Interleukin Gene Expression in Mouse Preimplantation Development

NICOLE GERWIN,† GUI-QUAN JIA,† ROBERT KULBACKI,† and JOSÉ C. GUTIERREZ-RAMOS*

The Center for Blood Research, Inc. and the Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Control of growth and differentiation during mammalian embryogenesis is regulated by growth factors from embryonic and/or maternal sources. Cytokines are polypeptide growth factors that are released by a variety of activated immune and nonimmune cells. To identify novel members of the cytokine family that could be involved in the growth and differentiation of the preimplantation embryo, we studied the expression pattern of several genes encoding cytokines and their receptors during mouse preimplantation development in vitro. We found that poly(A)+ mRNAs for IL-1, IL-3, IL-6, IL-7, and TNFα are differentially expressed at several stages of mouse preimplantation development, including unfertilized oocytes. Immunostaining of preimplantation embryos using monoclonal antibodies specific for several cytokines and their receptors revealed that at least some of these mRNAs are translated into mature proteins during preimplantation development (IL-1, IL-6, and TNFα). Positive staining for IL-1 and IL-6 receptors was also detected at these stages of development. The controlled expression of these “inflammatory-type” cytokines and their receptors suggests a role for these growth factors during the early phases of mouse ontogeny.
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INTRODUCTION

Preimplantation embryos grow and differentiate in the absence of exogenous factors in vitro, suggesting that endogenous factors are able of sustaining embryonic development during the first seven or eight cleavage divisions (Biggers, 1971). Direct evidence and indirect evidence indicate that embryos make growth factors. Indirect evidence comes, among others, from experiments in which cultured embryos from around the time of implantation were shown to produce transforming growth-factor like bioactivities that promoted anchorage-independent growth (Rizzino, 1985). Direct evidence comes from studies of gene expression, mainly performed at the blastocyst stage, that have documented the presence of transcripts for PDGF, TGFα, TGFβ, LIF, IL-6, and γ-IFN in preimplantation embryos (Rappolee et al., 1988; Murray et al., 1990; Rothstein et al., 1992).

Within the group of polypeptide growth factors, the more restricted cytokine family exhibits homelike properties that affect numerous organ systems (Kishimoto et al., 1994). They are expressed and act on both hematopoietic and nonhematopoietic cells. Mammalian cytokines include the interferons (IFNs), the interleukins (ILs), the colony stimulating factors (CSFs), the transforming growth factors (TGFs), as well as leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), tumor necrosis factor (TNF), and lymphotoxin (LT). There is increasing evidence that cytokines participate in and modulate several events of early development and even pregnancy (Tartakovsky and Ben-Yair, 1991). At present, published data suggest the involvement of cytokines such as LIF during implantation (Stewart et al., 1992), and of TGFβ (Clark et al., 1988), and CSF-1 (Arceci et al., 1989; Chaouat et al., 1990) during postimplantation development. These polypeptide growth factors are believed to promote placental development, trophoblast proliferation, and, in the case of TGFβ, to participate also in preventing harmful maternal antifetal immune responses. Much less is known about the role of cytokines in the preimplantation stages of development. LIF retards the differentiation of embryonic stem cells in vitro, but seems to
promote the *in vitro* development of eight-cell embryos to the posthatching stages (Smith et al., 1988; Williams et al., 1988; Hilton, 1992). GM-CSF was demonstrated to have a beneficial effect on the *in vitro* development of implanting blastocysts from morulae (Tartakovsky and Ben-Yair, 1991). Another earlier study demonstrated that the *in vitro* growth of two-cell embryos was inhibited by a variety of cytokines (Hill et al., 1987).

Also, preimplantation embryos could be accessible targets for cytokines produced by the placental microenvironment. The interaction of preimplantation embryos with these biological-response modifiers or their induced products may well determine, modulate, or alter their programmed development. This notion may provide new and interesting insights into early events of mammalian development.

