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Our knowledge and understanding of the tumor microenvironment (TME) have been recently expanded with the recognition of the important role of innate lymphoid cells (ILC). Three different groups of ILC have been described based on their ability to produce cytokines that mediate the interactions between innate and adaptive immune cells in a variety of immune responses in infection, allergy, and autoimmunity. However, recent evidence from experimental models and clinical studies has demonstrated that ILC contribute to the mechanisms that generate suppressive or tolerant environments that allow tumor regression or progression. Defining the complex network of interactions and crosstalk of ILC with other immune cells and understanding the specific contributions of each type of ILC leading to tumor development will allow the manipulation of their function and will be important to develop new interventions and therapeutic strategies.

1. Introduction

Developments in both basic immunology and tumor biology have increased our knowledge of the interactions between the tumor cells and the immune system. Collectively referred to as the tumor microenvironment (TME), cancers are complex tissues that are comprised of malignant cells and a multitude of stromal cells, such as fibroblasts, epithelial cells, and innate and adaptive immune cells. The TME also includes cells that form blood and lymphatic vasculature, as well as specialized mesenchymal cell types that are unique to each tissue microenvironment [1, 2]. Recently, innate lymphoid cells (ILC) have been added to the list of immune cells that may contribute to the TME [3]. Components within the TME have been shown in experimental models and clinical studies to provide either host protection leading to tumor regression or tumor promotion by providing an immunosuppressive milieu (Table 1). This review will focus primarily on current views of the role of ILC on the control or induction of tumor development and their crosstalk with other immune cells. We also comment on different experimental approaches to further investigate ILC function.

2. The Innate Lymphoid Cells (ILC) Family

Lacking a B cell or T cell receptor, ILC are derived from a common lymphoid progenitor and possess a wide range of cell surface markers, many of which have only recently been elucidated [4, 5]. It has been suggested that these antigen receptor-lacking cells play a key role in facilitating and coordinating the innate and adaptive immune responses because they are evolutionary precursors of the adaptive immune function.
2.1. Group 1 ILC. Group 1 ILC (ILC1) have a wide range of functions, including cytotoxicity, macrophage activation, immunity to viruses and cancer, and chronic inflammation [8]. ILC1 are dependent on the transcription factor T-bet (encoded by the Tbx21 gene). There are 2 main subgroups of group 1 ILC in human and mouse—natural killer (NK) cells and non-NK ILC1—and their phenotypic markers and effector cytokine production profiles [6].

2.2. Group 2 ILC. Group 2 ILC (ILC2) were first identified in experiments with RAG-deficient mice, in which IL-25 or IL-33 stimulation resulted in increased levels of IL-5 and IL-13, respectively (reviewed in [18]). ILC2 are activated by IL-25, IL-33, and the thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) and play an important role in type 2 inflammation in the lung and intestine due to their ability to affect T cell responses to allergens through Th2-associated cytokines (IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13) [19, 20]. ILC2 have also been found residing in both human and murine skin. These skin ILC2 are “critically dependent” on activation by TSLP, which is key to promoting skin inflammation [21]. It is well known that the TNF superfamily cytokine TL1A (TNFSF15) promotes ILC2 to produce IL-13 ex vivo. Furthermore, TL1A costimulates the expansion of ILC2 via their highly expressed TNF-receptor superfamily member DR3 (TNFRSF25), independently of the IL-25 or IL-33 stimulation pathways [22]. Studies with DR3−/− mice demonstrated the importance of ILC2 stimulation by TL1A at the mucosal barriers. The lack of this costimulation leads to deregulated ILC2 functions, as these mice developed gut helminth infections and were unable to mount ILC2 responses in the lungs upon induction of an allergic reaction by nasal papain challenge. The disruption of ILC2 stimulation leads to reduced T cell accumulation and response in T cell dependent allergic models, which was suggested to be potentially beneficial for ILC2-related allergies such as allergic asthma [23].

2.3. Group 3 ILC. Group 3 ILC are unique in that they not only are involved in immunity against extracellular bacteria and chronic inflammation but also play a key role in intestinal homeostasis [24, 25] and lymphoid tissue development. Indeed, one of the subgroups of ILC3-lymphoid tissue inducer cells (LTi) was first discovered in the developing lymph nodes, where they play a pivotal role in the formation of lymphoid tissue during organogenesis [26].

