Positive Solutions for Elliptic Problems with the Nonlinearity Containing Singularity and Hardy-Sobolev Exponents

Yong-Yi Lan, Xian Hu, and Bi-Yun Tang

School of Science, Jimei University, Xiamen 361021, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yong-Yi Lan; lanyongyi@jmu.edu.cn

Received 4 February 2020; Accepted 16 April 2020; Published 27 April 2020

Guest Editor: Lishan Liu

Copyright © 2020 Yong-Yi Lan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we study multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of semilinear elliptic equations with the nonlinearity containing singularity and Hardy-Sobolev exponents. Using variational methods, we establish the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the problem.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Consider the following semilinear elliptic equations with Dirichlet boundary value conditions:

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u - \mu \frac{u^{2^*(s)-2}}{|x|^s} u + \lambda u^{-\gamma} & = 0, & x \in \Omega,

u > 0, & \quad x \in \Omega,

u = 0, & \quad x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]  

(1)

where \( \Omega \) is a smooth bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 3) \), \( 0 < s < 2, 2^*(s) = 2(N - s)/N - 2 \) is the Hardy-Sobolev critical exponent, \( 2^* = 2^*(0) = 2N/(N - 2) \) is the Sobolev critical exponent, \( \mu < \mu_c = \Delta (N - 2)^2/4 \), and \( \gamma \in (0, 1) \).

The energy functional associated with problem (1) is defined by

\[
I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^{2^*(s)-2}}{|x|^s} u + \lambda u^{-\gamma} \right) dx - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u^{2^*(s)}|}{|x|^s} dx

- \frac{\lambda}{1 - \gamma} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-\gamma} dx,
\]

(2)

for any \( u \in H^1_0(\Omega) \). In general, a function \( u \) is called a weak solution of problem (1) if \( u \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) and \( u(x) > 0 \) for all \( x \in \Omega \); it holds

\[
\int_{\Omega} \left( \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \mu \frac{u v}{|x|^s} - \frac{|u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} v - \lambda |u|^{-\gamma} v \right) dx = 0, \quad \forall v \in H^1_0(\Omega).
\]

The paper by Crandall et al. [1] is the starting point on semilinear problem with singular nonlinearity. There is a large literature on singular nonlinearities (see [2–14] and references therein). In particular, the following Dirichlet problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u = u^\beta + \mu u^{-\gamma} & = 0, & x \in \Omega,

u > 0, & \quad x \in \Omega,

u = 0, & \quad x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

(4)

has been shown in [2], in which the authors proved that problem (4) possesses at least one solution for \( \mu > 0 \) small enough, and there exists no solution when \( \mu \) is large. Chabrowski in [15] considered the Neumann boundary problems with singular superlinear nonlinearities by approximation and variational methods. When the superlinear term is subcritical, he obtained two solutions, a mountain-pass solution and a local minimizer solution. And, in the critical case, he obtained a local minimizer solution and proved that there is no mountain-pass solution.

In recent years, people have paid much attention to the existence of solutions for problems with the Sobolev critical
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(iii) The norm in $L^p(\Omega)$ is denoted by $|\cdot|_p$

(iv) $C, C_0, C_1, C_2, \cdots$ denote positive constants

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will study the unperturbed problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^p} &= |u|^{2^*(s)-2}u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
u \in D^{1,2}_r(\mathbb{R}^N), & u > 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well-known that the nontrivial solutions of problem (9) are equivalent to the nonzero critical points of the energy functional

$$
I_0(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^p} \right) dx
$$

$$
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)-2}u}{|x|^p} v dx, & u, v \in D^{1,2}_r(\mathbb{R}^N),
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, it is well-known that the function

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla z_{\varepsilon}|^2 - \mu \frac{z_{\varepsilon}^2}{|x|^p} \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|z_{\varepsilon}|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^p} dx.
$$
Moreover, \( z_\varepsilon(x) \) is the extremal function of the minimization problem

\[
S = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla u|^2 - \mu (u^2) |x|^2 \right) \, dx : u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u \neq 0 \right\},
\]

\[
S_{N-\alpha^2-s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla z_\varepsilon|^2 - \mu (z_\varepsilon^2) |x|^2 \right) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |z_\varepsilon|^2 |x|^2 \, dx.
\]

In view of [27, 29], we have the following exact local behavior of the solutions of (1).

