Enhanced Antitumor Immune Response in 2′-5′ Oligoadenylate Synthetase-Like 1- (OASL1-) Deficient Mice upon Cisplatin Chemotherapy and Radiotherapy

1. Introduction

Conventional cancer therapies, such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy, are still the main treatment options in the clinic [1]. More recently, cancer immunotherapy, which boosts the host’s immune response against tumor cells, has emerged as an additional treatment alternative [2]. Popular cancer immunotherapeutic approaches include administration of monoclonal antibodies (Abs) targeting immune checkpoints, adoptive transfer of engineered T cells or tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), tumor vaccination, and injection of immune-boosting cytokines or immune adjuvants [3, 4]. Since a single cancer treatment is not effective in many cases, combinational therapy using different approaches is becoming an important anticancer strategy to completely eradicate cancers in patients [5, 6]. Therefore, diverse combinatorial approaches have been performed in preclinical and clinical investigations [7, 8].

Type I interferon (IFN-I) and its signaling, which are originally known to be essential for antiviral responses, have also been demonstrated to be critical for an effective antitumor immune response [9–11]. Thus, deliveries of exogenous IFN-I and IFN-I-inducing pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) were shown to induce enhanced antitumor immune responses [12–16]. Since negative regulators have been shown to affect IFN-I production during viral infections, inhibition of these negative regulators could increase the antitumor immune response [17, 18]. Previously, we have shown...
that IFN-I-inducible 2'-5' oligoadenylate synthetase-like 1 (OASL1), a specific inhibitor of IRF7 (master transcription factor (TF) for IFN-I) translation, negatively regulates IFN-I production upon tumor challenge, similar to those of viral infections [19–22]. As a result, OASL1-deficient (Oasl1−/−) mice were more resistant to implanted tumor growth than wild-type (WT) mice [22].

In this study, we evaluated whether standard cancer therapies, such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy, would enhance resistance to implanted tumors in Oasl1−/− mice. We found that Oasl1−/− mice showed more resistance to implanted tumors when treated with cisplatin chemotherapy and radiotherapy than untreated Oasl1−/− mice. This result indicates that OASL1 inhibition can be well integrated with other cancer therapies as a combinatorial treatment.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Mice and Cells. Oasl1−/− mice [19] backcrossed to C57BL/6 (The Jackson Laboratory) for ten generations and their littermates were housed in a specific pathogen-free facility. Animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Asan Institute for Life Sciences (permit number: 2018-14-046). TC-1, a syngeneic lung epithelial tumor cell line (a gift from T.C. Wu, Johns Hopkins University) established from C57BL/6 lung epithelial tumor cell line (a gift from T.C. Wu, Johns Hopkins University) [23], was used. Prior to injection, the cell line was cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS at 37°C under 5% CO2.

2.2. In Vivo Tumor Model, Therapy, and Tumor Burden Analysis. To establish an in vivo tumor model, TC-1 tumor cells (106 cells per mouse) were injected intravenously into the tail of six-to-ten-week-old littermate C57BL/6 wild-type (WT) and Oasl1−/− mice, and mouse health and weight were monitored daily before analysis. A single chemotherapy treatment was applied to mice by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of the drug cisplatin (P4394, Sigma-Aldrich) 6 days post-TC-1 tumor injection (d.p.i.). A single radiotherapy treatment was applied to mice at 7 d.p.i. through whole body irradiation using an X-ray irradiator (X-RAD 320, Precision X-Ray Inc.) following anesthesia with Avertin (a mixture of tert-amyl alcohol (PHR1667, Sigma-Aldrich) and tert-amyl alcohol (PHR1667, Sigma-Aldrich)). To analyze the tumor burden, the left lobe of the lungs was soaked in Bouin solution (HT10132, Sigma-Aldrich) for more than one day to fix the tissue. If necessary, intracellular staining was next performed for 20 min at 4°C using a BD Cytofix/Cytoperm solution kit (554714, BD Pharmingen). The surface staining Abs from BD Pharmingen were CD3e (145-2C11), CD4 (RM-4.5), CD8a (53-6.7), CD19 (1D3), NK1.1 (PK136), CD45 (30-F11), CD11c (HL-3), CD45R/B220 (RA3-6B2), and Gr-1 (RB6-8C5); the Abs from ebioscience were CD11b (M1/70) and F4/80 (BM8); and the Ab from Miltenyi Biotec was PDCA-1 (JF05-1C2.4.1). Intracellular staining Abs Foxp3 (FJK-165) and CD68 (FA-11) were from ebioscience. Stained samples were assessed using FACS-Canto II (BD Biosciences) and further analyzed using Flowjo software (Tree Star).

