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1. Introduction and Preliminaries

One of the important and pioneering results is the celebrated Banach contraction in metric fixed-point theory. Generalizations in the existence of solutions of differential, integral, and integrodifferential equations are mostly based on creating outstanding generalizations in the metric fixed-point theory. These generalizations are obtained by enriching metric structure of underlying space and/or generalizing contraction condition. Bakhtin [1] and Czerwik [2] extended first time the idea of metric space by modifying the triangle inequality and called it a b-metric space. Kamran et al. [3], in 2017, further generalized the idea of b-metric and introduced an extended b-metric (Eb-metric) space. They weakened the triangle inequality of metric and established fixed-point results for a class of contractions. Following the idea of Eb-metric, see [7–17]. To have some insight about miscellaneous generalizations of metric, we refer the readers to a recent article [6] and for some work on b-metric, see [7–17].

In 1976, Nadler [18] extended first time the idea of Banach contraction principle for multivalued mappings. He used the set of all closed and bounded subsets of a metric space P and the Hausdorff metric on it. Some of the important generalization of Nadler’s result can be seen in ([19–21]). Subashi and Gjini [22] further generalized the concept of extended b-metric space to multivalued mappings by using extended Hausdorff b-metric. Unlike Nadler, they used H(Π), the set of all compact subsets of an Eb-metric space Π.

In this paper, we have discussed the multivalued ϕ-contractions on Eb-metric spaces and proved some fixed-point results. The first section of the paper consists of some essential definitions and preliminaries. The second section is dedicated to some fixed-point results for multivalued mappings where extended b-comparison function ϕ has been used. In the last section, some well-known theorems are mentioned which are direct consequences of our main result.

The core reason behind adding this section is to recollect some essential concepts and results which are valuable throughout this paper.

Definition 1. ([23], Czerwik) For any nonempty set Π, a b-metric on Π is a function db : Π × Π → R ∪ {0} such that the following axioms hold:

B1: db(p, v) = 0 if and only if p = v : ∀p, v ∈ Π.
B2: db(p, v) = db(v, p) : ∀p, v ∈ Π.
B3: ∃b ≥ 1 such that db(p, u) ≤ b[db(p, v) + db(v, u)] : ∀p, v, u ∈ Π.

The pair (Π, db) is then termed as b-metric space with coefficient b. Evidently, we can see that the collection of b-metric spaces is a superclass of the collection of metric spaces.
A comparison function is an increasing function \( \varphi : \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\} \) such that for all \( l \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\}, \lim_{r \to \infty} \varphi'(l) = 0 \) \([24]\).

A nonnegative real-valued function \( \varphi \) on \( \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\} \) is called a c-comparison function if it is increasing, and for every \( r > 0 \) and \( r = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), the series \( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \varphi'(l) \) converges.

It is evident from the definition that a c-comparison function is a comparison function but the converse may not be true in general (see for example [25]).

Let us consider a b-metric space \( (\mathbb{P}, d_b) \) and an increasing nonnegative function \( \varphi \) on \( \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\} \). We call a map \( \varphi \) to be a b-comparison function if for all \( l \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\} \), the series \( \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} b^r \varphi'(l) \) converges \([25, 26]\).

The function \( \varphi(l) = jl \) is an example of a b-comparison function if \( 0 < j < 1/b \) for a b-metric space \( (\mathbb{P}, d_b) \). Note that for \( b = 1 \), the defined b-comparison function becomes equivalent to the definition of a comparison function.

In the following, the authors enriched the notion of b-metric space by amending the triangle inequality

\[ \DeclareMathOperator*{\sum}{\text{def}} \]  
Definition 2. ([3], Kamran al.) Consider a map \( s : \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \rightarrow [0, \infty) \where \mathbb{P} \neq \emptyset \). An extended b-metric (Eb-metric) on \( \mathbb{P} \) is a function \( d_b : \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) which satisfies

\[ \begin{align*} 
\text{EB}1: & \quad d_b(p, v) = 0 \text{ if and only if } p = v : \forall p, v \in \mathbb{P} \text{.} \\
\text{EB}2: & \quad d_b(p, v) = d_b(p, v) : \forall p, v \in \mathbb{P} \text{.} \\
\text{EB}3: & \quad d_b(p, u) \leq s(p, u)[d_b(p, t) + d_b(t, v)] : \forall p, t, v \in \mathbb{P} \text{.}
\end{align*} \]

The pair \( (\mathbb{P}, d_b) \) is then termed as an extended b-metric (Eb-metric) space.

