

Research Article

Further Results about a Special Fermat-Type Difference Equation

Hongwei Ma, Jianming Qi , and Zhenjie Zhang 

School of Business, Shanghai Dianji University, Shanghai 201306, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhenjie Zhang; zhangzj12345678@sina.com

Received 25 June 2019; Accepted 24 October 2019; Published 2 February 2020

Academic Editor: Alberto Fiorenza

Copyright © 2020 Hongwei Ma et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we prove the difference equation $F(z)^3 + \Delta_c F(z+c)^3 = 1$ does not have meromorphic solution of finite order over the complex plane \mathbb{C} . We also discuss an application to the unique range set problem.

1. Introduction and Main Result

This kind of questions is from the analogues to the Fermat diophantine equations

$$f^n + g^n = 1 \quad (1)$$

for a positive integer n . When $n > 3$, Gross [1] and Baker [2] proved that (1) does not nonconstant meromorphic solution in the complex plane \mathbb{C} .

Gross [1] and Baker [2] also showed for $n > 2$ there are no entire solutions. For the case $n = 3$, Gross [1] and Baker [2] also got the meromorphic solutions such as

$$f(z) = 4^{-1/6} \mathcal{P}'(z)^{-1} \{1 + 3^{-1/2} 4^{1/8} \mathcal{P}\} = \frac{\{1/2 + \mathcal{P}'(z)/(12)^{1/2}\}}{\mathcal{P}} \quad (2)$$

and

$$g(z) = 4^{-1/6} \mathcal{P}'(z)^{-1} \{1 - 3^{-1/2} 4^{1/8} \mathcal{P}\} = \frac{\{1/2 - \mathcal{P}'(z)/(12)^{1/2}\}}{\mathcal{P}}, \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{P} is a Weierstrass \mathcal{P} -function.

Now the equation $w^3 + z^3 = l$ defines an algebraic function whose Riemann surface has genus 1, and there is accordingly a uniformization by Weierstrass elliptic functions. Weierstrass elliptic function $\mathcal{P}(z) := \mathcal{P}(z, g_2, g_3)$ is a meromorphic function with double periods ω_1, ω_2 and defined as

$$\mathcal{P}(z; \omega_1, \omega_2) := \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\substack{\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \mu\omega_1 + \nu\omega_2 \neq 0}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(z + \mu\omega_1 + \nu\omega_2)^2} - \frac{1}{(\mu\omega_1 + \nu\omega_2)^2} \right\}, \quad (4)$$

which is even and satisfies, after appropriately choosing w_1 and w_2 , to the form

$$(\mathcal{P}'(z))^2 = 4\mathcal{P}^3(z) - 1. \quad (5)$$

In the same paper, Gross [1] conjectured all meromorphic solutions of $f^3 + g^3 = 1$ are necessarily elliptic functions of entire functions. The conjecture was proved by Baker [2]. He proved.

Theorem 1. Any function F, G , which are meromorphic in the complex plane and satisfy

$$F^3 + G^3 = 1 \quad (6)$$

have the form

$$F(z) = f(h(z)), \quad G(z) = \eta f(h(z)) = \eta f(-h(z)) = f(-\eta^2 h(z)), \quad (7)$$

where h is an entire function and η is a cube-root of unity.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the standard notations and results such as the proximity function $m(r, f)$, counting function $N(r, f)$, characteristic function $T(r, f)$, the first and second main theorems, lemma on the logarithmic derivatives etc. of Nevanlinna theory, (see [3, 4]). Given a meromorphic function f , we shall call a meromorphic function $a(z)$ a small function of $f(z)$ if $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$, where $S(r, f)$ is used to denote any quantity that satisfies $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, possibly outside of a set r of finite logarithmic measure. Here, the order $\rho(f)$ is defined by

$$\rho(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}. \quad (8)$$

Now, the estimate the order of $\mathcal{P}(z)$, Bank and Langley [5] indicates that

$$T(r, \mathcal{P}) = \frac{\pi}{A} r^2 (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(\mathcal{P}) = 2. \quad (9)$$

Nevanlinna value distribution theory of meromorphic functions has been extensively applied to resolve growth and solvability of meromorphic solutions of linear and nonlinear differential and difference equations (see [4, 6–10]).

