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Nonlinear dynamics near an unstable constant equilibrium in a Keller-Segel model with the source term $u^p(1 - u)$ is considered. It is proved that nonlinear dynamics of a general perturbation is determined by the finite number of linear growing modes over a timescale of $\ln(1/\delta)$, where $\delta$ is a strength of the initial perturbation.

1. Introduction

Mimura and Tsujikawa in [1] proposed a mathematical model for the pattern dynamics of aggregating regions of biological individuals possessing the property of chemotaxis, a dimensionless prototype of which reads

$$
U_t = \nabla(\nabla U - \chi UV) + g(U),
$$

$$
V_t = \nabla^2 V + \alpha U - \beta V,
$$

where $U(x, t)$ is the cell density, $V(x, t)$ is the concentration of chemotactic substance, $D_u > 0$ is the amoeboid motility, $\chi > 0$ is the chemotactic sensitivity, $D_v > 0$ is the diffusion rate of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), $\alpha > 0$ is the rate of cAMP secretion per unit density of amoebae, and $\beta > 0$ is the rate of degradation of cAMP in environment. In [1], the growth term $g(U)$ is classified into the three cases: (i) $g(0) = 0$ and $g(u) < 0$, for any $u > 0$, (ii) (bistable type) $g(0) = g(a) = g(1) = 0$, for some $0 < a < 1$, (ii) (bistable type) $g(0) = g(a) = g(1) = 0$, for some $0 < a < 1$, (ii) (bistable type) $g(0) = g(a) = g(1) = 0$, for $0 < u < a$, and $g(u) > 0$, for $a < u < 1$, and (iii) (Logistic type) $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ and $g(u) > 0$, for $0 < u < 1$.

For model (1), with a Logistic source term $g(u) = u(1 - u)$, Tello and Winkler [2] obtained infinitely many local branches of nonconstant stationary solutions bifurcating from a positive constant solution, while Kurata et al. [3] numerically showed several spatiotemporal patterns in a rectangle. Kuto et al. [4] considered some qualitative behaviors of stationary solutions from global and local (bifurcation) viewpoints. Banerjee et al. [5] showed that the corresponding dynamics may lead to steady states, to divergences in a finite time as well as to the formation of spatiotemporal irregular patterns. Painter and Hillen [6] demonstrated that the capacity of (1) to self-organize into multiple cellular aggregations, which, according to position in parameter space, either form a stationary pattern or undergo a sustained spatiotemporal sequence of merging (two aggregations coalesce) and emerging (a new aggregation appears). Numerical explorations into the latter indicate a positive Lyapunov exponent (sensitive dependence to initial conditions) together with a rich bifurcation structure. They argued that the spatiotemporal irregularity observed here describes a form of spatiotemporal chaos.

For model (1) with a logistic-like growth term $g(u) = u^2(1 - u)$, Aida et al. [7] estimated from below the attractor dimension of (1). Efendiev et al. [8] showed that dimension estimates of global attractors for the approximate systems are uniform with respect to the discretization parameter and polynomial order with respect to the chemotactic coefficient in the equation. By using nonnegativity of solutions, Nakaguchi and Efendiev [9] managed significantly to improve dimension estimates with respect to the chemotactic parameter. It is also well-known that the asymptotic behavior of solutions relating to patterns can be described by the dynamical systems of equations and that the degrees of freedom of such processes, which characterize the richness of emerging patterns, correspond to the dimensions of their attractors.
Recently, Guo and Hwang in [10] investigated nonlinear dynamics near an unstable constant equilibrium in the classical Keller-Segel model (i.e., (1) with \( g(u) = 0 \), see [11]). Their result can be interpreted as a rigorous mathematical characterization for pattern formation in the Keller-Segel model.

In the present paper, we consider the nonlinear dynamics near an unstable constant equilibrium for the following chemotaxis-diffusion-growth model:

\[
U_t = \nabla (UV - \chi \nabla V) + U^p (1 - U), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d, \quad t > 0,
\]

\[
V_t = \nabla^2 V + U - \beta V, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d, \quad t > 0,
\]

which satisfies the homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions and initial value conditions for \( U(x, t) \) and \( V(x, t) \), that is,

\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad x_i = 0, \pi, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \quad t > 0, (3)
\]

\[
U(x, 0) = U_0(x) \geq (\#)0, (4)
\]

\[
V(x, 0) = V_0(x) \geq (\#)0, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d, (4)
\]

where \( \mathbb{T}^d = (0, \pi)^d \) \((d = 1, 2, 3)\) is a \( d \)-dimensional box, \( \pi \geq 1 \). By using the bootstrap technique in [10] and higher-order energy estimates, we prove that given any general perturbation of magnitude \( \delta \), its nonlinear evolution is dominated by the corresponding linear dynamics along a finite number of fixed fastest growing modes, over a time period of the order \( \ln(1/\delta) \). Each initial perturbation can behave drastically differently from another, which gives rise to the richness of patterns.

2. Local Stability of Positive Constant Equilibrium Solution

The PDE system (2) without chemotaxis is as follows

\[
U_t = \nabla^2 U + U^p (1 - U), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d \quad (d = 1, 2, 3), \quad t > 0,
\]

\[
V_t = \nabla^2 V + U - \beta V, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d \quad (d = 1, 2, 3), \quad t > 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad \text{at } x_i = 0, \pi, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, (5)
\]

\([\overline{U}, \overline{V}] = [1, 1/\beta] \) is the unique positive equilibrium point. We use \([,]\) to denote a column vector. Let \( W = [U, V], \overline{W} = [\overline{U}, \overline{V}], \) and \( G(W) = [U^p (1 - U), U - \beta V] \). Then

\[
G_W(\overline{W}) = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 \\
1 & -\beta
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Theorem 1. The positive equilibrium point \([\overline{U}, \overline{V}]\) of (5) is locally asymptotically stable.

