Immune-neuroendocrine interactions and autoimmune diseases
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Abstract
The relationship between immune-neuroendocrine system is firmly established. The messengers of this connection are hormones, neuropeptides, neurotransmitters and cytokines. The immune-neuroendocrine system have the capacity to synthesize and release these molecules, which, in turn, can stimulate or suppress the activity of immune or neuroendocrine cells by binding to receptors. In fact, hormones, neuropeptides and neurotransmitters participate in innate and adaptive immune response.

Autoimmune rheumatic diseases (ARD) are characterized by aberrant production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, which are a potent activator of the HPA axis. In consequence, high levels of pro-inflammatory hormones such as estrogens and prolactin, and low levels of glucocorticoids, an anti-inflammatory hormone, have been described in the active phase of ARD. In addition, high levels of pro-inflammatory hormones and cytokines have also been frequently detected in organ involvement of patients with ARD, suggesting an abnormal local neuroendocrine immune interaction. There is evidence that hormonal changes may appear before the symptomatic phase of the disease. Therefore, it is possible that a pro-inflammatory hormone favors the rupture of tolerance, which is a key feature of autoimmune diseases. The interactions between the immune-neuroendocrine system have a major impact on our understanding of the pathogenic mechanisms, diagnosis and therapy of ARD.
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The relationship between neuroendocrine and the immune system has been analysed since the 1980s, opening new important horizons in the neuroendocrine-immune field (Weigent and Blalock 1987). It has been known that the neuroendocrine system can both directly and indirectly influence the developmental and functional activity of the immune system. On the other hand, the immune system can collaborate in the regulation of endocrine activity. The network of connections is mediated by nerve pathways, hormonal circuits, cytokines, neuropeptides and chemokines (Szyper-Kravitz et al. 2005). Hormones and neuropeptides are secreted not only by endocrine glands but also by many extra gland sites including the immune cells, and these molecules can stimulate or suppress the immune cells by binding to receptors (Besedovsky and del Rey 1996). Changes in hormonal levels in autoimmune rheumatic diseases (ARD), could depend on the enhancement of coordinated bidirectional communications between neuroendocrine and the immune systems. High levels of pro-inflammatory hormones such as estrogens (E) and prolactin (PRL), and low levels of glucocorticoids (GC), an anti-inflammatory hormone, have been described in the active phase of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and other autoimmune diseases. High levels of E and PRL can increase IFN gamma and IL-2 by Th1.
lymphocytes activation and autoantibody production through Th2 lymphocytes activation (Lang 2004; De Bellis et al. 2005). High levels of pro-inflammatory hormones and cytokines have also been frequently detected in organ involvement of patients with ARD, suggesting an abnormal local neuroendocrine immune interaction (Straub and Cutolo 2001; Elenkov et al. 2005). Of particular interest is the possibility that a pro-inflammatory hormone favors the rupture of tolerance, which is a key feature of autoimmune diseases. Furthermore, hormonal changes may appear before symptomatic phase of the disease (Walker and Jacobson 2000; Peeva and Zouali 2005). The purpose of this review is to analyse the role of the immune neuroendocrine system on inflammation and autoimmune diseases and their interactions during pregnancy.

Inflammation. immune response and neuroendocrine system

The nervous, endocrine and immune systems orchestrate a complex and integrated response to stimuli through interaction of molecules to maintain the homeostasis (Eskandari et al. 2003). Immune, endocrine or neural cells can both synthesize and express receptors for cytokines, hormones, neurotransmitters and neuropeptides. These products act in an autocrine, paracrine and endocrine manner working as bidirectional feedback communication mediators (Besedovsky and del Rey 1996). In fact, hormones, neuropeptides and neurotransmitters participate in innate and adaptive immune response.

**Innate immunity and neuroendocrine system**

**Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. HPA**

The innate immunity, the first line of immune defense, involves the mononuclear phagocyte cells that process antigens and become the primary antigen-presenting cells (APCs). APCs express on their surface Toll-like receptors (TLRs) which recognize certain pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) found in pathogens. TLRs–PAMPs engagement transmits transmembrane signals that activate the nuclear factor (NF-kB) and mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways, with transcription of genes encoding cytokines. The innate immune system had others resources to recognize microbial pathogen which include natural killer (NK) cells, γδ T cells, complement system and type I Interferons (IFNs) (Medzhitov and Janeway 2000; Hansson et al. 2002; Hoebe et al. 2004). Systemic pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1α/β and IL-6 synthesized by APC through TLRs pathways, across barriers of the central nervous system (CNS) to activate in the circumventricular organs (CVO), and cells forming the blood-brain barrier. The organum vasculosum of the laminae terminalis (OVLT) is a region involved in mechanisms of thermoregulation. Neurons of the OLVT and the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) control the corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) release (Turrin and Rivest 2004). Besides the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activation, cytokines induce a change in the mood, behavior and pain responses (Eskandari et al. 2003; Turrin and Rivest 2004; Chida et al. 2005). Therefore, the release
of glucocorticoids (GCs) is the most powerful endogenous mechanisms to suppress the inflammatory response genes and the Toll receptor signaling (Medzhitov and Janeway 2000; Turrin and Rivest 2004) (Figure 1).

