Systemic autoimmune and rheumatic diseases (SAIRDs) are thought to develop due to the failure of autoimmune regulation and tolerance. Current therapies, such as biologics, have improved the clinical results of SAIRDs; however, they are not curative treatments. Recently, new discoveries have been made in immune tolerance and inflammation, such as tolerogenic dendritic cells, regulatory T and B cells, Th17 cells, inflammatory and tolerogenic cytokines, and intracellular signaling pathways. They lay the foundation for the next generation of the therapies beyond the currently used biologic therapies. New drugs should target the core processes involved in disease mechanisms with the aim to attain complete cure combined with safety and low costs compared to the biologic agents. Re-establishment of autoimmune regulation and tolerance in SAIRDs by the end of the current decade should be the final and realistic target.

1. Introduction

Recently, several important new discoveries have been made on both immunogenicity and autoimmune regulation and tolerance. Immune tolerance is necessary for the homeostatic and balanced host defense. It is important to recognize the role of the self-antigens which should be protected (non-dangerous) or self- and nonself-antigens which should be eliminated (dangerous) for the induction and maintenance of autoimmune regulation and tolerance. Immune system is constantly in contact with numerous self-antigens including autologous necrotic or apoptotic tissues, and it uses multiple strategies to prevent autoimmunity [1]. The antigen-specific or nonspecific immune tolerance can be generated primarily in thymus or secondarily in peripheral lymphatic and extralymphatic tissues. Various elimination mechanisms of autoreactive T cells and B cells such as presentation of autoantigen by antigen-presenting cells (APCs) and regulation by regulatory T cells (Tregs) play a role, not only for the central tolerance in the thymus, but also for the peripheral tolerance in extrathymic tissues, which exert an ongoing control to avoid systemic autoimmune and rheumatic diseases (SAIRDs). Within the thymus, T cells go through positive and negative selection processes in anatomically different locations to shape the entire peripheral T-cell repertoire when the central tolerance is established. Peripheral tolerance is then produced by engagement of dendritic cells (DCs) via several mechanisms, including generation and expansion of Treg cells and regulatory cytokines [2–5].

The onset and progression of SAIRDs depends on multiple factors, and many types of cells are involved in the multiple pathways of the immune reaction [5–7]. In all SAIRDs, the ultimate goal should be the re-establishment of self-tolerance [8]. In this paper, we describe new insight and
topics related to immune regulation and tolerance in SAIRDs and their potential in the management of these diseases.

2. The Pathogenic Role of Dendritic Cells, Regulatory T and B Cells, and Regulatory Cytokines in SAIRDs

2.1. Dendritic Cells (DCs). The functional abilities of the DCs vary depending on their subset and state of maturation. The two major categories of DCs are the conventional DCs (cDCs) and the plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs). They can be further functionally classified to mature and immature DCs. DCs for a heterogeneous group of cells, which play an important role in immunogenicity but also in the maintenance of immunotolerance, including their effects on the induction of antigen-specific T cell responses resulting in anergy, apoptotic deletion, or formation of Tregs [9]. Tolerance leading to SAIRDs is lacking although some novel murine experimental arthritis models allow delineation of early, preclinical events leading to the loss of self-tolerance [11, 12].

2.2. Conventional Dendritic Cells (cDCs). CDCs are detected in blood, skin, secondary lymph nodes, spleen, and inflammatory synovitis [1, 4, 7, 13]. They are subdivided into two categories, migratory DCs and resident DCs, for example, Langerhans cells in the skin and mucosal membranes. In addition, there are other types of cDC that are derived from monocytes during inflammation [14–16].

In immune reactions mature cDCs play a more important role as an APC to activate naïve T cells than pDCs [12, 17]. In addition, these cells play a central role in the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) α-dependent experimental autoimmune arthritis initiated by an irrelevant nonarticular antigen and no other APC is by itself sufficient for breach self-tolerance mediated by endogenetic pathways [8]. External (pathogen-associated molecular patterns) and internal (alarmins) danger signals or a combination of both leads to the maturation of cDCs in various inflammatory and infection diseases through membrane receptors, such as Toll-like receptors (TLR) 1-6, TLR8, and intracytoplasmic inflammasome [8, 12, 17].

On the other hand, engagement of immature cDCs with naïve T cells is thought to result in immunological tolerance. Immature cDCs are characterized by low surface expression of major histocompatibility complex- (MHC-) II and costimulatory molecules [9, 17]. Immature cDCs can drive naïve T cells to assume Tregs phenotype and/or promote the function of already existing Tregs as has been shown in experiments in which antigen was administered to mice without a concomitant maturation signal. Under these conditions, antigen accumulated on cDCs in secondary lymphoid organs and triggered the differentiation and/or proliferation of Tregs, resulting in antigen-specific tolerance that could prevent or reverse autoimmune processes [18–20].