Below, we list some well-known facts concerning the order of composite meromorphic functions that can be found in Erdre and Fuchs [11], and Bergweiler [12].

Theorem 2. *Let f be meromorphic and h be entire in \mathbb{C} . When $0 < \rho(f), \rho(h) < \infty$, then $\rho(f \circ h) < \infty$ and h is transcendental, then $\rho(f) = 0$.*

In recent years, Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z+c)$ ($c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$), the value distribution theory for difference polynomials, Nevanlinna theory of the difference operator and the difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative had been established, see e.g., [13–22]. Due to these theories, there has been a recent study on whether the derivative f' or f can be replaced by the difference operator $f(z+c)$ or $\Delta_c f(z) = f(z+c) - f(z)$ in the above question.

We may want to study all meromorphic solutions f of the following difference equation

$$f^n(z) + f^m(z+c) = 1 \quad (10)$$

for a fixed nonzero constant c [23, 24]. Shimomura [25] proved that the (10) has an entire solution of infinite order for the $n > m = 1$. Later, Liu et al. [26] proved that Eq. (10) has no transcendental entire solutions of finite order when $n \neq m$. If $n = m = 1$, Liu et al. [27] proved that the solutions of (10) are periodic functions of period $2c$, and proved that (10) has transcendental entire solutions of finite order for $n = m = 2$.

For a meromorphic functions $f(z)$, we define its difference operators by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_c f(z) &= f(z+c) - f(z), \\ \Delta_c^n f(z) &= \Delta_c^{n-1}(\Delta_c f(z)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Recently, Lü and Han [28] described a property of meromorphic solutions to equation in (6) with $G(z) := F(z+c)$, for $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, as the following result.

Theorem 3. *The difference equation $F(z)^3 + F(z+c)^3 = 1$ does not have meromorphic solution of finite order.*

It is natural to ask whether the shift $F(z+c)$ can be replaced by $\Delta_c F(z)$ in above Theorem 3. In this paper, based on the ideas of [28], we mainly consider this problem and prove the following results.

Theorem 4. *The difference equation $F(z)^3 + \Delta_c F(z+c)^3 = 1$ does not have meromorphic solution of finite order.*

Proof. It follows from (2) and (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \frac{\{(1/2) + (\mathcal{P}'(h(z)))/(12)^{1/2}\}}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}, \\ F(z+c) - F(z) &= \eta \frac{\{(1/2) - (\mathcal{P}'(h(z)))/(12)^{1/2}\}}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(z+c) &= \eta \frac{\{1/2 - \mathcal{P}'(h(z))/(12)^{1/2}\}}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))} + F(z) \\ &= \frac{\{(\eta+1)/2 + (1-\eta)\mathcal{P}'(h(z))/(12)^{1/2}\}}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\{(\eta+1)/2 + (1-\eta)\mathcal{P}'(h(z))/(12)^{1/2}\}}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))} \\ &= \frac{\{1/2 + \mathcal{P}'(h(z+c))/(12)^{1/2}\}}{\mathcal{P}(h(z+c))}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We know

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}} = 2F(z)\mathcal{P}'(h) - 1. \quad (15)$$

Assume $\rho(F) < \infty$. Then, combining (13) and (14) with (5), one has

$$4\mathcal{P}^3(h(z)) - 1 = 12F^2(z)\mathcal{P}^2(h(z)) - 12F(z)\mathcal{P}(h(z)) + 3. \quad (16)$$

Finally, we get

$$\mathcal{P}^3(h(z)) = 3F^2(z)\mathcal{P}^2(h(z)) - 3F(z)\mathcal{P}(h(z)) + 1. \quad (17)$$

So

$$3T(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z))) \leq 2T(r, F) + 2T(r, \mathcal{P}(h)) + O(1), \quad (18)$$

and $\rho(\mathcal{P}(h)) < \infty$. Note that f is a of finite order and (9) and Theorem 2 combined force h to be a polynomial.