GCs exert their immunomodulatory effects through the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) localized in the cytoplasm and complexed to heat-shock proteins (inactive form). The binding of GCs to their intracellular receptors causes the release of the complex. The complex steroid/receptors moves to the nucleus and binds to specific DNA sequences to regulate gene transcription interfering with NF-kB and activator protein-1 (AP-1) (Sternberg 2006). Recent evidences suggest that GR represses a set of functionally related inflammatory responses genes by disrupting p65/interferon regulatory factor (IRF) complexes required for TLR4- or TLR-9 (Ogawa et al. 2005).

The complement system contributes significantly to the innate immune response. The receptor C3a (C3aR) is present on adrenal gland, pituitary, glial cells and neurons. Francis et al. (2003), hypothesized that C3a and C3adesArg may activate the HPA axis to release GC, Growth hormone (GH), PRL and ACTH. CRH is up-regulated by serotonergic, cholinergic and catecholaminergic systems. Other neuropeptides such as γ-aminobutyric acid/benzodiazepines (GABA/BZB) inhibit CRH secretion (Eskandari et al. 2003).
Adaptive immune and neuroendocrine systems

**Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. HPA**

GC inhibit the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and metalloproteinases and stimulate the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines shifting the Th1/Th2 balance towards the Th2 phenotype, which stimulates the humoral immunity. Th2 cytokines are angiostatic and maintain the integrity of the microvascular system by preserving permeability and inhibiting adhesion molecules expression limiting endothelial activation and cell migration to inflammatory foci (Chikanza and Grossman 2000; Straub and Cutolo 2001).

**Hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis**

Females have greater responsiveness of both antibody- and cell-mediated immune than male. Sex steroids have binding sites in primary lymphoid organs and peripheral immune cells, suggesting both Gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH) and sex steroids have a role in immune system, acting through a local autocrine way and/or through hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis activation. Lymphoid organs and peripheral immune cells express GnRH and their receptors (GnRH-R). GnRH is involved in thymus maturation and has a potent immune-stimulating action increasing the levels of IL-2R, IFN-γ and T helper cells (Tanriverdi et al. 2003).

Estrogens (E) and androgens (A) influence immune cell development in lymphoid tissue and have an immunomodulatory effect on T and B cells in adult life. Estrogen receptor (ER) is identified in mature peripheral B and T lymphocytes, in contrast with androgen receptors (ARs) which are absent (Tanriverdi et al. 2003). Androgens influence the size and composition of the thymus, and have a direct or indirect effect on enhance suppressor effect increasing CD4− CD8+ cells. Testosterone (T) inhibits IL-1β secretion by peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). Dihydrotestosterone (DHT) represses the expression and activity of the human IL-6 gene. It is unclear the effects of A on peripheral B cells, but it seems to diminish the production of autoantibodies (Tanriverdi et al. 2003). E is an important stimulator of humoral immunity and they act in both bone-marrow and peripheral B cells, and the levels of circulating antibodies (Ab), by increasing IL-10 production. E has effect on T cells, stimulates and increased CD4+ CD8− and CD4+ CD8+ cells, and it can activate an extra-thymic pathway of autoreactive T cell differentiation. Physiologic concentrations of E increase the pro-inflammatory cytokine production and pharmacologic concentrations decrease cytokines synthesis (Straub and Cutolo 2001; Eskandari et al. 2003; Tanriverdi et al. 2003).

**Hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis**

Thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) is a central component of the hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid (HPT) axis. Immune cells can be able to produce biological active TSH. Wang and Klein (2001) proposed that TSH produced by immune cells during stress situation, plays a dual role consisting on TSH communication with immune system and serving to regulate homeostasis by modulating thyroid hormone activity. Immune cells have receptors for thyrotrophic and thyroid hormones (Cremaschi et al. 2000). It has been observed an increase of T3 and T4 levels after immunization. In vivo treatment with T4 increases alloantibodies titer during the early state of alloimmunization, while treatment with propylthiouracil down regulates the humoral response (Klecha et al. 2000). The opposed is observed in chronic stress where a reduction in serum levels of T3, was found. These findings suggest that acute and chronic stress induce an alteration of the HPT axis function that modified the adaptive immune response.

**Prolactin and immune system**

PRL is a 23 Kda polypeptide hormone produced by the anterior pituitary gland that stimulates mammary growth and differentiation. PRL is stimulated by suckling and stress and is inhibited by hypothalamic dopamine. This hormone is produced in a number of sites outside the pituitary, including the brain and immune cells. PRL is important in maintaining immune competence and has a role in the pathogenesis of ARD. All activities of PRL are mediated by the PRL-receptor, a member of the hematopoietin cytokine receptor superfamily (Walker and Jacobson 2000; Szyper-Kravitz et al. 2005). PRL expression and PRL-receptors have been demonstrated in immune cells suggesting that the hormone may act in an auto- or paracrine way. PRL stimulates inducible nitric oxide (NO) synthases production, Ig release and cytokine expression in human leukocytes (Laht et al. 1993; Matera et al. 1999; Matalka 2003). PRL is a T cell mitogen through the PRL-R/Janus activating kinase (JAK)/Stat/IRF-1 signaling pathway and NF-kB signals (Yu-Lee 2002). T lymphocytes PRL expression is subject to regulation by cytokines. Both IL-2 and IL-4 reduced PRL mRNA levels in T lymphocytes (Gerlo et al. 2005). PRL significantly enhanced the expression of CD69, CD25 and CD154 and cytokines secretion, and modulate B cells development (Morales et al. 1999; Chavez-Rueda et al. 2005; Takizawa et al. 2005). Therefore, PRL has an important role in adaptive immune response.