\[ \begin{align*} 
\text{Definition 3.} & \quad \text{[3], Kamran al.} \text{Let us consider an Eb-metric space}(\mathbb{P}, d_b). \text{A sequence} \{\omega_i\} \text{in} \mathbb{P} \text{is said to be} \\
& \quad \text{(i)} \text{convergent which converges to} \omega \text{in} \mathbb{P} \text{if and only if} \ d_b(\omega_i, \omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{as} r \rightarrow \infty; \text{we write} \lim_{r \to \infty} \omega_i = \omega \\
& \quad \text{and} \ (ii) \text{a Cauchy sequence if} \ d_b(\omega_i, \omega_k) \rightarrow 0 \text{as} r, k \rightarrow \infty
\end{align*} \]

We say that an Eb-metric space \( (\mathbb{P}, d_b) \) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in \( \mathbb{P} \) converges in \( \mathbb{P} \). We note that the extended b-metric \( d_b \) is not a continuous functional in general and every convergent sequence converges to a single point.

Next, we define the concept of \( \gamma \)-orbital lower semicontinuity (lsc in short) in the case of Eb-metric space which we will use

\[ \begin{align*} 
\text{Definition 4.} & \quad \text{[27], Let} \ \gamma : D \subset \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}, \ \omega_0 \in D, \text{and the orbit of} \ \omega_0 \in D, \ \mathcal{O}(\omega_0) = \{\omega_0, \gamma(\omega_0), \gamma^2(\omega_0), \ldots\} \subset D. \text{A real-valued function} \ G \text{on} D \text{is said to be a} \gamma \text{-orbitally lsc at} \ p \in D \text{if} \ \omega_0 \rightarrow p \text{and} \ (\omega_i) \subset \mathcal{O}(\omega_0) \text{implies} \ G(s) \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \inf \ G(\omega_i). \text{In case if} \ \gamma : D \subset \mathbb{P} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{P}) \text{is multivalued, then the orbit of} \ \gamma \text{at} \ \omega_0 \text{is given as} \ \mathcal{O}(\omega_0) = \{\omega_r : \omega_i \in \gamma(\omega_{i-1})\}.
\end{align*} \]

\[ \text{2. Main Results} \]

For some technical reasons, Samreen et al., introduced another class of comparison functions for Eb-metric spaces given as follows

\[ \text{Definition 5.} \quad \text{Let} \ \mathbb{P}, d_1) \text{be an Eb-metric space. A nonnegative increasing real-valued function} \ \varphi \text{on} \ \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\} \text{is called an extended b-comparison function if there exists a mapping} \ \gamma : D \subset \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \text{such that for some} \ \omega_0 \in D, \ \mathcal{O}(\omega_0) \subset D \text{and the infinite series} \ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \varphi'(l) \prod_{s=1}^{r} s(\omega_i, \omega_k) \text{converges for all} \ l \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\} \text{and for every} \ k \in N. \text{Here}, \ \omega_k = \gamma^k \omega_0 \text{for} \ r = 1, 2, \ldots. \text{We say that} \ \varphi \text{is an extended b-comparison function for} \ \gamma \text{at} \ \omega_0. \]

\[ \text{Remark 6.} \text{It can be easily seen that by taking} \ s(p_1, p_2) = b \geq 1 \text{a constant}, \text{Definition 5 coincides with the definition of a b-comparison function for an arbitrary self-map} \ \gamma \text{ on} \ \mathbb{P}. \text{Every extended b-comparison function is also a comparison function for some} \ b; \text{i.e., if} \ s(p_1, p_2) \geq 1 \text{for every} \ p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{P}, \text{then by setting} \ b = \inf_{p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{P}} s(p_1, p_2), \text{we have}
\]

\[ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} b^{r} \varphi'(l) \leq \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \varphi'(l) \prod_{1}^{r} s(\omega_i, \omega_k). \quad (1) \]

\[ \text{Example 7.} \text{Let} \ \mathbb{P}, d_1) \text{be an Eb-metric space,} \ \gamma \text{a self-map on} \ \mathbb{P}, \text{and} \ \omega_0 \in \mathbb{P}. \lim_{r \to \infty} (\omega_r, \omega_k) \text{exists for} \ \omega_r = \gamma^r \omega_0. \text{Define} \ \varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \text{as}
\]

\[ \varphi(l) = jl, \text{such that} \lim_{r \to \infty} s(\omega_i, \omega_k) < 1/j. \quad (2) \]