**Lemma 2.** Let \( 0 < s < 2, \mu < \mu = \frac{\lambda}{4} (N - 2)^2 / 4 \). If \( u \in H^2_0(\Omega) \) is a positive solution of (1), then there exists \( r > 0 \) small enough and some positive constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) such that

\[
C_1 |x|^{-\left(\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu_\varepsilon}\right)} \leq |u(x)| \leq C_2 |x|^{-\left(\sqrt{\mu} - \sqrt{\mu_\varepsilon}\right)}, \quad x \in B(0, r) \setminus \{0\}.
\]

Define \( \zeta \in C^2(\Omega) \) such that \( \zeta(x) \leq 1, |\nabla \zeta(x)| \leq c \) for any \( x \in \Omega, \zeta(x) \geq 0 \) for all \( \varepsilon \Omega, 0 \in \Omega \), and

\[
\zeta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x| \leq \delta, \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| \geq 2\delta. \end{cases}
\]

Denote \( v_\varepsilon(x) = \zeta(x) z_\varepsilon(x) \). Then, using an argument similar to [30], we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( u \in H^2_0(\Omega) \) be a weak solution of problem (1). Then, for \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough

\[
\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right|^2 \, dx = \mathcal{O}\left( \varepsilon^{N-2+2-s} \right),
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2 |\nabla u|^2}{|x|^2} \, dx = \mathcal{O}\left( \varepsilon^{N-2+2-s} \right),
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega} \left( \nabla v_\varepsilon^2 - \mu \frac{v_\varepsilon^2}{|x|^2} \right) \, dx = S_{N-\alpha^2-s} + \mathcal{O}\left( \varepsilon^{N-2+2-s} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left( \varepsilon^{N-2+2-s} \right),
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega} \frac{v_\varepsilon^2 |\nabla^2 u|^2}{|x|^2} \, dx \geq S_{N-\alpha^2-s} - \mathcal{O}\left( \varepsilon^{N-2+2-s} \right),
\]

\[
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^{2s}}{|x|^2} \, dx = \mathcal{O}\left( \varepsilon^{(N-2)+2-s} \right).
\]

Next, we define some Nehari-type sets, which are relevant in getting multiple positive solutions. Denote

\[
\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \left\{ u \in H^2_0(\Omega) : \|u\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} + \lambda |u|^{2-s} \right) \, dx, \quad u \neq 0 \right\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{N}^0_\lambda = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \|u\|^2 > (2^s(s) - 1 + \gamma) \right\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{N}^\alpha_\lambda = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : (1 + \gamma) \|u\|^2 < (2^s(s) - 1 + \gamma) \right\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{N}^\beta_\lambda = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : (1 + \gamma) \|u\|^2 < (2^s(s) - 1 + \gamma) \right\}.
\]

Define the minimization problems

\[
d_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^\beta_\lambda} I_\lambda(u).
\]

Since

\[
I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^2 - \mu |u|^2 \right) \, dx - \frac{1}{2^s(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2s}}{|x|^2} \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{1 - \gamma} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{1-s} \, dx,
\]

it is easy to see that \( d_\varepsilon(\lambda) < 0 \) for \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( d_\varepsilon(\lambda) \to 0 \) as \( \lambda \to 0 \). Take \( \lambda_\varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( d_\varepsilon(\lambda) + N^{-1}S_{N-\alpha^2-s} > 0 \) for any \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda_\varepsilon) \). Denote

\[
\lambda_\varepsilon = \frac{(2^s(s) - 2)}{2^s(s) + \gamma - 1} \left( \frac{1 + \gamma}{2^s(s) - 1 + \gamma} \right)^{(1-s)(N-2+2-s)} |\Omega|^{(1-s-s)(N-2+2-s)},
\]

and set \( \lambda^* = \min \{ \lambda_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon \} \).