In addition to LTi, group 3 ILC include NCR+ ILC3 and NCR− ILC3 (Table 2), which depend on GATA-3 and RORγt expression. Unlike ILC2, which require RORγt for their development only, ILC3 require RORγt for both development and function, while GATA-3 regulates NCR+ ILC3 as well as
Table 2: Cell markers that define human and mouse ILC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>NK cells</th>
<th>ILC1</th>
<th>Noncytotoxic ILC1</th>
<th>ILC2</th>
<th>LTI</th>
<th>NCR+ ILC3</th>
<th>NCR+ ILC3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD11c</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD25</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD56</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD117</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>−/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD127 (IL-7Ra)</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKp44 (NCR2)</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICOS</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKp46 (NCR1)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRTH2 (CD294)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1R</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>+/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-23R</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12Rβ2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17RB</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>−/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK1.1 (CD161)</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scal (Ly6A)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC class II</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCR6*4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−/+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 There are differences between human and murine CD4 expression. Some murine LTi and a small number of NCR+ ILC3 express CD4, whereas all human subsets are negative. *2 NKp44 is only expressed in human cells. *3 Scal (also known as Ly6A) is a mouse cell surface protein of the Ly6 family and is not found in human ILC. *4 CCR6 expression in human and mouse ILC is different. In mice, CCR6 is not expressed in non-NK ILC1, ILC2, or NCR+ ILC3. In humans, ILC1, ILC2, and NCR+ ILC3 all express CCR6. CCR6, C-C chemokine receptor type 6; CRTH2, chemoattractant receptor-homologous molecule expressed on Th2 cells; ICOS, inducible T cell costimulator; IL, interleukin; ILC, innate lymphoid cell; LTi, lymphoid tissue inducer cells; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; NCR, natural cytotoxicity triggering receptor; ND, not determined; NK, natural killer; Scal, stem cell antigen 1; H, human; M, mouse.

Table 3: Effector cytokines produced by ILC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>NK cells</th>
<th>ILC1</th>
<th>Noncytotoxic ILC1</th>
<th>ILC2</th>
<th>LTI</th>
<th>NCR+ ILC3</th>
<th>NCR+ ILC3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFNγ</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perforin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granzyme</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-5</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-9</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17A</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-22</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areg</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT-αβ2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Areg, amphiregulin; GM-CSF, granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor; INFγ, interferon gamma; LT, lymphotoxin; and TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

NK cells and plays a critical role in the production of IL-22 by these cells [6, 27, 28]. NCR+ ILC3 express the activating NKp46 or NKp44 receptors [11], and there is also a differential expression of chemokine receptors, whereby only LTi but not NCR+ ILC3 express CCR6. Upon IL-23 or IL-1β stimulation all ILC3 produce IL-22 (Table 3). IL-22 is highly important for ILC3 functions, and studies have shown that mice deficient in lymphotoxin- (LT-) αβ2 were unable to produce IL-22 in response to colonic infection [29]. In addition to IL-22, LTi and NCR+ ILC3 also produce the Th17 associated cytokine IL-17 and granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) which contribute to the proinflammatory...
response [30, 31]. Studies in models of intestinal infection have shown that NCR− ILC3 are able to produce IFN-γ in addition to IL-22 and IL-17 [25]. Interestingly, it was noted that the ability of ILC3 to produce IFN-γ is coupled with the disappearance of RORyt expression and increased expression of T-bet [32]. Other studies have shown that T-bet expression has the ability to induce a phenotype in ILC3 characterized by high levels of IFN-γ but not IL-17 [33]. These studies suggest a degree of plasticity between ILC1 and ILC3, similar to that described between Th1 and Th17 cells (reviewed in [6]). This reported plasticity and ability to modify functional phenotype might be important to explain the different effects (pro- or antitumor) of ILC in different models of cancer as will be discussed next.

3. Migration and Tissue Distribution of ILC

ILC display a tissue specific distribution with ILC2 and NCR+ ILC3 preferentially being distributed in skin, while NCR− ILC3 are more prominent in the thymus, tonsils, bone marrow, and gut (reviewed in [7]). The mechanism by which the different types of ILC migrate to different tissues is under the control of a differential expression of integrins and chemokine receptors gradients similar to that described for adaptive T cells [2]. Kim et al. have recently shown that ILC1 and ILC3 migrate from the bone marrow to mesenteric lymph nodes in a process controlled by the expression of their homing receptor CCR7. Once in the lymph nodes, ILC1 and ILC3 undergo a homing receptor program switch and express CCR9 and α4β7 receptors following stimulation by retinoic acid (RA) produced by dendritic cells (DC). This change in their receptor profile then allows migration to intestinal tissue. ILC2 migration, on the other hand, is developmentally controlled as the expression of gut homing receptors occurs in the bone marrow [34]. Further evidence has shown that expression of CXCR6 enables the definition of subpopulations of ILC3 and dictates the distribution of these cells within gut microenvironments. In a model of intestinal infection, CXCL16 released by DC induces the migration of ILC3 to the villus lamina propria where they respond to IL-23 and produce IL-22, which is essential for the release of antimicrobial peptides and infection control [35]. Recent studies using in vivo photoconversion to enable cell tracking have also revealed how ILC move from mucosal and peripheral tissues to local draining lymphoid tissues. Mackley et al. have shown that mouse RORyt+ ILC migrate from the intestine to draining mesenteric lymph nodes under the influence of chemokine receptor CCR7 [36]. In this way, ILC are enriched in locations such as the marginal sinus, bridging channels, and interfollicular areas where they can interact with trafficking lymphocytes as they recirculate through the blood and lymphatic vessels [37, 38]. Given their anatomical location and ability to rapidly secrete immunoregulatory cytokines and crosstalk with other innate and adaptive immune cells, ILC are proving to be crucial in the regulation of immune responses. ILC respond to environmental stress in immune disorders, infections, allergy, and autoimmunity by producing cytokines that target stromal and epithelial cells, which then mediate the communication between ILC and other immune cells [39]. Understanding the mechanisms and molecules that inhibit [40, 41] or enhance ILC function is vital for the development of immunotherapy for a number of inflammatory diseases, including cancers [42, 43]. In the next sections we will discuss evidence describing the role of ILC in tumor biology.