2.4. FACS Analysis of Apoptotic Cells in Tumor-Containing Lungs. To detect apoptotic cells within nonhematopoietic cells (CD45−) which contain mainly tumor cells, the prepared single cells from tumor-containing lungs were Fc blocked and surface stained with the anti-CD45 Ab as described above. Subsequently, the cells were stained with FITC-conjugated Annexin V and 7-AAD using the FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit with 7-AAD (640922, BioLegend) following the manufacturer’s recommendation. The stained cells were directly analyzed in the FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences).

2.5. RNA Analysis by Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). Total RNAs (1.5 μg) purified from tissues using QIAsol RNA isolation reagents (79306, Qiagen) were reverse transcribed for 2 h using oligo dT (20 mer) with SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase (18064-014, Invitrogen) into cDNAs. Quantitative PCR was performed in the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Br185-5200, Bio-Rad) using gene-specific primers by detecting PCR products with SYBR® Green I gel stain dye (S-7567, Life Technologies) as previously described [22, 24]. The following primers were used: Gapdh F: GGCA AATTCAACGGCCACAGCTCAAG and R: TCGCGTCTGGA AGATGGTGATGG; IFNg F: GGCCATCAGCAACACAC ATAAAGCT and R: TGGGTGTTGTACCTCAAACTT GCC; IFNb1 F: CCACCTGAGAGCTTATTACTG and R: AATGTAGGAAATGCTTCCGAG; IFNα F: AGGACT CATTGGTGCATGAATG and R: CACACAGGCTT TGAGGTCATTGAG; CXCL9 F: ACATCAGGCTTAGGA GTGGTG and R: CACAAGGGTCCAGCCAC; CXCL10 F: CATGAAACCAAGTGTCCGGTCA and R: TGAGATG CAGTTGCACGGCAGCT; CXCL11 F: ATCTGGGGCCAC AGCTGTCCTAA and R: TCTGATCCTGCTTCATTTTG ACGGCC; and XCL1 F: GAAGAGAGGAAGTGTGGTA ACTTAAAC and R: CCGATTTGCTCTGGATCAG CACA. The mRNA expression level of each gene was normalized to Gapdh.
2.6. Statistical Analysis. All data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. All statistical analyses were performed using a two-tailed unpaired Student t test. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Cisplatin-Treated Oasl1−/− Mice Are More Resistant to TC-1 Lung Metastatic Tumor Challenge. To explore whether anticancer chemotherapy can further augment the resistance of Oasl1−/− mice to tumor challenge, we employed the TC-1 lung metastasis tumor model used in our previous study, in which TC-1 tumor cells that were introduced into the systemic circulation were deposited in the lung to grow and kill the mice [22, 23]. We chose an anticancer chemotherapeutic agent, cisplatin, one of the most widely used chemotherapeutic agents in clinical practice, for the study [25]. We first determined a therapeutically effective dose of cisplatin in our TC-1 tumor model by a single intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of cisplatin in wild-type (WT) mice at 6 days post-TC-1 tumor cell injection (d.p.i.) and monitoring survival. Briefly, 25 μg cisplatin-treated WT mice showed a mild improvement in survival (several days), while 100 μg cisplatin-treated WT mice showed a strong survival improvement (about 8 days) (Figure 1(a)). Thus, we chose 100 μg for all of the following experiments. Subsequently, we treated TC-1-injected Oasl1−/− mice and WT mice with cisplatin once at 6 d.p.i. and monitored for survival. As previously shown [22], TC-1-injected Oasl1−/− mice survived about a week longer than WT mice (Figure 1(b)). When cisplatin was treated, Oasl1−/− mice survived much longer (more than 2 weeks) than untreated Oasl1−/+ mice (Figure 1(b)).