**Lemma 4.** If \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*) \), then \( \mathcal{N}^\beta_\lambda = \emptyset \). Moreover, for any \( u \neq 0 \), there exists a unique \( t^* > t^*(u) > 0 \) such that \( t^*(u) \in \mathcal{N}^\beta_\lambda \) and

\[
t^* > T_m := \left( \frac{\|u\|^2}{(2^s(s) - 1) \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|u|^{2s}}{|x|^2} \right) \, dx} \right)^{1/(2^s(s)-2)},
\]

\[
I_\lambda(t^*(u)) = \max_{\|u\|^2} I_\lambda(u),
\]

and there exists a unique \( t^- = t^-(u) > 0 \) such that \( t^-(u) \in \mathcal{N}^\beta_\lambda \) and

\[
t^- < T_m \quad \text{and} \quad I_\lambda(t^- u) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq T_m} I_\lambda(t u).
\]

**Proof.** The proof is similar to [30]. We omit the details.
3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on solving the minimization problem (18) and the minimization problem

\[ d_-(\lambda) = \inf_{w \in X} I_1(w). \]  

(25)

We divide the proof into two steps. In the first step, we prove that if there is \( w \in X \) such that \( d_-(\lambda) = I_1(w) \) and there is \( v \in X \) such that \( d_-(\lambda) = I_1(v) \), then \( w \) and \( v \) are two positive weak solutions of (1). In the second step, we prove that the minima \( d_+(\lambda) \) in (18) and \( d_-(\lambda) \) in (23) are achieved, respectively.

**Step 1.** Let \( \lambda = 1 \) such that \( d_+(\lambda) = I_1(w) \) and \( \lambda \in X \) such that \( d_-(\lambda) = I_1(v) \).

**Lemma 5.** For each \( \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) and \( \varphi \geq 0 \), we have the following:

There is \( \eta_0 \) such that \( I_1(\lambda + \eta \varphi) \geq I_1(\lambda) \) for each \( 0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_0 \)

Since \( \eta \rightarrow 1 \) as \( \eta \rightarrow 0 \), where for each \( \eta \geq 0, t_\eta \) is the unique positive number satisfying \( t_\eta^2 (v + \eta \varphi) \in X \).

Proof. The proof follows exactly the scheme in the proof of Lemma 3 in [31].

**Lemma 6.** For each \( \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) and \( \varphi \geq 0 \), we have \( w^\varphi \varphi \) and \( v^\varphi \varphi \) are positive weak solutions of (1).

\( \int_\Omega (\nabla \varphi^2 - \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |w|^\gamma \varphi) dx \geq 0, \)

\( \int_\Omega (\nabla \varphi^2 - \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |v|^\gamma \varphi) dx \geq 0. \)

(26)

**Proof.** We only prove (24) since the proof of (25) is similar. Let \( \varphi \geq 0 \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \). By (i) of Lemma 5 and simple computations, we have that

\( \frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} \int_\Omega \frac{\varphi^{2(s)-1}}{|x|^2} dx \)

\( \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \left( \left\| \varphi \right\|^2_2 - \left\| \varphi \right\|^2_2 \right) \)

\( \frac{1}{2^s \epsilon} \int_\Omega \left( \frac{\varphi^{2(s)-1}}{|x|^2} - \frac{\varphi^{2(s)}}{|x|^2} \right) dx. \)

(27)

Since the right hand side of the inequality has a finite limit value as \( \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \), by direct calculations, we conclude \( \epsilon^{-1}((w + \varphi)^{1-\gamma} - w^{1-\gamma}) \) increases monotonically as \( \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \) and

\[ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{((w + \varphi)^{1-\gamma} - w^{1-\gamma})}{\epsilon} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \varphi(x) = 0, \\ (1-\gamma)w^{-\gamma} \varphi, & \text{if } \varphi(x) > 0 \text{ and } w(x) > 0, \\ \infty, & \text{if } \varphi(x) > 0 \text{ and } w(x) = 0. \end{cases} \]

(28)

The Fatou lemma yields \( w^\gamma \varphi \in L^1(\Omega) \) and we get (24).