Our study focuses mainly on interleukins and is aimed to determine which genes encoding this subfamily of cytokines are expressed during preimplantation development. Also, it investigates if these interleukins are expressed at the protein level and correlates their expression with the presence of their specific receptors at different stages of preimplantation development.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Control of growth and differentiation during mammalian embryogenesis is regulated by growth factors from embryonic or maternal sources. Direct evidence for growth-factor transcripts of low copy number is difficult to obtain in preimplantation embryos, because thousands of embryos are required to detect high copy-number transcripts such as those coding for histones or actins by RNA blot analysis. Thus, for a detailed analysis of the cytokine gene-expression patterns in these stages, procedures were required that allow detection of both small amounts of mRNA as well as minor changes in interleukin (IL) gene expression. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was chosen because of its high sensitivity (Saiki et al., 1985). cDNA templates for PCR amplification were obtained using oligo(dT)-priming in the reverse-transcription (RT) reaction, in order to exclude immature or semidegraded poly(A)less transcripts in the subsequent PCR analysis. Also, by demanding amplification between primers in different exons, the technique detects only properly spliced mRNAs. Although due to different affinities of the primers, no comparison can be made for the level of expression among different cytokines, reproducibility as well as parallel and simultaneous processing of all samples for a given pair of primers allows one to compare the pattern of expression for a given interleukin in different stages of development. To ensure further that differences in the quality of RNA preparations would not affect PCR amplifications of different samples differentially, titration of cycle number experiments was performed using β-actin oligos as primers and ethidium-bromide stained gels of PCR products obtained after 15, 20, 25, and 35 cycles of amplification (data not shown). Also, given the variability in the amount of RNA per cell in embryos at different stages of development (Piko and Clegg, 1982), we titrated the amount of RNA in each embryo preparation by amplifying the RNA of two ubiquitously expressed genes, β-actin and DHFR (data not shown). Thus, an equivalent amount of RNA (approximately equivalent to 75 ng of total RNA) was used finally for each PCR amplification. Irrespective of the primers used, no PCR products were detected by hybridization if no RNA was included in the RT reaction prior to amplification (blank, Fig. 1). The detection of putative illegitimate transcription (Chelly et al., 1989) was avoided by using short amplifications (25 cycles), and could be ruled out by the reproducible lack of amplified bands in the negative control samples (data not shown). That equivalent amounts of total cDNA were available to the PCR primers was confirmed by the capacity to amplify equal amounts of (ubiquitously expressed) β-actin product from each sample (Fig. 1).

We first studied cytokine mRNA expression in mouse preimplantation embryos at different stages of development. Because oligo(dT) was used to prime the RT, the amplified fragments have to be derived from polyadenylated [poly(A)⁺] RNA. We detected poly(A)⁺ IL-1β, IL-3, and IL-7 transcripts in the fertilized oocyte, but no transcripts for IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6 and TNFα (Fig. 1; data not shown). Whereas no IL3 poly(A)⁺ mRNA at all was detected in RNA preparations from two-cell stage embryos, detectable poly(A)⁺ mRNAs for IL-1 and IL-7 were found at this stage of development. However, these
FIGURE 1. RT-PCR analysis of cytokine mRNA expression by mouse preimplantation embryos. The stage of the preimplantation embryos analyzed in each lane is indicated by a number, which corresponds to one picture in the top panel of the figure: 1, fertilized oocyte; 2, two-cell stage; 3, 16-cell stage; 4, compacted morula; 5, early blastocyst; 6, late blastocyst; and 7, expanded blastocyst. RT-PCR was performed as described in Materials and Methods. The size in base pairs of the amplified cDNA products is indicated in the margin. Two additional lanes for each cytokine designated as “P” and “B” show positive control and blank respectively.
mRNA species were reduced to approximately 10 and 20%, respectively, of the amount detected in the fertilized oocyte (Fig. 1).