After inflammatory challenge an immediately raise of serum GC, epinephrine and norepinephrine is observed. However, a prolonged increased in the
activity of the HPA axis conduce to a prompt lost of the anti-inflammatory mediators with increase of pro-inflammatory mediators such as PRL, 17-β-estradiol (E2) and substance P (Straub and Besedovsky 2003).

The concept of an integrated bidirectional regulated neuroendocrine-immune adaptive response to stress has strong experimental support (Wilder 1995). In this regard, Ezzat et al. (2005), identified expression of Ikaros transcription factors (a differentiation factor of leukocytes lineage), in mouse pituitary cells and demonstrated a direct role for Ikaros in the regulation of ACTH expression and adrenocortical hormone production. Ikaros influence the maturation of the fetal pituitary corticotrophin and the secretion of ACTH before and after birth indicating that it is an integrator of the stress and immune systems, and their defects may produce endocrine and/or immune diseases (Chrousos and Kino 2005).

**Hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis and autoimmune rheumatic diseases**

**Rheumatoid arthritis**

RA is mediated by high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, which are a potent activator of the HPA axis. In consequence, the endogenous GCs produced by the adrenal glands, have an important role in the inflammation cascade in RA (Morand and Leech 2001).

**Animal models.** The classic studies of Sternberg et al. (1989) using a model with Lewis and Fisher strain of rats (the former is inflammatory-susceptible and the latter is resistant), demonstrated that in Lewis rats, susceptibility to inflammatory disease is related to blunted HPA axis response, whereas Fisher rats have exaggerated response. Recently, this hypothesis was challenged. Chover-Gonzalez et al. (2000) observed that in high-stress situations, rats with a greater corticosterone response to the acute stress developed early and more severe arthritis than rats with a less profound corticosterone response, suggesting that the balance of pro- and anti-inflammatory factors released in response to stress may influence the progress of adjuvant arthritis (AA). In another study, Lewis rats were divided in high-active (HA) and low-active (LA) animals based on their exploratory activity in the open field. The AA activity in the open field was associated with the severity of bone destruction, low production of bone-protective cytokines (IL-10 and IFN-γ), and high levels of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), leading to more synovial proliferation in LA animals. Of interest, there were no differences between HA and LA rats in corticosterone response after acute or chronic immune challenge (Sajti et al. 2004).

**Human studies.** The most persuasive evidence was provided by the observation that clinical signs of inflammation in RA could be exacerbated by the administration of metyrapone, an 11β-hydroxylase inhibitor that inhibits adrenal GC synthesis (Morand and Leech 2001). Lost of cortisol circadian rhythm has been reported in patients with high activity RA (Neeck et al. 1990). Chikanza et al. (1992) demonstrated in RA patients a failure to increase cortisol secretion following surgery, despite high levels of interleukin-1 β (IL-1β) and IL-6. These patients had a defective HPA response, as evidenced by a diurnal cortisol rhythm of secretion which was at the lower limit of normal, in contrast to those patients with osteomyelitis. CRH stimulation test in RA patients showed normal results, suggesting a hypothalamic defect. The key question that remains unanswered is whether the HPA-axis abnormalities seen in humans with RA are a predisposing factor or a consequence of the disease. The examination of case reports, such as those of RA onset after treatment of Cushing’s disease, leads to a strong suspicion of HPA axis function as a barrier to the development of RA, which once breached permits this disease to ensue (Uthman and Senecal 1995; Straub and Cutole 2001). Significant dissociation of serum cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS) levels was found in the subgroup of asymptomatic premenopausal women who developed RA before age 50 (Masi et al. 2000; Masi et al. 2005). Gutierrez et al. (1999) showed that active RA is associated with subtle dysfunction of the HPA and normal PRL secretion. Using the insulin tolerance test, RA patients have decreased plasma cortisol levels compared to healthy controls, despite elevated levels of IL-6. The defect is probably located at the adrenal level and may be of pathogenic significance for the development of RA (Eijsbouts et al. 2005).

One way for the study of immune neuroendocrine interactions is through specific membrane receptor for GC (mGR) on RA lymphocytes. Measurement of mGR has produced contrasting results from slightly elevated to decreased numbers of GRs in PBMCs in RA (Schlaghecke et al. 1994; Bartholome et al. 2004). Neeck et al. (2002) using immunoblot analysis to detect mGR in lymphocytes of untreated RA patients showed normal results, suggesting a mGCR are localized in inflammatory lymphoid cells of RA patients, but also on synoviocytes, suggesting that
GC could act directly on these cells (Tohyama et al. 2005).

**Systemic lupus erythematosus**

*Animal models.* Lechner et al. (1996) analysed the HPA axis response to recombinant human (rh) IL-1α in lupus-prone mice. This model produced nearly the same levels of plasma corticosterone after injection of rh-IL-1alpha as seen in normal mice, but had baseline corticosterone levels consistently higher (Lechner et al. 1996). MRL/MP-fas (Lpr) mice not only show an altered GC response mediated via HPA axis, but are also affected by disturbance of GC and melatonin circadian rhythms. These results confirm the existence of a disturbed immunoendocrine communication in lupus-prone mice (Lechner et al. 2000). Shanks et al. (1999) showed a decrease in hypothalamic CRH mRNA expression and an increase in arginine-vasopressin (AVP) mRNA expression associated with increased autoantibody levels, and disease progression in a SLE murine model.

