Sphingosine 1-Phosphate Distribution in Human Plasma: Associations with Lipid Profiles
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The physiological significance of sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) transport in blood has been debated. We have recently reported a comprehensive sphingolipid profile in human plasma and lipoprotein particles (VLDL, LDL, and HDL) using HPLC-MS/MS [9]. We now determined the relative concentrations of sphingolipids including S1P in the plasma subfraction containing lipoproteins compared to those in the remaining plasma proteins. Sphingomyelin and ceramide were predominantly recovered in the lipoprotein-containing fraction. Total plasma S1P concentration was positively correlated with S1P concentration in the protein-containing fraction, but not with S1P concentration in the lipoprotein-containing fraction. The percentage of S1P transported in plasma lipoproteins was positively correlated with HDL cholesterol (HDL-C) concentration; however, S1P transport in lipoproteins was not limited by the concentration of HDL-C in the individual subject. Thus, different plasma pools of S1P may have different contributions to S1P signaling in health and disease.

1. Introduction

Sphingolipids have been implicated in diseases such as cancer, obesity, and atherosclerosis; however, efforts addressing blood sphingolipids as biomarkers of disease or targets for therapeutics are still in their infancy. Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) is a bioactive lipid that has been shown to play major roles in immunity, inflammation, and cardiovascular physiology [1–6]. S1P is found in plasma at relatively high concentrations (>200 nM) and is transported in blood bound both to lipoproteins (≈65%) and albumin [7–9] with the bulk of the lipoprotein-associated S1P found in HDL (≈54%), especially the smaller diameter HDL3 subfraction [10, 11]. Plasma HDL cholesterol levels correlate positively with those of plasma S1P [12], but HDLs do not appear to be merely inert carriers of S1P as the S1P constituent of HDL is biologically active and contributes to numerous metabolic effects of HDL [10, 11, 13–16].

We have recently reported a comprehensive sphingolipid profile in “normal” human plasma and lipoprotein particles (VLDL, LDL, and HDL) using HPLC-MS/MS [9]. As an extension of this study we now identify the nonlipoprotein (albumin)-associated versus the lipoprotein-associated sphingolipids. It is established that the concentration of S1P in plasma/serum is much higher than the half-maximal concentration of S1P needed to stimulate its receptors. Nevertheless, it was shown for instance that the inositol phosphate response mediated by S1P receptors was much smaller than the response expected from the total amount of S1P introduced to cells [8]. This response to exogenous S1P was markedly attenuated in the presence of lipoprotein-deficient serum, and was associated with the trapping of exogenous S1P [8]. Importantly, HDL and LDL showed a stronger activity for trapping S1P than lipoprotein-deficient serum [8]. As such, these findings suggest that the “tight” binding of S1P to lipoproteins may interfere with the S1P binding to its receptors and thereby attenuate the S1P-receptor-mediated cell responses.

The physiological significance of S1P transport in HDL versus bound to albumin has been debated and this has led
Subjects aged 30–58 years were screened.

2.1. Study Subjects. Subjects aged 30–58 years were screened before participating in the study and those with known heart disease, kidney disease, diabetes, cancer, or serious current illness were excluded. Smokers, pregnant females, and subjects who take daily multivitamins or antioxidants were also excluded. A conventional lipid panel (total cholesterol (C), HDL-C, LDL-C, VLDL-C, and triglycerides) was determined in each subject (Cholestech LDX, Cholestech Corporation, Hayward, CA, USA). The plasma lipid and protein subfractions were quantitatively extracted as described previously [9]. Briefly, 100 µl of each plasma sample, and the ultracentrifugally prepared plasma subfractions were analyzed using electrophoresis in agarose gels to assess the purity of the subfractions (Beckman Paragon LIPOEPG, Beckman-Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA).