To determine whether the survival difference was caused by a difference in tumor burden, lung weights, which increase with tumor cell load, were analyzed in mice at 14 d.p.i. (when all 4 groups of mice were still alive) and 21 d.p.i. (when cisplatin-untreated Oasl1−/− mice were still alive). As previously reported, at 14 d.p.i., lung weights of WT mice were heavier than Oasl1−/− mice. As expected, lung weights of cisplatin-treated WT and cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice were lighter than untreated WT and Oasl1−/− mice, respectively (Figure 1(c)). Consistently, at 21 d.p.i., cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice showed much lighter lungs than untreated Oasl1−/+ mice (Figure 1(d)). These results together indicate that cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice demonstrate a better antitumor response to metastatic tumor implantation than untreated Oasl1−/+ mice.

3.2. Tumor-Containing Lungs of Cisplatin-Treated Oasl1−/− Mice Contain More Cytotoxic Effector Immune Cells. To identify the cause of the enhanced resistance in cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice, the major immune cell composition of tumor-containing lungs was analyzed at 21 d.p.i. (when cisplatin-untreated Oasl1−/− mice were still alive) by FACS. The percentage of CD45+ hematopoietic cells in the lungs of cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice at 21 d.p.i. was higher (about 1.5-fold) than those of untreated Oasl1−/+ mice (Figures 2(a1) and 2(a2)). Additionally, the proportion of major lymphocytes, such as NK cells, B cells, and CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, within hematopoietic cells was much higher in the lungs of cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice (Figures 2(b1), 2(b2), and 2(b3); see Supplementary Figure 1 for gating strategy). However, the proportion of regulatory T cells (Treg; CD3+CD4+Foxp3+) among CD4+ T cells was not significantly changed in the lungs of cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice compared to that of untreated Oasl1−/+ mice (Figures 2(c1) and 2(c2)).

Major pulmonary myeloid cell populations were then analyzed at 21 d.p.i. by FACS (see Supplementary Figure 2 for gating strategy) [26]. The proportion of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs; broadly defined as CD45+Gr-1+CD11b+ and the most dominant myeloid cells) within CD45+ cells in the lungs of cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice was lower than untreated Oasl1−/+ mice (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). Further, the proportion of alveolar macrophages (AM; CD45+CD68+CD11c+CD11b+ F4/80+Gr-1+) was not significantly different from that of untreated Oasl1−/+ mice (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). However, the proportion of polymorphonuclear cells (PMN; CD45+CD68−CD11b−F4/80−Gr-1−) and monocytes (Mono; CD45+CD68−CD11b−Gr-1+CD11c−) was higher than that of untreated Oasl1−/+ mice (Figures 3(b) and 3(c)). Among the major dendritic cell (DC) populations, the proportions of conventional myeloid DC (mDC; CD45+CD68−CD11c+ F4/80+Gr-1+) and plasmacytoid DC (pDC; CD45+CD11c+ B220+PDCA-1+) within CD45+ cells in the lungs of cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice were not significantly different from those of untreated Oasl1−/+ mice (Figures 3(b)–3(e1) and 3(e2)). However, the percentage of CD8αa+ DC (CD45+CD11c−B220+CD8α+CD11b+) within CD45+ cells in the lungs of cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice was much higher than that of untreated Oasl1−/+ mice (Figure 3(e1) and 3(e2)).