Proof. Let \( 0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \mu_3 < \cdots \) be the eigenvalues of the operator \( -\Delta \) on \( \mathbb{T}^d \quad (d = 1, 2, 3) \) with the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition and let \( E(\mu_i) \) be the eigenspace corresponding to \( \mu_i \) in \( H^1(\mathbb{T}^d) \). Let \( X = [H^1(\mathbb{T}^d)]^2, \{ \phi_{ij} : j = 1, \ldots, \dim E(\mu_i) \} \) be an orthonormal basis of \( E(\mu_i) \) and \( X_{ij} = \{ c \cdot \phi_{ij} : c \in \mathbb{R}^2 \} \). Then

\[
X = \oplus_{i=1}^{\dim E(\mu_i)} X_{ij}, \quad X_i = \oplus_{j=1}^{\dim E(\mu_i)} X_{ij}.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{D} = \text{diag}(1, 1) \) and \( \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{D}\Delta + \mathcal{G}_W(\overline{W}) \). The linearization of (5) at \([\overline{U}, \overline{V}]\) is

\[
W_t = \mathcal{G}(W - \overline{W}).
\]

For each \( i \geq 1, X_i \) is invariant under the operator \( \mathcal{G} \), and \( \lambda \) is an eigenvalue of \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( X_i \), if and only if it is an eigenvalue of the matrix

\[
-\mu_i \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{G}_W(\overline{W}) = \begin{pmatrix}
-\mu_i - 1 & 0 \\
1 & -\mu_i - \beta
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then \( -\mu_i \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{G}_W(\overline{W}) \) has two negative eigenvalues \( -\mu_i - 1 \) and \( -\mu_i - \beta \). Hence, \([\overline{U}, \overline{V}]\) is locally asymptotically stable (see [12]). \( \square \)

3. Growing Modes in the System (2)

Let \( u(x, t) = U(x, t) - \overline{U}, v(x, t) = V(x, t) - \overline{V} \). Then

\[
u_t = \nabla^2 v + u - \beta v,
\]

The corresponding linearized system takes the form

\[
u_t = \nabla^2 u - \chi \nabla^2 v - u,
\]

\[
u_t = \nabla^2 v + u - \beta v.
\]

Let \( w(x, t) \equiv [u(x, t), v(x, t)], q_\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_d) \in \Omega = \mathbb{R}^d, \) and \( e_q(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d \cos(q_ix) \). Then \( \{e_q(x)\}_{q \in \Omega} \) forms a basis of the space of functions in \( \mathbb{T}^d \) that satisfy Neumann boundary conditions (3). To find a normal mode to the linear system (II) of the following form

\[
w(x, t) = r_q \exp(\lambda_q t) e_q(x),
\]

where \( r_q \) is a vector depending on \( q \), we substitute (12) into (II) to get

\[
\lambda_q r_q = \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_q - 1 & \chi q^2 \\
\chi q^2 & -\lambda_q - \beta
\end{pmatrix} r_q,
\]

where \( q^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d q_i^2 \). This implies that

\[
\det(\lambda_q + q^2 + 1 - \chi q^2) = 0,
\]

\[
\lambda_q^2 + (2q^2 + \beta + 1) \lambda_q + (q^2 + 1)(q^2 + \beta) - \chi q^2 = 0.
\]

Thus, if there exists a \( q \) such that the linear instability criterion holds, that is,

\[
(q^2 + 1)(q^2 + \beta) - \chi q^2 < 0,
\]
then (15) has at least one positive root \( \lambda_q \). Obviously,
\[
(2q^2 + \beta + 1)^2 - 4\left(q^2 + 1\right)\left(q^2 + \beta\right) + 4\chi q^2 > 0.
\]
(17) Therefore, we can denote two distinct real roots for all \( q \) by
\[
\lambda_q^\pm = \frac{-(2q^2 + \beta + 1) \pm \sqrt{(\beta - 1)^2 + 4\chi q^2}}{2}.
\]
(18) The corresponding (linearly independent) eigenvectors \( r_-(q) \) and \( r_+(q) \) are given by
\[
r_{\pm}(q) = \left[\lambda_q^\pm + q^2 + \beta, 1\right].
\]
(19) It is easy to see from (16) that there exist only finitely many \( q \), such that \( \lambda_q^+ > 0 \). We therefore denote the largest eigenvalue by \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) and define
\[
\Omega_{\text{max}} = \{ q \in \Omega : \lambda_q^+ = \lambda_{\text{max}} \}.
\]
(20) Moreover, there is one \( q^2 \) (possibly two) having \( \lambda_q^+(q^2) = \lambda_{\text{max}} \) when we regard \( \lambda_q^+ \) as a function of \( q^2 \). We also denote \( \gamma > 0 \) to be the gap between \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) and the rest, that is,
\[
\gamma = \min_{q \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\text{max}}} | \lambda_{\text{max}} - \lambda_q | .
\]
(21) Given any initial perturbation \( w(x, 0) \), that is,
\[
w(x, 0) = \sum_{q \in \Omega} w_q e_q(x) = \sum_{q \in \Omega} \left\{ w_q^- r_-(q) + w_q^+ r_+(q) \right\} e_q(x) ,
\]
(22) where
\[
w_q = w_q^- r_-(q) + w_q^+ r_+(q) ,
\]
(23) we know that the unique solution \( w(x, t) = [u(x, t), v(x, t)] \) of (11) is given by
\[
w(x, t) = \sum_{q \in \Omega} \left\{ w_q^- r_-(q) \exp \left( \lambda_q^-(t) \right) + w_q^+ r_+(q) \exp \left( \lambda_q^+(t) \right) \right\}
\times e_q(x) \equiv e^{w_t} w(x, 0) .
\]
(24) For any \( g(\cdot, t) \in \left[ L^2(T^d) \right]^2 \), we denote \( \|g(\cdot, t)\| = \|g(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \) and \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) and \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) are the inner product of \( \left[ L^2(T^d) \right]^2 \) and the scalar product of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), respectively. Our main result of this section is the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Assume that the instability criterion (16) holds. Let
\[
w(x, t) = [u(x, t), v(x, t)] \equiv e^{w_t} w(x, 0)
\]
(25) be a solution to the linearized system (11) with initial condition \( w(x, 0) \). Then there exists a constant \( C_1 \geq 1 \) depending on \( \chi \) and \( \beta \), such that
\[
\|w(\cdot, t)\| \leq C_1 \exp \left( \lambda_{\text{max}} t \right) \|w(\cdot, 0)\| , \ \ \forall t > 0.
\]
(26) 