*Human studies.* Zietz et al. (2000) investigated ACTH, androstenedione (ASD), cortisol, or DHEAS before and during a CRH test in patients with moderately active SLE treated with low dose of GC therapy, and their relation to IL-6 or TNF. They found marked adrenal insufficiency and a shift in steroidogenesis to cortisol in SLE patients; but a completely normal pituitary function. This finding depends in part of GC therapy.

The cortisol response after hypoglycemia was significantly lower in active SLE suggesting some degree of HPA axis dysfunction (Gutierrez et al. 1998). Recently the total anterior pituitary reserve was investigated in SLE before the initiation of GC or immunosuppressive treatment. Surprisingly, few studies have directly evaluated the HPA axis in SLE compared with healthy controls. Because of the small number of studies and patients, the results must be considered with caution (Li et al. 2005). The few studies available in SS patients have indicated a central deficiency in HPA axis.

In conclusion, dysfunction of HPA-axis in patients with RA, SLE and SS seems to be important in the pathogenesis of these diseases. In RA the accumulated evidence strongly supports the viewpoint that HPA-axis is deficient. Surprisingly, few studies have directly demonstrated in vivo modulation of the immune system by E receptors. Knockout mouse models to E receptors α and β, indicate that both are important for thymus development and atrophy (Erlandsson et al. 2001).

**SJÖGREN SYNDROME**

To investigate HPA axis in Sjögren syndrome (SS), the ACTH response to ovine CRH (oCRH) has been used as a direct measure of corticotrophic function, and the plasma cortisol response to the ACTH released during oCRH stimulation as an indirect measure of adrenal function. Patients with SS were characterized by significantly lower ACTH and cortisol levels, respectively. Furthermore, a blunted pituitary and adrenal response to oCRH was observed, indicating a relatively hyporesponsiveness of the adrenal gland to CRH stimulation. These findings indicate hypoactivity of the HPA axis in patients with SS (Johnson et al. 1998; Johnson et al. 2000).

In conclusion, dysfunction of HPA-axis in patients with RA, SLE and SS seems to be important in the pathogenesis of these diseases. In RA the accumulated evidence strongly supports the viewpoint that HPA-axis is deficient. Surprisingly, few studies have directly evaluated the HPA axis in SLE compared with healthy controls. Because of the small number of studies and patients, the results must be considered with caution (Li et al. 2005). The few studies available in SS patients have indicated a central deficiency in HPA axis.

**The hypothalamic pituitary gonadal axis and autoimmune rheumatic diseases**

The HPG axis and sex hormones have an important immunoregulatory role. Physiological concentrations of E enhance immune responses, on the contrary, progesterone (PG) and A, such as T and DHEA, are natural immunosuppressors (Cutolo and Wilder 2000; Eskandari et al. 2003). Animal models demonstrated in vivo modulation of the immune system by E receptors. Knockout mouse models to E receptors α and β, indicate that both are important for thymus development and atrophy (Erlandsson et al. 2001).

**HPG and stress**

Immune stress has an inhibitory effect on the HPG axis and thus gonadal function is reduced in severe inflammation. It is mediated through a direct cytokine effect on hypothalamic neurons secreting luteinizing hormone or CRH, endogenous opioids and also by the cytokines action directly on the gonads (Rettori et al. 1991).
Estrogens and autoimmune diseases

Several physiological, pathological and therapeutic conditions may change the serum estrogen milieu and/or peripheral conversion rate, including the menstrual cycle, pregnancy, postpartum period, menopause, elderly, chronic stress, inflammatory cytokines, use of corticosteroids, oral contraceptives and steroid hormonal replacements, including androgen/estrogen ratios and related effects (Cutolo et al. 2004).

Animal models

Experimental studies try to explain the mechanisms by which E enhance the immune/inflammatory response exerted by activating the NF-kB complex pathway. Locally increased E may produce activating effects on synovial cell proliferation, including macrophages and fibroblasts (Cutolo et al. 2003). Macrophages release cytokines that can be modulated by E in different ways. Fcγ receptor type IIIA (CD16a) is expressed on macrophages that selectively bind IgG molecules. Binding of CD16 by anti-CD16 monoclonal Ab stimulates macrophage cytokine release. E also modulate pro-inflammatory cytokine release from activated monocytes or macrophages through the modulation of CD16 expression (Kramer et al. 2004). There is evidence for the direct effect of E2 on B-cell function in experimental lupus, through the break of B-cell tolerance that induce a lupus-like phenotype in non-autoimmune mice (Bynoe et al. 2000). Grimaldi et al. (2001) demonstrated that E2 treatment of BALB/c mice transgenic for the heavy chain of a pathogenic anti-DNA Ab induces a lupus-like phenotype with expansion of anti-DNA B cells, elevation of anti-DNA Ab titers and glomerular immunoglobulin deposition. A sustained increase in E2 resulted in an altered distribution of B cell subsets, with a diminished transitional population and an increase in marginal zone B cells. Recent data provide evidence that E2 facilitates the maturation of a pathogenic naive autoreactive B cell repertoire and hampers the maturation of a potentially protective B cell repertoire. These data show that both, positive and negative selection occur within the transitional B cell stage under the influence of E2 in this model (Grimaldi et al. 2006).