The observation that CD8αa+ T and NK cells (cytotoxic effector cells), as well as CD8αa+ DCs (major cells cross-presenting tumor antigen to CD8α+ T cells) [27], were present in a much higher proportion, yet the proportion of MDSCs and Treg (immunosuppressive cells) within CD45+ cells were lower or unchanged relative to untreated Oasl1−/+ mice, indicates that more effective tumor antigen cross-presentation and cytotoxic CD8α+ T cell production occur in the lungs of cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice.

3.3. Cisplatin-Treated Oasl1−/− Mice Produce More Cytotoxic Effector Cytokine IFN-γ, IFN-α, and Apoptotic Cells in the Tumor-Containing Lungs. To indirectly investigate the functionality of the CD8αa+ T and NK cells, the expression of IFN-γ (key cytotoxic effector cytokine) was evaluated in the tumor-containing lungs at 21 d.p.i. by qRT-PCR. The expression level of IFN-γ mRNA was much higher (about 2.5-fold) in the lungs of cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice compared to untreated Oasl1−/+ mice (Figure 4(a)), suggesting that cytotoxic CD8αa+ T and NK cells together are functionally more active in the lungs of cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice. Therefore, enhanced apoptotic cell death is expected in the lungs of cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/+ mice. To measure apoptotic cell death directly in the tumor-containing lungs, FACS analysis was performed on single cells derived from the lungs at 21 d.p.i., using 7-AAD/Annexin V to detect apoptotic cells.
As expected, lungs of cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice contained much more early (Annexin V +/7-AAD-) and late (Annexin V +/7-AAD+) apoptotic cells within nonhematopoietic cells (CD45 - cells, mainly tumor cells) [28, 29] than untreated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice (Figures 4(b) and 4(c)). These results indicate that cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice have more tumor-attacking CD8 + T cells and NK cells that can effectively kill growing tumors in the lungs, leading to improved survival of cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice.

We previously showed that tumor-challenged \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice expressed more IFN-I in the tumor-containing lungs compared to WT mice [22]. Therefore, we investigated whether cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice have more tumor-attacking CD8 + T cells and NK cells that can effectively kill growing tumors in the lungs, leading to improved survival of cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice.

As expected, lungs of cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice contained much more early (Annexin V +/7-AAD-) and late (Annexin V +/7-AAD+) apoptotic cells within nonhematopoietic cells (CD45 - cells, mainly tumor cells) [28, 29] than untreated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice (Figures 4(b) and 4(c)). These results indicate that cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice have more tumor-attacking CD8 + T cells and NK cells that can effectively kill growing tumors in the lungs, leading to improved survival of cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice.

We previously showed that tumor-challenged \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice expressed more IFN-I in the tumor-containing lungs compared to WT mice [22]. Therefore, we investigated whether cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice had increased IFN-I expression in the tumor-containing lungs at 21 d.p.i. The mRNA expression levels of IFN-I s, such as IFNa5 and IFNb1, in the lungs of cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice were higher (approximately 1.5-fold) than untreated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice (Figure 4(d)).

Since IFN-I is known to induce the expression of lymphocyte-recruiting chemokines such as CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11 [30–32], we measured the mRNA expression level of these chemokines in the tumor-containing lungs at 21 d.p.i. The mRNA expression levels were higher (>2-fold) than those in the untreated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice (Figure 4(e)). In addition, the mRNA expression level of XCL1 (CD8α+ DC-recruiting chemokine) [33] was higher in the lungs of cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice than in those of untreated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice (Figure 4(e)). These results together indicate that the higher number of NK, CD8 T, and CD8α+ DC present in the lungs of cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice caused, in part, by increased chemokine production in the lungs of cisplatin-treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice compared to untreated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) mice.