Notice when $\mathcal{P}(z_0) = 0$, then $(\mathcal{P}')^2(z_0) = -1$. By (5) Now, write all the zeros of $\mathcal{P}(z)$ by $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $|z_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that h is a polynomial, then that all the zeros of $h(z) = z_n$ are simple zeros of $h(z) - z_n$ for $n > N$, where N is a positive integer, and $h(a_n) = z_n$ for $n > N$. Now, we divide two steps to prove our result.

Step 1. If there exists a subsequence of $\{a_n\}$ (with $a_n \rightarrow a_0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, which we still denote it by $\{a_n\}$) such that $\mathcal{P}(h(a_0+c)) = 0$. Then we have $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_0+c)) = \pm i$ and $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_0)) = \pm i$, so we also have a_0 is a simple zero of $\mathcal{P}(h(z))$.

Differential (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ (1-\eta) \frac{\mathcal{P}''(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}} h'(z) \right\} \mathcal{P}(h(z+c)) \\ &+ \left\{ (\eta+1) + (1-\eta) \frac{\mathcal{P}'(h(z))}{\sqrt{3}} \right\} \\ &\cdot \mathcal{P}'(h(z+c)) h'(z+c) = \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{P}''(h(z+c))}{\sqrt{3}} h'(z+c) \right\} \mathcal{P}(h(z)) \\ &+ \left\{ 1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}'(h(z+c))}{\sqrt{3}} \right\} \mathcal{P}'(h(z)) h'(z). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Substitute a_n (for enough large n) into the above equation and by $\mathcal{P}(h(a_n + c)) = 0, \mathcal{P}(h(a_n)) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h'(a_n + c)\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n + c))\left\{\frac{\eta + 1}{2} + \frac{1 - \eta}{2} \frac{\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n))}{(12)^{1/2}}\right\} \\ &= h'(a_n)\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n))\left\{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n + c))}{(12)^{1/2}}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Noting that $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n)) = \pm i$ and $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n + c)) = \pm i$. Without of loss generality, together with (14), we also assume there exists a sub-sequence of $\{a_n\}$ (here we still denote it by $\{a_n\}$) such that four cases:

Case 1. If $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n)) = i$ and $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n + c)) = i$, we get

$$\left\{(\eta + 1) + (1 - \eta)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}i\right\}h'(a_n + c) = h'(a_n)\left\{1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{3}\right\}. \tag{21}$$

Case 2. If $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n)) = -i$ and $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n + c)) = i$, we get

$$\left\{(\eta + 1) - (1 - \eta)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}i\right\}h'(a_n + c) = -h'(a_n)\left\{1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{3}\right\}. \tag{22}$$

Case 3. If $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n)) = i$ and $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n + c)) = -i$, we get

$$\left\{(\eta + 1) + (1 - \eta)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}i\right\}(-1)h'(a_n + c) = h'(a_n)\left\{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{3}\right\}. \tag{23}$$

Case 4. If $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n)) = -i$ and $\mathcal{P}'(h(a_n + c)) = -i$, we get

$$\left\{(\eta + 1) - (1 - \eta)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}i\right\}h'(a_n + c) = h'(a_n)\left\{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{3}\right\}. \tag{24}$$

