

Research Article

Analysis of Cardiac Adverse Reactions Caused by Different Doses of Adriamycin Chemotherapy in Patients with Breast Cancer

Guangwen Hu¹ and Daxin Wang²

¹Department of Cardiology, Haining Central Hospital, Haining, Zhejiang 314400, China ²Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225000, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Guangwen Hu; huguangwen@hnszxyy.org.cn

Received 30 December 2021; Accepted 18 January 2022; Published 15 February 2022

Academic Editor: Bhagyaveni M.A

Copyright © 2022 Guangwen Hu and Daxin Wang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Purpose. This paper is aimed at studying the adverse reactions of breast cancer patients after chemotherapy with different doses of adriamycin. Methods. 122 breast cancer patients undergoing mastectomy in the Haining Central Hospital from June 2018 to June 2020 were selected as the research objects. Patients were divided into control group and study group according to the different dose of adriamycin given to patients during chemotherapy. Patients in the control group received intravenous drip of adriamycin at 50 mg/m². Patients in the study group were given intravenous drip at 75 mg/m². Patients in both the groups started intravenous drip of cyclophosphamide 500 mg/m^2 on the first day of chemotherapy, and chemotherapy for 21 days was a cycle. A total of 6 cycles were carried out. Abnormal electrocardiograph (ECG) is compared between the two groups. Myocardial enzyme and oxidative stress indicators were tested, and Doppler ultrasound examination was conducted. Results. After chemotherapy, the abnormal rate of ECG in the study group was 56.46%, which was significantly higher than that in the control group (46.67%). After chemotherapy, the indexes of myocardial enzymes and oxidative stress increased, while superoxide dismutase (SOD) decreased significantly, and the differences were statistically significant. At the end of chemotherapy, the differences of serum myocardial enzymes and oxidative stress indexes in the study group were higher than those in the control group. After chemotherapy, there was no significant difference between left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and Sa in the study group and the control group, but Ea in the study group was higher than that in the control group and E/Ea was lower than that in the control group. Conclusion. High-dose adriamycin chemotherapy is more likely to cause accumulation of cardiotoxicity, resulting in decreased cardiac function and cardiac injury in breast cancer patients.

1. Introduction

The incidence and mortality of breast cancer in female patients are relatively high, which seriously threatens the life safety of patients [1]. However, with the continuous improvement of current medical technology, the survival rate of patients can be improved to a large extent. As a basic chemotherapy drug for adenocarcinoma, adriamycin milk has a definite effect on breast cancer [2]. Although adriamycin has a good effect on chemotherapeutic drugs for breast cancer patients, studies have shown that adriamycin chemotherapy can cause adverse cardiac reactions in breast cancer patients, which may cause some effects on the cardiac function of patients, and even cause heart failure [3]. Cardiotoxicity of adriamycin in different patients may be related to age, disease, dose, and cumulative dose of patients [4]. In this study, 122 cases of breast cancer patients treated with different doses of adriamycin chemotherapy in our hospital were studied, and the effects of adriamycin chemotherapy on cardiac adverse reactions in breast cancer patients were statistically analyzed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General Data. A total of 122 breast cancer patients who received mastectomy and were about to receive adriamycin chemotherapy in our hospital from June 2018 to June 2020 were selected as the research subjects. According to the

TABLE 1: Comparison of general data between two groups of patients.

Groups	Numbers	Age	Body mass index	Cancer classification			Stage			
				Noninvasive carcinoma	Invasive carcinoma	IIA	IIB	IIIA	IIIB	
Control group	60	55.62 ± 5.37	22.58 ± 1.35	28	32	15	21	13	11	
Experiment group	62	53.59 ± 6.67	23.04 ± 1.13	29	31	15	22	14	11	
P		>0.05	>0.05	>0.05			>(0.05		

different doses of adriamycin given to patients during chemotherapy, the patients were divided into a low-dose group (60 cases) and a high-dose group (62 cases). There was no statistical significance in the basic data between the two groups (p > 0.05), as shown in Table 1.