**Proof.** We prove the Lemma in the following two cases. \( (I) \ t \geq 1 \). By analyzing (15), we have
\[
\lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_q^+}{q^2} = -1.
\]
(27) It follows from (18) that
\[
\frac{\lambda_q^+ - \lambda_q^-}{q^2} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{\chi}}{q} .
\]
(28) By solving (23), we have
\[
\|w_q^+\| \leq \frac{|r_+(q)| \times \|w_q\|}{\sqrt{\det[r_-(q), r_+(q)]}} .
\]
(29) Clearly,
\[
\|r_+(q)\| = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\lambda_q^+}{q^2} q^2 + q^2 + \beta \right)^2 + 1}.
\]
(30) Later on, we will always denote universal constants by \( E_i \ (i = 1, 2, \ldots) \). It is not hard to verify that there exist positive constants \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \), such that
\[
|\lambda_q^+| \leq E_1 q^2 , \quad \|r_+(q)\| \leq E_2 q^2 ,
\]
(31) where \( E_2 = \max\{E_1 + 1, \beta + 1\} \). It follows from (19) and (28) that
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det[r_-(q), r_+(q)]}} \leq \frac{1}{2q} \frac{\sqrt{\chi}}{\sqrt{\chi}} .
\]
(32) Combining (29)–(32), we can obtain
\[
\|w(t)\| \leq E_3 \|w_q\| ,
\]
(33) where \( E_3 = E_2 \sqrt{\chi} \). From (31) and (33), we have
\[
|w_q^+ r_+(q) \exp \left( \lambda_q^+(t) \right) | \leq 2E_2 E_3 \|w_q\| \exp \left( \lambda_q^+(t) \right) .
\]
(34) For \( t \geq 1 \), it follows from (18) that
\[
\exp \left( \lambda_q^+(t) \right) \leq \exp \left( \frac{-q^2 (q^2 + \beta + 1 - \chi) + \beta}{2q^2 + \beta + 1} \right) \leq \exp \left( \frac{-q^2 + \beta + 1 - \chi}{\beta + 3} \right) .
\]
(35) Therefore, for all \( q > 0 \), there exists a constant \( E_4 > 0 \), such that
\[
q^3 \exp \left( \lambda_q^+(t) \right) \leq E_4 .
\]
(36) From (34) and (36), we obtain
\[
|w_q^+ r_+(q) \exp \left( \lambda_q^+(t) \right) | \leq E_2 \|w_q\| ,
\]
(37)
where $E_5 = 2E_2E_3E_4$. By (23), we have
\begin{equation}
\left| w_q \right|^2 = \left| w_q^{-2} \right| r_-(q)^2 + 2w_qw_q^* \left( r_-(q), r_+(q) \right) + w_q^2 \left| r_+(q) \right|^2.
\end{equation}
Notice that
\begin{equation}
\| w(x, 0) \|_2^2 = \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^d \sum_{q \in \Omega} \| w_q \|_2^2.
\end{equation}
It follows from (37) and (39) that
\begin{equation}
\| w(x, t) \| \leq \left\| \sum_{q \in \Omega} w_q r_-(q) \exp \left( \lambda_q t \right) e_q(x) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{q \in \Omega} w_q r_+(q) \exp \left( \lambda_q t \right) e_q(x) \right\|
\leq \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^d \sum_{q \in \Omega} \| w_q \|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}
+ \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^d \sum_{q \in \Omega} \| w_q \|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}
= 2E_5 \| w(x, 0) \|.
\end{equation}
Therefore,
\begin{equation}
\| w(x, t) \| \leq 2E_5 \exp(\lambda_{\text{max}} t) \| w(x, 0) \|, \quad \forall t \geq 1.
\end{equation}
(2) $t \leq 1$. By (11), we have
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left\{ |u|^2 + A|\nabla u|^2 \right\} dx
+ \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \{ |\nabla u|^2 + A|\nabla v|^2 - \chi \nabla u \nabla \bar{v} \} dx
+ \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} u^2 dx + A\beta \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} v^2 dx = A \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} uv dx.
\end{equation}
If $A = \chi^2$, then the integrand of the second integral can be chosen nonnegative as follows:
\begin{equation}
|\nabla u|^2 + A|\nabla u|^2 - \chi \nabla u \nabla \bar{v} \geq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \geq 0.
\end{equation}
Combining (42) and Young inequality together, we derive
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left\{ |u|^2 + A|\nabla u|^2 \right\} dx
\leq \frac{A}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left\{ |u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right\} dx.
\end{equation}
By Grownwall inequality, we can obtain
\begin{equation}
\| w(x, t) \| \leq C_1 \exp(\lambda_{\text{max}} t) \| w(x, 0) \|,
\end{equation}
where $C_1 = \max\{2E_5, ([\exp A]/A)^{1/2} \} \geq 1$, if $0 < A < 1$; $C_1 = \max\{2E_5, (A \exp A)^{1/2} \} \geq 1$, if $A > 1$.

4. Bootstrap Lemma

By a standard PDE theory [13], one can establish the existence of local solutions for (10).

Lemma 3 (local existence). For $s \geq 1(d = 1)$ and $s \geq 2(d = 2, 3)$, there exists a $T_0 > 0$, such that (10) with $u(\cdot, 0), v(\cdot, 0) \in H^s$ has a unique solution $w(x, t)$ on $(0, T_0)$, which satisfies
\begin{equation}
\| w(t) \|_{H^s} \leq C \| w(0) \|_{H^s}, \quad 0 < t < T_0,
\end{equation}
where $C$ is a positive constant depending on $\chi$ and $\beta$.

Lemma 4. Let $[u(x, t), v(x, t)]$ be a solution of (10). Then
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left\{ |D^\alpha u|^2 + \chi^2 |D^\alpha v|^2 \right\} dx
+ \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} |\nabla D^\alpha u|^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{2} |\nabla D^\alpha v|^2 \right\} dx
\leq C \left\{ \chi + 2^p \chi (p + 1) \right\}
\times \left( \| w \|_{H^2} + \| w \|_{H^2}^p \right) \| v \|_2^2 + C^2 \| u \|^2,
\end{equation}
where $C$ is the universal constant and $C' = 4(A/2\beta - 1)^3$.