\[ \text{Then, by using ratio test, one can easily see that the series} \ \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \varphi'(l) \prod_{s=1}^{r} s(\omega_i, \omega_k) \text{converges where} \ d_b(u, z) = \inf \{d_b(u, z) : z \in Z\} \text{is a distance from a point} \ u \in \mathbb{P} \text{to a set} Z \text{and} \ s(W, Z) = \sup \{s(w, z) : w \in W, z \in Z\}. \]

\[ \text{Definition 8.} \text{[22], Let} \ \mathbb{P}, d_1) \text{be an Eb-metric space and} A, B \in H(\mathbb{P}). \text{An extended Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric induced by} \ d_1 \text{is a function} \ \mathcal{H} : H(\mathbb{P}) \times H(\mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\} \text{defined as}
\]

\[ \mathcal{H}_s(W, Z) = \max \left\{ \sup_{w \in W} d_b(w, Z), \sup_{z \in Z} d_b(W, z) \right\}. \quad (3) \]

\[ \text{Theorem 9.} \text{[22], Let} \ \mathbb{P}, d_1) \text{be a complete Eb-metric space.} \text{Then,} \ H(\mathbb{P}) \text{is a complete Eb-metric space with respect to the metric} \ \mathcal{H}_s \text{.}
\]

The following lemma is trivial.

\[ \text{Lemma 10.} \text{Let} \ \mathbb{P}, d_1) \text{be an Eb-metric space and} W, Z \in H(\mathbb{P}). \text{Then, for any} \ \beta > 0 \text{and for every} \ z \in Z, \text{there exist} \ w \in W \text{such that}
\]

\[ d_b(w, z) \leq \mathcal{H}_s(W, Z) + \beta. \quad (4) \]

Now we are able to state our main result.
Theorem 11. Let $d_r$ be a continuous functional on $\mathfrak{P}$ such that $(\mathfrak{P}, d_r)$ is an $\mathfrak{E}$-metric space. Let $D$ be a closed subset of $\mathfrak{P}$ and $\gamma : D \to H(\mathfrak{P})$ be such that $\mathcal{O}(\omega_0) \in D$. Assume that for all $p \in \mathcal{O}(\omega_0)$ and $t \in \gamma(p)$:

$$\mathcal{H}_r(\gamma(p), \gamma(t)) \leq \varphi(d_r(p, t)).$$

(5)

Moreover, the inequality (1) strictly holds if and only if $p \neq t$ and $\varphi$ is an extended $b$-comparison function for $\gamma$ at $\omega_0 \in D$. Then, there exists $\omega$ in $\mathfrak{P}$ such that $\omega_r \to \omega$, where $\omega_r \in T(\omega_{r-1})$. Furthermore, $\omega \in \mathfrak{P}$ is a point fixed under the map $\gamma$ if and only if the map $G(l) = d_r(l, \gamma(l))$ is $\gamma$-orbitally lsc at $\omega$.

Proof. Let $\omega_0 \in D$ and $\omega_1 \in \gamma(\omega_0)$. Then, $\omega_0 \neq \omega_1$ because if it is equal, then $\omega_0$ is a fixed point of $\gamma$. By using (1) for $\gamma(\omega_0)$, $\gamma(\omega_1) \in H(\mathfrak{P})$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_r(\gamma(\omega_0), \gamma(\omega_1)) < \varphi(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)).$$

(6)

Choose $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_r(\gamma(\omega_0), \gamma(\omega_1)) + \varepsilon_1 < \varphi(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)).$$

(7)

Now, $\omega_1 \in \gamma(\omega_0)$ and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$; then, by Lemma 2, there exists $\omega_2 \in \gamma(\omega_1)$ such that

$$d_r(\omega_1, \omega_2) < \mathcal{H}_r(\gamma(\omega_0), \gamma(\omega_1)) + \varepsilon_1 < \varphi(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)).$$

(8)

Again, $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$; otherwise, $\omega_1$ is fixed under the map $\gamma$. By using (1), we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_s(\gamma(\omega_1), \gamma(\omega_2)) < \varphi(d_r(\omega_1, \omega_2)).$$

(9)

Choose $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_s(\gamma(\omega_1), \gamma(\omega_2)) + \varepsilon_2 < \varphi(d_r(\omega_1, \omega_2))$$

$$< \varphi(\varphi(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)))$$

$$= \varphi^2(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)),$$

(10)

while the second inequality is due to (4). By Lemma 10, for $\omega_2 \in \gamma(\omega_1)$ and $\varepsilon_2 > 0$, $\exists \omega_3 \in \gamma(\omega_2)$ such that

$$d_r(\omega_2, \omega_3) < \mathcal{H}_s(\gamma(\omega_1), \gamma(\omega_2)) + \varepsilon_2 < \varphi^2(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)).$$