Inclusion criteria: (1) breast cancer diagnosed by pathology after surgery; (2) patients who had not received any treatment for breast cancer except surgery before inclusion treated with AC combined with chemotherapy (doxorubicin + cyclophosphaamide needle); women over 18 years of age with breast cancer after mastectomy and chemotherapy; (3) no significant abnormality in blood routine, liver, and kidney function, and no abnormality in ECG and cardiac function evaluation before chemotherapy.

Exclusion criteria: (1) patients who had previously received antitumor therapy other than surgery; (2) lactation and pregnant patients; (3) congenital heart disease or coronary heart disease, hypertension, and other past histories. All patients in this study gave informed consent and were reviewed by the Medical Ethics Committee with the Approval No. 2018–21.

3. Methods

Two groups of patients were treated with adriamycin-based combined chemotherapy regimen: adriamycin (manufacturer: Pfizer, approval number: H20130186) + cyclophosphamide injection (manufacturer: Shanxi Pude Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., approval number: H14023686) for chemotherapy. The two groups of patients started chemotherapy on the 6th day after surgery, and the control group received intravenous infusion of adriamycin at 50 mg/m². Patients in the study group received 75 mg/m² intravenous infusion. Both groups received cyclophosphamide 500 mg/ m^2 intravenous infusion on day 1, 21 days as a cycle. There were 6 cycles of chemotherapy.

3.1. Observation Index. ECG abnormalities were occurred. The ECG monitored by the ECG instrument (Japan Optoelectronics, ECG-1250C) before and after chemotherapy was compared between the two groups. Atrial premature beats, ventricular premature beats, supraventricular tachycardia, sinus tachycardia, bradycardia, ST-T changes, QT-QTC extension, QRS low voltage, bundle branch block, and so on were statistically analyzed. Cardiac enzyme test results and oxidative stress indexes before and after chemotherapy in the two groups were compared, mainly including aspartate aminotransferase (AST), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), creatine phosphokinase isoenzyme (CPK-MB), *a*-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase (α -HBDH), and creatine phosphokinase (CPK). Serum superoxide dismutase (SOD) and thiobarbituric acid were used to determine serum malondialdehyde (MDA). Doppler ultrasonography (Philips IE33) before and after chemotherapy was compared between the two groups. The LVEF is calculated; peak systolic velocity (Sa), early diastolic blood flow velocity (E), and early diastolic motion velocity (Ea) of the left atrioventricular annulus at 2 loci were measured and calculated, and E/Ea at 2 loci were calculated.

3.2. Statistical Processing. SPSS 22.0 statistical software was used for data processing. Measurement data were expressed as $x \pm s$, and the *t* -test was performed. Counting data were expressed as example (%), and the X² test was performed.

4. Results

4.1. Complete Enrollment of Patients and General Conditions after Chemotherapy. Of the 129 patients, 2 were excluded from the group with severe myelosuppression, and 5 were lost to followup for other reasons. The remaining 122 cases were analyzed statistically. The remaining 115 patients all completed the scheduled 6 cycles of chemotherapy; there were 82 cases of gastrointestinal reaction (67.21%), 43 cases of mild myelosuppression (35.25%), and 26 cases of palpitation (21.31%).

4.2. Comparison of Abnormal Electrocardiogram in the Two Groups before Chemotherapy. The results showed no abnormal conditions in both the groups. After 6 cycles of chemotherapy, the abnormal rate of electrocardiogram in the study group was 56.46%, which was significantly higher than that in the control group (46.67%), and the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 2.

4.3. Changes of Serum Myocardial Enzymes and Oxidative Stress Indexes in the Two Groups before and after Chemotherapy. Comparison of the levels of myocardial enzyme indexes AST, LDH, CK, CK-MB, and *a*-HBDH in the two groups after chemotherapy was increased, the oxidative stress index MDA was increased, and SOD was significantly decreased, and the differences were statistically significant. The difference of serum myocardial enzymes and oxidative stress indexes in the study group was higher than that in the control group, and the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 3.