Proof. Notice that if $w(x, t)$ is a solution of (10) on $(0, \pi)$, then
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left\{ |\partial_{x,x} u|^2 + A |\partial_{x,x} \bar{u}|^2 \right\} dx
- \chi |\partial_{x,x} u \partial_{x,x} \bar{v}| dx
- \chi |\partial_{x,x} \bar{u} \partial_{x,x} v| dx
+ A \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_{x,x} u \partial_{x,x} \bar{v} dx
- A \beta \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_{x,x} \bar{u} \partial_{x,x} v dx
+ \chi \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \partial_{x,x} u \partial_{x,x} \bar{v} \partial_{x,x} v dx
+ \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left\{ |\partial_{x,x} u|^2 \right\} dx
- \partial_{x,x} u \partial_{x,x} \bar{v} \partial_{x,x} \bar{v} \right\} dx
=: I_1 + I_2,
\end{equation}
where $A = \chi^2$, and
\[
I_1 = - \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right]^2 + A \left[ \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \right]^2 - \chi \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \right\} \, dx
- A \beta \int_{\Omega} \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right|^2 \, dx
+ A \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \, dx
- \int_{\Omega} \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right|^2 \, dx.
\]

Clearly,
\[
\left| \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right|^2 + A \left| \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \right|^2 - \chi \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{v}
\geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right|^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{2} \left| \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \right|^2.
\]

Thus,
\[
I_1 \leq - \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right]^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{2} \left| \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \right|^2 \right\} \, dx
- A \beta \int_{\Omega} \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right|^2 \, dx
+ \left( \frac{A}{2 \beta} - 1 \right) \int_{\Omega} \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \right|^2 \, dx.
\]

By interpolation inequalities, one knows that
\[
\left\| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right\|^2 \leq a \left\| \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right\|^2 + \frac{1}{4a} \left\| \bar{u} \right\|^2,
\]
for any $a > 0$. If $A \leq 2\beta$, then one can delete the last term in the above inequality. If $A > 2\beta$, one can choose positive constant $a$ such that $\{A/2\beta - 1\} = 1/4$. Then
\[
I_1 \leq - \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \left[ \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right]^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{2} \left| \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \right|^2 \right\} \, dx
- \frac{A \beta}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \right|^2 \, dx + C' \left\| \bar{u} \right\|^2,
\]
where $C' = 4(A/2\beta - 1)^3$.

One now estimates the second nonlinear term $I_2$:
\[
I_2 = \chi \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_{x,y} \left( \bar{u} \nabla \bar{v} \right) \, dx
+ \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right|^2 - \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \cdot \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \times \left[ \bar{u} (\bar{u} + 1)^2 \right] \right\} \, dx
= I_2' + I_2''.
\]

Clearly,
\[
I_2' \leq \chi \left\| \nabla \bar{u} \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right\| \left\| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right\|
+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left\| \bar{u} \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right\| \left\| \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \right\|
\]
\[
+ \left\| \bar{u} \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right\| \left\| \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \right\|.
\]

Notice that
\[
\left\| g \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq E_0 \left\| g \right\|_{H^2(\Omega)}, \quad \text{for } d \leq 3,
\]
\[
\int_{\Omega} \nabla \bar{u} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \bar{v} \, dx = 0,
\]
\[
\int_{\Omega} \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \, dx = 0,
\]
\[
\left\| g \right\| \leq \left\| g \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq E_1 \left\| \nabla g \right\| \quad \text{if } d \leq 3,
\]
\[
\left\| \nabla g \right\|_{H^2} \leq E_2 \left( \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left\| \nabla \bar{u} \right\| \right)^{1/2}.
\]

From (56)–(59), one can obtain
\[
I_2'' \leq \chi \left( E_0 \left\| \bar{u} \right\|_{H^2} \left\| \nabla \bar{u} \right\| \right)
\]
\[
+ 2dE_0 \left\| \nabla \bar{u} \right\|_{H^2} \left\| \nabla \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right\|
\]
\[
+ E_0 \left\| \bar{u} \right\|_{H^2} \left\| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right\| \left\| \partial_{x,y} \bar{v} \right\|
\]
\[
\leq \chi \left( E_0 E_2 + 2dE_0 E_2 + E_0 \right)
\times \left\| \bar{w} \right\|_{H^2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left\| \nabla D^{\alpha} \bar{w} \right\|^{2}
\leq \chi E_3 \left\| \bar{w} \right\|_{H^2} \left\| \nabla \bar{w} \right\|^{2}.
\]

Similarly, one has
\[
I_2'' \leq \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right|^2 - \left( \bar{u} + 1 \right)^2 \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right|^2 \right\} \, dx
+ p \left| \bar{u} \right| - 1 \int_{\Omega} \left( \bar{u} + 1 \right)^{p-2} \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right| \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{w} \right| \, dx
\]
\[
+ p \int_{\Omega} \left( \bar{u} + 1 \right)^{p-1} \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right|^2 \, dx
+ 2p \int_{\Omega} \left( \bar{u} + 1 \right)^{p-1} \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right| \left| \partial_{x,y} \bar{u} \right| \, dx
\]
\[
\leq 2^{p-1} M_1 E_0 \left\{ p \left( p + 1 \right) E_2^2
\right.
\]
\[
\left. + \left( p + M_1 \right) E_1 \left| \bar{w} \right| \left| \nabla \bar{w} \right| \right\} \left| \nabla \bar{w} \right|^2.
\]
Here and later, \( M_1, M_2, \ldots \) are universal constants. It follows from (60) and (61) that
\[
I_2 \leq \chi E_3 \| \mathbf{w} \|_{L^2} \left\| \nabla^3 \mathbf{w} \right\|^2 \\
+ 2^{p-1} M_1 E_2^p \left\{ (p+1) E_2^2 + (p+M_1) E_2^3 \right\} \\
\times \left\| \mathbf{w} \right\|_{H^3}^2 \left\| \nabla^3 \mathbf{w} \right\|^2 \\
\leq C \left\{ \chi + 2^{p-1} (p+1)^2 \right\} \\
\times \left( \left\| \mathbf{w} \right\|_{H^3} + \left\| \mathbf{w} \right\|_{H^3}^p \right) \left\| \nabla^3 \mathbf{w} \right\|^2,
\]
where \( C = \max \{ E_2, M_1 E_2^p E_3^2, M_1 E_2^p E_2^2, M_1 M_2 E_2^p E_3^2 \} \).