The fact that most maternal mRNAs are cleaved and become undetectable around the two-cell stage (Flach et al., 1982) led us to explore the possible presence of mRNA for IL-1, IL-3 and IL-7 in unfertilized oocytes. Some maternal mRNAs are present in the unfertilized oocyte in a poly(A)-less form (Proudfoot, 1991; Simon et al., 1992). To ensure that both poly(A)+ and poly(A)-less transcripts could be detected in unfertilized oocytes during these new series of PCR reactions for interleukin detection, we followed two different strategies to generate cDNA templates. cDNAs from unfertilized mouse embryos were generated using oligo(dT) or random hexamers as primers for RT. Amplification of oligo(dT)-primed cDNAs with specific cytokine primers only detects poly(A)+ mRNA, whereas the use of random hexamers or 3’-specific primers (data not shown) ensures that both polyadenylated and non-polyadenylated mRNAs are detected. Figure 2 shows a series of experiments aimed to detect specific transcripts for IL-1, IL-3, and IL-7 in the poly(A)+ and/or poly(A)-less RNA pools from fertilized and unfertilized oocytes. We found that mRNAs for these genes were detectable in unfertilized oocytes only when random-hexamer-primed cDNAs were used for the PCR and not when oligo(dT)-primed material was used. This indicates that mRNA for these three cytokines is present in the unfertilized oocyte in a poly(A)-less form. In contrast, the mRNAs for these three genes are present in a poly(A)+ form in the fertilized oocyte as indicated by the detection of these mRNA species independently of the priming strategy used (oligo(dT)-primed or random hexamer-primed) for the reverse transcription of mRNA from fertilized oocytes. These findings together with the disappearance/reduction of IL-1, IL-3, and IL-7 mRNA around the two-cell stage suggest that these transcripts are of maternal origin. Very early events in embryonic development take place in the absence of transcription and depend on information provided by maternal mRNA and protein (Dworkin and Dworkin-Rastl, 1990). In Drosophila, development is under maternal control for the first 13 nuclear divisions, after which the embryonic genome is transcriptionally activated. Embryonic transcription in Xenopus is not required until the midblastula transition when the embryo consists of approximately 4000 cells. In mammalian development, the period of exclusive maternal control is shorter, and in the mouse is believed to last for only one cell division (Johnson, 1981). Little if any transcription is detectable until the two-cell stage, and α-amanitine, a potent inhibitor of RNA-polymerase II, fails to block the first cell division. Completion of the first cell division is thought to require translation of at least some maternally inherited mRNAs. These experiments have suggested that the maternal inheritance is required to traverse the first cycle and to activate transcription from the genome of two-cell mouse embryos. The finding of IL-1 and IL-3 transcripts in the unfertilized oocyte stage deserves special attention due to the features of these two...
growth factors. IL-1 is a pleiotropic differentiation factor capable of inducing the expression of other genes such as c-fos, c-abl, and other protooncogenes (Lipsky et al., 1983; Botazzi et al., 1990; Callard and Gearing, 1994). It has been detected in the trophoblast and placental tissue of murine embryos as well as in later stage human embryos (Crainie, 1990). IL-3 is known to have an impact on the survival and differentiation of early hematopoietic progenitors and specific effects on more committed cell lineages such as mast cells (Arai et al., 1990; Callard and Gearing, 1994).

We found that cytokine mRNA expression was also regulated at later stages of preimplantation development. As stated before, IL-7 mRNA was detected in unfertilized and fertilized oocytes, disappeared around the two-cell stage, and reappeared in compacted morulas (Fig. 1). According to our previous experience with these PCR primers (Gutierrez-Ramos et al., 1992), the observed differences in the amount of IL-7 mRNA between oocytes and morulas indicate that IL-7 transcripts are ten-fold more abundant in the morula than in the fertilized oocyte. IL-7 is known to induce the differentiation of immature lymphocytes (Henney, 1989; Callard and Gearing, 1994; Komschlies et al., 1994), and to activate directly growth regulatory genes such as N-myc or c-myc (Morrow et al., 1992).

Transcripts for IL-6 were identified from the eight-cell stage of development on (Fig. 1). The detection of IL-6 transcripts is interesting because IL-6 is a multifunctional cytokine. It plays a major role in the inflammatory responses and is the primary inducer of acute phase proteins (Kishimoto, 1989; Callard and Gearing, 1994). This cytokine promotes the differentiation and/or proliferation in vitro and in vivo of cells belonging to several lineages at different stages of their maturation (Kishimoto, 1989). In addition, IL-6 is known to have effects on the expression of several other genes, including IL-1 (Shabo et al., 1988). Our results confirm previous reports describing the presence of IL-6 transcripts at the blastocyst stage (Murray et al., 1990; Rothstein et al., 1992), and extends them by defining the profile of expression of IL-6 at different stages of preimplantation development.

Although zygotic genome transcription is very active at the blastocyst stage (Shultz, 1986), it seems unlikely that preimplantation embryos express these cytokine genes promiscuously. In fact, four other cytokine genes (IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, and IL-5), which are expressed in several tissues in adult mice and/or in other ontogenic stages, were not found to be expressed during preimplantation development in our experiments.