2.3. Plasmacytoid Dendritic Cells (pDCs). PDCs can function to limit self-reactivity and consequent pathology [11]. These cells are also known as interferon- (IFN-) αβ (type I IFN-) producing cells [11, 21]. Several systemic autoimmune diseases cause a prominent IFN signature (interferon-regulated genes) in the affected target tissue which pDCs produce, such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), psoriatic arthritis (PsA), systemic sclerosis (SSc), and Sjögren’s syndrome (SjS). PDCs are activated to produce type I IFN by recognition and internalization of immune complexes consisting of autoantibodies and self-nucleic-acids, which after endocytosis are recognized through TLR7 and TLR9. On the other hand, pDCs are reported to be key players in the establishment of oral and transplant tolerance. Human pDCs activated by the TLR9 DNA ligand CpG-ODN can induce Tregs [22, 23]. PDCs has the potential to express indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), an enzyme that via its products inhibits effector T cell proliferation in SAIRDs [13, 24]. In the presence of extracellular IDO, T cell proliferation is compromised, and adaptive differentiation Tregs is enhanced although the precise molecular basis for this effect is still unclear [20, 25, 26].

2.4. Regulatory T Cells (Tregs). Adaptive effector T cell responses to self and nonself-antigens can be efficiently controlled by regulatory T cells belonging to the CD4+CD25+ Treg subset. Tregs are phenotypically heterogeneous and include both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, most of which express forkhead box p3 (Foxp3) [20, 27]. Tregs can express anti-inflammatory molecules, such as interleukin (IL)-10, transforming growth factor (TGF)-β and IL-35, and/or inhibitory receptors, such as cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA4), lymphocyte-activation gene 3 (LAG-3), glucocorticoid-induced tumor necrosis factor receptor (GITR), CD39, and CD73 [19, 28].

DCs constantly present innocuous self- and nonself-antigens in a fashion that promotes tolerance, at least in part, through the control of Tregs [29]. Failure of Tregs function has been implicated in the development of many autoimmune processes and, vice versa, cellular therapies by adoptive transfer of Tregs have shown efficacy in these disorders [20, 30]. In addition, DCs and Tregs regulate homeostasis of each other [18–20].

Natural Tregs (nTregs) in thymic tissue develop and maintain central tolerance during the fetal period. Conventional naïve T cells can develop to so-called adaptive Tregs (αTregs) in extrathymic tissues such as secondary lymphoid organs. This is important, because the autoimmune T cell have not been completely deleted by the central tolerance mechanisms. Therefore, αTregs play a complementary role in the maintenance of the peripheral tolerance by regulating peripheral autoimmune T cells. The role of these inflammation-induced αTregs is not fully understood, but they seem to limit immunohistopathologic changes by suppressing autoaggressive responses and/or by promoting
restoration of tissue homeostasis (via TGF-β) or T and B cell memory (via IL-10). Antigen-specific Tregs can spread their tolerance-promoting capacity to local DCs and naive T cells through a mechanism called "infectious tolerance", which means that tolerant T cells, which are incompletely stimulated by APCs, induce new naive T cells toward a similar state of tolerance [20, 27, 31].

2.5. Regulatory-B Cells (Bregs). The efficacy of B cell suppression with anti-CD20 therapy such as Rituximab in the treatment of RA indicates an important role for B cells in the pathogenesis of RA. In RA, transient B cell depletion with Rituximab can ameliorate disease for a prolonged period but typically not indefinitely. Autoreactive B cells have been found in bone marrow and peripheral tissues [32]. Anti-TNF agents decreased the peripheral blood memory and germinal center B cells in RA patients restoring the early B cell tolerance in RA [33]. In addition, B cells are the main producers of LTα and an important producer of TNFα in RA synovitis. B cells can also secrete multiple other cytokines, including IL-1, IL-4, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, and IL-12, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) [34, 35].

On the other hand, B cells are able to suppress autoimmune in various animal models through production of IL-10 and/or TGF-β and by cytokine-independent mechanisms. Murine models have also revealed a suppressive role for B lymphocytes. Following stimulation of CD40, B cells inhibit the induction of arthritis through secretion of IL-10 [36]. In Palmerston North SLE mouse model, regulatory B cells (Bregs) produce high levels of IL-10 that inhibit production of IL-12, which leads to diminished inflammatory response to bacterial DNA [37]. A role for Bregs for Bregs has been reported in a mouse model of inflammatory bowel disease and experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis [38, 39]. Depending on the model studies, antigen-specific autoreactive T and B cells, DCs, macrophages and/or Tregs are regulated by the Bregs populations [40]. These observations contribute to the new and important concept of Bregs [32, 41].