4.4. Comparison of Doppler Ultrasound Indications between the Two Groups. There were no significant differences in LVEF, Ea, Sa, and E/Ea before chemotherapy between the

	Abnormal rate	28 (46.67)	35 (56.45)	6.678	10.0
TABLE 2: Comparison of abnormal ECG after chemotherapy between two groups.	Interventricular heart-block	2 (3.23)	2 (3.22)		
	QRS low voltage	3 (5.00)	2 (3.22)		
	QT-QTc prolong	3 (5.00)	3 (4.84)		
	ST-T change	2 (3.23)	3 (4.84)		
	Sinus bradycardia	4 (6.67)	4 (6.45)		
	Nodal tachycardia	4 (6.67)	7 (11.3)		
	Supraventricular tachycardia	0 (0.00)	2 (3.22)		
	Ventricular premature beat	4 (6.67)	5 (8.06)		
	Atrial premature beats	6 (10)	7 (11.3)		
	u	60	62		
	Groups	Control group	Experiment group	\tilde{X}^2 -	р

	Control group			Experiment group				
Index	Before chemotherapy	After chemotherapy	Δ^*	Before chemotherapy	After chemotherapy	Δ^*	t	Р
AST (U/L)	99.82 ± 27.42	115.63 ± 36.38	$16.32 \pm 3.26^*$	98.36 ± 24.62	135.26 ± 38.63	$36.52 \pm 5.32^*$	9.52	< 0.05
LDH (U/L)	151.37 ± 33.64	163.52 ± 38.52	$11.35 \pm 2.89^*$	152.01 ± 32.85	169.85 ± 35.65	$18.62 \pm 2.36^{*}$	7.98	< 0.05
CK (U/L)	107.67 ± 29.73	108.63 ± 29.58	$1.35 \pm 0.28^{*}$	107.52 ± 29.33	118.63 ± 31.23	$15.28 \pm 2.08^{*}$	10.68	< 0.05
CK-MB (U/L)	18.66 ± 6.54	20.03 ± 6.66	$2.33\pm0.59^*$	18.72 ± 6.48	28.65 ± 7.62	$12.32 \pm 2.65^*$	10.66	< 0.05
α-HBDH (U/L)	109.66 ± 38.26	125.36 ± 42.55	17.63 ± 2.21*	109.75 ± 38.42	132.56 ± 45.36	$23.62 \pm 4.25^{*}$	9.98	< 0.05
SOD (U/mL)	169.21 ± 9.56	136.65 ± 8.25	$-30.25 \pm 5.38^{*}$	169.21 ± 9.56	115.36 ± 12.25	$-48.63 \pm 8.63^{*}$	12.35	< 0.05
MDA (nmol/ L)	3.95 ± 0.56	12.36 ± 0.85	$7.56 \pm 1.86^{*}$	3.95 ± 0.56	18.63 ± 3.25	$15.52 \pm 2.63^*$	6.32	< 0.05

TABLE 3: Comparison of changes of serum myocardial enzymes and oxidative stress indexes between two groups before and after chemotherapy $(X \pm S)$.

Note: Δ represents the change of indicators after chemotherapy compared with before chemotherapy, that is after chemotherapy–before chemotherapy; * represents the comparison between the study group and the control group after chemotherapy.

TABLE 4: Comparison of Doppler ultrasound examination indexes between the two groups $(X \pm S)$.

