
\]
for all \( m \geq M_3 \). By induction, we build the sequence \( \{ M_n \} \) in \( \mathbb{N} \) such that \( M_n < M_{n+1} \) and
\[
k_m(f_{M_n}) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{M_n},
\]
for all \( m \geq M_n \) and \( n \geq 1 \). For any \( n \geq 1 \) and \( p \in \mathbb{N} \), take \( m = M_{n+1} + p \). Hence
\[
\varphi(T^{M_{n+1} + p}(f_{M_n})) \leq k_m(f_{M_n}) \varphi(f_{M_n}) \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{M_{n+1}} \right) \varphi(f_{M_n}).
\]
Note that we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} M_n = \infty \). Therefore, if we let \( n \to \infty \), we get
\[
\varphi_0 \leq \sup_{n \to \infty} \varphi(T^{M_{n+1} + p}(f_{M_n})) \leq \varphi_0.
\]
Therefore, \( \{ T^{M_{n+1} + p}(f_{M_n}) \} \) is a \( \varphi \)-minimizing sequence of \( \varphi \). Using Lemma 10, we conclude that \( \{ T^{M_{n+1} + p}(f_{M_n}) \} \) is \( \varphi \)-convergent to \( f \) for any \( p \in \mathbb{N} \). Take \( p = 0 \); we get the sequence \( \{ T^{M_n}(f_{M_n}) \} \) is \( \varphi \)-convergent to \( f \).

Using the \( \varphi \)-continuity of \( T \), we get \( \{ T(T^{M_n}(f_{M_n})) \} \) is \( \varphi \)-convergent to \( T(f) \). Using the uniqueness of the \( \varphi \)-limit and \( T(T^{M_n}(f_{M_n})) = T^{M_n+1}(f_{M_n}) \), we conclude that \( T(f) = f \); i.e., \( f \) is a fixed point of \( T \). Since \( f \in K_{\infty} \), we have \( f_0 \leq f \varphi - a.e. \) as claimed.

**Remark.** Examples of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings are not easily found. As it was pointed out by Kirk and Xu [13], the original example given by Goebel and Kirk may be modified to generate an example of a monotone asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Indeed, let \( C \) be the positive part of the unite ball \( B_1 \) of \( \ell_2 \), i.e.,
\[
C = \{ (f_n) \in B_1; \ f_n \geq 0 \ for \ any \ n \geq 1 \}.
\]
Define the mapping \( T : C \to C \) by
\[
T(f_n) = (0, f_1^2, C_2 f_2^2, C_3 f_3^2, \ldots).
\]
If we assume \( C_n \in (0, 1) \), for any \( n \geq 2 \), and \( \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n = 1/2 \), then we can show that \( T \) is a monotone asymptotically nonexpansive mapping which is not nonexpansive.
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