The model of E deficiency in rodents is the aromatase-knockout (ArKO) mouse. A recent report showed that ArKO mice with E deficiency develop severe autoimmune exocrinopathy resembling SS, and suggest that E might have clinical value in the prevention or treatment of this disease (Shim et al. 2004).

Human studies

Clinical studies suggest a role for E in ARD. Elevated serum concentrations of 16α-hydroxyestrone have been described in patients with SLE, suggesting that abnormal patterns of E metabolism may lead to increased estrogenic activity (Lahtia et al. 1979). Increased E formation and E/A ratio in the synovial fluid of RA patients have been demonstrated, probably due to increased aromatase activity (Castañetta et al. 2003). Tengstrand et al. (2003) showed decreased DHEAS and estrone concentrations and increased E2 levels in male patients with RA. E2 correlated with indices of inflammation. In addition, RA and SLE patients have a shift to mitogenic E such as 16α-hydroxyestrone in relation to endogenous antiestrogens, which contributes to maintenance of the proliferative state in these diseases (Weidler et al. 2004). The relationship between E and E receptors (ER) may play a role in the pathogenesis of SLE. ERα and ERβ are expressed in immune cells. A recent study shows that ERα codon 594 genotype may influence the development of SLE at a younger age (Kassi et al. 2005). In primary Sjögren syndrome (pSS) the relations with E are not clear. In a study, higher levels of disease activity were associated with higher concentrations of T without correlation between E levels (Brennan et al. 2003). The apoptotic pathway may play a central role in tolerant T cells to tissue-specific self antigen, and may drive the autoimmune phenomenon. T exerted pro-apoptotic effects and reduced macrophage proliferation, whereas E2 induced anti-apoptotic effects by interfering with NF-kB activities (Cutolo et al. 2005). In vitro apoptosis of PBMCs in short-term unstimulated cultures of SLE cells is accelerated compared to normal individuals; however, E decrease in vitro apoptosis (Evans et al. 1997). Another study mentions apoptosis and E deficiency in pSS with possible antiestrogenic actions as a potent factor in the formation of pathogenic autoantigens (Hayashi et al. 2004). Two patients who received E therapy developed SS, suggesting that E may play a role in the pathogenesis of SS in some patients (Nagler and Pollack 2000).

Androgens and autoimmune disease

DHEAS and related steroid hormones have a variety of immunological effects both in vitro, experimental models, and humans (Dillon 2005).

Animal models

Autoimmune mice models show effects of A on immune maturation reflected by the expression of receptors for E and DHT, effects on the size and composition of the thymus, inhibition of B cells and T-cells maturation, and reduce synthesis of immunoglobulins. On monocytes, androgens decreased IL-6 and IL-1 production and on macrophages inhibit IL-1 (Ahmed et al. 1985; Grossman 1988). T specifically
targets CD8+CD4+ thymocytes for apoptosis via upgrading TNF-α production (Guevara Patino et al. 2000). Michalski et al. (1983), reported that A therapy in B/W mice is associated with improve cell-mediated immune function and increase survival. Androgen may prolong survival in this model through an effect on anomalous suppressive regulatory cells that impaired T lymphocytes function (Verthelyi and Ahmed 1994).

**Human studies**

Human studies show low gonadal and adrenal A levels as well as reduced A/E ratio, detected in body fluids such as blood, synovial fluid, smears, saliva of male and female RA patients, supporting the possible role of gonadal hormones on the RA pathogenesis (Cutolo et al. 2002). Identification of functional A receptors in synoviocytes and the modulatory effect of DHT on the inflammatory process in the joint suggest a direct link between hypoandrogenicity and RA disease status (Khalkhali-Ellis et al. 2002). Deficiency of DHEA and DHEAS has been associated with other illnesses, therefore, some investigators have said that SLE in men are the result of too little A and too much E (Inman et al. 1982), however most studies indicate that men with SLE have normal levels of A and E/A ratios might be minimally elevated in some men; studies of A metabolism in women with SLE indicate that a difference in the overall metabolism of A can be found in this gender (Lahtia 2000). Male RA patients have hypogonadism with low levels of luteinizing hormone, suggesting a central origin of the relative hypoandrogenicity (Tengstrand et al. 2002). There is controversy about the role of A in male patients with ankylosing spondylitis (AS). Gooren et al. (2000) found elevated DHEAS and 17 alpha-hydroxypregesterone levels in AS patients and it may be secondary to inflammation and stress, or causally related to AS. However, other studies reported no alterations of serum levels of adrenal and gonadal hormones in patients with AS. The impact of sex steroids on AS is still unresolved (Straub et al. 2002). Other ARD in which low levels of DHEAS have been reported are pSS and polymyalgia rheumatica (Valtysoottir et al. 2001; Cutolo et al. 2002). In summary, sex hormones play an important role on ARD, depending on the physiological or supraphysiological concentrations, under stress conditions and other factors. The effects of estrogens and androgens are shown in Table I.