Since \( w, v \geq 0 \) and \( w, v \neq 0 \), by the strong maximum principle, it follows that

\[ w, v > 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}. \]

(29)

**Lemma 7.** We have that \( w \) and \( v \) are positive weak solutions of (1).

**Proof.** For any \( \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) and \( \varphi > 0 \), we define \( \psi = (w + \eta \varphi) \) and \( \psi^\gamma = \max \{ \psi, 0 \} \). Then, \( \psi^\gamma \in H^1_0(\Omega) \). Since \( w, v \in X \), we obtain from (24) that

\[ 0 \leq \int_\Omega \left( \nabla \varphi^2 - \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |w|^\gamma \varphi \right) dx \]

\[ = \int_\Omega \left( \nabla \varphi^2 - \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |w|^\gamma \varphi \right) dx \]

\[ \leq \eta \int_\Omega \left( \nabla \varphi^2 - \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |w|^\gamma \varphi \right) dx \]

\[ \leq \eta \int_\Omega \left( \nabla \varphi^2 - \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |w|^\gamma \varphi \right) dx. \]

(30)

Dividing by \( \eta \) and letting \( \eta \rightarrow 0 \), we have the measure of \( \{ x \in \Omega, w + \eta \varphi \leq 0 \} \) which tends to 0 as \( \eta \rightarrow 0 \), and we get that

\[ \int_\Omega \nabla \varphi^2 dx \rightarrow 0. \]

(31)

Therefore,

\[ \int_\Omega \left( \nabla \varphi^2 - \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |w|^\gamma \varphi \right) dx \geq 0. \]

(32)

Since \( \varphi \) is arbitrary, we get that \( w \) is a solution of (1).

Similarly, we can prove that \( v \) is also a solution of (1).

**Step 2.** The minima \( d_+(\lambda) \) and \( d_-(\lambda) \) are achieved. The proof is exactly the same as [32]. We omit the details here.
We point out that $v_\varepsilon$ and the exact local behavior of $w$ (see Lemma 2.) play essential roles. From Lemma 2., we have

$$C_1|x|^{-\left(\sqrt{r^2-\mu^2}\right)} \leq |w(x)| \leq C_2|x|^{-\left(\sqrt{r^2-\mu^2}\right)}, \quad x \in B(0, r) \setminus \{0\}.$$  

(33)

So there is $m > 0$ such that $w(x) \geq m$ for $x \in \text{supp } w \setminus \{0\}$.

**Lemma 8.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1,

$$d_-(\lambda) < I_\lambda(w) + \frac{2-s}{2(N-s)} S^{N-s/2-t}. \quad (34)$$

**Proof.** First, using an argument similar to the proofs in [31], we have $\eta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $w + \eta_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \in N^-_\lambda$. It remains to be proven that

$$\sup \{I_\lambda(w + \eta v_\varepsilon); \eta > 0\} < I_\lambda(w) + \frac{2-s}{2(N-s)} S^{N-s/2-t}. \quad (35)$$

Since $w$ is a solution, we obtain by direct computation that

$$I_\lambda(w + \eta v_\varepsilon) - I_\lambda(w) = \frac{\eta^2}{2} \|v\|^2 + \eta \int_\Omega \left( \nabla w \nabla v_\varepsilon - \frac{w v_\varepsilon}{|x|^t} \right) dx$$

$$- \int_\Omega \left( |w + \eta v_\varepsilon|^2 - |w|^2 \right) \frac{dx}{|x|^t}$$

$$- \lambda \int_\Omega \left( (w + \eta v_\varepsilon)^{1-\gamma} - w^{1-\gamma} \right) dx$$