2. Materials and Methods

2.2. Blood Sample Collection. Blood was collected in the presence of a lipoprotein preservative cocktail EDTA (0.1% w/v), chloramphenicol (20 µg/ml), gentamycin sulfate (50 µg/ml), epsilon aminoacproic acid (0.13% w/v), and diithio bisnitrobenzoic acid (0.04% w/v) to inhibit LCAT activity (final concentrations). Blood was then centrifuged (2,400 × g, 20 min, 4°C) to obtain plasma. Plasma samples were stored at 4°C until analyzed further.

2.3. Conventional Plasma Lipoprotein Profiles. Plasma conventional lipid profiles were determined by Cholestech LDX (Cholestech Corporation, Hayward, CA, USA).

2.4. Separation of Lipoproteins from Plasma Proteins. The fraction of plasma containing all the lipoproteins (VLDL, LDL, and HDL) was separated from the plasma subfraction containing the nonlipoprotein, plasma proteins using isopycnic density ultracentrifugation. Plasma solvent density was adjusted to δ = 1.225 g/ml with solid potassium bromide (KBr) and all the lipoproteins in plasma were isolated by preparative ultracentrifugation of the plasma samples (10 ml) using a type SW41Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA) spun at 41,000 rpm (288,000 × g) for 40 h at 4°C in an Optima TM XL-100K ultracentrifuge.

2.5. Sphingolipid Extraction and Analysis. Analysis of endogenous sphingoid bases, sphingoid base 1-phosphates, ceramide, and sphingomyelin (SM) species were conducted in the Lipidomics Core Facility at MUSC as previously described [9]. Analyses of sphingolipids were performed by high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS/MS) as described previously [9]. The equipment consisted of a Thermo Scientific Accela Autosampler and Quaternary Pump (Waltham, MA, USA). A Thermo Scientific Quantum Access triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an Electrospray Ion Source (ESI) operating in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) positive ion mode was used. Chromatographic separations were obtained under a gradient elution of a PEEK Scientific C8SR 150 × 3.0 mm, 3-µm particle size column. Quantitative analyses were based on calibration curves generated by injecting known amounts of the target analytes and an equal amount of the internal standards. Final concentrations of analytes in samples were determined using the appropriate corrections for sample loss based on internal standard recovery calculations. Sphingolipids with no available standards were quantified using the calibration curve of its closest counterpart. The resulting data were then normalized to the volume of sample analyzed.

3. Statistics

Data were analyzed using a commercially available statistical analysis package (SigmaStat v3.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

4. Results

We selectively recruited six subjects whose plasma lipid and lipoprotein levels (Table 1) were representative of normolipidemia (subjects 1–4), combined hyperlipoproteinemia...
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Table 1: Plasma lipid and lipoprotein profiles in study subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total cholesterol (TC)</th>
<th>Total triglycerides</th>
<th>LDL-C</th>
<th>HDL-C</th>
<th>VLDL-C</th>
<th>TC/HDL-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4.1 (156)(^b)</td>
<td>0.6 (50)</td>
<td>1.7 (66)</td>
<td>2.1 (80)</td>
<td>0.3 (10)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.2 (160)</td>
<td>1.4 (129)</td>
<td>2.1 (80)</td>
<td>1.4 (54)</td>
<td>0.7 (26)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.3 (165)</td>
<td>1.3 (117)</td>
<td>1.6 (60)</td>
<td>2.1 (81)</td>
<td>0.6 (23)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.5 (174)</td>
<td>1.8 (165)</td>
<td>2.6 (99)</td>
<td>1.1 (42)</td>
<td>0.9 (33)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6.0 (231)</td>
<td>2.4 (220)</td>
<td>3.6 (138)</td>
<td>1.2 (48)</td>
<td>1.1 (44)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.5 (97)</td>
<td>4.5 (408)</td>
<td>0.5 (19)(^c)</td>
<td>0.7 (28)</td>
<td>1.3 (50)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Years.
\(^b\)mM (mg/dL).
\(^c\)Lipoprotein cholesterol determined directly.
Figure 1: Analyses of human plasma sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) in lipoprotein- and protein-containing fractions. (a): agarose gel electrophoretogram of $d > 1.21$ g/ml plasma sub-fraction from each subject (lanes 2–7) with whole plasma (lane 1) and $d < 1.21$ g/ml plasma subfraction from a representative subject (lane 8) shown for reference. (b): total cholesterol concentration in the lipoprotein- and plasma protein-containing fractions from each subject determined by gas chromatography. (c): association between HDL cholesterol concentration and the percentage of S1P in plasma localized to the lipoprotein-containing fraction. (d): association between total plasma S1P concentration and the S1P concentration in the plasma protein-containing fraction. (e): association between total plasma S1P concentration and the S1P concentration in the ultracentrifugally isolated lipoprotein-containing fraction. (f): association between total plasma S1P concentration and the S1P concentration in the lipoprotein-containing fraction normalized by the HDL cholesterol concentration in the subject.
Table 2: (a) Concentrations of ceramide species in plasma. (b) Concentrations of ceramide species in lipoprotein-containing fraction (d < 1.21 g/mL ultracentrifuge fraction). (c) Concentrations of ceramide species in plasma protein-containing fraction (d > 1.21 g/mL ultracentrifuge fraction).