3.4. Radiation-Treated \(Oasl1^{-/-}\) Mice Are More Resistant to TC-1 Lung Metastatic Tumor Challenge. To explore whether
Figure 2: Cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice contain more major lymphocyte populations in their tumor-containing lungs than untreated Oasl1−/− mice at 21 d.p.i. WT and Oasl1−/− (KO) mice were intravenously injected with TC-1 cells (10⁶/mouse). At 6 d.p.i., cisplatin-treatment groups of tumor-bearing WT and Oasl1−/− mice were intraperitoneally injected with cisplatin (CIS, 100 μg). At 21 d.p.i., the lungs of the mice (n = 4 per group) were collected, and the lung-derived single cells were analyzed by FACS. (a) Representative FACS data showing the percentage of CD45+ hematopoietic cells among live cells (a1) and summary showing CD45+ cell percentage in the tumor-containing lung (a2). (b) Representative FACS data showing the percentages of NK cells (NK1.1+) and B cells (CD19+) and the percentages of T cells (CD3+) among CD45+ cells and those of CD4 T cells (CD3+CD4+) and CD8 T cells (CD3+CD8+) within the T cells (b1 and b2); summary showing the percentage of lymphocyte subsets among CD45+ cells in the lung (b3). (c) Representative FACS data (c1) and summary (c2) showing the percentage of Treg (CD4+Foxp3+) among CD4+ T cells in the lung. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments.
Figure 3: Continued.
radiotherapy, another major conventional cancer therapy, can further augment resistance of Oasl1−/− mice to tumor challenge, we first determined the therapeutically effective radiation dosage in our TC-1 lung metastasis model by irradiating WT mice once at 7 d.p.i. and monitoring survival. Briefly, survival of 4 Gy irradiated WT mice was mildly improved (several days) compared to that of untreated WT mice; however, survival of 6 Gy irradiated WT mice was much higher (about a week) (Figure 5(a)). Thus, we chose 6 Gy for further experiments. When we irradiated TC-1-injected Oasl1−/− mice at this dosage, the irradiated mice survived longer than nonirradiated Oasl1−/− mice (Figure 5(b)). Consistently, tumor burden measured by the lung weights of the mice at 14 d.p.i. was much lighter in the irradiated mice than nonirradiated mice for both Oasl1−/− and WT mice (Figure 5(c)). At 21 d.p.i., when nonirradiated Oasl1−/− mice were still alive, irradiated Oasl1−/− mice also had much lighter lungs than nonirradiated Oasl1−/− mice (Figure 5(d)). These results indicate that irradiated Oasl1−/− mice demonstrate a better antitumor response to metastatic tumor implantation than untreated Oasl1−/− mice.

3.5. Radiation-Treated Oasl1−/− Mice Contain Higher Cytotoxic Effector Immune Cells in the Tumor-Containing Lungs. To establish the cause for the enhanced resistance of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice, major immune cell composition in tumor-containing lungs was analyzed by FACS. At 21 d.p.i., the percentage of CD8α+ DC within CD45+ cells demonstrated a better antitumor response to metastatic tumor implantation than untreated Oasl1−/− mice.

![Figure 3: Cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice contain more CD8α+ DC in their tumor-containing lung than untreated-Oasl1−/− mice. WT and Oasl1−/− (KO) mice (n = 4 per group) were intravenously injected with TC-1 cells (10^6/mouse). At 6 d.p.i., cisplatin-treatment groups of tumor-bearing WT and Oasl1−/− mice were intraperitoneally injected with cisplatin (CIS, 100 μg). Subsequently, at 21 d.p.i., lung samples for cisplatin-treated WT mice (WT CIS), untreated Oasl1−/− mice (KO), and cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice (KO CIS) were collected, and the tissue-derived single cells were analyzed by FACS. (a–c) Representative FACS data showing the percentage of myeloid subsets, including (a) MDSC and AM and (c) PMN and monocyte (Mono) among parent populations. (b) The summary data showing the percentage of myeloid subsets and mDC within CD45+ cells. (d) Representative FACS data showing the percentage of pDC and CD8α+ DC among their parent populations (e1) and summary data showing the percentage of pDC and CD8α+ DC within CD45+ cells (e2). *p < 0.05. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments.](https://example.com/figure3.png)
hematopoietic cells was much higher (>2-fold) in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice. Conversely, the proportion of B cells within CD45+ hematopoietic cells in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice were lower (Figure 6(b)), which might be caused by a higher sensitivity of B cells to irradiation [34, 35].