Rappolee et al., (1990) had classified the accumulation patterns of growth factors that do not belong to the interleukin family into three classes. In one class, maternal transcripts apparently dissappeared and were resynthesized in the zygote. In the second class, some transcripts were not present maternally, but appeared as the result of zygotic transcription. In the third class, transcripts such as for the metalloproteinase stromelysin apparently survived the breakdown of maternal RNAs that occurs in the two-cell stage (Rappolee et al., 1990). The mRNAs for cytokines that have been analyzed in this work seem to belong to the two first classes, unless the slow disappearance of IL-7 around the two-cell stage is interpreted as survival of this RNA species.

Because the transcription of growth-factor mRNAs is not invariably coupled with the translation into proteins (Assoian et al., 1987), it was necessary to determine whether the transcripts that were detected by RT-PCR were translated into mature proteins in the preimplantation embryo. However, these studies were somehow restricted by the availability of specific monoclonal antibodies only for some cytokines (see Material and Methods). Immunocytochemistry experiments revealed the presence of IL-1, IL-6, and TNFα proteins in mouse preimplantation embryos. Preimplantation embryos ranging from the one-cell stage to the blastocyst stage were stained with the different antibodies indicated in the Material and Methods section. Fertilized oocytes (Fig. 3, panel I) did not show any positive staining with antibodies specific for IL-4 (Fig. 3c) or IL-6 (Fig. 3d), whereas staining with antibodies specific for IL-1 resulted in a strong positive signal (Fig. 3a), which was not seen in its isotype-matched irrelevant negative control antibody (Fig. 3b). The same phenotype was also observed during the two-cell stage (Fig. 3, panel II). This interesting pattern of IL-1 staining, together with the availability of specific antibodies against the receptors for IL-1 (IL-1R) could be present at the same developmental stages as its ligand. In fact, positive staining for the IL-1 receptors (type I and/or type II) was observed both in oocytes and two-cell stage embryos (Figs. 3e and 3k). No staining was observed at these stages of preimplantation development when specific antibodies for IL-6 receptors were used (Figs. 3f and 3l). IL-1 has a different intracellular transport pathway
FIGURE 3. Detection of cytokines and their receptors by immunofluorescence at different stages of mouse preimplantation development by CLSM. The different stages of preimplantation development are grouped in the figure as follows: I, fertilized oocytes; II, two-cell stage embryos; III, morula/blastocyst; and IV, late/expanded blastocyst. The specificity of the antibodies (see Materials and Methods) used for the detection of cytokines or cytokine receptors in each panel are indicated at the top of each column. Panel q shows an early midblastocyst stained with anti-IL-6 antibodies. The figure in each panel shows an optical section taken approximately at the midline of the embryo. See Colour Plate II.

than most cytokines (Rubartelli et al., 1990), resulting in a characteristic membrane-staining pattern in hematopoietic cells (Sander et al., 1991), which was also observed in preimplantation embryos (Figs. 3a and 3g). As development progressed, very low levels of IL-1 staining were detected throughout until the morula stage (Fig. 3m, panel III). No positive signal was detected at these stages with an isotype-matched irrelevant antibody (Fig. 3n). At the morula stage IL-6 staining became positive (Fig. 3p), in the absence of significant staining for IL-4 (Fig. 3o) and IL-2 (data not shown), which correlated with the pattern of mRNA expression detailed before. Because the immunodetection of IL-4 protein has been shown to be highly dependent on the fixation/permeabilization protocol used (Sander et al., 1991), we tried to immunodetect IL-4 protein permeabilizing embryos at different stages of development with saponin (Sander et al., 1991). However, no positive signal for IL-4 was obtained in any of the stages analyzed, whereas an IL-4-producing myeloma cell line (Karasuyama and Melchers, 1988) used as positive control showed positive staining (data not shown). The exact time at which the IL-6 protein first became detectable at the morula stage was not determined, but during the blastocyst stage, IL-6 expression was readily detectable (Fig. 3q). It seems likely that the detection of IL-6 protein at these stages is the result of the onset of embryonic genome activation, because IL-6 protein was not detected before this stage. At these time points, varying degrees of fluorescence intensities were observed for individual blastomeres of an embryo, suggesting a possible regulation in the cell cycle. No major differences in the IL-6 staining intensity were observed between the cells at the external side of the embryo (trophoectoderm) and the cells positioned inside (inner cell mass, ICM; Fig. 3q). Primitive endoderm is formed from the cells of the ICM that are facing the blastocoelic cavity between day 4.5 and day 5.5 of development (Gardner, 1985). We cannot assign expression of IL-6 to cells that
would eventually participate in the endoderm lineage formation.