3. Advances in Molecular Genetics and Molecular Diagnostics

3.1. Genetics in Systemic Autoimmune and Rheumatic Diseases (SAIRDs). Advances in the field of genetic human genetics have led to a rapid increase in the number of new disease risk alleles and loci identified in SAIRDs patients. In particular, genes involved in the nuclear factor (NF)-κB pathway and T cell-DC interactions seem to be involved in SAIRDs [42–44].

New candidate genes have been reported in RA, and they include single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of IL-6, signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 4, IL-2RA, CC chemokine ligand (CCL) 21, CD40, CD244, TNF alpha-induced protein (TNFAIP) 3, sprouty-related protein with enabled/vasodilator stimulated phosphoprotein homology 1 domain (SPRED) 2, recombination signal binding protein for immunoglobulin kappa J region (RBPJ), CC chemokine receptor (CCR) 6, interferon-regulatory factor (IRF) 5, PX domain containing serine/threonine kinase (PXK), cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 6, and vitamin D (Vit.D) Fokl found in genome-wide association studies (GWAS) [42, 43, 45, 46]. The heritability of RA has previously been estimated to be about 60%. Recently, it was reported that the heritabilities of anticitrullinated protein antibody- (ACPA-) positive and ACPA-negative RA are rather similar, 68% and 66%, respectively [47–50]. In particular, human leukocyte antigen- (HLA-) DRB1 and shared epitope (SE) alleles of it were reported the strong association with ACPA-positive RA. Apart from perhaps gender, the main known genetic factor predisposing to RA is HLA and its contribution to the genetic variation has previously been estimated to be 37% [51].

In SLE, the IRF5 haplotype has been reported to relate to an increased production of type I IFN with a concomitantly increased risk for SLE [52], and a variant of STAT4 increases the sensitivity to type I IFN in patients with SLE [53]. A variant of TNFAIP3, which encodes an ubiquitin-editing enzyme inhibiting NF-kB-dependent signaling and prevents inflammation, and polymorphic haplotypes of the human T-lymphotropic virus-1-related endogenous sequence (HRES) 1 long terminal repeat (LTR) have been associated with SLE [54, 55]. New candidate changes include SNPs in CD40 region, B-lymphoid tyrosine kinase (BLK) gene, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor 2 gene, and Vit.D Bsml gene [44, 45].

Polymorphisms in IRF5 are not only associated with RA and SLE, but also with SSc, inflammatory bowel diseases and multiple sclerosis. An association with STAT4 was first identified in RA and SLE, but later also found in SSc, inflammatory bowel diseases, type 1 diabetes, psoriasis, and primary antiphospholipid antibody syndrome [44].

GWASs have disclosed over twenty candidate genes in PsA, including IL-4, IL-12B, IL-13, IL-23A, IL-23R, TNFAIP3, TNFAIP3 interacting protein (TNIP) 1, TNF receptor-associated factor (TRAF) 3 interacting protein (IP) 2, nitric oxide synthase (NOS) 2, v-rel reticuloendotheliosis viral oncogene homolog (REL), endoplasmic reticulum aminopeptidase (ERAP) 1, F-box and leucine-rich repeat protein (FBXL) 19, NF-κ light polypeptide gene enhancer in B cells inhibitor, alpha (NFKBIA), interferon induced with helicase C domain (IFIH) 1, IL-28RA, and tyrosine kinase (TYK) 2 [56, 57].

In SSc, the newly reported candidate genes and risk loci include CD247, MHC, IRF5, and STAT4 gene regions, psoriasis susceptibility 1 candidate (PSORS1C) 1, TNIP1, and putative one close to ras homolog gene family, member B (RHOB) gene [58].

In SjS, the newly reported candidate genes and risk loci include early B-cell factor 1 (EBF1) gene, family with sequence similarity 167 member A (FAM167A)-BLK locus, TNF superfamly4 gene, HLA-DRB1*0301, -DQA1*0501, and -DQB1*0201, with SNPs in IL-10 promoter, Fas/FasL, TGF-β1, and TNFα genes, IRF5 and STAT4 [44].

In addition to the genetic factors also environmental risk factors and their interactions with the genetic factors contribute to SAIRDs, in particular to RA [42]. One important
environmental risk factor for RA is smoking, which increases the odds ratio to about 1.8 [59]. SE alleles, lymphoid-specific tyrosine phosphatase (LYP)/protein tyrosine phosphatase, nonreceptor type (PTPN) 22, and cigarette smoking are risk factors for the development of ACPA positive RA patients [47, 60]. Further, it has been reported that also the noninherited maternal HLA antigens (NIMA), originally discovered in transplantation immunology [61], containing the RA-protective DERA sequence, protect DERA-negative children against RA [49, 62].