Recall that \( \mathbf{w} \) is the even extension of \( \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \). Combining (49), (53), and (62), one has
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ |p \mathbf{u}|^2 + \chi^2 |p \nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 \right\} dx \\
+ \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{1}{4} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + \chi^2 |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 \right) dx \\
+ \frac{A}{2} \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx \\
\leq C \left\{ \chi + 2^{p-1} (p+1)^2 \right\} \\
\times \left( \left\| \mathbf{w} \right\|_{H^3} + \left\| \mathbf{w} \right\|_{H^3}^p \right) \left\| \nabla^3 \mathbf{w} \right\|^2 + C' \| \mathbf{u} \|^2,
\]
where \( C = \max \{ E_2^p (E_2^2 + E_3^2) + E_3^2, E_3 \} \) and \( C' = 4 \{ A/2 \beta - 1 \}^3 \).

**Lemma 5.** Let \( \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \) be a solution of (10), such that for \( 0 \leq t \leq T < T_0 \),
\[
\left\| \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right\|_{H^3}^p \\
\leq \frac{1}{C} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{4 \chi + 2^{p-1} (p+1)^2}, \frac{\chi^2}{2 \chi + 2^{p-1} (p+1)^2} \right\},
\]
\[
\| \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \| \leq 2C \chi \exp \left( \lambda_{\text{max}} t \right) \| \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0) \|.
\]

Then
\[
\| \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \|_{H^3}^p \leq C_3 \| \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0) \|_{H^3}^2 + \exp \left( 2 \lambda_{\text{max}} t \right) \| \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0) \|_{H^3}^2 \| \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0) \|^{p/2},
\]
\[
0 \leq t \leq T,
\]
where \( C_3 = \max \{ (E_1^2 + 1) \chi^2 \}^{p/2}, \{ 4C_3^2 (1 + (E_1^2 + 1) \chi^2) \}^{p/2}, \}

if \( \chi^2 \geq 1 \) and \( C_3 = \max \{ (E_1^2 + 1) / \chi^2 \}^{p/2}, \{ 4C_3^2 (1 + (E_1^2 + 1) \chi^2) / \chi^2 \}^{p/2}, \}

if \( \chi^2 < 1 \).

**Proof.** By (58), we have
\[
\| \nabla \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \|_{L^2} \leq E_1^2 \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \left\| D^\alpha \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right\|^2.
\]
It follows from (67) that
\[
\| \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \|_{H^3}^p \\
\leq \left( \| \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \|_{L^2} \right)^2 \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \left\| D^\alpha \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right\|^2 \left( E_1 + 1 \right) \chi^2 \exp \left( 2 \lambda_{\text{max}} t \right).
\]

Now, we estimate the second-order derivatives of \( \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \).

By Lemma 4, we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ |p \mathbf{u}|^2 + \chi^2 |p \nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 \right\} dx \\
\leq \left( \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| p \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \right\| \chi^2 |p \nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 \right) dx \\
\leq \left( \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \right\| \chi^2 |v|_{L^2}^2 \right) dx \\
\leq C \| \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \chi^2 |v|_{L^2}^2 \exp \left( 2 \lambda_{\text{max}} t \right).
\]

We will proceed in the following two cases: \( \chi^2 \geq 1 \) and \( \chi^2 < 1 \).

(1) If \( \chi^2 \geq 1 \), we have
\[
\sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \left\| D^\alpha \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right\|^2 \\
\leq \left( \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| p \mathbf{u} \|_{L^2}^2 \right\| \chi^2 |p \nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 \right) dx \\
\leq \chi^2 \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \left\| D^\alpha \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0) \right\|^2 \\
\leq \chi^2 \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \left\| D^\alpha \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0) \right\|^2 \exp \left( 2 \lambda_{\text{max}} t \right).
\]

By (68) and (71), one can obtain
\[
\| \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) \|_{H^3}^p \leq \left( E_1 + 1 \right) \chi^2 \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \left\| D^\alpha \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0) \right\|^2 \\
+ \left\{ 4 \chi^2 E_1 \sum_{|\alpha| = 2} \left\| D^\alpha \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, 0) \right\|^2 \left( E_1 + 1 \right) \chi^2 \right\} \exp \left( 2 \lambda_{\text{max}} t \right).
\]
where $C_3 = \max[(E_1^3 + 1)/\chi^2]^{p/2}$, $\{4C_1^2[1 + (E_1^3 + 1)C]/\lambda_{\max}]^{p/2}\)  \\

\[\leq C_3 \left[ \|w(\cdot,0)\|^2_{H^2} + \|w(\cdot,0)\|^2 \exp(2\lambda_{\max}t) \right]^{p/2},\]  \\
(72)

(2) If $\chi^2 < 1$, from (70), we have

\[\chi^2 \sum_{|i|=2} \|D^i w(\cdot,t)\|^2 \leq \sum_{|i|=2} \int_{\Gamma} \left\{ |D^i u(\cdot,0)|^2 + \chi^2 |D^i v(\cdot,0)|^2 \right\} dx + \frac{4C_1^2C'}{\lambda_{\max}} \|w(\cdot,0)\|^2 \exp(2\lambda_{\max}t) \]  \\
(73)

\[\leq \sum_{|i|=2} \|D^i w(\cdot,0)\|^2 + \frac{4C_1^2C'}{\lambda_{\max}} \|w(\cdot,0)\|^2 \exp(2\lambda_{\max}t).\]

Therefore,

\[\sum_{|i|=2} \|D^i w(\cdot,t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sum_{|i|=2} \|D^i w(\cdot,0)\|^2 + \frac{4C_1^2C'}{\chi^2\lambda_{\max}} \|w(\cdot,0)\|^2 \exp(2\lambda_{\max}t).\]  \\
(74)