(11)

Continuing in the same way, we get

$$d_r(\omega_r, \omega_{r+1}) < \varphi^r(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)).$$

(12)

If $k > r$, then by using (6) and the triangle inequality in $\mathfrak{E}$-metric, we obtain

$$d_r(\omega_r, \omega_k) \leq s(\omega_r, \omega_k)^{-1} d_r(\omega_r, \omega_{r+1})$$

$$+ s(\omega_r, \omega_k) s(\omega_{r+1}, \omega_k) d_r(\omega_{r+1}, \omega_{r+2}) + \cdots$$

$$+ s(\omega_r, \omega_k) s(\omega_{k-1}, \omega_k) \cdots s(\omega_{k-1}, \omega_k) d_r(\omega_{k-1}, \omega_k)$$

$$\leq d_r(\omega_r, \omega_{r+1}) \prod_{i=1}^r s(\omega_i, \omega_k)$$

$$+ d_r(\omega_{r+1}, \omega_{r+2}) \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} s(\omega_i, \omega_k) + \cdots$$

$$+ d_r(\omega_{k-1}, \omega_k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} s(\omega_i, \omega_k)$$

$$\leq \varphi^r(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)) \prod_{i=1}^r s(\omega_i, \omega_k)$$

$$+ \varphi^{r+1}(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)) \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} s(\omega_i, \omega_k) + \cdots$$

$$+ \varphi^{k-1}(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} s(\omega_i, \omega_k).$$

(13)

But $\varphi$ is an extended $b$-comparison function, so the series

$$\sum_{i=j}^\infty \varphi^i(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)) \prod_{i=1}^{j+1} s(\omega_i, \omega_k)$$

converges. Let $S$ be the sum of the series. By setting $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi^i(d_r(\omega_0, \omega_1)) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} s(\omega_i, \omega_k)$, from inequality (7), we obtain

$$d_r(\omega_r, \omega_k) \leq (S_{k+1} - S_{r+1}),$$

(14)

which further implies that $\lim_{k \to \infty} d_r(\omega_r, \omega_k) = 0$. Hence, $\omega$ is a Cauchy sequence in $D$. But $D$ is a closed subset of complete space $\mathfrak{P}$ so there exists $\omega \in D$ such that $\omega_r \to \omega$.

Using the definition of an extended Hausdorff $b$-metric $\mathcal{H}_s$ and (1), we have

$$d_r(\omega_r, \omega_{r+1}) \leq \mathcal{H}_s(\gamma(\omega_r), \gamma(\omega_{r+1}))$$

$$\leq \varphi(d_r(\omega_{r-1}, \omega_r)) < d_r(\omega_{r-1}, \omega_r).$$

(15)

But $\omega_r \to \omega$ as $r \to \infty$ which infers that $\lim_{r \to \infty} d_r(\omega_r, \omega) = 0$.

Assume that $G(\omega) = d_r(\omega, \gamma(\omega))$ is $\gamma$-orbitally lsc at $\omega$.

Then,

$$d_r(\omega, \gamma(\omega)) = G(\omega) \leq \liminf_{r \to \infty} G(\omega_r) = \liminf_{r \to \infty} d_r(\omega_r, \gamma(\omega_r)) = 0.$$

(16)

Hence, $\omega \in \gamma(\omega)$. But $\gamma(\omega)$ is closed, so $\omega \in \gamma(\omega)$ and thus, $\omega$ is fixed under the map $\gamma$. Conversely, if $\omega$ is a point fixed under the map $\gamma$, then $G(\omega) = 0 \leq \liminf_{r \to \infty} G(\omega_r)$.

Remark 12. Note that Theorem 11 extends/generalizes the main result by Samreen et al. (Theorem 15.9) to the case of multivalued mappings. Moreover, Theorem 11 includes main results such as by Czerwik (Theorem 9 [21]) and Samreen et al. (Theorem 3.10 (6) [28]) as special cases when the
self-mapping is taken on a b-metric space. It also invokes some of the results by Proinov [29] and Hicks and Rhoades [30] in the case of metric space.