It has been recently reported that the gene polymorphism may not only be associated with the pathogenesis of SAIRDs, but also with the outcome of the treatment and the progression of the disease. GWAS and new generation sequencing disclosed that the response of RA patients to anti-TNF therapy was associated with ATP-binding cassette, subfamily A (ABCA) 1, solute carrier family 44 (SLC44A), and granzyme B SNP were associated with joint destruction, and protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type, C (PTPRC) locus (rs10919563) and TRAF 6 [63–67].

3.2. MicroRNA. MicroRNAs have attracted attention as potential new biomarkers useful for the diagnosis of early disease and for the prediction of drug response in SAIRDs. MicroRNAs form an abundant class of endogenous, short, noncoding single stranded RNA molecules (19–23 nucleotides) that function as posttranslational regulators of the expression of genes, such as inflammatory cytokines. MicroRNAs were first reported in cancer [68]. Recently, many microRNAs, such as microRNA-16, -146a, -155, and -223, have been detected in SAIRDs samples, and it is thought that their expression regulates disease onset/activity and drug responses [68, 69].

4. New Insights into Defective Regulatory Function in SAIRDs

4.1. Toll-Like Receptor (TLR) and Interferon (IFN) Signature. In the last decade, a relationship has been described between TLR and IFN signature. IFN signature is refers to a pattern of genes regulated by IFN in inflamed target tissues. IFN signature is typical for many SAIRDs, such as RA, SLE, and SSc. DNA- or RNA-containing immune complexes, formed as a result of autoantibodies binding antigenic material derived from apoptotic or necrotic cells, can act as endogenous type I IFN inducers [70–72]. Prolonged exposure of the immune system to type I IFN increases the risk for the loss of self-tolerance and for subsequent autoimmune reactions. Indeed, high-serum type I IFN serum levels have been associated with the development of SAIRDs like SLE [73–75]. PDCs are activated to produce type I IFN when they recognize immune complexes consisting of autoantibodies and self-nucleic acids. Following FcγRIIa- (CD32-) mediated internalization, they trigger endosomal TLR7 and TLR9 [76, 77]. PDCs are low in numbers in the blood of SLE patients, but large amounts of type I IFN are in lupus produced to serum by the activated pDCs located in the lymph nodes, skin, and other target organs [74, 78]. Proper clearance of apoptotic cell rests is normally thought to prevent harmful exposure of the immune cells to self-antigens and alarmins and to prevent their autogenerative activation [16, 79]. Type I IFN plays powerful roles in shaping immune responses in SAIRDs by activating lymphocytes, macrophages, DCs, and natural killer (NK) cells with the expression of IFN signature [80–82]. If apoptotic cells are not adequately cleared, condensed, and fragmented cell rests enter late stage apoptosis or undergo secondary necrosis, which causes release of nucleosomes, small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs), and DNA, but also high-mobility group box 1- (HMGB1-) nucleosome complexes and other signals able to trigger inflammation through TLRs [83–85]. Only extracellular free HMGB1 or nucleosomes alone were not able to activate DCs or induce cytokine production. HMGB1 was thought to inhibit and diminish the phagocytosis of apoptotic neutrophils by macrophage through binding to phosphatidylserine on the neutrophil membrane and the remains of them might activate to produce proinflammatory mediators through TLR2 or TLR4 [86]. From studies of lupus-prone mice, it appears that there are multiple defects in the process that regulates autoreactive T and B cells, allowing the maturation of autoreactive B cells. In SLE patients, several abnormalities interfere with the clearance of apoptotic cell rests, microparticles and chromatin, such as complement defects [87] and reduced levels and activity of DNase I in serum [88]. In lupus-prone mice model, a deficiency in a negative regulator (Tir8/Sigirr) of TLR signaling accelerates disease progression [89]. Some TLR7-deleted lupus-prone mice strains have decreased lymphocyte and pDC activation, decreased serum IgG, and ameliorated autoimmune disease [90]. TLR7-dependent production of autoantibodies is decreased in such mouse strains. In contrast, although CpG-containing DNA, which is a ligand of TLR9, is induced lupus-like disease, lack of TLR9 in the MRL/lpr mouse background exacerbated autoantibody production and disease activity, suggesting that TLR9 protects against lupus in this model [90, 91]. TLR7 and TLR9 appear to have different roles in the development of murine lupus. Taken together, these studies suggest TLR9 may either protect or exacerbate autoimmune conditions depending on the genetic background of the lupus-prone mice. Jin et al. demonstrated that peripheral circulating pDCs in patients with SLE were functionally abnormal and that they lacked TLR9 [23]. The role of TLR9 in SLE development is still unclear.