4. Effector Mechanisms of ILC in Cancer

4.1. ILC1. The role of NK cells in cancer has been extensively discussed in recent reviews [44] and here we will only focus on non-NK ILC1. New insights from clinical and in vivo studies have shown that non-NK ILC1, together with adaptive immune cells, might be involved in responses that either mediate the elimination of tumors (natural cytotoxicity, antibody-dependent cytotoxicity, and phagocytosis) or promote tumor growth and metastasis (Figure 1) [15, 45–47]. Recent reports have started to unravel the role of non-NK ILC1 in tumorigenesis and although they lack expression of granzyme and perforin, they may have similar functions to NK cells in the antitumor response as they share a similar cytokine secretion signature. Recent studies in models of inflammatory bowel disease [32, 48] and intestinal infection with Toxoplasma gondii [9] have demonstrated that cells with an ILC1 phenotype secrete IFN-γ and TNF-α and contribute to the inflammatory response and pathology in response to IL-12 and IL-15. The antiproliferative and proapoptotic properties of IFN-γ and TNF-α, produced by activated T cells and monocyte-macrophages, are well established in many tumor models. However, whether these cytokines are secreted by ILC and how these might inhibit proliferation and induction of apoptosis need to be addressed. Interestingly, Djenidi et al. have shown that ILC1 had an integrin profile (expression of CD103, integrin alpha E) and a memory-activated phenotype similar to that observed in tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells, which are tumor-tissue specific and whose presence correlates with improved early stage survival in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [49].

4.2. ILC2. ILC2 were originally identified in mesenteric lymph nodes and characterized by their ability to prime and stimulate Th2 responses. Following stimulation with IL-25 or IL-33, ILC2 produce IL-5, IL-13 [50, 51], IL-4, IL-6, IL-9, and amphiregulin which induce Th2 differentiation, production of antibodies, and class switching [52]. The effects of ILC2 can be mediated through the secretion of pro-Th2 cytokines or by cell-cell interactions via presentation of MHC class II-associated antigens to T cells or OX40L stimulation [53, 54]. IL-5- and IL-13-producing ILC2 are associated with protective immunity at mucosal surfaces. Clinical studies suggested that increased numbers of ILC2 in peripheral blood, and the cytokines they secrete, could contribute to the immunosuppressive environment maintained by Th2, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC), and macrophages observed in patients with gastric cancer [55, 56]. Ikutani et al. were amongst the first to show evidence of the role of ILC2 in cancer. Using a mouse model of lung metastatic melanoma, ILC2 were specifically shown to produce IL-5 in response to IL-33 stimulation (Figure 2(a)). Following tumor induction,
administration of rIL-33 induced the development of IL-5-producing ILC2, which recruited and maintained eosinophils that induced tumor cell death and prevented tumor metastasis [57], possibly through an IL-4-dependent mechanism [58]. Contrary to an observed antitumor effect and highlighting the dual role of ILC in tumor progression, studies with the 4T1 syngeneic mice model of human triple negative breast cancer have shown that endogenous IL-33, produced by tumor cells, was associated with increased frequencies of TGFβ-producing MDSC, regulatory T cells (Treg), and ILC2 that expressed IL-5 and IL-13 (Figure 2(b)). The results suggested that ILC2-derived IL-13 targets and activates MDSC to express arginase and nitric oxide synthase, contributing to the establishment of a suppressive environment characterized by increased numbers of Treg and functionally impaired NK cells that allow tumor escape and progression [59]. Preliminary evidence suggesting the involvement of IL-33 and Th2-like cells in other forms of cancer was provided by studies in models of liver disease in which inflammation and fibrosis can result in cancer. The components of IL-33 signaling axis (IL-33/ST2/IL-1RacP) are increased in human and mouse fibrotic livers but not, interestingly, in human hepatocellular carcinoma [60]. Increased levels of IL-33 were also found in clinical studies in patients with liver cirrhosis and mouse models of hepatic fibrosis. In these studies it was determined that IL-33 secreted by stressed hepatic cells induced the activation and expansion of ILC2. Activated ILC2 responded by secreting IL-13 that targeted stellate cells, which contributed to the mechanisms leading to fibrosis [61, 62]. A recent study highlighting the critical balance that determines whether ILC2 display pro- or anticancer effects has been recently published. In studies by Li et al., they have shown that IL-13 released by IL-33-activated ILC2 promoted proliferation of epithelial cells of the bile ducts or cholangiocytes. Strikingly, cholangiocyte proliferation induced by exogenous IL-33, in a model of biliary injury, promoted epithelial repair (Figure 2(c)). However, the same proliferative effect in mice with oncogenic priming (constitutively active Akt and Hippo pathways) induces cholangiocarcinoma and liver metastasis [62]. These studies suggest that ILC2-activating cytokines might represent potential targets in the design of anticancer therapeutic tools.