**Prolactin and autoimmune rheumatic diseases**

In 1930, Smith observed in rats thymus atrophy after hypophysectomy. This was the first study to indicate the role of PRL in thymic physiology. However, until 1980s the relationship between PRL and the immune system was analysed. The classic studies of Berczi and Nagy (1982) showed that PRL is involved in the pathogenesis of AA and it restores immunocompetence in hypophysectomized or Bromocriptine (BRC) treated rats. Elevated PRL serum levels in AA, collagen type II-induced arthritis, murine and human SLE, and autoimmune type I diabetes may influence the outcome of the disease. It has been suggested that hyperprolactinemia (HPRL) is a risk factor for the development of autoimmunity and ARD (Jara et al. 1991; Neidhart 1998).

**Animal models**

The role of PRL in murine SLE and pituitary transplantation was studied by McMurray et al. (1991). HPRL was associated with increased IgG concentrations, anti-DNA Ab, immune complex, glomerulonephritis and accelerated mortality. BRC-induced suppression of PRL was associated with decreased of disease activity, and prolonged lifespan. BRC also was beneficial in a murine model of primary antiphospholipid syndrome (Blank et al. 1995). Autoimmune disease acceleration by PRL is incremented by E stimulation (McMurray 2001). To identify whether E effects reflect increased PRL secretion, Peeva et al. (2000) showed that treatment of transgenic mice with E plus BRC led to reduced titers of anti-DNA Ab and diminished IgG deposition in kidneys compared with treatment with E alone. The E-induced breakdown in B-cell tolerance can be abrogated by BRC. On the contrary, treatment with PRL induced a lupus-like phenotype with an increased number of B cells, elevated serum anti-DNA Ab, and glomerulonephritis (Peeva et al. 2003). The spleens of treated mice displayed that marginal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estrogens</th>
<th>Androgens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stimulation of polyclonal B-cell activity</td>
<td>B-cell precursor depletion in bone marrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of phytohemagglutinin response</td>
<td>Suppress the phytohemagglutinin-induced blast transformation of lymphocytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced mixed lymphocyte reaction</td>
<td>Thymic atrophy, thymocyte apoptosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhances bone marrow graft rejection</td>
<td>Delay graft rejection in animal models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhances TH2 pathway</td>
<td>Enhances suppressor T cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases the release of inflammatory cytokines</td>
<td>Decreases cytokine production</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Estrogen and androgen effects on immune function.
zone and follicular B cells produce anti-DNA Abs. Anti-DNA B cells in E-treated mice become marginal zone cells while identical cells from PRL-treated mice become follicular cells (Venkatesh et al. 2006). Taken together, these findings support the hypothesis that PRL and E favors the rupture of immune tolerance, which is a key feature of ARD.

**Human studies**

Human PRL observations in ARD, particularly in SLE, preceded to murine SLE studies. The first report of HPRL in SLE was in men (Lavalle et al. 1987). This finding was confirmed by other studies. HPRL has been found in 20–30% of patients with SLE particularly in those patients with active diseases (Jara et al. 1992; Walker and Jacobson 2000). There is controversy if HPRL is associated with active SLE (Buskila et al. 1996; Jimena et al. 1998). This discrepant finding may be explained by different factors such as: statistical power of these studies (Blanco-Favela et al. 1999), heterogeneous groups of patients, variability of the SLE activity indices used, different treatments, abnormal circadian rhythms of PRL, anti-PRL Ab, etc. (Jara et al. 2001). SLE patients with anti-PRL Ab could be the cause of HPRL in a subset of SLE patients (Leanos et al. 1998). New evidence has confirmed a significant correlation between bioactive and immunoreactive PRL levels and SLE activity (Jacobi et al. 2001; Leanos-Miranda et al. 2001; Pacilio et al. 2001).

Regardless of this controversy, human studies suggest that PRL can participate in major and minor organ involvement such as lupus nephritis, neuropsychiatry lupus, joint and cutaneous lupus (Jara et al. 1998; Miranda et al. 1998; Vera-Lastra et al. 2003). Similar observations have been described in synovium infiltrating T cells in RA (Nagafuchi et al. 1999). These clinical observations suggest that PRL may be produced in organ affected from SLE and others ARD, by infiltrating lymphocytes.

In this regard, PBMCs from patients with active SLE have increased production of PRL-like immunoreactive substance with different molecular weight: 11, 60 kDa and it seems to be derived from B lymphocytes (Gutierrez et al. 1995; Larrea et al. 1997). PRL with 130 and 23 kDa were associated with inactive SLE (Cruz et al. 2001). An increase in serum free PRL levels, with high levels of little PRL and low levels of big/big PRL was associated with lupus activity (Leanos-Miranda and Cardenas-Mondragon 2006). In contrast, other study showed that patients with increased big/big form had a very active illness (Garcia et al. 2004).