(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>C14-Cer</th>
<th>C16-Cer</th>
<th>C18-Cer</th>
<th>C18:1-Cer</th>
<th>C20-Cer</th>
<th>C20:1-Cer</th>
<th>C22-Cer</th>
<th>C22:1-Cer</th>
<th>C24-Cer</th>
<th>C24:1-Cer</th>
<th>C26-Cer</th>
<th>C26:1-Cer</th>
<th>dhC16-Cer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2498</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2773</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2548</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2994</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3975</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3001</td>
<td>1084</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>C14-Cer</th>
<th>C16-Cer</th>
<th>C18-Cer</th>
<th>C18:1-Cer</th>
<th>C20-Cer</th>
<th>C20:1-Cer</th>
<th>C22-Cer</th>
<th>C22:1-Cer</th>
<th>C24-Cer</th>
<th>C24:1-Cer</th>
<th>C26-Cer</th>
<th>C26:1-Cer</th>
<th>dhC16-Cer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3388</td>
<td>1354</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2347</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2351</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2570</td>
<td>1193</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>4819</td>
<td>1786</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3130</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>C14-Cer</th>
<th>C16-Cer</th>
<th>C18-Cer</th>
<th>C18:1-Cer</th>
<th>C20-Cer</th>
<th>C20:1-Cer</th>
<th>C22-Cer</th>
<th>C22:1-Cer</th>
<th>C24-Cer</th>
<th>C24:1-Cer</th>
<th>C26-Cer</th>
<th>C26:1-Cer</th>
<th>dhC16-Cer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: not detected, Cer: ceramide.
We investigated the associations of plasma lipid and lipoprotein concentrations with the total plasma concentrations of ceramides and sphingoid bases, sphingosine, and dihydrosphingosine, and their 1-phosphates. We also determined the distribution of these sphingolipids between the pool localized to plasma lipoproteins compared to that found in the remaining plasma proteins mainly bound to albumin. We determined that S1P concentration in plasma is highly variable and determined further that S1P in plasma was nonuniformly distributed between the plasma lipoprotein and plasma protein pools of S1P in the six subjects investigated. We determined further that increasing concentrations of S1P in plasma were localized primarily to the plasma protein-containing fraction (Figures 1(d) versus 1(e)) in subjects exhibiting a broad range of plasma lipid concentrations (Table 1).

The results of our studies in these select subjects confirmed and extended those reporting previously [12] that S1P concentrations in the lipoprotein-containing fraction of plasma were positively correlated with HDL cholesterol concentrations (Figure 1(c)). Because HDL is the primary lipoprotein which transports S1P, and because HDL-C concentration varied widely in the six subjects (Table 1), we also normalized the levels of S1P in the lipoprotein-containing fraction to the HDL-C concentration in each subject (Figure 1(f)). We determined that this “normalized” concentration of S1P transported in the lipoprotein-containing fraction was positively correlated to the total S1P concentration in plasma, which suggests that the S1P content of HDL is variable and that S1P transport in lipoproteins was not limited by the concentration of HDL-C in the individual subject. In fact, we have demonstrated previously that per particle, the larger VLDL particle contains the highest content of S1P and the smallest lipoprotein particle, HDL3, contains higher S1P levels than LDL particles [9]. Our current data may allow subsequent research on mechanisms that mediate binding of the different S1P carriers to S1P receptors, knowing that only 5% of HDL particles carry S1P molecules [21].