$$= \frac{\eta^2}{2} \|v\|^2 - \int_\Omega \left( |w|^{2^*(s)} - |w|^2 \right) \frac{dx}{|x|^t}$$

$$- \lambda \int_\Omega \left( (w + \eta v_\varepsilon)^{1-\gamma} - w^{1-\gamma} \right) dx$$

$$= \frac{\eta^2}{2} \|v\|^2 - \int_\Omega \left( |w|^{2^*(s)} - |w|^2 \right) \frac{dx}{|x|^t}$$

$$+ \lambda \int_\Omega \left( (w + \eta v_\varepsilon)^{1-\gamma} - w^{1-\gamma} \right) dx.$$  

(36)

Note that the following inequality (see [31]) holds: there is $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < \delta < N - s/2$ such that $\lambda((r + t)^{1-\gamma} - 1 - \gamma - r^2 + \gamma 1 - \gamma - r^2t) \geq -\alpha \delta$ for each $r \geq m$ and $t \geq 0$.

By direct calculations, we can get that

$$I_\lambda(w + \eta v_\varepsilon) - I_\lambda(w) \leq \frac{\eta^2}{2} \|v\|^2 - \frac{\eta^{2^*(s)}}{2^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^t} dx$$

$$- \lambda \int_\Omega \left( |w|^{2^*(s)} - |w|^2 \right) \frac{dx}{|x|^t}$$

$$+ \alpha \delta \int_\Omega |v_\varepsilon|^{\delta} dx.$$  

(37)

So $I_\lambda(w + \eta v_\varepsilon) - I_\lambda(w) \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$ and $I_\lambda(w + \eta v_\varepsilon) - I_\lambda(w) \to -\infty$ as $\eta \to +\infty$. Hence, we only consider the right-hand side of the above inequality in the case of $\eta \in [\eta_0, \eta_1]$ for some $0 < \eta_0 < \eta_1 < +\infty$. Hence, we obtain from Lemma 3 that

$$\sup_{\eta \in [\eta_0, \eta_1]} I_\lambda(w + \eta v_\varepsilon) - I_\lambda(w) \leq \frac{2-s}{2(N-s)} S^{N-s/2-t}$$

$$- \left( \int_\Omega \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^t} dx \right)^{-2/2^*(s)-2}$$

$$- O(\epsilon^{N/2^*(s)-2}) + O(\epsilon^{-N/2^*(s)-2})$$

$$= \frac{2-s}{2(N-s)} S^{N-s/2-t} - O(\epsilon^{N/2^*(s)-2})$$

$$< \frac{2-s}{2(N-s)} S^{N-s/2-t},$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.  

(38)

The proof is complete.

**Lemma 9.** The minimum $d_-(\lambda)$ in (23) is achieved by $v \in N^-_\lambda$ with $I_\lambda(v) = d_-(\lambda)$.

**Proof.** Let $\{v_n\}$ be any sequence in $N^-_\lambda$ such that $I_\lambda(v_n) \to d_-(\lambda)$. It is easy to see that $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1_0(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a $v \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ and a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$, still denoted by $\{v_n\}$ such that

$$v_n \rightharpoonup v, \quad \text{in } H^1_0(\Omega). \quad (39)$$

Set $u_n = v_n - v$ and assume that

$$\|u_n\|^2 \rightharpoonup a^2,$$

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^t} dx \to b^{2^*(s)}. \quad (40)$$

Since $v_n \in N^-_\lambda$, according to Brezis-Lieb’s Lemma (see Lemma 1.32 in MW [33]) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, one gets

$$a^2 + \|v\|^2 = \lambda \int_\Omega |v|^{1-\gamma} dx + b^{2^*(s)} + \int_\Omega \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^t} dx. \quad (41)$$