4.3. ILC3. ILC3 are the group of ILC that have gathered the most evidence suggesting their role in tumor biology. Inflammation due to infection and tissue injury confers an increased risk of cancer and tumorigenesis [63, 64]. In particular, the association between inflammation and colon cancer has been documented for more than 30 years in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) [65]. IL-17 is an important cytokine linking both innate and adaptive immune responses in infection and autoimmunity [66]. Evidence points to its dual role in both tumorigenesis—inducing angiogenesis [67, 68], tumor evasion, and inhibition of apoptosis—and the control of antitumor responses that activate and recruit NK cells, Treg, and neutrophils to the tumor microenvironment.
Figure 2: Anti- and protumor activities of group 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2). (a) The antitumor activity of ILC2 has been demonstrated in a model of lung metastatic melanoma. IL-33-activated ILC2 produce IL-5, which induces the recruitment and maintenance of eosinophils that display antitumorigenic activity. In contrast, ILC2 can also play an important role in tumor progression as shown in models of liver and breast cancer (b). A study in the 4T1 syngeneic model of breast cancer has shown that IL-33 produced by tumor cells is associated with the induction of a protumor environment characterized by increased numbers of MDSC and Treg. Contributing to the suppressive environment, an increased number of ILC2 secrete IL-5/IL-13 and target MDSC, which in turn secrete TGFβ1 (to activate and maintain Treg) and arginase (Arg) to inhibit natural killer (NK) cell activity. Under these immunosuppressive conditions, 4T1 tumors develop and metastasize. (c) Further, evidence of the dual role of ILC2 in tumor development has been highlighted by studies in models of liver cancer. Cell damage of epithelial cells lining the bile ducts (cholangiocytes) in the presence of IL-33-activated ILC2 leads to secretion of IL-13, which under normal conditions is used by epithelial cells to proliferate and induce tissue repair. However, under conditions of oncogenic priming (activation of protumor signaling pathways) the control of epithelial cells proliferation is lost and leads to tumor development.

Clinical and animal models studies have shown correlations between the levels of IL-17 and aggressiveness and tumor progression in gastric, ovarian, breast, and lung carcinomas. The source of IL-17 in those studies was attributed to Th17, mast cells, and tumor-associated macrophages (reviewed in [69]). It is only recently when Kirchberger et al. have demonstrated that microbe-induced colon cancer is directly associated with the accumulation of ILC and IL-17 signaling (Figure 3(a)(i)). Using well-established models of bacteria-induced colon cancer and antibody-based cell depletion and cytokine neutralization protocols, the authors concluded that IL-17 and IL-22 produced by colonic ILC3 contribute to inflammation and tumor development, with an additional role for IL-22 to perpetuate the cancerous state by inducing proliferation of epithelial cells in a STAT-3-mediated mechanism [70]. Whether ILC contribute to recruitment of Treg, MDSC, and protumor M2 macrophages to induce an immunosuppressive protumor environment as shown in mouse models of melanoma and hepatic, cervical, and prostate cancer remains to be fully established [71, 72]. The role of IL-22 in color cancer, however, is complex and not only limited to inducing tumor development, as some studies
Figure 3: The role of ILC3 in tumor development. Studies in mouse-induced intestinal cancer have provided evidence of the protumor role of ILC3. (a)(i) The expression of CXCR6 allows ILC3 to migrate in response to the CXCL13 gradient and localize in gut microenvironments where they respond to DC-derived IL-23. Upon activation, ILC3 secrete IL-17 and IL-22, which contribute to the inflammatory environment that supports tumor development by inducing proliferation of epithelial cells in a STAT-3-mediated mechanism. The control of IL-22 activity by a soluble receptor, IL-22BP, is important to maintain a fine balance that dictates proliferation and tissue repair or tumor development. Highlighting the importance of crosstalk between ILC and other immune cells, gut macrophages (a)(ii) activated by microbial signals produce the proinflammatory cytokine IL-1, which targets and induces RORγt+ ILC to secrete GM-CSF required to maintain Treg and macrophages. The action of these three cell types creates a tolerant environment that results in tumor progression. Another potential mechanism that might operate in cancer involves Ly6 hi monocytes (a)(iii), which produce TNF-α following stimulation by microbial signals. The proinflammatory monocytes increase the frequency of IL-17-producing ILC3 and as part of the functional circuit IL-17 acts upon monocytes to increase their microbicidal activity. It would be interesting to evaluate whether this functional circuit results in increased tumoricidal activity by inflammatory monocytes. In subcutaneous melanoma, tumor-derived IL-12 activates NKp46+ LTi, which induce the tumor microvasculature to express increased levels of ICAM and VCAM. The increased expression of these adhesion molecules allows the infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, which mediate tumor suppression. Panel (a)(i) diagram is based on [35].