	Control group			Experiment group				
	Before chemotherapy	After chemotherapy	Δ^*	Before chemotherapy	After chemotherapy	Δ^*	t	Р
LVEF (%)	0.62 ± 0.07	0.60 ± 0.05	$0.02 \pm 0.01^{*}$	0.61 ± 0.08	0.63 ± 0.06	$0.02 \pm 0.01^{*}$	0.635	>0.05
Sa (cm/s)	8.92 ± 0.85	8.89 ± 0.88	$0.03\pm0.01^*$	8.93 ± 0.88	8.92 ± 0.85	$0.02\pm0.01^*$	0.752	>0.05
Ea (cm/s)	11.32 ± 2.23	13.63 ± 1.89	$2.03\pm0.32^*$	11.36 ± 2.20	15.96 ± 2.01	$4.53\pm0.36^*$	6.325	< 0.05
E/Ea	5.66 ± 0.83	5.38 ± 0.75	$-0.25 \pm 0.05^{*}$	5.62 ± 0.85	5.26 ± 0.66	$-0.41\pm0.08^*$	3.256	< 0.05

Note: Δ represents the change of indicators after chemotherapy compared with before chemotherapy, that is after chemotherapy–before chemotherapy; * represents the comparison between the study group and the control group after chemotherapy.

two groups. After chemotherapy, there was no statistically significant difference in LVEF and Sa between the study group and the control group. Ea in the study group was higher than that in the control group, while E/Ea was lower than that in the control group. The difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 4.

5. Discussion

Currently, the main treatment for breast cancer is surgical treatment, and adjuvant chemotherapy is an important guarantee to ensure the survival rate of patients [5, 6]. Adriamycin has been proved to be effective in chemotherapy for breast cancer patients and has gradually become the drug of choice in chemotherapy for breast cancer patients. It can not only destroy the protein and cell wall of tumor cells but also has an inhibitory effect on their DNA ligase, thus effectively inhibiting the growth of tumor cells [7-9]. However, its myelosuppression and cardiotoxicity should not be ignored, especially cardiotoxicity, which may lead to delayed progression, thus restricting the application of doxorubicins [10, 11]. In this study, the adverse cardiac reactions caused by different doses of adriamycin chemotherapy in breast cancer patients were deeply analyzed to understand the cardiotoxicity characteristics of different concentrations of adriamycin so as

to provide a basis for the adjustment of treatment regimens for patients with adriamycin and improve the treatment effect of patients.

The results of this study showed that the abnormal rate of ECG in the study group after chemotherapy was 56.46% significantly higher than that in the control group (46.67%). Myocardial enzymology is an important indicator for the diagnosis of myocardial injury, but it lacks tissue specificity and exists in all tissues and organs of the human body. At present, serum CK-MB and other indicators are recommended to be detected clinically [12]. Studies have shown that the cardiotoxicity of anthracycline chemotherapies is mainly due to the chelation of adriamycin with iron ions, which stimulates the release of Ca²⁺, affects the protein gene expression, destroys the balance of Ca^{2+} , produces excessive oxygen free radicals, damages myocardial cells, and causes delayed cardiac injury. SOD and MDA are commonly used indexes to evaluate the oxidative stress level [13]. This study showed that after chemotherapy, the myocardial enzyme index and the oxidative stress index of MDA increased and SOD decreased significantly in both the groups, and the differences were statistically significant. At the end of chemotherapy, serum myocardial enzyme and oxidative stress indexes in the research group were higher than those in the control group, and the differences were statistically significant. It is also further confirmed that a high dose of adriamycin can reduce the ability of free radical scavenging in patients so that the body of chemotherapy patients produce excessive lipid peroxidation products and then cause damage to cardiomyocytes. When the myocardium is seriously damaged, LVEF will have abnormal changes, which is one of the commonly used clinical indicators to detect the overall damaged function of the heart, but it is not suitable for the early detection of myocardial damage. The systolic function parameter SA and diastolic function parameter EA, E/EA can be detected by Doppler ultrasound to detect the systolic and diastolic function of the patient's left ventricle. The study showed that there was no statistically significant difference in LVEF and SA in the study group after chemotherapy compared with the control group, while Ea was higher than the control group and E/Ea was lower than the control group, and the difference was statistically significant.