Further, the proportion of T reg within CD4+ T cells was not significantly changed in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice (Figure 6(c)). At 21 d.p.i., the proportion of MDSCs was...
lower than that of untreated Oasl1−/− mice, while the proportion of AM within CD45+ cells in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice were not significantly different from that of untreated Oasl1−/− mice (Figure 6(d)). However, the proportions of PMN and monocytes within CD45+ cells in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice were slightly higher than those of untreated Oasl1−/− mice (Figure 6(d)). Among major dendritic cell populations, the proportion of mDC within CD45+ cells in the lungs of cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice was not significantly different from that of untreated Oasl1−/− mice (Figure 6(d)). However, the proportions of pDC and CD8α+ DC within CD45+ cells in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice were higher than those of untreated Oasl1−/− mice (Figure 6(c)). Similar to cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice, CD8+ T and NK cells (cytotoxic effector cells), as well as CD8α+ DCs (major cells cross-presenting tumor antigen to CD8+ T cells), which were present in a much higher proportion within CD45+ cells in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice, and MDSCs (present in a lower number in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice) might contribute to the more effective antitumor immune response in the irradiated Oasl1−/− mice.

3.6. Radiation-Treated Oasl1−/− Mice Produce More IFN-γ, IFN-I, and Apoptotic Cells in the Tumor-Containing Lungs.

To indirectly investigate the functionality of cytotoxic immune cells, IFN-γ expression was evaluated in the tumor-containing lungs at 21 d.p.i. by qRT-PCR. The expression level of IFN-γ mRNA was much higher in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice compared to untreated Oasl1−/− mice (Figure 7(a)), indicating that cytotoxic cells were functionally more active in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice.

Consistently, the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice contained much more apoptotic cells within nonhematopoietic cells (CD45− cells) than those of untreated Oasl1−/− mice (Figure 7(b)). These results indicate that irradiated Oasl1−/− mice have more tumor-attacking cytotoxic cells, such as CD8+ T cells and NK cells, that can kill growing tumors in the lungs, leading to the improved survival of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice.
We next investigated whether the enhanced antitumor immune response observed in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice may be caused by increased IFN-I expression, similar to cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− mice. Indeed, the mRNA expression levels of IFN-Is, such as IFNa5 and IFNb1, in the lungs of irradiated Oasl1−/− mice at 21 d.p.i., were higher (approximately 1.4-fold) than those of untreated Oasl1−/− mice (Figure 7(c)).

**Figure 6**: Irradiated Oasl1−/− mice contain higher cytotoxic effector immune cells in the tumor-containing lungs. WT and Oasl1−/− (KO) mice (n = 4 per group) were intravenously injected with TC-1 tumor cells (10^6/mouse). At 7 d.p.i., the radiation-treatment groups of tumor-bearing WT and Oasl1−/− mice received 6 Gy whole body irradiation. At 21 d.p.i., the right inferior lobe of the lung was collected, and the lung-derived single cells were analyzed by FACS. (a) Summary of FACS data showing the percentage of CD45+ cells among live cells in the tumor-containing lung. (b) Summary of FACS data showing the percentage of NK cells (NK1.1+), B cells (CD19+), CD4 T cells (CD3+CD4+), and CD8 T cells (CD3+CD8+) among CD45+ cells. (c) Summary of FACS data showing the percentage of T_reg (CD4+Foxp3+) among CD4+ T cells in the lung. (d) Summary of FACS data showing the percentage of MDSC, AM, PMN, Mono, and mDC among CD45+ cells. (e) Summary of FACS data showing the percentage of pDC and CD8α+ DC among CD45+ cells. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments.
4. Discussion