have shown that IL-22 can display antitumor effects. The control of IL-22 activity is regulated by IL-22 binding protein (IL-22BP), an endogenous neutralizing soluble receptor (Figure 3(a)(i)). Using a model of colon cancer in mice deficient for IL-22BP, Huber et al. demonstrated that IL-22 has an important role in the homeostatic colonic epithelial cell repair. However, in the absence of IL-22BP, the control of the activity of IL-22 by this soluble IL-22 receptor is lost and its protumorigenic activity is triggered [73]. Although, in this study, the source of IL-22 was not attributed to ILC, given the recruitment and presence of these cells in the inflammatory tissue environment, it is likely that they might play a role. In a different model of IBD, the IL-23/IL-17 signaling axis has been reported to operate in the development of mouse
gut adenocarcinomas. In the absence of carcinogens or bacterial infection, transgene expression of IL-23 activated IL-23R+/ILC, which responded by producing IL-17, IFN-γ, and IL-22 which contributed to tumor development [74]. These studies suggest that IL-23, IL-17, and IL-22 could be considered as novel therapeutic targets. Interestingly, IL-22-independent crosstalk between ILC3, macrophages, and intestinal microbes has been recently described. Mortha et al. have shown that gut macrophages respond to microbe signals by producing IL-1 (Figure 3(a)(iii)). This proinflammatory cytokine induces RORγt+ILC to secrete GM-CSF, which is required to maintain the tolerance mediated by macrophages, DC, and Treg [75]. This study showed that deficiency of GM-CSF led to reduced numbers of Treg, thus suggesting that targeting the ability of ILC3 to produce GM-CSF could be used as a therapy in cancers where Treg have been described to be increased [76]. Another interesting mechanism of tolerance induction involving ILC3 yet independent of cytokines IL-17, IL-22, or IL-23 has been recently described. Hepworth et al. [77] demonstrated that RORγt+ILC3 express MHC-II molecules and present antigens in the absence of costimulatory molecules which allows them to limit microbial-specific CD4+ T cell responses which if uncontrolled could cause intestinal inflammation [77]. Whether this is a mechanism that operates in the tumor microenvironment and enables ILC to present tumor antigens and thereby inhibit tumor-specific antigen responses by cytotoxic and effector T cells has not been evaluated. These studies highlight the emerging view on the critical importance of the interactions between resident commensal bacteria and cells of the immune system that maintain gut homeostasis. There are contrasting reports showing, on the one hand, that bacteria are able to promote antitumor responses and, on the other hand, that crosstalk between bacteria and ILC leads to chronic inflammation and cancer development. These observations have clinical importance as many patients with cancer are treated with drugs that might compromise the integrity of intestinal cells, and whether this can have an effect on shifting a balance towards pro- or antitumor responses is something that merits careful consideration [78]. The recently described crosstalk between ILC3 and monocytes highlights another potential mechanism that might operate in cancer. Xiong et al. have shown in a model of lung infection with Klebsiella pneumoniae that bacterial infection induces the recruitment of proinflammatory Ly6Chi monocytes, which produce TNF and increase the frequency of IL-17-producing cells (Figure 3(a)(iii)). IL-17 released by ILC3 acts upon recruited monocytes and these cells increase their microbicidal properties and manage to clear off the infecting bacteria [79]. It is very tempting to suggest that such a mechanism with activated monocytes could target tumor cells in a TNF-mediated mechanism. Further to the well-characterized IL-12-dependent cytotoxic activity by Th1 and NK cells, studies with IL-12-deficient mice and mice lacking mature B and T cells (RAG-2 knock-out) have provided clear evidence that IL-12 is an important effector cytokine in the response against melanoma [80, 81]. These results provided a basis to suggest that ILC are involved in the antitumor function of IL-12. Indeed, Eisenring et al. have described an alternative tumor suppression mechanism that depends on the activation of NCR-expressing NKP46+ LTi, a member of ILC3. Using models of subcutaneous melanoma, IL-12 secreted by tumor cells activated NKP46+LTi and these cells induced the tumor microvasculature to express increased levels of ICAM and VCAM. The expression of these two adhesion molecules then allowed the infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+T cells, which mediate the tumor suppression (Figure 3(b)). Interestingly, IL-12 treatment induced NKP46+ LTi to secrete IFN-γ and LT+ cytokines, which have well-characterized antitumor and proapoptotic functions. However, the observation that IL-12-mediated tumor rejection operated in IFN-γ−, IFN-γR−, or perforin-deficient mice suggests that in this model NKP46+ LTi do not contribute to the antitumor activity of other innate (NK) or adaptive (CD4+ and CD8+ T) cells in the TME [82]. The role of LTi-like cells has also been highlighted in studies with CCL21-producing melanoma cells. Along with the formation of lymphoid tissue, tumor-derived CCL21 induced the recruitment of LTi-like cells to the TME. Whether the induction of lymphoid-like stroma tissue facilitates immune escape or tolerance has not been fully established [83]. In our own recent studies in patients with triple negative breast cancer (TNBC), we have found evidence suggesting that RORγt+ILC3 might contribute to tumor metastasis through a different mechanism. First, RORγt+ILC3 localize within the primary tumor and an increased number of these cells are associated with tumor migration into lymphatics and subsequent lymph node (LN) metastasis. Using the 4T1.2 syngeneic model of breast cancer we showed that ILC3 recruitment to primary tumors is CCL21-dependent. Once within the tumors, ILC3 stimulate stromal cells to produce CXCL13, which feeds back to promote the production of LT and receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANK-L) to promote lymphangiogenesis and enhance tumor cell motility [84]. The identification of ILC3 within the human breast cancer microenvironment is a significant advance for understanding tumor-stromal interactions and their effect on malignant phenotypes in cancer. Analysis of a cohort of 234 breast cancer patient samples showed a correlation of tumors with aggressive invasive properties and a signature of genes expressed by ILC3, enriched for CXCL13, CCL19, CCL21, and the receptors CXCR5 and CCR7 [84]. These findings have potential clinical relevance because they might relate to lymphatic invasion leading to lymph node metastases, a feature routinely assessed by pathologists and considered as poor prognostic factor for breast cancer patients. These results suggest, as seen in other studies, that ILC3 might have different roles in tumor progression depending on the type of tumor and the specific characteristics of the TME. An interesting mechanism through which ILC may influence tumor development is by their role in the formation of tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS). It has been shown that the proinflammatory features of the TME lead to the activation of chemokine signaling pathways and the recruitment of immune cells that contribute to the formation of TLS [85]. TLS are ectopic and highly organized lymphoid formations that develop in inflamed and infected tissues or within or adjacent to primary tumors. These formations present well-defined T and B cell zones, high endothelial venules