The detection of IL-1 and IL-6 proteins in mouse preimplantation embryos prompted us to determine the possible presence of TNFα, which together with IL-1 and IL-6 forms the group of inflammatory cytokines (Beutler and Cerami, 1989; Callard and Gearing, 1994). TNFα protein was not detected before the late blastocyst stage. Staining of late and expanded blastocysts (panel IV) with anti-TNFα antibodies resulted in diffuse positive staining of all blastomeres (data not shown). Specific mRNA for TNF was also detected by RT-PCR at these late preimplantation stages (data not shown). The pattern of spatial expression of IL-1 (Fig. 3r) and IL-1R (Fig. 3u) at the expanded blastocyst stage deserves special attention. Whereas low levels of IL-1 were detected uniformly in all blastomeres at this stage, its receptor(s) were brightly expressed only in few blastomeres, resulting in a much more restricted pattern of staining (Fig. 3u). Staining of late and expanded blastocysts with antibodies specific for IL-6 or with the irrelevant antibody mentioned previously did not result in positive signal (Figs. 3t and 3s, respectively). However, staining of late and expanded blastocysts with specific antibodies for IL-6R, resulted in diffused positive staining in virtually all blastomeres (Fig. 3v). Soon after blastocoel formation and expansion of the blastocyst, the embryo hatches and implants in the uterine wall. During implantation, extensive differentiation, proliferation, and tissue remodeling take place, parts of which resemble inflammatory reactions. TNFα and IL-1 transcripts have been identified in different organs of normal rats, and among many other cell types TNF-α is likely to be produced by cells in the uterus and placenta during pregnancy. Northern blotting experiments have identified TNF-α transcripts in murine placental RNA (Crainie, 1990). In addition, biologically active TNFα and IL-1 have been reported in human decidua and conditioned media from human embryos contain immunoreactive TNF-α (Witkin et al., 1991).

Most data on growth-factor action in nonmammalian early embryos implicate their function in differentiation rather than in mitosis (Rappolee et al., 1990). Other growth factors, like bFGF or TGFβ appear to be morphogens responsible for the induction of mesoderm at the blastulation stage, as has been shown in Xenopus (Kimmel and Kirschner, 1987; Weeks and Melton, 1987). However, the early development of the mouse has several properties that distinguish it from that of the frog. For example, the mouse egg is small, has little yolk, and quickly activates zygotic transcription after fertilization. The functions of inflammatory cytokines in preimplantation embryos are as yet unknown, but might include differential effects on the growth of blastocyst-derived cells and their expression of class I MHC, stimulation of angiogenesis, and implantation of the hatched blastocyst. In addition, the implantation process itself is characterized by very specific changes in the receptive endometrium, which include local increase in capillary permeability and release of histamines and prostaglandins (Psychoyos, 1986; Yelavarthi et al., 1991). These changes reflect an inflammatory-like process and as such, the proinflammatory cytokines IL-1, IL-6, and TNFα could play an important role. One possibility is that these cytokines bind to receptor cells in the oviduct and/or uterine endometrium and activate, for example, epithelial cells or macrophages to secrete substances that promote development and subsequent implantation of the early embryo. The survival of mice that have been made deficient for these genes (Kopf et al., 1994; Pfeffer et al., 1993; and Jia and Gutierrez-Ramos, in preparation) suggests that singly these cytokines are not essential for embryonic development. However, it remains to be determined if the concerted action of two or more of these factors is required for the proper development of the mouse preimplantation embryo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of Mouse Embryos and Cultures