4.2. Th17 and Regulatory T Cells (Tregs). Th 17 cells produce IL-17 (or actually IL-17A), IL-21 and IL-23 and have been reported to play a crucial role in inflammation in SAIRDs [92, 93]. A combination of IL-6 and TGF-β is required for the development of Th 17 cells [92]. Apoptotic bodies and nucleosomes induce maturation of mouse cDCs to produce high amounts of IL-6 [79]. On the other hand, the development and maturation of Tregs is inhibited by IL-6 [15, 94, 95]. IL-6 produced from LPS-treated DC activated effector T cells and blocked the suppressive effects of Tregs [94]. In fact, SLE patients have significantly lower numbers
of CD4+CD25+ Tregs than healthy controls [23, 96]. Anti-TNF therapy increased Foxp3 mRNA and protein expression by Tregs and restored their suppressive function [97].

Recently, it was shown that retinoic acid, which binds the nuclear retinoic acid receptor α (RARα), increases the expression of Foxp3 and Smad3 in T cells and inhibits the generation of Th17 cells [98]. Retinoic acid may be a candidate drug for RA therapy, because all-trans-retinoic acid (ATRA) improves clinical symptom in collagen-induced arthritis mice [99].

4.3. Interleukine (IL)-27 and IL-35. IL-27 and IL-35 are the dominant anti-inflammatory cytokines. IL-27, a member of the IL-12 family, is a heterodimeric cytokine consisting of Epstein-Barr virus-induced gene 3 protein (EBI3) and a unique IL-27-p28 [100]. In collagen-induced mice, IL-27 reduced disease development and was associated with downregulation of ex vivo synthesis of IL-17 and IFN-γ [101].

IL-35, also a member of the IL-12 family, is composed of EBI3 and p35. IL-35 is thought to be specifically produced by Tregs as a novel inhibitory cytokine and is required for maximal suppressive activity [102].

4.4. Transforming Growth Factor- (TGF-) β. TGF-β has variant roles due to the influence of the environments on its effects. DCs derived from tolerized mice, especially pDCs, produced increased levels of TGF-β and decreased levels of IL-6 after stimulation with nucleosomes, which favors the development of Tregs [79, 103]. TGF-β is unique among cytokines, because it can induce Foxp3 expression and aTregs differentiation in the absence of DCs [104].

4.5. Follicular Helper CD4 T (T_{FH}) Cells. T_{FH} cells are important in the regulation of CD4+ T cell lineage to Th1, Th2, Tregs, or Th17 cells [105]. T_{FH} cells expressed transcription factor Bcl6, which is a master regulator of T_{FH} differentiation. Bcl6 can antagonize transcription factors important for the differentiation of CD4 T cells to Th1, Th2, or Th17 cells. Bcl6 inhibits Th1 differentiation by binding to the T-bet gene, Th2 differentiation by inhibiting GATA3 protein and Th17 differentiation by inhibiting RORγt activity and the human RORγt promoter. Antagonism of Tregs by Bcl6 has not been reported, but gut Tregs lose Foxp3 and differentiate into Bcl6+ T_{FH} cells under inflammatory conditions [105]. T_{FH} cells seem to play important roles in common autoimmune diseases such as RA and SLE [106, 107]. T_{FH} cell pathway and effector molecules are potent therapeutic target candidates in SAIIRDs.

4.6. B Cells. Natural autoactive B cells specific for unmodified parts of self-antigens are normally present. In SLE patients, this autoreactive B cell fraction is probably larger than in healthy individuals [108] and a large proportion of B cells express TLR9, which correlates with high titers of anti-DNA autoantibodies [109]. In murine B cells, TLR9-MyD88-dependent signaling is critical for the class switch to pathogenic IgG antibodies [110]. Thus, at least in B cells, TLR9 with the BCR signaling appear to activate B cells and promote autoimmunity.

4.7. Skin Dendritic Cells (Skin DCs). Psoriasis is a common chronic inflammatory disease of the skin in which the local activation of autoimmune DCs and T cells induces an abnormal differentiation of epidermal keratinocytes [111, 112]. TNF-α and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) producing dermal CD11c+ DCs, so called TIP-DCs, are thought to be the human equivalent of a similar DC subset necessary for the clearance of some pathogens in mice [113]. In addition, also pDCs are increased in psoriatic skin compared with normal skin [114]. Infiltration of pDCs into psoriatic skin and their activation to produce type I IFN represent key upstream events that initiate the activation of autoimmune T cells, leading to the formation of the typical skin lesions [115].

