Research Article

A Novel Approach for Solving Nonsmooth Optimization Problems with Application to Nonsmooth Equations

Hamid Reza Erfanian, M. H. Noori Skandari, and A. V. Kamyad

Department of Applied Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad 9177948953, Iran

Correspondence should be addressed to Hamid Reza Erfanian; erfanian@usc.ac.ir

Received 29 August 2012; Accepted 19 November 2012

Academic Editor: Ellina Grigorieva

Copyright © 2013 Hamid Reza Erfanian et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We present a new approach for solving nonsmooth optimization problems and a system of nonsmooth equations which is based on generalized derivative. For this purpose, we introduce the first order of generalized Taylor expansion of nonsmooth functions and replace it with smooth functions. In other words, nonsmooth function is approximated by a piecewise linear function based on generalized derivative. In the next step, we solve smooth linear optimization problem whose optimal solution is an approximate solution of main problem. Then, we apply the results for solving system of nonsmooth equations. Finally, for efficiency of our approach some numerical examples have been presented.

1. Introduction

As we all know, many problems of considerable practical importance can be related to the solution of nonsmooth optimization of problems (NSOPs) and system of nonsmooth equations. In general, optimization a function is one of the most important problems of real life and plays a fundamental role in mathematics and its applications in the other disciplines such as control theory, optimal control, engineering, and economics.

Nonsmooth optimization is one of the research areas in computational mathematics, applied mathematics, and engineering design optimization and also is widely used in many of practical problems. It is necessary to know that several important methods for solving difficult smooth problems lead directly to the need to solve nonsmooth problems, which are either smaller in dimension or simpler in structure. For instance, decomposition methods for solving very large scale smooth problems produce lower-dimensional nonsmooth problems; penalty methods for solving constrained smooth problems result in unconstrained nonsmooth problems; nonsmooth equation methods for solving smooth variational

inequalities and smooth nonlinear complementarity problems give arise to systems of nonsmooth equations (see [1]).

The well-known methods for nonsmooth optimization include subgradient method, cutting-planes method, analytic center cutting-planes method, bundle method, trust region method, and bundle trusting method (see [2]).

Note that the most difficult type of optimization problem to solve is a nonsmooth problem. Nonsmooth optimization refers to the more general problem of minimizing functions that are typically not differentiable at their minimizers. The focus of this paper is the numerical solution of NSOPs and system of nonsmooth equations. The techniques for solving the minimization problems and nonsmooth equations are closely related.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the reader to a new generalized derivative (GD) for one variable and multivariable functions (see Kamyad et al. [3]). A new approach for NSOP based on GD is studied in Section 3. Also, using the last section, an approach for solving system of nonsmooth equations is considered in Section 4. Some conclusive remarks are given in Section 5. Finally, we

present some examples of the efficiency of our approach in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present definitions and results concerning with GD, which are needed in the remainder of the paper. Since the early 1960s several generalized theories of differentiation have been proposed by different authors. A first major step in this direction came with the dissertation of Rockafellar [4], who introduced subgradients for convex functions. Another breakthrough occurred when Clarke [5] found a way of extending Rockafellar's ideas to the broader class of lower semicontinuous, proper functions. This line of ideas has given rise to an extensive amount of research, continuing to the present (see [6]). It is commonly recognized that these GDs are not practical and applicable for solving problems. We mainly utilize the new GD of Kamyad et al. [3] for nonsmooth functions. This kind of GD is particularly helpful and practical when dealing with nonsmooth continuous and discontinuous functions and it can be easily computed. In what follows, let us now devote just two short sections to the GD of Kamyad et al. in two cases, one and multivariable functions.

2.1. GD of One-Variable Nonsmooth Functions. Let $V = \{v_k(\cdot) : v_k(x) = \sin k\pi x : x \in [0, 1], k = 1, 2, \dots\}$. For function $f(x)$ on $[0, 1]$, we define the following functional optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize } J(g(\cdot)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^1 v_k(x) g(x) dx - \lambda_k \right| \\ \text{subject to } \int_{s_i-\delta}^{s_i+\delta} |f(x) - f(s_i) - (x - s_i) g(s_i)| dx &\leq \varepsilon \delta^2, \\ g(\cdot) \in C[0, 1], \quad s_i &\in \left(\frac{i-1}{m}, \frac{i}{m} \right), \\ i &= 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $v_k(\cdot) \in V$, $\lambda_k = -\int_0^1 v_k'(x) f(x) dx$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and ε, δ are positive sufficiently small numbers. Moreover, $s_i \in ((i-1)/m, i/m)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are arbitrary numbers. For instance, we can choose the middle points, that is, $s_i = (2i-1)/2m$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Theorem 1 (see [3]). *Let $f(\cdot) \in C^1[0, 1]$ and $g^*(\cdot)$ be the optimal solution of the functional optimization problem (1). Then $g^*(\cdot) \in C^1[0, 1]$ and $f'(\cdot) = g^*(\cdot)$.*

Definition 2. Let $f(\cdot)$ be a continuous nonsmooth function on the interval $[0, 1]$ and $g^*(\cdot)$ be the optimal solution of the functional optimization problem (1). We denote the generalized first derivative (GFD) of $f(\cdot)$ by $\partial_x f(\cdot)$ and define as $\partial_x f(\cdot) = g^*(\cdot)$.