(HEV), mature DC, germinal centre reactions, and B cell class switch in the B cell follicles, suggesting the generation of adaptive immune responses [86]. These lymphoid aggregates are also characterized by the expression of chemokines (CCL19, CCL21, CXCL10, CXCL12, and CXCL13), adhesion molecules (ICAM-2, ICAM-3, VCAM-1, and MadCAM-1), and integrins (αβ, α4, and αδ) [87], which not only attract effector immune cells such as Treg, DC, naïve B cells, and T follicular helper (Tfh) cells but also determine the architecture and cell segregation in specific compartments. The composition of TLS might be different depending on the tumor type and a growing number of clinical studies suggest that TLS and associated biomarkers correlate with clinical outcome and prognostic value [88]. Studies of patients with melanoma, breast [89], colorectal, lung, pancreas [90], or renal cell carcinomas have shown that the presence of TLS is of positive prognostic value. However, two different studies in patients with breast and renal cell carcinomas have also shown that the presence of TLS has a negative prognostic value (an extensive revision of the clinical studies and trials are reviewed in [86, 91]). Detailed analyses have found that particular cell types within the TLS confer the prognostic value; for instance, CD8⁺ T cells and antibody-producing-plasma cells in ovarian cancer [92] and DC in primary lung tumors [93] confer a positive prognostic value. On the other hand, Joshi et al. have recently shown that tumor-infiltrating Treg in a mouse model of lung adenocarcinoma are increased and found at the tumor margins in tertiary lymphoid structures. At these sites, Treg actively restrain effector T cells [94]. These results, elevated numbers of Treg, correlate with the adverse clinical outcome (poor survival) in patients with breast cancer [95]. In a striking study, Finkin et al. have used a model of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with mice constitutively expressing the active form of IKK-B in hepatocytes to activate the NF-κB pathway. They reported the presence of small clusters of hepatocytes expressing markers of tumor progenitor cells within TLS formed in nontumor sites of the inflamed liver. These clusters progressively coalesced and egressed from the TLS to grow as HCC in all IKK-B-expressing livers. These results suggest that TLS serve as niches supporting tumor cells growth and contribute to recurrence in HCC [96]. Despite the strong correlation between clinical or prognostic value and the presence of TLS in different types of cancer, it is unclear which factors (intrinsic or extrinsic) contribute to their development. Studies from the rheumatology field have suggested a role for ILC in the formation of TLS. Noort et al. have recently shown that TLS within the synovial tissue of some patients with rheumatoid arthritis contain low numbers of CD3⁺ RORC⁺ ILC3 which might play a role in TLS formation through a mechanism involving the release of LTβ and the expansion of follicular DC [97]. Interestingly, in the cancer setting, Carrega et al. have recently reported the presence of NCR⁺ ILC3 at the edge of tumor-associated TLS in NSCLC. Increased number of these cells in early stage tumors correlated with the density of intratumoral TLS and predicted favorable clinical outcomes [98]. Further analyses are required to evaluate the role of ILC3 on TLS formation and function in other types of cancer. From the studies mentioned above, it is clear that identifying the mechanisms and cellular components underlying TLS formation will be helpful to understand the pro- and antitumor responses within the tumor microenvironment. This knowledge will be helpful to develop new therapies to promote or inhibit TLS formation. In fact, different drugs and antagonist of the LTα/β, RANK/RANK-L, and ICOS/ICOS-L signaling pathways are being developed and tested for their potential to manipulate TLS formation (reviewed in [91]). Thus, the paradoxical role of ILC3 in both host protective and tumor-promoting immunosuppressive effects can be associated with the reported functional plasticity that allows these cells to respond to changes in the tumor microenvironment accordingly (reviewed in [6]). Whether ILC display pro- or antitumor activities seems to depend on the type of tumor and stage of development and on the complex network of fine-tuned incoming signals that control cell-cell interactions in the microenvironment. It is likely that the ability to control or influence those interactions will be important for the development of new therapeutic tools to fight cancer. Recent encouraging studies with chemical inhibitors of RORγt-mediated transcription (such as GSK805) have revealed a transient and specific targeting/inhibition tool for RORγt⁺ cells. In a recent study of a model of intestinal infection with bacteria, administration of GSK805 reduced cytokine production by Th17, but not ILC3, thus preserving innate immunity. This treatment resulted in a therapeutic response as reduced activity of Th17, but not ILC3, contributed to the control of inflammation in their infection model [99]. Given the nonredundant functions of cytokines produced by T cells and ILC, this cell-specific and transient inhibition of RORγt⁺ cells might have applications in models of cancer where manipulating and enhancing the antitumor functions of ILC over the proinflammatory functions of Th17 might be beneficial and important to control tumor development. Details on how these cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors allow the cell-cell interactions that maintain the homeostatic balance are currently being explored and will be essential for the development of new therapeutic tools against cancer. In the next section we will discuss imaging approaches to analyze the crosstalk between ILC and other innate and adaptive immune cells.