Combining (68) and (74), we have

\[\|w(\cdot,t)\|^p_{H^2} \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{E_1^2 + 1}{\chi^2} \sum_{|i|=2} \|D^i w(\cdot,0)\|^2 + 4C_1^2 \left\{ \frac{(E_1^3 + 1)C'}{\lambda_{\max}^2\chi^2} \right\} \\
\times \|w(\cdot,0)\|^2 \exp(2\lambda_{\max}t) \right\}^{p/2} \leq C_3 \left[ \|w(\cdot,0)\|^2_{H^2} + \|w(\cdot,0)\|^2 \exp(2\lambda_{\max}t) \right]^{p/2},\]  \\
(75)

where $C_3 = \max[(E_1^3 + 1)/\chi^2]^{p/2}$, $\{4C_1^2[1 + (E_1^3 + 1)C]/\lambda_{\max}\chi^2]^{p/2}\)  \\

5. Main Result

Let $\theta$ be a small fixed constant, and let $\lambda_{\max}$ be the dominant eigenvalue, which is the maximal growth rate. We also denote the gap between the largest growth rate $\lambda_{\max}$ and the rest by $\nu > 0$. Then for $\delta > 0$ arbitrary small, we define the escape time $T^\delta$ by

\[\theta = \exp(\lambda_{\max}T^\delta),\]  \\
(76)

or equivalently

\[T^\delta = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}} \ln \frac{\theta}{\delta}.\]  \\
(77)

Our main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.** Assume that the set of $q_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d q_i^2$ satisfying instability criterion (16) is not empty for given parameters $\chi$ and $\beta$. Let

\[w_0(x) = \sum_{q \in \Omega} \left\{ w_{q}^{r} r_{-}(q) + w_{q}^{r} r_{+}(q) \right\} e_q(x) \in H^2,\]  \\
(78)

such that $\|w_0\| = 1$. Then there exist constants $\delta_0 > 0$, $C_0 > 0$, and $\theta > 0$, depending on $\chi$ and $\beta$, such that for all $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, if the initial perturbation of the steady state $[\bar{U}, \bar{V}]$ is $w_\delta(\cdot,0) = \delta w_0$, then its nonlinear evolution $w^\delta(\cdot,t)$ satisfies

\[\|w^\delta(\cdot,t) - \delta e^{\lambda_{\max}t} \sum_{q \in \Omega} w_{q}^{r} r_{+}(q) e_q(x) \|_{H^2} \]  \\
(79)

\[\leq C \left\{ e^{-\delta t} + \delta \|w_0\|^2_{H^2} + \delta^p \|w_0\|^p_{H^2} + \delta e^{\lambda_{\max}t} + \delta^p e^{\lambda_{\max}t} \right\} \delta e^{\lambda_{\max}t},\]

for $0 \leq t \leq T^\delta$, and $\nu > 0$ is the gap between $\lambda_{\max}$ and the rest of $\lambda_q$ in (15).

**Proof.** Let $w^\delta(x,t)$ be the solutions of (10) with initial data $w^\delta(\cdot,0) = \delta w_0$. We define

\[T^* = \sup \left\{ t \mid \|w^\delta(\cdot,t) - \delta e^{\lambda_{\max}t} w_0\| \leq \frac{C_1}{2} \delta \exp(\lambda_{\max}t) \right\},\]  \\
(80)

\[T^{**} = \sup \left\{ t \mid \|w^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2} + \|w^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2}^{p} \leq \frac{1}{C_0} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{4(\chi + 2p^{-1}(p + 1))^2}, \frac{\chi^2}{2(\chi + 2p^{-1}(p + 1)^2)} \right\} \right\}.\]  \\
(81)

Choose $\theta$, such that

\[C_0 C_3 \left\{ \theta + \frac{4p}{P} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \theta^2 \right) \right\} < \min \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{4(\chi + 1)}, \frac{1}{8(\chi + 2p^{-1}(p + 1))^2}, \frac{\chi^2}{4(\chi + 2p^{-1}(p + 1)^2)} \right\}.\]  \\
(82)
Now, we establish estimates for \( H^2 \) norm of \( w^\delta(\mathbf{x}, t) \) for \( t \leq \min\{T^\delta, T^*, T^{**}\} \). For \( 0 < t \leq T^* \), by Lemma 2, we have

\[
\|w^\delta(\cdot, t)\| \leq \|\delta e^{\delta w_0}\| + \frac{C_1}{2} \delta \exp(\lambda_{\max} t)
\]
\[
\leq C_1 \delta \exp(\lambda_{\max} t) \|w(\cdot, 0)\|
\]
\[
+ \frac{C_1}{2} \delta \exp(\lambda_{\max} t)
\]
\[
= \frac{3}{2} C_1 \delta \exp(\lambda_{\max} t).
\]

Applying Lemma 5 and the bootstrap argument yields

\[
\|w^\delta(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2} \leq \sqrt{C_3} \|\delta \|w_0\|_{H^2} + \delta \exp(\lambda_{\max} t)\). \tag{84}
\]

From this and \((a+b)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(a^p + b^p)\) for \( a \geq 0, b \geq 0, p \geq 1 \), we can obtain

\[
\|w^\delta(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2}^{p+1}
\]
\[
\leq 2^p C_3^{(p+1)/2} \left\{ \|\delta\|_{H^2}^{p+1} + \delta \exp(p + 1) \lambda_{\max} t \right\} \tag{85}
\]

Applying Duhamel’s principle, we know that the solution of (10) is

\[
w^\delta(\cdot, t) = \delta e^{\delta w_0} \]
\[
- \int_0^t e^{\delta(\cdot, \tau)} \left[ \chi V (w^\delta(\cdot, \tau)) + u^\delta (u^\delta + 1)^p - u^\delta, 0 \right] d\tau.
\]