Remark 3 (see [3]). Note that if $f(\cdot)$ is a smooth function on $[0, 1]$ then the $\partial_x f(\cdot)$ in Definition 2 is $f'(\cdot)$. Further, if $f(\cdot)$ is

a nonsmooth integrable function on $[0, 1]$ then GFD of $f(\cdot)$ is an approximation for first derivative of $f(\cdot)$.

In what follows, the problem (1) is approximated to the following finite dimensional problem (see [3]):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize } J(g(\cdot)) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \left| \int_0^1 v_k(x) g(x) dx - \lambda_k \right| \\ \text{subject to } \int_{s_i-\delta}^{s_i+\delta} |f(x) - f(s_i) - (x - s_i) g(s_i)| dx &\leq \varepsilon \delta^2, \\ g(\cdot) \in C[0, 1], \quad s_i &\in \left(\frac{i-1}{m}, \frac{i}{m} \right), \\ i &= 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where N is a given large number. We assume that $g_i = g(s_i)$, $f_{i1} = f(s_i - \delta)$, $f_{i2} = f(s_i + \delta)$, and $f_i = f(s_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. In addition, we choose the arbitrary points $s_i \in ((i-1)/m, i/m)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. By trapezoidal and midpoint integration rules, problem (2) can be approximated to the following problem in which $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$ are its unknown variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize } \sum_{k=1}^N \left| \delta \sum_{i=1}^m v_{ki} g_i - \lambda_k \right| \\ \text{subject to } |f_{i1} - f_i + \delta g_i| + |f_{i2} - f_i - \delta g_i| &\leq \varepsilon \delta, \\ i &= 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Lemma 4. *Let pairs (v_i^*, u_i^*) , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ be the optimal solutions of the following LP problem:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \\ \text{subject to } v_i \geq u_i, \quad v_i \geq -u_i, \quad v_i \geq 0, \quad u_i \in I. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Then u_i^ , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are the optimal solutions of the following nonlinear programming (NLP) problem:*

Minimize $\sum_{i=1}^m |u_i|$ where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a compact set.

Proof. Since (v_i^*, u_i^*) , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are the optimal solutions of the LP problem, so they satisfy the constraints. Thus we have $v_i^* \geq u_i^*$ and $v_i^* \geq -u_i^*$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Hence, $|u_i^*| \leq v_i^*$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and so $\sum_{i=1}^m |u_i^*| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^*$. Now, let there exist $\bar{u}_i^* \in I$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m |\bar{u}_i^*| < \sum_{i=1}^m |u_i^*|$. Define $\bar{v}_i^* = |\bar{u}_i^*|$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then $\bar{v}_i^* \geq \bar{u}_i^*$ and $\bar{v}_i^* \geq -\bar{u}_i^*$. Moreover, $\sum_{i=1}^m \bar{v}_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^m |\bar{u}_i^*|$ and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \bar{v}_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^m |\bar{u}_i^*| < \sum_{i=1}^m |u_i^*| < \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^*. \quad (5)$$

So $\sum_{i=1}^m \bar{v}_i^* < \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^*$; this is a contradiction. $\square$

Now, by Lemma 4 and techniques of mathematical programming, problem (3) may be converted to the following

equivalent LP problem in which $g_i, i = 1, \dots, m, \mu_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$, and ω_i, z_i, u_i, v_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are decision variables of the problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Minimize } \sum_{k=1}^N \mu_k \\
 & \text{subject to } -\mu_k + \delta \sum_{i=0}^m v_{ki} g_i \leq \lambda_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N \\
 & \quad -\mu_k - \delta \sum_{i=0}^m v_{ki} g_i \leq -\lambda_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N \\
 & \quad (u_i + v_i) + (\omega_i + z_i) \leq \varepsilon \delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\
 & \quad u_i - v_i - \delta g_i = f_{i1} - f_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\
 & \quad \omega_i - z_i + \delta g_i = f_{i2} - f_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\
 & \quad \omega_i, z_i, u_i, v_i, \mu_k \geq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N; \\
 & \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Remark 5. Note that ε and δ must be selected as sufficiently small numbers and arbitrary points $s_i \in ((i-1)/m, i/m)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ can be chosen as arbitrary numbers.