5. Imaging Strategies to Study the Crosstalk and Interactions between ILC and Other Immune Cells

Immunohistochemistry (IHC), immunofluorescence, and flow cytometry methodologies are fundamental tools that have contributed to the identification and our knowledge of the different ILC subsets. In some studies and using IHC techniques, ILC1 have been identified with markers Nkp44⁺, CD103⁺, and CD3⁺ [48] and ILC3 using Rorγt⁺ IL-7RB⁺CD11c⁻ [100] or CD3⁺ CD127⁺ CD117⁺ RORγt⁺ [101]. With the use of many different markers and multicolor cytometric analyses, it has been possible to study and determine the presence and changes in ILC populations in normal, disease, and inflammatory settings. However, understanding the roles of ILC in the control of immune
responses requires a refined knowledge of the crosstalk and interactions between ILC and other innate and adaptive immune cells. This has been approached through the use of novel imaging techniques using fluorescent probes. Using ILC3 as an example, here we describe some approaches that can be used to examine the role of ILC in the *ex vivo* and *in vivo* settings.

A major complication arising when looking at a subset of cells, such as the ILC, is the necessity to define that subset with a range of different markers. The current series of markers and phenotype accepted for identifying ILC3 require four (five including a nuclear stain) separate fluorophores when imaging tissue to identify the one cell type. If ILC3 are to be imaged along with other cells of interest, the use of serial sections is required, labeling a certain cell type per section and overlaying the images. Alternatively, a multiplex of 6 or 7 fluorescent probes can be used to identify two different cell types on a single slide and imaging on a standard commercial confocal microscope. Using multiple fluorophores in a single slide is generally better accepted as it avoids any slight alterations between sections and the inherent difficulty in obtaining good quality sequentially cut sections. With using such a high number of fluorescent proteins on the same slide, it is common to find spectral bleed-through and cross-excitation between the detected channels. Fluorophore selection including fluorophores with large Stokes shift, such as the Brilliant Violet and Pacific Blue/Green/Orange, and the use of quantum dots [102] allow multiplexing on a single excitation laser. This approach, combined with carefully customized microscope configuration, means it is possible to avoid spectral bleed-through altogether [103]. However, in the cases where it is unavoidable, postacquisition correction algorithms can be utilized to correct for unwanted fluorescent emission crosstalk (spectral overlap) by deconvolution/unmixing [104] to remove any remaining overlaps between the fluorophores of the detected channels [105]. Multicolor confocal microscopy provides high-resolution tissue imaging, allowing the labeling of different cell types that require several markers to identify. This provides key localization information in fixed tissue at a particular time point and as such is extremely useful in indicating possible cellular interactions based on the relative cell-cell proximity, which can be further investigated using time-lapse microscopy or other means. A drawback of using confocal microscopy as an approach to imaging ILC3 is the lack of temporal information. Relatively little is known regarding the role these cells play in different scenarios; therefore, the ability to track where these cells migrate and the cells they interact with is fundamental to deciphering their role and function. Multiphoton microscopy (also known as 2-photon microscopy) allows the imaging of fluorescently labeled cells deep within tissue in an *in vivo* setting, visualizing cell behavior in the natural environment. Kinetic information such as cell velocity, track length, meandering index, and displacement can reveal valuable information regarding immune cells activity [106, 107] and the ability to image and track the interactions between different cell subsets is fundamental to further elucidate the roles ILC3 may play in inhibiting particular signaling or receptor pathways.