**Example 13.** Let \( \mathfrak{P} = [0, 1/4] \) and \( d_0 : \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be defined as \( d_0(l, m) = (l - m)^2 \). Then, \( (\mathfrak{P}, d_0) \) is an Eb-metric space with \( s(p, q) = p + q + 2 \). Define \( \gamma : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow H(\mathfrak{P}) \) by \( \gamma(p) = [0, 1] \); then, for each \( \omega \in \mathfrak{P} \) and \( \omega_r \in \gamma(\omega_{r-1}) \), we have \( \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \omega_r = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\omega + \omega_r + 2) = 2 < 4 \). For every \( 1 \in \mathfrak{P} \) and \( m \in T(l) \), we obtain

\[
\mathcal{H}_s(yl, ym) = \mathcal{H}_s([0, 1], [0, m^2]) = (l^2 - m^2)^2
\]

(17)

If we define \( \varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) by \( \varphi(j) = j/4 \), then \( \gamma \) fulfilled all the conditions present in our main Theorem 11. So \( \exists \omega \in \mathfrak{P} \) such that \( \omega \in \gamma \) as we can see here that \( \omega = 0 \in \gamma 0 \).

3. **Consequences**

In this section, we will discuss an important consequence of Theorem 11 which involves \( \beta_{s-\varphi} \) multivalued contractions on Eb-metric spaces. The obtained result generalizes some results by Asl et al. (Theorem 2.1 [31]) and Bota et al. (Theorem 9 [32]).

**Definition 17.** Let \( s : \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow [1, \infty) \) be a map such that \( \mathfrak{P} \) is an Eb-metric space. A multivalued mapping \( \gamma : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{P}) \) is said to be a \( \beta_{s-\varphi} \)-comparison function for \( \gamma \) at \( \omega_0 \). Then, \( \exists \omega \in \mathfrak{P} \) such that \( \omega \in \gamma \) as we can see here that \( \omega = 0 \in \gamma 0 \).


**Definition 18.** [32] Let \( (\mathfrak{P}, d_0) \) be an Eb-metric space. A multivalued mapping \( \gamma : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{P}) \) is said to be a \( \beta_{s-\varphi} \)-contractive multivalued operator of type (Eb) if there exist two functions \( \beta : \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\} \) and \( \varphi \in \Phi_{eb} \) such that

\[
\varphi(d_0(m, u)) \geq \beta_s(\gamma(m), \gamma(u)) \mathcal{H}_s(\gamma(m), \gamma(u)),
\]

(21)

Then, \( \exists \omega \in \mathfrak{P} \) such that \( \omega \) as \( \omega \in \gamma \) as we can see here that \( \omega = 0 \in \gamma 0 \).

**Proof.** Since \( \gamma \) is \( \beta_{s-\varphi} \)-admissible and \( \beta(\omega_0, \omega_1) \geq 1 \) for \( \omega_1 \in \gamma(\omega_0) \), so \( \beta_s(\gamma(\omega_0), \gamma(\omega_1)) \geq 1 \). By using infimum property, for \( \omega_1 \in \gamma(\omega_0) \) and \( \omega_2 \in \gamma(\omega_1) \),

\[
\beta(\omega_1, \omega_2) \geq \beta_s(\gamma(\omega_1), \gamma(\omega_2)).
\]

(22)

Thus, \( \beta(\omega_1, \omega_2) \geq 1 \) which further implies that \( \beta_s(\gamma(\omega_1), \gamma(\omega_2)) \geq 1 \). Again, by using the same property, for \( \omega_2 \in \gamma(\omega_1) \) and \( \omega_3 \in T\omega_2, \beta(\omega_2, \omega_3) \geq \beta_s(\gamma(\omega_1), \gamma(\omega_2)) \geq 1 \). Continue the similar process to obtain

\[
\beta_s(\gamma(\omega_r), \gamma(\omega_{r+1})) \geq 1, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, \ldots.
\]

(23)

The contractive condition (8) thus implies

\[
\mathcal{H}_s(\gamma(\omega_r), \gamma(\omega_{r+1})) \leq \beta_s(\gamma(\omega_r), \gamma(\omega_{r+1})) \mathcal{H}_s(\gamma(\omega_r), \gamma(\omega_{r+1})) \\
\leq \varphi(d_0(\gamma^{-1}(\omega_r), \gamma(\omega_{r+1}))),
\]

(24)

which becomes equivalent to the following condition:

\[
\mathcal{H}_s(\gamma(\omega_1), \gamma(\omega_2)) \leq \varphi(d_0(\omega_1, \omega_2)),
\]

(25)
for every $p_1 \in \Theta(\hat{w}_0)$ and $p_2 \in \gamma p_1$. Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 11 are satisfied and so the assertions follow.

**Remark 19.** 1. Note that Theorem 4.2 in becomes a special case of Theorem 4 for a self-map. Also, for a selfmap $\gamma$ and $s(p_1, p_2) = 1$, Theorem 4 reduces to Theorem 2, 1 [33].
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