The exact origin of HPRL in SLE patients is unknown and these studies suggest that active SLE lymphocytes may be the source of PRL. In support of this hypothesis, we found a significant decreased of PRL concentrations following treatment with immunosuppressive drugs (Vera-Lastra et al. 2003), SLE lymphocytes synthesize PRL and have alterations in the CREB family proteins, which participate in lymphocyte PRL gene expression (Gutierrez et al. 1995; Larrea et al. 1997; Montgomery 2001) and a functionally significant polymorphism is over expressed in SLE lymphocytes (Stevens et al. 2001). Lymphocyte-derived PRL might contribute to alter the functional activity of the hypothalamic dopaminergic system in SLE attempting to maintain serum PRL within a physiological range (Mendez et al. 2004). These evidences provide a potential explanation for the increased PRL release by SLE lymphocytes. However, is not known if lymphocytes can produce enough PRL to cause an increase in serum PRL levels. In this regard, it has been demonstrated HPRL and PRL-immunostaining in bone marrow cells of patients with multiple myeloma. PRL-immunopositive bone marrow cells disappeared and serum PRL normalized after treatment (Gado et al. 2001). This study suggests that B lymphocytes are the source of HPRL in multiple myeloma.

HPRL is associated with several Ab involved in SLE such as ANAs, anti-dsDNA, anticardioliopin Ab, etc. and hypocomplementemia. PRL induces immunoglobulin synthesis and anti-dsDNA by SLE lymphocytes. Of interest, the physiological concentrations of PRL (20 ng/ml) induced IgG production more effective than PRL at 100 ng/ml (Vera-Lastra et al. 2002). HPRL in SLE and RA is associated with antithyroid Ab, evidencing the association of PRL and autoimmunity (Kramer et al. 2005).

The role of PRL in the lymphocytes activation in active and inactive SLE was studied in an in vitro model. CD69 expression was associated with disease activity. In contrast, CD154 did not show this association. Mononuclear cells were capable of producing and secreting PRL and to express PRL-receptor (Chavez-Rueda et al. 2005).

Of interest, the PRL gene is in close proximity to the HLA region on the short arm of chromosome 6. Linkage disequilibrium between HLA–DRB1 (alleles associated with SLE and RA susceptibility) and PRL genes (Brennan et al. 1997) has been described. This report provides an immunogenetic base to explain the relationship between SLE and PRL. However, a recent analysis of PRL and PRL-receptor genes polymorphism in SLE and multiple sclerosis did not find statistically significant difference in the allele distribution (Mellai et al. 2003).

HPRL was found in patients with RA, reactive arthritis, systemic sclerosis (SSc), Sjogren syndrome and juvenile chronic arthritis (Walker and Jacobson 2000). Patients with AS had significant lower serum levels of osteocalcin and higher levels of serum T, LH and PRL (El Maghraoui et al. 2005). Recently, we analysed the status of the hypothalamic dopaminergic
tone using metoclopramide (MTC) test and the prevalence of pituitary adenomas in SSc. A group of patients with SSc have a high prevalence of HPRL with increased central dopaminergic tone, and microadenomas (Vera-Lastra et al. 2006). In this regard, microadenomas have been found in patients with SLE and other rheumatic diseases. Patients with long-standing HPRL secondary to microadenoma may develop SLE. In some patients, SLE onset was preceded by withdrawal or tapering BRC (Walker and Jacobson 2000; Jara et al. 2001). The first pediatric case of prolactinoma associated with SLE, in a 13-year-old white female was reported. Neurosurgical resection and medical therapy with BRC were independently associated with decreased PRL levels and remission of clinical and serological SLE manifestations (Reuman 2004). Three other patients with SLE and prolactinomas have been reported without findings related to HPRL or its association with SLE flares. This may be due to genetic differences in the response to PRL and/or to the presence of variant PRL isoforms that have reduced biological activity (Li et al. 2006). Finally, a patient with hypocomplementemic urticarial vasculitis, Jaccoud's arthropathy, SLE and Sjogren syndrome in association with HPRL secondary to pituitary microadenoma has been described. The genetic studies of this patient disclosed HLA class II DRB1* 0301 QDB1* 0201. The combination of genetic susceptibility, female gender, multiple autoimmune disease and HPRL represent a classic example of the concept of autoimmunity developing as a mosaic (Anaya and Shoenfeld 2005).

In conclusion, in vitro experimental models and clinical evidences strongly support the role of PRL in the pathogenesis and clinical manifestations of SLE and other ARD.

Immune neuroendocrine system, pregnancy and autoimmune rheumatic diseases

Endocrine regulation of fetal growth involves interactions between the mother, placenta and fetus, and these effects may program long-term physiology (Murphy et al. 2006). Many hormones are increased during pregnancy such as CRH, pro-opiomelanocortin, ACTH, cortisol, E, PG, PRL and human placental lactogen. The increases of E, PG and GC during pregnancy are associated with increased production of Th2 cytokines, and decreased production of Th1 cytokines. These patterns reverse in the postpartum period (Kanik and Wilder 2000). Evidence acquired from clinical data and experimental animal models suggest that Th1 cytokines are detrimental to pregnancy while Th2 cytokines, are protective (Wegmann et al. 1993). Detection of IL-15 and IL-18 at the placental level suggest that interactions between cytokines and hormones is more complex that the Th1/Th2 paradigm to explain the pregnancy course. IL-15 has been implicated in differentiation and proliferation of uterine NK cells, while IL-18 enhanced innate immunity (Laskarin et al. 2005). The effects of cytokines on reproductive events led to the “immunotrophism” model, which suggests that maternal immune recognition of fetal-derived Ags results in the release of cytokines that promote the growth of the placenta (Tangri et al. 1994). During pregnancy there is an up regulation of PG receptors on activated lymphocytes among placental cells and decidual CD56+ cells. In presence of sufficient PG, these cells synthesize progesterone induced blocking factor (PIBF), a mediator that exerts substantial anti-abortive activities. PIBF affects B cells and induces an increased production of non-cytotoxic Ab and it also alters the profile of cytokine secretion by activated lymphocytes resulting in an increase in the production of non-inflammatory, non-cytotoxic cytokines and a reduction in the production of inflammatory, cytotoxic cytokines (Druckmann and Druckmann 2005).