Recent studies have reported that HDL-associated S1P is bound specifically to apolipoprotein M (apoM) and, furthermore, is transported selectively in apoM-containing HDL particles [22]. We did not measure apoM concentration or distribution in this select subject set and thus cannot infer if differences in apoM metabolism between the subjects were associated with the observed differences in S1P metabolism. Alternatively, we [9, 11] and others [8, 10] have determined that S1P levels in HDL are significantly higher in the smaller sized HDL3 subfraction compared to S1P levels in HDL2. The smaller HDL3 particles were shown also to be enriched in apoM [23]. Despite the very strong evidence from apoM knockout and apoM-transgenic mice that apoM determines S1P concentrations in plasma and HDL [22, 23], there was no statistically significant correlation between S1P and apoM concentrations in human patients with different monogenic disorders of HDL metabolism [23]. Thus, the relative concentrations of HDL subfractions may have influenced the distribution of S1P in the individual subjects.

More recently, the crystal structure of a main S1P G protein-coupled receptor, S1P1, was revealed, and it was found that extracellular access to the binding pocket of this receptor is occluded by the aminoterminal and extracellular loops of the receptor [24]. Interestingly, access is gained by ligands entering laterally between helices I and VII within the transmembrane region of the receptor [24]. In the context of previous findings, we postulate that effects of HDL-bound S1P could be related to (1) HDL particle size and the ability to modulate cell lipid rafts and comprised receptors, (2) S1P content of HDL particles being higher in the smaller HDL particles, and (3) HDL role as scavenger/reservoir for biologically active lipids including S1P.

5. Discussion

We investigated the associations of plasma lipid and lipoprotein concentrations with the total plasma concentrations of ceramides and sphingoid bases, sphingosine, and dihydrosphingosine, and their 1-phosphates. We also determined the distribution of these sphingolipids between the pool localized to plasma lipoproteins compared to that found in the remaining plasma proteins mainly bound to albumin. We determined that S1P concentration in plasma is highly variable and determined further that S1P in plasma was nonuniformly distributed between the plasma lipoprotein and plasma protein pools of S1P in the six subjects investigated. We determined further that increasing concentrations of S1P in plasma were localized primarily to the plasma protein-containing fraction (Figures 1(d) versus 1(e)) in subjects exhibiting a broad range of plasma lipid concentrations (Table 1).

The results of our studies in these select subjects confirmed and extended those reporting previously [12] that S1P concentrations in the lipoprotein-containing fraction of plasma were positively correlated with HDL cholesterol concentrations (Figure 1(c)). Because HDL is the primary lipoprotein which transports S1P, and because HDL-C concentration varied widely in the six subjects (Table 1), we also normalized the levels of S1P in the lipoprotein-containing fraction to the HDL-C concentration in each subject (Figure 1(f)). We determined that this “normalized” concentration of S1P transported in the lipoprotein-containing fraction was positively correlated to the total S1P concentration in plasma, which suggests that the S1P content of HDL is variable and that S1P transport in lipoproteins was not limited by the concentration of HDL-C in the individual subject. In fact, we have demonstrated previously that per particle, the larger VLDL particle contains the highest content of S1P and the smallest lipoprotein particle, HDL3, contains higher S1P levels than LDL particles [9]. Our current data may allow subsequent research on mechanisms that mediate binding of the different S1P carriers to S1P receptors, knowing that only 5% of HDL particles carry S1P molecules [21].