Current commercial multiphoton systems typically have the capacity for 2 to 4 fluorescent probes to be imaged at a time, and using the same multifluorescent labeling as used in confocal microscope is impractical. In this situation, the preferable method is to isolate ILC3 using flow cytometry based cell sorting with the markers for ILC3 (CD3, CD127, CD117, and NKp46), followed by fluorescent labeling of this population using cell tracker dyes [108]. These labeled cells can then be injected intravenously into the target animal and imaged 18–72 hours later, allowing for the cells to migrate to their respective homing tissues [108, 109]. This method allows for the imaging of ILC3 using a single fluorescent channel, leaving the rest for additional labeled cells of interest. As multiphoton microscopy is primarily used for intravital imaging, several approaches could be used to facilitate the imaging of ILC3. The activity of ILC3 within the lymph node could be imaged in a direct manner by surgically exposing the inguinal lymph node [110]. While this approach allows for controllable intravital imaging, it is a terminal procedure and specific experimental time points must be chosen when imaging. This approach could be applied to models of breast cancer with direct exposure and imaging of the draining inguinal lymph node and the primary tumor [111]. Furthermore, in the same tumor model, the use of surgically implanted windows can allow for longitudinal imaging of these organs, allowing repeated imaging of the same tissue throughout tumor development, with or without experimental intervention. A small abdominal window implanted over the inguinal lymph node allows the inguinal lymph node to be reexposed several times for imaging [112]. ILC3 can also be imaged deep within tumors via a mammary window that is inserted in the skin over the lower mammary gland region. Breast cancer cell lines can then be injected/implanted and allowed to grow up into the recess created by the window, therefore allowing a surgery free method of imaging ILC3 behavior over the development of the tumor [111, 113, 114]. The use of this window method can be extended to imaging ILC3 in the lung using a technique developed by Looney et al. [115] which, although terminal, can allow the studying of ILC3 and other target cells in a fully functional lung from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [116] and lung cancer [98]. Taking advantage of current photo-switchable mouse models provides another tool to examine ILC3 function. In these mice, exposure to ultraviolet light induces changes in fluorescence on the reporter cells [117]. Using this, organs or tissues of interest can be activated using a UV light source, either through surgical exposure or using the aforementioned window systems, and the migration of cells from this tissue was tracked, for instance, as has been shown between intestinal and mesenteric lymph nodes [36]. This same approach could be applied to a variety of tumor models to further unveil ILC3 migration properties and the elements that affect them.

**6. Conclusions**

Interest and attention on ILC has increased in recent years and a great deal of information has been gathered. The discovery of this family of innate immune cells, the definition
of their phenotypes, and their classification into different subgroups based on their differentiation requirements and biological functions represent important achievements in biomedical research. The description of intricate interactions in different tissues and organs, beyond the gut, with other innate and adaptive immune cells in homeostasis or during different types of infection, inflammatory diseases, and cancer partially highlights the functional importance of these cells. The challenge for future research is to fully understand and decipher the complex and specific contributions of each type of ILC to the control and regulation of immune responses. In particular, further studies on dissecting the detailed nature and implications of the reported plasticity will be required. In the specific case of cancer, observations from the clinic and studies in a variety of animal models have shown opposing abilities of ILC to either promote or repress tumor growth. A better understanding of the precise roles of ILC cell types in tumorigenesis or control will allow understanding of the potential value of manipulation of their functions to develop new interventions and therapeutic strategies.

Additional Points

Review Search Strategy. This is a narrative review. We searched PUBMED for original articles focusing on innate lymphoid cells and cancer published in the last 10 years, from 2006 to 2016. Search terms included “Group 1, 2, and 3 Innate lymphoid cells and tumor”, “ILC1, 2, 3 and cancer”, and “Innate lymphoid cells and tumor microenvironment”. All papers identified were English-language, full-text papers. We also searched the reference lists of identified articles for further papers.
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