High dose adriamycin chemotherapy is more likely to cause accumulation of cardiotoxicity, resulting in decreased cardiac function and cardiac injury in breast cancer patients. Therefore, we need to take appropriate dose to ensure the efficacy of chemotherapy and reduce the cardiotoxicity caused by doxorubicin as much as possible.

Data Availability

Data used to support the findings of this study are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Ethical Approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Yangzhou University. Signed written informed consents were obtained from the patients and/or guardians.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Authors' Contributions

Guangwen Hu and Daxin Wang conceived and designed the study; collected, analyzed, and interpreted the experimental data; drafted the manuscript; and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- W. Hao, S. Liu, Y. Qin et al., "Cardioprotective effect of Platycodon grandiflorum in patients with early breast cancer receiving anthracycline-based chemotherapy: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial," *Trials*, vol. 18, no. 1, Article ID 386, 2017.
- [2] R. Mata Caballero, J. M. Serrano Antolin, R. M. Jimenez Hernandez et al., "Incidence of long-term cardiotoxicity and evolution of the systolic function in patients with breast cancer treated with anthracyclines," *Cardiol J*, 2020.
- [3] S. M. Swain, M. S. Ewer, G. Viale et al., "Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and standard anthracycline- and taxane-based chemotherapy for the neoadjuvant treatment of patients with

5

HER2-positive localized breast cancer (BERENICE): a phase II, open-label, multicenter, multinational cardiac safety study," *Annals of Oncology*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 646–653, 2018.

- [4] M. A. Nicolazzi, A. Carnicelli, M. Fuorlo et al., "Anthracycline and trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity in breast cancer," *European review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 2175–2185, 2018.
- [5] L.-T. Yang, Y. Nagata, K. Otani, Y. Kado, Y. Otsuji, and M. Takeuchi, "Feasibility of one-beat real-time full-volume three-dimensional echocardiography for assessing left ventricular volumes and deformation parameters," *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 853–860, 2016.
- [6] J. Anampa, D. Makower, and J. A. Sparano, "Progress in adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer: an overview," *BMC Medicine*, vol. 13, no. 1, Article ID 195, 2015.
- [7] M. Zaborowska-Szmit, M. Krzakowski, D. M. Kowalski, and S. Szmit, "Cardiovascular complications of systemic therapy in non-small-cell lung cancer," *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 5, 2020.
- [8] L. Rochette, C. Guenancia, A. Gudjoncik et al., "Anthracyclines/trastuzumab: new aspects of cardiotoxicity and molecular mechanisms," *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 326–348, 2015.
- [9] B. Wang, Y. Yu, Y. Zhang et al., "Speckle tracking echocardiography in the early detection and prediction of anthracycline cardiotoxicity in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with (R)-CHOP regimen," *Echocardiography*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 421–428, 2020.
- [10] F. Zhou, L. Niu, M. Zhao, W.-X. Ni, and J. Liu, "Real-time three-dimensional echocardiography predicts cardiotoxicity induced by postoperative chemotherapy in breast cancer patients," *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 2542–2553, 2020.
- [11] C. Zhong, S. Qiu, J. Li et al., "Ellagic acid synergistically potentiates inhibitory activities of chemotherapeutic agents to human hepatocellular carcinoma," *Phytomedicine*, vol. 59, Article ID 152921, 2019.
- [12] J. Zhang, X. Cui, Y. Yan et al., "Research progress of cardioprotective agents for prevention of anthracycline cardiotoxicity," *American Journal of Tourism Research*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 2862–2875, 2016.
- [13] D. J. Moke, L. E. Schubert, H. Y. Sun, B. F. Printz, and A. C. Dietz, "Utility of echocardiography as screening for lateonset anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in p cancer survivors: Observations from the first decade after end of therapy," *Journal of Pediatric Hematology*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. e283–e288, 2018.