Noting that $h(z), h(z + c)$ are polynomials and infinite many a_n (with $|a_n| \rightarrow \infty$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$), we would have to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{(\eta + 1) + (1 - \eta)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}i\right\}h'(z + c) = h'(z)\left\{1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{3}\right\}, \\ & \left\{(\eta + 1) - (1 - \eta)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}i\right\}h'(z + c) = -h'(z)\left\{1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{3}\right\}(-1), \\ & \left\{(\eta + 1) + (1 - \eta)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}i\right\}(-1)h'(z) = h'(z)\left\{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{3}\right\}, \\ & \left\{(\eta + 1) - (1 - \eta)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}i\right\}h'(z + c) = h'(z)\left\{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}i}{3}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Either $\eta = 1$ or $\eta = -1/2 \pm (\sqrt{3}/2)i$, and by comparing the highest coefficient of the both polynomials of the above equations, These are simply impossible.

So there exists a positive integer N satisfying $\mathcal{P}(h(a_n + c)) \neq 0$ for $n > N$.

Step 2. In view of $\mathcal{P}(h(a_k)) = 0$ and $(\mathcal{P}')^2(h(a_k)) = -1$, we have that $\mathcal{P}(h(a_n + c)) = \infty$ for $n > N$.

Thus, we get the proposition that the zeros of $\mathcal{P}(h(z))$ are the poles of $\mathcal{P}(h(z + c))$ except for finitely many points. This is to say

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}\right) &= \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}\right) + M \log r \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z + c))) + M \log r, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where M is a positive number. Noting that F is transcendental function, so $M \log r = S(r, F)$ and

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}\right) \leq \bar{N}(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z + c))) + S(r, F). \tag{27}$$

By $F(z) = \{1/2 + \mathcal{P}'(h(z))/(12)^{1/2}\}/\mathcal{P}(h(z))$ and $\rho(\mathcal{P}(h)) < \infty$, we have

$$T(r, F) \leq T(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z))) + T(r, \mathcal{P}'(h)) + O(1) \leq O(T(r, \mathcal{P}(h))), \tag{28}$$

which implies that $\rho(F) = \rho(\mathcal{P}(h))$ and $S(r, F) = S(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z)))$. By the equation $-G^3 = F^3 - 1 = (F - 1)(F - \eta)(F - \eta^2)$, we deduce that all zeros of $F - 1, F - \eta$ and $F - \eta^2$ are with multiplicities at least 3.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2T(r, F) &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - 1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \eta}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \eta^2}\right) + \bar{N}(r, F) + S(r, F) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}N\left(r, \frac{1}{F - 1}\right) + \frac{1}{3}N\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \eta}\right) + \frac{1}{3}N\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \eta^2}\right) \\ &\quad + N(r, F) + S(r, F) \leq T(r, F) + N(r, F) + S(r, F), \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

which implies that $T(r, F) = N(r, F) + S(r, F)$. It leads to $m(r, F) = S(r, F) = S(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z)))$.

Note the form of F , we have $(1/2)/\mathcal{P}(h(z)) = F(z) - (\mathcal{P}'(h(z))/(12)^{1/2}\mathcal{P}(h(z)))$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}\right) &= m\left(r, \frac{(1/2)}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}\right) + O(1) \\ &\leq m(r, F) + m\left(r, \frac{h'(z)\mathcal{P}'(h(z))}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}\right) \\ &\quad + m\left(r, \frac{1}{h'(z)}\right) + O(1) = S(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z))). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Then, all the above discussions yield that

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z))) &= T\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}\right) + O(1) = m\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}\right) \\ &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}(h(z))}\right) + O(1) \leq \bar{N}(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z + c))) \\ &\quad + S(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z))) \leq \frac{1}{2}N(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z + c))) \\ &\quad + S(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z))) \leq \frac{1}{2}T(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z + c))) + S(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z))) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}T(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z))) + S(r, \mathcal{P}(h(z))) + O\left(r^{\rho(\mathcal{P}(h))-1+\epsilon}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

a contradiction.

Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 4. \square

Remark 1. It is easy to get the solution of the equation $F(z) + \Delta_c F(z) = 1$ is only for constant. For $n = 2$, the solution

of the equation $F^2(z) + \Delta_c^2 F(z) = 1$, Liu (see Proposition 5.3 in [19]) proved there is no nonconstant finite order entire solution.