Remark 6. Note that if $g_i^*, i = 1, \dots, m$ are optimal solutions of problem (6), then we have $\partial_x f(s_i) \approx g_i^*, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

2.2. GD of Multivariable Functions. In this section, we are going to introduce functional optimization problems that their optimal solutions are the partial derivatives of smooth function on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. First, we select arbitrary (but fixed) index $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and calculate the partial differentiation of $f(\cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ respect to x_i . Without loss of generality, assume $\Omega = [0, 1]^n$ and define $\bar{\Omega}$ as follows:

$$\bar{\Omega} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in [0, 1]\} \subset [0, 1]^{n-1}. \tag{7}$$

Now, select N as a sufficiently large number and part $\bar{\Omega}$ to the similar grids $\bar{\Omega}_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, N^{n-1}$ such that these grids cover $\bar{\Omega}$. In the next step, we consider arbitrary points $s_j \in \bar{\Omega}_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, N^{n-1}$ as $s_j = (s_{j1}, \dots, s_{ji-1}, s_{ji+1}, \dots, s_{jn})$. Moreover, we define the following vector for all $s_j \in \bar{\Omega}_j$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_j(t) &= (s_{j1}, \dots, s_{ji-1}, t, s_{ji+1}, \dots, s_{jn}), \\
 t &\in [0, 1], \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N^{n-1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Let $f(\cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and define $h_j(t) = f(r_j(t)), t \in [0, 1]$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N^{n-1}$. Now, suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ are two sufficiently small given numbers and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large number. For given continuous function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we

define the following functional optimization problem for any $j = 1, 2, \dots, N^{n-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Minimize } J_j(g(\cdot)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^1 v_k(t) g(t) dt - \lambda_{j,k} \right|, \\
 & \text{subject to } \int_{w_p-\delta}^{w_p+\delta} |h_j(t) - h_j(w_p) - (t - w_p) g(w_p)| dx \leq \varepsilon \delta^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $w_p \in ((p-1)/N, p/N)$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are fixed and arbitrary points; for example, it can be chosen by $w_p = (2p-1)/2N$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Also, $v_k(\cdot) \in V$, $\lambda_{j,k} = -\int_0^1 v'_k(t) h_j(t) dt$ and for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, N^{n-1}, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Theorem 7. Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g_j^*(\cdot)$ be the optimal solution of the functional optimization problem P_j , for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N^{n-1}$ defined by (9). Then $g_j^*(\cdot) \in C^1([0, 1])$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(s_{j1}, \dots, s_{ji-1}, t, s_{ji+1}, \dots, s_{jn}) = g_j^*(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \tag{10}$$

where $s_j = (s_{j1}, \dots, s_{ji-1}, s_{ji+1}, \dots, s_{jn}) \in \bar{\Omega}_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, N^{n-1}$.

Proof. (see [3]). $\square$

Now, the generalized partial derivative (GPD) of nonsmooth function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ may be defined as follows.

Definition 8. Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be a fixed and arbitrary index and $s_j = (s_{j1}, \dots, s_{ji-1}, s_{ji+1}, \dots, s_{jn}) \in \bar{\Omega}_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, N^{n-1}$. Moreover, let function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous nonsmooth function and $g_j^*(\cdot), j = 1, 2, \dots, N^{n-1}$ is the optimal solution of the functional optimization problem (9). We denote the GPD of $f(\cdot)$ with respect to variable x_i by $\partial_i f$ and define as $\partial_i f(s_{j1}, \dots, s_{ji-1}, t, s_{ji+1}, \dots, s_{jn}) = g_j^*(t), t \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 9. Note that if $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function then for fixed index $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $s_j = (s_{j1}, \dots, s_{ji-1}, s_{ji+1}, \dots, s_{jn}) \in \bar{\Omega}_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, N^{n-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \partial_i f(s_{j1}, \dots, s_{ji-1}, t, s_{ji+1}, \dots, s_{jn}) \\
 &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(s_{j1}, \dots, s_{ji-1}, t, s_{ji+1}, \dots, s_{jn}), \quad t \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Further, if $f(\cdot)$ is a continuous nonsmooth function, then the GPD of $f(\cdot)$ with respect to variable x_i is an approximation for first derivative of function $f(\cdot)$ with respect to variable x_i .