LL37, an endogenous antimicrobial peptide, which is overexpressed in psoriatic skin, seems to be the key mediator of pDCs activation in psoriasis. LL37 breaks innate self-tolerance by forming a complex with self-DNA that is delivered to and retained within early endocytic compartments of pDCs to trigger TLR9 to induce type I IFN production, which then activates IFN signature [116]. LL37 also binds self-RNA forming a complex which stimulates pDCs through TLR7 [117]. Self-RNA-LL37 complexes also interact with TLR8 on cDCs and promote their differentiation into mature DCs, which secrete TNF-α and IL-6 [117]. LL37 is released during skin injury, breaks innate tolerance to self-DNA and self-RNA so that they can act as “danger signals” that potently activate innate antiviral-like immune responses through activation of endosomal TLRs in DCs [116, 117].

Recently, Vit. D3-activated epidermal Langerhans cell have been shown to induce the development of either TGF-β-dependent Foxp3+ Treg or IL-10-dependent IL-10+ Treg. This may be the mechanism via which Vit. D3 exerts its immunosuppressive function in inflammatory skin diseases [118]. In addition, Vit. D3 is thought to exert immunoregulation of DCs and T cells via the upregulation of CTLA-4.

5. Potential Treatment Options Based on the Modulation of Immune-Regulatory Processes (Table 1)

5.1. Glucocorticosteroids-Induced Immune Regulation. Glucocorticosteroids were the first immunosuppressants, which were used in the clinic. The inhibitory effect of glucocorticosteroids on the canonical NF-kB pathway probably plays a key role in the generation of mature DCs and cytotoxic T cells [119]. Nonspecific immunosuppressive drugs such as glucocorticosteroids have numerous adverse effects and their use is sometimes limited by a lack of efficacy [120]. Specific interference in the production of cytokines, intracellular signaling pathway, autologous stem-cell transplantation, gene therapy, immune regulation-induced antigen therapy
### Table 1: Potential treatment options for SAIRDs therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine and receptor</th>
<th>Immunogenicity</th>
<th>Immunoregulation and tolerance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNF, TNFR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-10?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6, IL-6R</td>
<td></td>
<td>IL-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I IFN</td>
<td></td>
<td>TGF-β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAFF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCR (vaccination)</td>
<td></td>
<td>CTLA4-Ig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SyK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem cells and immune regulation</td>
<td>Autologous stem cell transplantation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td>TNFR: Fc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune regulation-induced antigen</td>
<td>HSPs?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tolerogenic DC (DC vaccination)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


and DC vaccination are promising treatment targets for induction of SAIRDs at present.

5.2. Anticytokine Therapy. Therapies with biological agents to attain anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive actions, using drugs such as anticytokines and cytokine receptors, have remarkably improved the results of the treatments for many SAIRDs patients [5, 12]. Successful identification of biological targets and their therapeutic translation (anti-TNF, anti-IL-6 receptor, anti-CD20, CTLA4-Ig, and anti-IL-1) will help many patients refractory to conventional intervention for RA, PsA, Crohn’s disease, SLE, and so on [121]. Concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6, IL-12, IL-15, IL-18, type I IFN, IFN-γ, and B cell stimulating agents, are increased in SAIRDs. They play important roles in the inflammatory processes that lead to tissue and organ damage [122].

5.3. Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF). TNF-α stimulates migration of mature cDCs to the draining lymph nodes. TNF-α blockade can prevent this influx of antigen-presenting DCs to secondary lymphatic tissue, which is an important step for the activation of T cell responses [123]. The TNF blockers have been successfully used in the management of RA, PsA, and Crohn’s disease; however, they can in some cases induce autoantibodies and lupus-like syndromes. Thus, their use in SLE is controversial [122, 124–126]. In SLE, the use of anti-TNF blockers has been associated with polyarthritis, cutaneous manifestations, disease activity, proteinuria, and nephritis but also severe infusion reactions [127, 128]. In SjS, anti-TNF blockers have not shown any clinical efficacy [129].

5.4. Interleukine-(IL-)1 and IL-18. Blockade of IL-1 and IL-18 has raised interest in human SLE. The results of two
small, open-label trials of the recombinant human IL-1 receptor antagonist anakinra in SLE have been published, both of which reported beneficial effects [7, 130]. In RA, the effectiveness of IL-1 receptor therapy is clearly lower than that of the TNF blockers [131]. IL-18 acts as a chemoattractant stimulating the migration of pDCs to the glomeruli in the kidney [78] so its blockade might be a good therapeutic target.

5.5. Interleukine (IL)-6. IL-6 is a pleiotropic cytokine that is overexpressed in patients with several SAIRDs. In contrast to other cytokines, IL-6 can bind to a soluble IL-6 receptor (sIL-6R) without being inhibited. On the contrary, this IL-6/sIL-6R complex can bind to IL-6R-negative cells via a nonligand binding but signal transducing pgp130 component of the receptor complex. Therefore, antibodies against IL-6R inhibit such IL-6 actions. Accordingly, good clinical results were reported on the use of a humanized antibody against IL-6R, tocilizumab, in RA and juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) [132]. An open-label study conducted using tocilizumab in SLE reported moderate effectiveness [133]. Some phase II trials of IL-6 blocking monoclonal antibodies are ongoing in SLE [134].