2. An Application

For a meromorphic function f and a set $S \in \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$E_f(S) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \{z | f(z) - a = 0, \text{ counting multiplicities}\}. \quad (32)$$

We say that f and g share a set S CM, provided that $E_f(S) = E_g(S)$.

In 1976, Gross [29] posed the following question:

Question 1 (see [29, Question 6]). Can one find two (or possibly even one) finite sets S_j ($j = 1, 2$) such that any two entire functions f and g satisfying $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$) must be identical?

If the answer to Question 1 is affirmative, it would be interesting to know how large both sets would have to be. Many authors have been considering about it, and got a lot of related results. Some of them are due to Yi [30], Mues and Reinders [31], and Frank and Reinders [32].

Recently, value distribution in difference analogues of meromorphic functions has become a subject of great interest [33, 34]. Zhang [35] obtained the following results.

Theorem B. Let $S_1 = \{\infty\}$ and $S_2 = \{1, \omega, \dots, \omega^{n-1}\}$, where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$ and n is a positive integer, let $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that f is a nonconstant meromorphic function of finite order such that $E_{f(z)}(S_i) = E_{f(z+c)}(S_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$). If $n \geq 4$, then $f(z) = tf(z+c)$, where $t^n = 1$.

Recently Lü and Han [28] proved that

Theorem C. Under the conditions of Theorem B and if $n \geq 3$, then the conclusion of Theorem B still holds.

In this paper, we mainly consider the $f(z)$ and $\Delta_c f(z)$ share the set which has three elements and get the following result.

Theorem 5. Let $S_1 = \{1, \eta, \eta^2\}$, for $\eta = -1/2 \pm (\sqrt{3}/2)i$, and $S_2 = \{\infty\}$. Take $c \neq 0$. Let f be a meromorphic function of finite order satisfying $E_{f(z)}(S_l) = E_{\Delta_c f(z)}(S_l)$ for $l = 1, 2$. Then, either $f(z) = \sqrt[3]{2}f(z+c)$ or $f(z) = \sqrt[3]{2}\eta f(z+c)$.

Proof. By Theorem C, we set $F = f^3$. Obviously, $F(z)$ and $\Delta_c F(z)$ share $1, \infty$ CM. Then we can assume that

$$\frac{\Delta_c F(z) - 1}{F(z) - 1} = e^{Q(z)}, \quad (33)$$

where Q is an entire function. Note that F is of finite order, we have Q is a polynomial. Obviously, $T(r, e^{Q(z)}) = m(r, e^{Q(z)}) = S(r, F)$.

Rewrite (33) as

$$F(z+c) = (e^{Q(z)} + 1) \left\{ F(z) - \frac{e^{Q(z)} - 1}{e^{Q(z)} + 1} \right\}, \quad (34)$$

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{e^{Q(z)} + 1} \left\{ F(z+c) - (1 - e^{Q(z)}) \right\}. \quad (35)$$

Similarly as above, we get $F(z) - (e^{Q(z)} - 1)/(e^{Q(z)} + 1)$ just has zeros with multiplicities at least 3. Furthermore, we have $F(z) - (1 - e^{Q(z-c)})$ just has zeros with multiplicities at least 3. Suppose that $1 - e^{Q(z-c)}, (e^{Q(z)} - 1)/(e^{Q(z)} + 1)$ are distinct from each other. Then, by the second main theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2T(r, F) &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - (1 - e^{Q(z-c)})}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - ((e^{Q(z)} - 1)/(e^{Q(z)} + 1))}\right) \\ &+ S(r, F) \leq \frac{1}{3}N(r, F) + \frac{1}{3}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}N\left(r, \frac{1}{F - (1 - e^{Q(z-c)})}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}N\left(r, \frac{1}{F - ((e^{Q(z)} - 1)/(e^{Q(z)} + 1))}\right) \\ &+ S(r, F) \leq \frac{4}{3}T(r, F) + S(r, F), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

a contradiction. Thus, there are at least two functions equal in the set

$$\left\{ 1 - e^{Q(z-c)}, 0, \left(\frac{e^{Q(z)} - 1}{e^{Q(z)} + 1} \right) \right\}. \quad (37)$$

We consider two cases.