Using Lemma 2, (56), (58), and Lemma 5 yields, for \( 0 \leq t \leq \min\{T^\delta, T^*, T^{**}\} \),

\[
\|w^\delta(\cdot, t) - \delta e^{\delta w_0}\|
\]
\[
\leq C_1 \int_0^t e^{\lambda_{\max}(\cdot, \tau)} \left\{ \|w^\delta(\cdot, \tau)\| + \|w^\delta(\cdot, \tau)\|_{H^2}^{p+1} d\tau
\]
\[
+ C_1 \left(E_1 + E_2 \lambda \right) \left\{ \int_0^t e^{\lambda_{\max}(\cdot, \tau)} \|w^\delta(\cdot, \tau)\|_{H^2}^{p+1} d\tau
\]
\[
\leq C_1 C_0^* \left[ \chi + 1 \right] \int_0^t e^{\lambda_{\max}(\cdot, \tau)} \left\{ \|w^\delta(\cdot, \tau)\|_{H^2}^{p+1} d\tau
\]

where \( C_0^* = \max\{M_3, E_1^2 + E_0\} \). Notice that \( t \leq \min\{T^\delta, T^*, T^{**}\} \). Thus

\[
\|w^\delta(\cdot, t) - \delta e^{\delta w_0}\|
\]
\[
\leq C_1 C_0^* C_3 (\chi + 1)
\]
\[
\times \int_0^t e^{\lambda_{\max}(\cdot, \tau)} \left\{ \|w^\delta(\cdot, \tau)\|_{H^2}^{p+1} + \delta w^\delta(\cdot, \tau) \right\} d\tau
\]
\[
+ 2^p C_1 C_0^* C_3^{(p+1)/2} (\chi + 1)
\]
\[
\times \int_0^t e^{\lambda_{\max}(\cdot, \tau)} \left\{ \|w^\delta(\cdot, \tau)\|_{H^2}^{p+1} + \delta w^\delta(\cdot, \tau) \right\} d\tau
\]
\[
\leq C_1 C_0^* C_3 (\chi + 1)
\]
\[
\times \left\{ \delta \|w_0\|_{H^2}^2 + 2^p C_3^{(p+1)/2} \delta \|w_0\|_{H^2}^{p+1}
\]
\[
+ \delta \exp(p + 1) \lambda_{\max} t \right\} \delta e^{\lambda_{\max} t}.
\]

For \( \delta > 0 \) sufficiently small, we claim that

\[
T^\delta = \min\{T^\delta, T^*, T^{**}\}.
\]

If \( T^{**} \) is the smallest, we can let \( T^* = T^{**} = T^\delta \) in (84) and (85) to obtain

\[
\|w^\delta(\cdot, T^*)\|_{H^2} + \|w(\cdot, T^{**})\|_{H^2}^p
\]
\[
\leq 2^p C_3^{(p+1)/2} \delta \|w_0\|_{H^2}^p + \sqrt{C_3} \|w_0\|_{H^2}
\]
\[
+ C_3^{(p+1)/2} (\chi + 2^p C_3^{(p+1)/2} \delta) \theta
\]
\[
< \frac{1}{C_0^*} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{4(\chi + 2^p(1 + p)^2)}, \frac{\lambda^2}{2(\chi + 2^p(1 + p)^2)} \right\},
\]

for \( 2^p C_3^{(p+1)/2} \delta \|w_0\|_{H^2}^p + \sqrt{C_3} \|w_0\|_{H^2} \leq (1/2 C_0^* \min\{1/4(\chi + 2^p(1 + p)^2), \lambda^2/2(\chi + 2^p(1 + p)^2)\}) \) for \( \delta \) small, by our choice of \( \theta \) in (82) with \( C_0 \geq 1 \). This is a contradiction to the definition of \( T^{**} \). On the other hand, if \( T^* \) is the smallest, we let \( t = T^* \) in (88) to get

\[
\|w^\delta(\cdot, T^*) - \delta e^{\delta w_0}\|
\]
\[
\leq C_1 C_0^* C_3 (\chi + 1)
\]
\[
\times \left\{ \delta \|w_0\|_{H^2}^2 + 2^p C_3^{(p+1)/2} \delta \|w_0\|_{H^2}^{p+1}
\]
\[
+ \theta + (2^p/\lambda^2) C_3^{(p+1)/2} \theta^p
\]
\[
\leq C_0^* \frac{\delta e^{\lambda_{\max} T^*}}{2}.
\]
for \( C_0 C_3 (\chi + 1) (\delta \| w_0 \|^2 + \frac{2^p C_3^{(p-1)/2} \delta^p \| w_0 \|^p}{\lambda_{\max}^{p+1}}) / \lambda_{\max} < 1/4 \) for \( \delta \) small, by our choice of \( \tilde{\theta} \) in (82). This again contradicts the definition of \( T^\bullet \). Hence, the desired assertion follows.

From (24), we obtain

\[
\| w^\delta (\cdot , t) - e^{\lambda t} w_0 \| \geq \| w^\delta (\cdot , t) - e^{\lambda_{\max} t} \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\max}} w_q^+ r_q (q) e_q (x) \|
\]

\[
- \| \delta \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\max}} w_q^- r_q (q) \exp (\lambda_q^+ t) e_q (x) \|
\]

\[
- \| \delta \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\max}} \{ w_q^- r_q (q) \exp (\lambda_q^+ t) + w_q^+ r_q (q) \exp (\lambda_q^- t) \} e_q (x) \| = \| w^\delta (\cdot , t) - e^{\lambda_{\max} t} \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\max}} w_q^+ r_q (q) e_q (x) \| - I_1 - I_2,
\]

that is,

\[
\| w^\delta (\cdot , t) - e^{\lambda_{\max} t} \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\max}} w_q^+ r_q (q) e_q (x) \| \leq \| w^\delta (\cdot , t) - e^{\lambda_{\max} t} w_0 \| + I_1 + I_2.
\]

Using (34), we deduce that

\[
I_1^2 = \| \delta \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\max}} w_q^- r_q (q) \exp (\lambda_q^+ t) e_q (x) \|^2 \leq 46^2 e^{2(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t} \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\max}} E_2^2 E_3^2 q^6 \| w_q \|^2.
\]