In this study, we evaluated whether the resistance of Oasl1−/− mice to implanted tumors can be further improved with conventional cancer therapies such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy. We found that Oasl1−/− mice showed more resistance to implanted tumors when treated with a representative chemotherapeutic agent cisplatin, as well as irradiation, than untreated Oasl1−/− mice. Additionally, we found that antitumor cytotoxic effector cells, such as CD8+ T cells and NK cells, as well as CD8α+ DCs (major antigen cross-presenting cells), were more abundant in the tumor-containing lungs of cisplatin-treated and irradiated Oasl1−/− mice than untreated Oasl1−/− mice. However, the proportions of immunosuppressive MDSCs within the hematopoietic cells and Treg within CD4+ T cells in the therapy-applied Oasl1−/− lungs were lower or similar, respectively, to those of untreated Oasl1−/− mice. Consistently, the mRNA expression of cytotoxic effector cytokine IFN-γ, produced by functionally active CD8+ T and NK cells, was higher in the therapy-applied Oasl1−/− lungs, and more apoptotic nonhematopoietic cells (that are thought to be mainly tumor cells) [28, 29] were observed in the therapy-applied lungs of Oasl1−/− mice compared to untreated Oasl1−/− mice.

In our previous study, we demonstrated that Oasl1−/− mice produced higher levels of IFN-I and were more resistant to the TC-1 tumor challenge than WT mice [22]. In our current study, we showed that cisplatin-treated or irradiated Oasl1−/− mice expressed higher levels of IFN-I in the tumor-containing lungs than untreated Oasl1−/− mice, indicating that there is synergy between OASL1 deficiency and cisplatin treatment or irradiation for IFN-1 expression. Cisplatin and irradiation can directly kill growing tumor cells [36, 37], thus more tumor antigen and danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), including tumor cell DNAs, ATP, and HMGB1, would be released from dying tumor cells [36–38]. Tumor cell-derived DNAs can be detected by one of the major DNA sensors, cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS) (a cytosolic DNA sensor), which activates STING and then the TBK1 (the major kinase for IRF7 and IRF3 activation) pathway, thus
inducing IFN-I expression [39, 40]. According to our previous study using the same tumor model, pDC, which can predominantly produce large amounts of IFN-I because of its high basal expression of IRF7 [41, 42], was the main producer of IFN-I in tumors [22]. Thus, the CGAS-STING-TBK1-IRF7 pathway in pDC might also be responsible for IFN-I expression in the cisplatin-treated and irradiated tumor-containing lungs of Oasl1−/− mice, although we cannot rule out the possibility of the contribution of the TLR9-Myd88-IRF7 pathway, which can detect extracellular DNA in pDC [43, 44]. Regardless of the mechanism to detect the tumor cell DNA, the higher number of pDCs present in cisplatin-treated Oasl1−/− lungs (a greater number of CD45+ cells present in the lungs and a similar percentage of pDC within CD45+ cells lead to a higher number of pDCs in the lungs) and irradiation-treated Oasl1−/− lungs (similar number of CD45+ cells present in lungs and higher percentage of pDC within CD45+ cells lead to higher number of pDCs in the lungs) compared to untreated Oasl1−/− lungs, together with the higher availability of tumor cell-derived DNA for the pDC activation, would considerably contribute to the observed enhanced IFN-I expression in the therapy-applied Oasl1−/− lungs.