Isolation and culture of mouse embryos were performed as described (Hogan et al., 1986). Three-to-six-week-old (C57BL/6xDBA/2)F1 females kept on a light cycle from 5 A.M. to 7 P.M., were superovulated by intraperitoneal injection of 5 U per mouse of gonadotropins from pregnant mare’s serum at 2 P.M., followed 48 hr later by injection of 5 U per mouse of human chorionic gonadotropin. These mice were mated overnight with (C57BL/6xDBA/2)F1 males, and embryos were harvested from plugged females between 7 A.M. and 9 A.M. the following morning. Embryos were devoided of surrounding cumulus mass cells by digestion with hyaluronidase, which was followed by five consecutive washes in M2 medium (Hogan et al., 1986). Embryos were cultured in 90 μl drops of M16...
medium (Hogan et al., 1986), covered by paraffin oil and kept in 7.5% CO₂ at 37°C. Differential interference contrast microscopy and microphotography were performed with a Nikon Diaphot microscope. Alternatively, embryos at the two-cell and eight-cell stages (second and third day of pregnancy, respectively) were isolated by flushing M2 medium, through oviducts. Blastocysts on the fourth day of pregnancy were isolated by flushing the uterine horns.

Immunofluorescence

Preimplantation embryos were fixed immediately after collection or after a 3-to-6-day culture period. The zona pellucida was not removed. Fixation was performed in 2% paraformaldehyde/0.1% glutaraldehyde in 0.1% phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4, for 30 min at room temperature (RT). After washing, fixed embryos were treated for 5 min at RT with a 0.05% solution of sodium borohydride in PBS without Ca and Mg and washed again. Cells were permeabilized by incubation for 10 min at RT in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS. After washing, when the specimens were not previously cultured, the embryos were preincubated for 60 min at RT in a 0.5% w/v solution of BSA in DMEM (Hepes buffered, 25 mM). This solution was also used to dilute the first antibody and the second fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-coupled goat anti-rat IgG (H + L) antibody 1:60 (Jackson Immunoresearch, Bar Harbor, ME). The incubation time for each antibody was 1 hr at RT. Four washes of 10 min were performed between each incubation. Finally, the specimens were embedded in a 90/10 mixture of moviol and PBS containing paraphenylenediamine (Johnson and Nogueira-Araujo, 1981).

Specimens were viewed in a Bio-Rad Lasersharp MRC-600 Confocal Light Scanning Microscope (CLSM), and hard copies were obtained in a Sony color video printer. Microscope settings were kept identical when comparing test and control samples.

Antibodies

The following monoclonal antibodies were used: anti-IL-2 (hybridoma S4B6); anti-IL-4 (hybridoma 11B1; Ohara and Paul, 1985); anti-IL-6 (Genzyme, Boston, MA); anti-IL-6R (which is specific for the α-chain of the receptor; Genzyme, Boston); anti-TNFα (Genzyme); anti-IL-1 (British Biotechnology, Oxford UK); anti-IL-1R, which recognizes IL-1R type I and II (Genzyme, Boston). As irrelevant antibodies isotype-matched negative controls were used [anti-IL-2Ra; hybridomas PC61 (ratIgG) or 7D4 (ratIgM)]. As a second step reagent, a 1:60 dilution of a goat anti-ratlgG FITC-labeled antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch) was used.