Only in pregnancy experimental models, there are detailed studies regarding the responsiveness to the stressors of the HPA axis. In late pregnant rats and during lactation, HPA axis is hypo responsive to psychogenic stressors (Neumann et al. 1998) and the responses to immune signals (LPS, IL-1) are suppressed. In situ hybridization revealed decreased CRH and pro-opiomelanocortin mRNA expression in the hypothalamus. In contrast, proenkephalin-A and mu-opioid receptor mRNA expression in the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS) was significantly increased in pregnant rats, indicating that the HPA axis responses to immune signals are suppressed in pregnancy at the level of hypothalamic CRH neurons through an opioid mechanism (Brunton et al. 2005). Additionally, activation of neuropeptidergic systems such as oxytocin and PRL, which are necessary for reproductive processes (labor, lactogenesis, maternal behavior), may be involved in the inhibition of ACTH and GC secretory responses (Neumann et al. 2003). These adaptations preserve the oxytocin store for parturition and prevent pre-term birth (Russell and Brunton 2006). PRL has recently been shown to exert an anxiolytic effect and an inhibitory tone on HPA axis activity in pregnancy and lactation with increased expression of the hypothalamic PRL gene, suggesting an activated state of PRL during the peripartum period. Activation of the PRL in the peripartum period significantly contributes to emotional and neuroendocrine adaptations, with down regulation of the responsiveness of the HPA axis (Torner et al. 2002).

In 1991, we demonstrated that gonadal hormones and PRL alterations during pregnancy are present in SLE patients. These changes may be related to fetal wastage and disease activity. Significant lower serum E2 and testosterone with higher PRL levels in pregnant patients with SLE were found (Jara-Quezada...
et al. 1991). A longitudinal analysis of PRL in SLE pregnancy confirmed the association of PRL with pregnant lupus disease activity (Petri and Chan 1995). Doria et al. (2002), confirmed the variation of steroid hormone levels during pregnancy in patients with SLE. Disease activity score significantly varied during pregnancy and postpartum In SLE patients, E2, PG and DHEAS concentrations were found to be significantly reduced. This finding probably was due to placental insufficiency. These steroid hormone variations may result in a lower humoral immune response activation, which in turn could account for the decrease in disease activity observed during the third trimester in pregnant SLE patients.

McMurray et al. (1993) developed elegant animal experiments. Pregnancy, with or without suckling and pseudopregnancy induced long-term HPRL, which accelerate the appearance of anti-DNA Ab to the viral protein gp70, hypergammaglobulinemia and disease activity. Taken together, clinical and experimental results leave little doubt that in pregnancy SLE, PRL indeed contributes to the pathogenesis of disease (Berczi 1993).

A reduction in the incidence and severity of RA is seen in pregnant women. Relaxin, a hormone of pregnancy, has been implicated in decreased immune responsiveness. Relaxin and estradiol valerate therapy reduced AA (Santora et al. 2005). In a prospective study in RA, SLE and normal pregnant women, TNFα was found in all groups studied. High IL-10 levels were found in RA and SLE patients. This study showed decreased cortisol levels in all patients. Immune and hormonal networks are involved in pregnancy and ARD and these are very dynamic immune processes (Munoz-Valle et al. 2003).

The risk of RA seems to be associated with reduced fecundity and with breastfeeding; these apparently contradictory risk factors can be explained by their association with high PRL concentrations. The effects of breastfeeding and nulliparity are modified by HLA DR4 status, suggesting an interaction between genetic and reproductive risk factors in the etiology of RA. The associations between DR4 and reproductive risk factors in RA are due to linkage disequilibrium between DR4 and an abnormally regulated PRL gene polymorphism (Brennan et al. 1996).

During pregnancy, experimental models and patients with ARD develop an abnormal immune-neuroendocrine response. In SLE, the contribution of E, PG, A and PRL may influence to successful or pathologic pregnancy. However a multitude of questions remain to be investigated (McMurray 2002).

### Conclusion and perspectives

Studies accumulated from two decades ago and during the last years support a role of immune-neuroendocrine system in the pathogenesis and clinical expression of ARD. During inflammatory stimuli, the interaction among HPA, HPG, HPT and PRL-gonadal axis with immune system is abnormal in patients with ARD, particularly during active disease. Table II shows the alterations of neuroendocrine system in ARD. The ARD are caused by a failure of immune cells tolerance, and the abnormal response of immune-neuroendocrine system may participate in the break of tolerance. These findings have implications for the treatment of autoimmune disease.
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**Table II. Neuroendocrine alterations in ARD.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>HPA axis</th>
<th>HPG axis</th>
<th>PRL axis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>GC, ACTH, CRH</td>
<td>E, A</td>
<td>PRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>GC, ACTH, CRH</td>
<td>E, A</td>
<td>PRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>GC, ACTH</td>
<td>E, A</td>
<td>PRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
<td>PG, A</td>
<td>PRL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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