5.6. Interleukine (IL)-10. Disease activity in many SAIRDs has been considered to be driven by an imbalance between proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines. IL-10 is a powerful anti-inflammatory cytokine, which can be produced by both leukocytes and structural cells within tissues, being produced in particular by Tregs in vivo [20, 135]. However, high serum levels of IL-10 have been reported in patients with SLE and they correlated positively with the disease activity [136]. Therefore, somewhat paradoxically, in an open-label pilot study, a single injection of a mouse anti-IL-10 monoclonal antibody, given to a small group of patients with SLE, led to a beneficial effect on disease activity [137]. It was concluded that it was beneficial to boost the cell-mediated immune responses in SLE by inhibiting IL-10, because IL-10 impairs antigen presentation and T lymphocyte activation.

5.7. Interleukine- (IL-) 17 and Others. IL-17 (or actually IL-17A) has been discovered to be a powerful proinflammatory cytokine and the recent detection of a Th17 T-helper cell subset that secretes it has focused attention on the role of IL-17 and Th17 cells in SAIRDs, in particular RA, PsA, multiple sclerosis and Crohn’s disease, which are considered to represent Th17-related diseases. Several therapeutically interesting compounds have been reported, including anti-IL-17A agent (AIN 457 and LU2439821), anti-IL-17 receptor (AMG827) or anti-IL-17A/F receptor [92, 93, 138].

IL-12 and IL-23, which belongs to the IL-12 family, are important regulators of Th17 lymphocytes and dominant candidates for the treatment of SAIRDs with IL-17 involvement [139–141]. Anti-IL-12/23p40 agents (CNOT1275: Ustekinumab and ABT-874) are directed against both IL-12 and IL-23. An ustekinumab study is ongoing in phase III in PsA [142]. In addition, IL-21 and IL-22 are attractive therapeutic targets as cytokines related to Th17 cells [7, 122, 143]. IL-27 and IL-35 also belong to the IL-12 family and may be candidate tools to inhibit Th17 cells in the future [101, 102].

5.8. B Cell Related Cytokine. B-cell activating factor (BAFF, also known as B-lymphocyte stimulator (BlyS) and TNF ligand superfamily member 13B) promotes B-cell survival and autoantibody production. BAFF blocker (Belimumab) seems to be close to the public authority approval as they have been completed in large, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies of patients with active SLE in a phase III study [7, 144], completed in phase II study in RA [145]. Anti-CD22 monoclonal antibody (Epratuzumab) has finished a phase III trial in SLE [146].

Recent experimental and clinical evidence obtained in SSC-like mouse models and SSC patients suggests a role for B cells in the development of inflammation and fibrosis characteristic for this disease [147]. Antihuman CD20 antibody, that has been reported to be effective in the treatment of RA (Rituximab and Ofatumumab in phase III), had some beneficial effects also on skin fibrosis and lung involvement in SSC patients [148, 149]. In SjS patients, a phase II trial of Rituximab has been finished and Belimumab prepared [150, 151]. BAFF blocker as well as anti-CD20 blocker is one of potential drugs in the treatment of SAIRDs.

5.9. Intracellular Signaling Pathway. Among various signaling molecules activated by cytokine-receptor interaction, the small molecules targeting in particular Janus kinase-(JAK-) STAT pathway form attractive candidate drugs in the treatment of SAIRDs. Inhibitors of various JAK molecules (JAK-1/2, JAK-2, JAK-3) might be useful in the treatment of SAIRDs [5, 152, 153]. Some trials of JAK-3 inhibitor in patients with RA (CP690,550 in phase III), PsA and inflammatory bowel diseases have already been published [153–156]. JAK-3 is critical for signal transduction for IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, IL-9, IL-15, and IL-21, and a selective inhibition of JAK-3 has immunomodulatory effects, which affect T cells, B cells, macrophages, and NK cells, without significantly affecting other organ systems. JAK inhibitors may find clinical applications in SAIRDs in the near future.

Spleen tyrosine kinase (SyK), which is another nonreceptor tyrosine kinase, could to be one of treatment targets in SAIRDs. A phase III trial of Syk inhibitor (R788) in RA (OSKIRA trial) is ongoing [157].