Case 1. $1 - e^{Q(z-c)} \equiv 0$ or $(e^{Q(z)} - 1)/(e^{Q(z)} + 1) \equiv 0$ and then $e^{Q(z)} - 1 \equiv 0$, then Q must be a constant with $e^{Q(z)} \equiv 1$, so $F(z+c) - F(z) \equiv F(z)$, and then $F(z+c) = 2F(z)$, at last $f^3(z+c) = 2f^3(z)$.

Then, we have $e^Q = 1$. It follows from (33) that the assertion holds.

Case 2. $1 - e^{Q(z-c)} = 1 - e^{-Q(z)}$. That is $e^{Q(z-c)+Q(z)} = 1$.

Noting that Q is a polynomial, we deduce that $Q(z)$ is a constant by the assumption of Case 2. Furthermore, $e^{2Q} = 1$ and $e^Q = -1$. By (33), we get $f^3(z) + f^3(z+c) = 2$. Then, it follows from Theorem 1 that the case cannot occur.

Thus, we finish the proof of Theorem 5. \square

Example 1. Consider $f(z) = \sqrt{2} \sin z$. Then $f(z+c) = \sqrt{2} \cos z$ for $c = \pi/2$, and $\Delta_c f(z) = f(z+c) - f(z) = 2 \sin(\pi/4 - z)$. Notice that $f(z), \Delta_c f(z)$ share $\{\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}\}, \{\infty\}$ CM, but $f(z) \neq \pm f(z+c)$.

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

All authors typed, read, and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The work presented in this paper is supported by the Plateau Disciplines in Shanghai. Also this work was supported by Leading Academic Discipline Project of Shanghai Dianji University (16JCXK02) and Philosophy and Social Sciences Planning Project of the Ministry of Education (Grant No. 18YJC630120).