RT-PCR Analysis

Preimplantation embryos were washed in PBS twice, resuspended in 40 to 100 μl of DEPC-water containing 40 U of RNAsin (Promega, Madison, WI) and boiled for 10 min. The crude material obtained was RQ1-DNase-treated (New England Biolabs, Boston) for 30 min at 37°C. After inactivation of the DNase, the crude material was reverse transcribed using oligo(dT)₁₂₋₁₅ as a primer and MMLV reverse transcriptase in a 20 μl reaction (Land et al., 1983). Four microliters of the reverse-transcribed material (equivalent to approximately four embryos) were used directly for the first determination of amplification reactions, which were performed using specific primers for ubiquitous genes to titrate the amount of RNA in each sample (see Results). Conditions for PCR were as follows: In a 50 μl reaction, 25 nmol of each primer (see what follows), 25 μM of each dGTP, dATP, dTTP and dCTP; 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3; 1.5 mM MgCl₂; 1 mg/ml gelatin; and 1 U Taq DNA polymerase ("AmpliTaq" Perkin-Elmer/Cetus, Norwalk, CT). Primers used were the following: IL-1α (nucleotides 1573–1595 and 1881–1861; Lomedico et al., 1984), IL-2 (nucleotides 203–217 and 370–346; Yokota et al., 1985), IL-3 (nucleotides 286–310 and 578–555; Yokota et al., 1984), IL-4 (nucleotides 231–255 and 411–387; Lee et al., 1986), IL-5 (nucleotides 1182–1206 and 1424–1400; Yokota et al., 1987); IL-6 (nucleotides 581–605 and 735–711; Chiu et al., 1988), IL-7 (nucleotides 1146–1170 and 1446–1422; Tokunaga et al., 1986) and β-actin (nucleotides 886–910 and 1234–1210; (Noma et al., 1986). To avoid unspliced mRNA or DNA (DNAse-resistant) amplification, each primer of every pair is located in different exons. In addition, the absence of contaminating DNA was confirmed by performing PCR amplifications of intronic sequences of the α-actin gene, as previously described (Van Meerwijk et al., 1990). Reactions were incubated in Perkin-Elmer/Cetus DNA thermal cycler for 25 cycles (denaturation 30 s, 94°C; annealing 30 s, 55°C; extension 1 min, 72°C) following the manufacturer’s recommendations. Seven microliters of the PCR reaction were loaded
on a 1.5% agarose gel. Specific amplification was ensured by the size of the product, as shown on the gel relative to known standards and by probing the Southern blots with the following 32P-labeled cDNA probes: IL-1α (0.9 kb BamHII-BamHI; Lomedico et al., 1984); IL-2 (1 kb XhoI-XhoI; Yokota et al., 1985); IL-3 (375 bp HindIII-XbaI; Yokota et al., 1984); IL-4 (300 bp Rsal-Rsai; Van Snick et al., 1988); IL-5 (430 bp XhoI-XhoI; Yokota et al., 1987); IL-6 (650 bp EcoRI-BglII); IL-7 (450 bp SstI-HindIII; Samaridis et al., 1991); and β-actin (1.1 kb PstI-PstI). The probes were isolated fragments labeled with 32P-dATP by using a random-primer DNA labeling kit (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN). In every case hybridization was performed under the same conditions using the same amount of cpm (3 x 10^6 cpm/ml of hybridization buffer) followed by standard washings. In every case, 45 min RT exposures are shown. Longer exposure did not change the shown pattern of expression. Longer exposure of the IL-2- or IL-5-probed filter did not show any additional bands. Titrations of cycle number showed that the amount of specific amplified products increased approximately logarithmically up to between 35 and 40 cycles. Thus, to keep reactions within nonlimiting conditions and yet obtain detectable signals, 25-cycle amplifications were chosen. PCR products obtained with β-actin primers after 15, 20, 25, and 35 cycles of amplification were detected with ethidium-bromide stained gels (data not shown). Densitometric analysis of these β-actin amplifications showed that the samples had a coefficient of variance of 0.19, which was insignificant compared to the changes in message levels observed in different stages of development. As an additional control, 45 cycles were performed in each experiment (not shown) and processed in parallel to the “25 cycles experiment”, which is shown in Fig. 1. This set of parallel experiments showed the reproducibility of the assay and as well confirmed the nonsaturating conditions used for the “25-cycle experiments” shown here. The sensitivity of our PCR conditions was estimated by titrating a known number of copies of the genes analyzed here (corresponding plasmids) containing the relevant primer sites with cDNA known to be negative for the message of interest. These experiments revealed that by performing 25-cycle amplifications followed by hybridization with 45-min exposure, we could reproducibly detect between 10 and 1000 cDNA molecules depending of the primers used (Gutierrez-Ramos et al., 1992). Due to different efficiencies of the primers, comparisons cannot be made among different ILs in terms of levels of expression. However, because all samples for a given pair of primers were processed simultaneously, in parallel with master mixes (including every reaction component but templates), loaded on the same gel, and hybridized on the same filters under non-saturating conditions, comparisons can be made for the expression of a given IL in different stages of development.

Two separate controls were performed in every experiment: A blank tube (every component but RNA template) and one positive control (1 μg of RNA from PMA + Ionomycin-stimulated spleen cells for IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-5 expression, from LPS-stimulated P88D1 macrophage cell line for IL-1 and IL-6 expression, from the J558L myeloma cell line transfected with the mouse cDNA encoding IL-7 (Samaridis et al., 1991) for IL-7 expression.
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