In addition to monoclonal antibodies and receptor fusion proteins, other alternative approaches to neutralize inflammatory cytokines are being developed in experimental models. These include vaccinations against cytokines, which have been found to be important in the disease mechanisms [158] and administration of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) that target the messenger RNAs encoding key cytokines [3]. Another approach is to use small molecules to block certain cytokine pathways. For example, T-cell receptor (TCR) vaccinations and epitope-mimetic peptides (e.g., A9 in collagen-induced arthritis) are also in experimental use [159, 160].
5.10. Stem Cells and Immune Regulation. At first, most of the experience with autologous stem-cell transplantation in arthritis derived from studies with SSC, multiple sclerosis, and systemic JIA that were refractory to conventional therapies. Recently, also patients of RA and SLE have been treated with autologous hematopoietic stem cells, but their effect was limited in RA. Phase II trials of autologous stem-cell transplantation are ongoing in SLE and SSC [161]. In this procedure, autologous hematopoietic stem cells are collected and stored but transferred back to the donor after the remaining bone marrow and blood cells have been destroyed. The autotransplant then reconstitutes the hematopoietic and immune system and is hoped to restore the self-tolerance. Indeed, a small study reported that Tregs were restored by transplantation of autologous hematopoietic stem cells [162]. Autologous stem-cell transplantation therapy has potential in the treatment of also other SAIRDs in the future.

5.11. Gene Therapy. Some small clinical trials of the gene therapy have been done in RA in vivo and ex vivo [163]. Local viral gene therapies by tgACC 94, which is a recombinant adeno-associated virus serotype 2 vector genetically engineered to contain the cDNA for a human TNFR-immunoglobulin (IgG1) Fc fusion gene, are going on phase I in RA and phase II in PsA [164]. However, several reasons such as the limitation of efficacy and logistic and financial issues have deterred to step up the next trial phases. Technically insertions, alterations, or removals of genes can be done, but gene therapy is difficult to apply in polygenic diseases. Generation of an appropriate gene construct is already challenging but gene transfer and host immune responses against the gene therapy vectors form additional barriers to clinical applications. Due to the haphazard and random location of the gene in the host genome, insertional mutagenesis, and cancer development pose a potential threat. Further studies are needed for the gene therapy with new techniques such as siRNA [163, 165].

5.12. Immune Regulation Induced by Antigens. Secondary antigens (T-cell epitopes) that are involved in the amplification and maintenance of immune-inflammation, but independent of the initial and evasive “factor X” triggering the SAIRDs, might have therapeutic potential. Heat shock proteins (HSPs) are often targeted by proinflammatory T-cell responses in arthritis and induction of mucosal tolerance induced against their proinflammatory, immunodominant epitopes could provide a means to alleviate autoimmune inflammation in RA [166]. The dnaJP protein, which encodes a 15mer peptide (dnaJP1) derived from the bacterial HSP, was administered to induce immune tolerance in RA patients in a small trial study [167].

5.13. DC Therapy. Maintenance of the immature, immunoincompetent state of DCs, especially after in vivo delivery, remains a challenging but also promising task. For progress in this field, the methods for generation and delivery of tolerogenic or vaccinated DCs have first to be standardized. Recently, chronic stimulation of pDCs with self-nucleosomes through TLR7 and TLR9 to produce type I IFN was reported to reduce therapeutic effects of glucocorticosteroids in SLE [90, 168]. Vice versa, inhibition of TLR7 improved disease manifestations in a lupus mouse model but not TLR9 [90, 91, 95].

The endogenous proapoptotic agents and the death receptors involved in the maturation of DCs and activation of specific T cell subsets, such as Th 17 cells, may become novel targets for the individualized, disease process-specific treatments of SAIRDs. Human monocyte-derived tolerogenic DCs, generated with dexamethasone and Vit. D3, maintained their tolerogenic function upon activation with lipopolysaccharide (LPS-tolerogenic DCs), while acquiring the ability to present human type II collagen (autoantigen) and migrate in response to CCR 7 ligand, CCL 19 [169, 170]. Vaccination with autologous tolerogenic DCs (RHEUMAVAX) is in preliminary phase I human trial [171].

6. Conclusion
The pathogenesis and mechanism of SAIRDs are not fully understood. However, various molecules, signal transduction, and immune effector pathways able to regulate immune regulation and tolerance are being increasingly revealed. For the generation of new drugs and therapies, it is important to know molecules and understand mechanisms responsible for the maintenance, failure, and restoration of tolerance in SAIRDs. Molecularily targeted and highly specific biologic agents have caused a paradigm shift of the treatment. However, in spite of top-of-the-line drugs applied according to current management strategies, some 20%–30% the patients do not respond adequately. Further, there are still several issues to be resolved, also with the currently used drugs, such as severe adverse events and the high cost although small chemical molecules, such as JAK inhibitors, might offer low cost options possible to administer easily per os. It is expected that the development leads to new drugs which are able to re-establish autoimmune regulation and tolerance in SAIRDs, drugs, which are more effective and tailored based on genetic polymorphism and at the same time safer, low cost, and easy to administer.
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