References

- [1] F. Gross, "On the function of $f^3 + g^3 = 1$," *The Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 72, pp. 86–88, 1966.
- [2] I. N. Baker, "On a class of meromorphic functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 819–822, 1966.
- [3] W. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [4] K. Liu, L. Z. Yang, and X. L. Liu, "Existence of entire solutions of nonlinear difference equations," *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, vol. 61, pp. 565–576, 2011.
- [5] S. B. Bank and J. K. Langley, "On the value distribution theory of elliptic functions," *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, vol. 98, pp. 1–20, 1984.
- [6] Z. B. Huang and R. R. Zhang, "Properties on q -difference Riccati equations," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 55, pp. 1755–1771, 2018.
- [7] J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen, and I. Laine, "On meromorphic solutions of certain nonlinear differential equations," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 331–343, 2002.
- [8] K. Liu and T. B. Cao, "Entire solutions of Fermat type q -difference differential equations," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 59, pp. 1–10, 2013.
- [9] C. C. Yang, "On entire solutions of a certain type of nonlinear differential equations," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 377–380, 2001.
- [10] C. C. Yang and P. Li, "On the transcendental solutions of a certain type of nonlinear differential equations," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 82, no. 5, pp. 442–448, 2004.
- [11] A. Edrei and W. H. J. Fuchs, "On the zeros of $f(g(z))$ where f and g are entire functions," *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, vol. 12, pp. 243–255, 1964.
- [12] W. Bergweiler, "Order and lower order of composite meromorphic functions," *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 135–146, 1989.
- [13] Y. M. Chiang and S. J. Feng, "On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane," *The Ramanujan Journal*, vol. 16, pp. 105–129, 2008.
- [14] Y. M. Chiang and S. J. Feng, "Nevanlinna theory of the Askey–Wilson divided difference operator," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 329, pp. 217–272, 2018.
- [15] B. Q. Chen and S. Li, "Uniqueness problems on entire functions that share a small function with their difference operators," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 311, pp. 1–11, 2014.
- [16] R. G. Halburd and R. J. Korhonen, "Nevanlinna theory for the difference operators," *Annales-Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica*, vol. 31, pp. 463–478, 2006.
- [17] R. G. Halburd and R. J. Korhonen, "Difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative with applications to difference equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 314, no. 2, pp. 477–487, 2006.
- [18] I. Laine and C. C. Yang, "Clunie theorems for difference and q -difference polynomials," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 76, pp. 556–566, 2007.
- [19] K. Liu, "Meromorphic functions sharing a set with applications to difference equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 359, pp. 384–393, 2009.
- [20] S. Li and B. Q. Chen, "Results on meromorphic solutions of linear difference equations," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2012, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2012.
- [21] S. Li, D. Mei, and B. Q. Chen, "Uniqueness of entire functions sharing two values with their difference operators," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2017, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2017.
- [22] S. Li, D. Mei, and B. Q. Chen, "Meromorphic functions sharing small functions with their linear difference polynomials," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2013, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2013.
- [23] P. Montel, *Lecons sur les familles normales de fonctions analytiques et leurs applications*, Collect, pp. 135–136, Borel, Paris, 1927.
- [24] C. C. Yang and I. Laine, "On analogies between nonlinear difference and differential equations," *Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A, Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 86, no. 1, pp. 10–14, 2010.
- [25] S. Shimomura, "Entire solutions of a polynomial difference equation," *Journal of the Faculty of Science*, vol. 28, pp. 253–266, 1981, The University of Tokyo Section 1 A: Mathematics.
- [26] I. Laine, *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, *Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 15, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
- [27] K. Liu, T. B. Cao, and H. Z. Cao, "Entire solutions of Fermat type differential-difference equations," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. 147–155, 2012.
- [28] F. Lü and Q. Han, "On the Fermat-type equation $f^3(z) + f^3(z + c) = 1$," *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 91, pp. 129–136, 2017.
- [29] F. Gross, "Factorization of Meromorphic Functions and Some Open Problems," in *Complex Analysis*, vol. 599 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 51–69, Springer, Berlin, 1977, Proceedings of Conference University, Kentucky, Lexington, KY, 1976.
- [30] H. X. Yi, "On a question of Gross," *Science in China, Series A*, vol. 38, pp. 8–16, 1995.
- [31] E. Mues and M. Reinders, "Meromorphic functions sharing one value and unique range sets," *Kodai Mathematical Journal*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 515–522, 1995.
- [32] G. Frank and M. Reinders, "A unique range set for meromorphic functions with 11 elements," *Complex Variables, Theory and Application: An International Journal*, vol. 37, no. 1–4, pp. 185–193, 1998.

- [33] R. G. Halburd and R. J. Korhonen, "Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator," *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae*, vol. 31, pp. 463–478, 2006.
- [34] R. G. Halburd and R. J. Korhonen, "Difference analogue of the Lemma on the Logarithmic Derivative with applications to difference equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 314, no. 2, pp. 477–487, 2006.
- [35] J. L. Zhang, "Value distribution and shared sets of differences of meromorphic functions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 367, no. 2, pp. 401–408, 2010.
- [36] P. Li and W. J. Wang, "Entire function that share a small function with its derivative," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 328, pp. 743–751, 2007.
- [37] P. Li, "Entire solutions of certain type of differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 344, pp. 253–259, 2008.
- [38] J. M. Qi, J. Ding, and T. Y. Zhu, "Entire solutions for a nonlinear differential equation," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 73, pp. 1–9, 2011.