IFN-I is a potent immunostimulator that enhances host antitumor immune responses [10, 45]. IFN-I can promote the tumor antigen cross-presentation to naïve CD8+ T cells by stimulating DC (including CD8α+ DCs) maturation and migration to lymph nodes and thus clonal expansion and differentiation into cytotoxic effector CD8+ T cells indirectly. IFN-I can also directly enhance clonal expansion of antigen-experienced CD8+ T cells and enhance CD8+ T cell cytotoxicity [46, 47]. Additionally, IFN-I can directly promote CD4+ T cell expansion and Th1 (type 1 T helper) cell differentiation [45, 48] and indirectly promote the expansion and survival of NK cells through the induction of IL-15 expression [49, 50]. Furthermore, IFN-I can promote B cell survival, differentiation, and function and inhibit the immunosuppressive function of Treg [10, 51]. Collectively, these facts indicate that the higher amount of IFN-I present in the therapy-applied Oasl1−/− lungs would stimulate both innate and adaptive immune cells and provide a higher number and better functioning of cytotoxic effector cells to the therapy-applied Oasl1−/− lungs, leading to improved tumor resistance and better survival of the treated Oasl1−/− mice.

We used cisplatin as a main anticancer chemotherapeutic agent in this study. Cisplatin, a widely used chemotherapeutic agent in clinical practice, can cross-link DNA and directly induce apoptotic tumor cell death [25]. In addition to the direct cytotoxic activity, cisplatin can modulate the immune system to enhance the antitumor response [25, 36]. It can induce MHC I upregulation on the tumor and antigen-presenting cells. Further, it promotes proliferation and recruitment of immune effector cells, such as CD8+ T and NK cells. Finally, it enhances the lytic activity of cytotoxic effector cells and reduces the number of MDSC cells. Thus, the synergistic antitumor effect observed between OASL1 deficiency and cisplatin is thought to be caused by the combination of IFN-I's immune-boosting effects as well as cisplatin's direct cytotoxicity and indirect immune-boosting functions. Other chemotherapeutic agents showing immune-boosting roles in addition to the tumor cell cytotoxicity are worth exploring for potential synergy with OASL1 deficiency in the future [52, 53].

We also tested whether another major conventional cancer therapy, radiotherapy, can add an anticancer therapeutic benefit with OASL1 deficiency. Similar to cisplatin treatment, radiation increased the anticancer therapeutic benefits of OASL1 deficiency. This result indicates that OASL1 inhibition could be well integrated with conventional anticancer therapies as a combinatorial treatment. Although we did not explore the possibility of the potential synergy between OASL1 deficiency and targeted therapy or other immunotherapy in this study, OASL1 deficiency may show a good synergy with at least some of such therapies because IFN-I delivery has been shown to add a benefit to the targeted therapies using EGFR-targeting Abs (erlotinib and nimotuzumab) [54] and BRAF inhibitor [55] and immunotherapies using anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 Abs [56].

OASL1 is a translation inhibitor of IRF7, the IFN-inducible IFN-I master TF. Thus, it negatively regulates robust IFN-I production upon virus and tumor challenge [19–22]. Since there are other negative regulators acting on the process of IFN-I production and/or IFN-I receptor signaling pathway [17, 18], inhibitors of these other negative regulators might be useful together with conventional anticancer therapies to achieve a synergistic antitumor effect. Thus, developing specific inhibitors for such negative regulators, including OASL1, is worthy of investigation in the future.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we investigated whether suppression of OASL1 showed a synergistic effect on tumor clearance with the conventional cancer therapies, chemotherapy and radiotherapy, using Oasl1−/− mice and a lung metastatic tumor cell model. We found that Oasl1−/− mice treated with the anticancer drug cisplatin and irradiated Oasl1−/− mice showed enhanced resistance to injected tumors compared to untreated Oasl1−/− mice. We also found that the therapy-applied Oasl1−/− mice contained more cytotoxic effector cells, such as CD8+ T cells and NK cells, and produced more cytotoxic effector cytokine IFN-γ as well as IFN-I in their tumor-containing lungs compared to untreated Oasl1−/− mice. Collectively, these results show that OASL1-targeted therapy together with conventional cancer therapies could enhance tumor treatment efficacy.
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