We claim that $v \neq 0$. Indeed, if $v = 0$, then $a^2 = b^{2^*(s)} \geq S^{N-s/2-t} \neq 0$ (since for any $u \in N^-_\lambda$, $\|u\|$ is bounded away from zero) and this means that

$$d_-(\lambda) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} I_\lambda(v_n) = I_\lambda(0) + \frac{1}{2} a^2 - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} b^{2^*(s)}$$

$$\geq \frac{2-s}{2(N-s)} S^{N-s/2-t},$$

which contradicts the previous lemma.
From the assumption \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*) \), by Lemma 4, we have \( 0 < t^* < T_m < t^* \) such that \( t^* v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^+ \) and \( t^* v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^- \). For \( t > 0 \), we define

\[
\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2} a^2 t^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^2 (s) b^{2(s)}(t)^{2(s)},
\]

\[
g(t) = I_\lambda(t v) + \delta(t).
\]

Now, we consider the cases

(i) \( t^* < 1 \)

(ii) \( t^* \geq 1 \) and \( b > 0 \)

(iii) \( t^* \geq 1 \) and \( b = 0 \)

Case (i). From \( t^* < 1 \),

\[
g'(t^*) = (I(t^* v), v) + \delta'(t^*) = a^2 t^* - b^{2(s)}(t^*)^{2(s)-1}. \quad (44)
\]

Define \( h(t) = a^2 t - b^{2(s)}(t)^{2(s)-1} \), then \( h(t) \) is increasing as \( t < T_m \); \( h(t) \) is decreasing as \( t > T_m \), so \( h(t) \) has maximum value \( h(T_m) \). As \( t^* > T_m \), we have \( g'(t^*) \geq h(T_m) > 0 \). \( g'(1) = 0 \) and \( g'(t^*) > 0 \), so we can see that \( g \) is increasing on \([t^*, 1]\). Then, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_-(\lambda) = g(1) > g(t^*) & \geq I_\lambda(t^* v) = I_\lambda(0) + \frac{(t^*)^2}{2} (a^2 - b^{2(s)}) \\
& \geq I_\lambda(t^* v) \geq \delta_-(\lambda),
\end{align*}
\]

which is a contradiction.

Case (ii). We set \( t_0 = (a^2/b^{2(s)})^{N-2/(2-s)} \). We know that \( \delta \) attains the unique maximum at \( t_0 \) and \( \delta(t_0) \geq (2 - s/2(N - s))^{N-4/2-s} \). Moreover, \( \delta'(t) > 0 \) for \( 0 < t < t_0 \) and \( \delta'(t) < 0 \) for \( t > t_0 \).

From the assumption on \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*) \), we know \( g(1) \geq g(t_0) \). If \( t_0 \leq 1 \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_-(\lambda) = g(1) & \geq g(t_0) = I_\lambda(t_0 v) + \delta(t_0) \\
& \geq I_\lambda(t_0 v) + \frac{2 - s}{2(N - s)} S^{N-4/2-s},
\end{align*}
\]

which contradicts the previous lemma. Thus, we have \( t_0 > 1 \). By virtue of \( g'(t) \leq 0 \) for \( t^* \geq 1 \), we obtain \( (\partial/\partial t) I_\lambda(t v) \leq -\delta'(t) \leq 0 \) for \( 1 \leq t \leq t_0 \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_-(\lambda) = g(1) & = I_\lambda(v) + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \frac{1}{2} b^{2(s)} \\
& \geq I_\lambda(v) + \frac{2 - s}{2(N - s)} S^{N-4/2-s},
\end{align*}
\]

which also contradicts the previous lemma.

Case (iii). If \( a \neq 0 \), then we obtain from the fact that \( v_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^- \) by some computations that \( (\partial/\partial t) I_\lambda(t v) \leq 0 \) and \( (\partial^2/\partial^2 t) I_\lambda(t v) |_{t=1} < 0 \), from the definition of \( \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^- \), which contradicts \( t^* \geq 1 \). So

\[
a = 0,
\]

\[
v_n \longrightarrow v \quad \text{in} \quad H^1_0(\Omega).
\]

Hence, we have \( v \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}^- \) with \( I_\lambda(v) = d_-(\lambda) \). The proof is complete.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

This paper is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11671331) and National Foundation Training Program of Jimei University (ZP2020057).

References