Recent studies have reported that HDL-associated S1P is bound specifically to apolipoprotein M (apoM) and, furthermore, is transported selectively in apoM-containing HDL particles [22]. We did not measure apoM concentration or distribution in this select subject set and thus cannot infer if differences in apoM metabolism between the subjects were associated with the observed differences in S1P metabolism. Alternatively, we [9, 11] and others [8, 10] have determined that S1P levels in HDL are significantly higher in the smaller sized HDL3 subfraction compared to S1P levels in HDL2. The smaller HDL3 particles were shown also to be enriched in apoM [23]. Despite the very strong evidence from apoM knockout and apoM-transgenic mice that apoM determines S1P concentrations in plasma and HDL [22, 23], there was no statistically significant correlation between S1P and apoM concentrations in human patients with different monogenic disorders of HDL metabolism [23]. Thus, the relative concentrations of HDL subfractions may have influenced the distribution of S1P in the individual subjects.

More recently, the crystal structure of a main S1P G protein-coupled receptor, S1P1, was revealed, and it was found that extracellular access to the binding pocket of this receptor is occluded by the aminoterminal and extracellular loops of the receptor [24]. Interestingly, access is gained by ligands entering laterally between helices I and VII within the transmembrane region of the receptor [24]. In the context of previous findings, we postulate that effects of HDL-bound S1P could be related to (1) HDL particle size and the ability to modulate cell lipid rafts and comprised receptors, (2) S1P content of HDL particles being higher in the smaller HDL particles, and (3) HDL role as scavenger/reservoir for biologically active lipids including S1P.

5. Discussion

We investigated the associations of plasma lipid and lipoprotein concentrations with the total plasma concentrations of ceramides and sphingoid bases, sphingosine, and dihydr章节内容...
A confounding factor in recent studies addressing the cardioprotective role of S1P levels in HDL is the assumption that 30% of S1P is recovered in the lipoprotein-deficient serum [25]. As shown in Table 3, S1P distribution in the plasma protein-containing fraction approximated 30% in only three of the six subjects studied. Thus, even in this limited number of subjects, S1P distribution in 50% of the subjects does not support this often cited assumption. Figure 1(e) clearly shows there is no significant association between total plasma S1P and S1P concentration in plasma lipoprotein-containing fraction, which suggests a crucial pathophysiological role of the S1P bound to plasma proteins. Furthermore, the procedure in which apolipoprotein B-depleted plasma is prepared and S1P concentration is subsequently determined in the “HDL-containing” fraction as performed in two recent studies [21, 26] also does not discriminate between HDL- and albumin-associated S1P. Thus, when this methodology is employed, assumptions regarding S1P distribution in plasma may result in erroneous estimates.

We have shown previously that the secretion of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) from cultured adipocytes is significantly increased when the cells were incubated with increasing S1P concentration in the media regardless of whether the S1P is bound to HDL or transported by albumin [11]. Previous studies have determined that triglyceride level was independently related to plasma PAI-1 activity level in both subjects with hypertriglyceridemia and in age-matched normotriglyceridemic subjects [27]. The factor(s) contributing to this phenomenon are still unclear. In this limited study, plasma S1P concentrations were increased in the two subjects exhibiting elevated plasma triglyceride levels. Clearly, additional studies are warranted in this area.

In summary, we determined that S1P concentration in plasma is highly variable, S1P in plasma is nonuniformly distributed between the plasma lipoprotein and plasma protein pools of S1P and increasing concentrations of S1P in plasma are localized primarily to the plasma protein-containing fraction. We also determined that the S1P content of HDL, the major lipoprotein carrier of S1P, is variable and that S1P transport in lipoproteins is not limited by the concentration of HDL-C. The data further show that there is no significant association between total plasma S1P and S1P concentration in plasma lipoprotein-containing fraction, which suggests a crucial pathophysiological role of the S1P bound to plasma proteins.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1P</td>
<td>sphingosine 1-phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL</td>
<td>very low-density lipoproteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoproteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoproteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>sphingomyelin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>total cholesterol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dhS1P</td>
<td>dihydrosphingosine 1-phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAI-1</td>
<td>plasminogen activator inhibitor-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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