From (18) we know that there is one (or two) \( q^2 \) satisfying \( \lambda^+ (q^2) = \lambda_{\max} \). If there is only one \( q^2 \) satisfying \( \lambda^+ (q^2) = \lambda_{\max} \), we denote it by \( q_{max}^2 \) and if there are \( q_{max}^1, q_{max}^2 \) satisfying \( \lambda^+ (q^2) = \lambda_{\max} \), we can let \( d_{max} = \max \{ q_{max}^1, q_{max}^2 \} \). From (94), we obtain

\[
I_1^2 \leq 46^2 e^{2(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t} E_2^2 E_3^2 q_{max}^2 \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\max}} E_2^2 E_3^2 q^6 \| w_q \|^2 \leq 4 E_2^2 E_3^2 q_{max}^2 \delta e^{2(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t} \| w_0 \|^2,
\]

that is,

\[
I_1 \leq 2 E_2 E_3 q_{max}^3 \delta e^{(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t} = C^* \delta e^{(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t},
\]

where \( C^* = 2 E_2 E_3 q_{max}^3 \). Now, we consider \( I_2 \)

\[
I_2^2 = \delta^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^d \sum_{q \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\max}} \left\{ \exp (2 \lambda_q^+ t) w_q^+ \| r_+ (q) \|^2 + 2 \exp \left( \left( \lambda_q^+ + \lambda_q^- \right) t \right) \times w_q^+ w_q^- (r_+ (q), r_- (q)) + \exp (2 \lambda_q^- t) w_q^- \| r_- (q) \|^2 \right\}.
\]

From (39) and (41), we get

\[
I_2^2 \leq \delta^2 e^{2(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^d \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\max}} \left\{ w_q^+ \| r_+ (q) \|^2 + 2 w_q^+ w_q^- (r_+ (q), r_- (q)) + w_q^- \| r_- (q) \|^2 \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \delta^2 e^{2(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^d \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\max}} \left\{ E_2^2 E_3 q_{max} \delta e^{2(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t} \right\},
\]

that is,

\[
I_2 \leq \delta e^{(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t}.
\]

From (88), (96), and (99), one can obtain

\[
\| w^\delta (\cdot , t) - e^{\lambda_{\max} t} \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\max}} w_q^+ r_q (q) e_q (x) \| \leq C_1 C_0 C_3 (\chi + 1)
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \delta \| w_0 \|^2 + \frac{2^p C_3^{(p-1)/2} \delta^p \| w_0 \|^p}{\lambda_{\max}^{p+1}} \right\} + \delta e^{(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t}
\]

\[
\leq \left\{ (C^* + 1) e^{-t} + \left( \frac{4^p}{p} \right) C_1 C_0 C_3 (\chi + 1)
\times \left\{ \delta \| w_0 \|^2 + \delta \| w_0 \|^p \right\},
\]

\[
\delta e^{(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t}
\]

\[
\leq C \delta e^{(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t} + \delta e^{(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t}
\]

\[
\delta e^{(\lambda_{\max} - \gamma) t},
\]

(100)
where $C = \max\{C^* + 1, ((4^p/p)C_0^p G_{3}^{p+1}/\lambda_{\text{max}})(\chi + 1)\}$. This completes the proof. □

6. Conclusion

Notice that for $0 \leq t \leq T^*$, $\delta e^{\lambda_{\text{max}} t}$ is not sufficiently small. As long as $w_{\theta}^* \neq 0$ for at least one $q_0 \in \Omega_{\text{max}}$ which is generic for perturbations, the corresponding fastest growing modes

$$
\|\delta e^{\lambda_{\text{max}} t} \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\text{max}}} w_q^* r_+ (q) e_q (x) \|
= \delta e^{\lambda_{\text{max}} t} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{d/2}
\times \left( \sum_{q \in \Omega_{\text{max}}} |w_q^*|^2 |r_+ (q)|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\geq \delta e^{\lambda_{\text{max}} t} |w_q^*| |r_+ (q_0)|,
$$

have the dominant leading order of $\delta e^{\lambda_{\text{max}} t}$. Theorem 6 implies that the dynamics of a general perturbation is characterized by such linear dynamics over a long time period of $\epsilon T^* \leq t \leq T^*$, for any $\epsilon > 0$ (see [10]). In particular, choose a fixed $q_0 = (q_{01}, q_{02}, \ldots, q_{0d}) \in \Omega_{\text{max}}$ and let

$$w_0 (x) = \frac{r_+ (q_0)}{|r_+ (q_0)|} e_{q_0} (x).$$

Then

$$
\|w_0 (x)\|_{H^2} = \left\{ \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{d/2} \left( 1 + |q_0|^2 + |q_0|^4 \right) \right\}^{1/2}.
$$

Therefore, if $t \leq T^*$, we can obtain from (100)

$$
\|w^\delta (\cdot, t) - \delta e^{\lambda_{\text{max}} t^*} \frac{r_+ (q_0)}{|r_+ (q_0)|} e_{q_0} (x) \|
\leq C \left\{ e^{-\gamma T^*} + \delta \|w_0\|_{H^2} \right\} \|w_0\|_{H^2}^{p-1}
+ \delta e^{\lambda_{\text{max}} t^*} \left\{ \frac{\gamma}{\lambda_{\text{max}}} + \delta \right\} \|w_0\|_{H^2}^{p-1}
\leq C \left\{ \theta \exp \left( \frac{-\gamma}{\lambda_{\text{max}}} \ln \frac{\theta}{\delta} \right) \right\}
+ \theta \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{d/2} \left( 1 + |q_0|^2 + |q_0|^4 \right)
+ \theta \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{(p+1)d/2}
\times \left( 1 + |q_0|^2 + |q_0|^4 \right)^{(p+1)/2}
+ \theta^2 + \theta \gamma t^*.
$$

Note that $\delta \leq \theta$, and $\gamma$ are fixed constants, and $q_0$ is a fixed vector. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|w^\delta (\cdot, t) - \delta e^{\lambda_{\text{max}} t^*} \frac{r_+ (q_0)}{|r_+ (q_0)|} e_{q_0} (x) \|
& \leq CC_4 \left\{ \delta^{\gamma /\lambda_{\text{max}}} + \theta^2 + \theta \gamma t^* \right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_4 = \max\{\theta^* + 1, ((4^p/p)C_0 G_{3}^{p+1}/\lambda_{\text{max}})(\chi + 1)\}$. This completes the proof.
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