However, the optimization problem (9) is an infinite dimensional problem and hence it is approximated as

the following finite dimensional problems:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Minimize} \quad J_j(g(\cdot)) = \sum_{k=1}^M \left| \int_0^1 v_k(t) g(t) dt - \lambda_{j,k} \right| \\
& \text{subject to} \quad \int_{w_p-\delta}^{w_p+\delta} |h_{j_i}(t) - h_{j_i}(w_p) - (t - w_p)g(w_p)| \\
& \quad dx \leq \varepsilon \delta^2, \\
& \quad g(\cdot) \in C[0, 1], \quad w_p \in \left(\frac{p-1}{N}, \frac{p}{N} \right), \\
& \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, N,
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where M is a given large number. We assume that $v_{kp} = v_k(w_p)$, $g_p = g(w_p)$, $h_j^{1,p} = h_j(w_p - \delta)$, $h_j^{2,p} = h_j(w_p + \delta)$ and $h_j^p = h_j(w_p)$, for all $p = 1, 2, \dots, N$. In addition, we choose the arbitrary points $w_p \in ((p-1)/N, p/N)$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Similar to the Section 2.1, problem (12) may be converted to the following equivalent finite linear programming (FLP) problem which g_{jp} , $p = 1, \dots, m$, μ_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$, and y_p, z_p, u_p, q_p for $p = 1, 2, \dots, M$ are decision variables of the problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Minimize} \quad \sum_{k=1}^M \mu_k \\
& \text{subject to} \quad -\mu_k + \delta \sum_{p=1}^N v_{kp} g_{jp} \leq \lambda_{j,k}, \\
& \quad -\mu_k - \delta \sum_{p=1}^N v_{kp} g_{jp} \leq \lambda_{j,k}, \\
& \quad (u_p + q_p) + (y_p + z_p) \leq \varepsilon \delta, \\
& \quad u_p - q_p - \delta g_{jp} = h_j^{1,p} - h_j^p, \\
& \quad y_p - z_p + \delta g_{jp} = h_j^{2,p} - h_j^p, \\
& \quad y_p, z_p, u_p, q_p, \mu_k \geq 0, \\
& \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, M, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, N,
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where λ_{jk} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N^n$, and $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M$ satisfy the relations

$$\lambda_{j,k} = - \int_0^1 v'_k(t) h_j(t) dt. \tag{14}$$

Remark 10. Note that if g_{jp}^* , $p = 1, \dots, N$ are optimal solutions of problem (13), then $\partial_i f(s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_{i-1}}, w_p, s_{j_{i+1}}, \dots, s_{j_n}) = g_{jp}^*$ for $p = 1, \dots, N$.

3. Nonsmooth Optimization Problems

The focus of this paper is the following NSOP:

$$\text{Min}_{x \in \Omega} f(x), \tag{15}$$

where the objective function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be nonsmooth function and the feasible set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a compact set. Throughout the whole paper we assume that there exists a solution for problem (15). NSOP has been used in many of branches of sciences such as engineering, economics, and mathematics. An increasing number of practical problems require minimizing a nonsmooth, nonconvex function on a convex set, including image restoration, signal reconstruction, variable selection, optimal control, stochastic equilibrium problems, and spherical approximations. Also, a number of constrained optimization problems can be reformulated as problem (15) by using exact penalty methods (see [7]). However, many well-known optimization algorithms lack effectiveness and efficiency in dealing with nonsmooth, nonconvex objective functions. Furthermore, for non-Lipschitz continuous functions, the Clarke generalized gradients [8] cannot be used directly in the analysis. Further, smooth approximations for optimization problems have been studied for decades, including complementarity problems, variational inequalities, second order cone complementarity problems, semidefinite programming, semi-infinite programming, optimal control, and eigenvalue optimization (see [9]).

A well known way to seek numerical solution of problem (15) is to replace it by a suitable piecewise linear function. With this linearization we can construct a piecewise linear approximation to the NSOP.

In this paper, we describe a class of approximations which are constructed as piecewise linear functions based on GD of nonsmooth functions.

Without less of generality, we firstly let $\Omega = [0, 1]$ be a closed interval and let us take a partition of Ω ,

$$0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{i-1} < x_i < \dots < x_n = 1, \tag{16}$$

where $x_i = x_0 + i\delta$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and $\delta > 0$ is a sufficiency small number. In addition, we select mid points $s_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In order to derive piecewise linear approximations, we introduce the generalized first order Taylor expansion of continuous nonsmooth function $f(x)$ on $\Omega_i = [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ based on the GD as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) & \cong f_i(x) = f(s_i) + (x - s_i) \partial_x f(s_i), \\
x & \in [x_{i-1}, x_i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Moreover, we can approximate function $f(x)$ on interval $[0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f(x) \cong \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \chi_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(x), \quad x \in [0, 1]. \tag{18}$$

We restrict our treatment to first order generalized derivative for obtaining a practical and useful general approach. In this

connection, we note that $f_i(x)$ is a linear approximation for nonsmooth function $f(x)$ on $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$.

Theorem 11. Let $f(x)$ be a continuous nonsmooth function on the interval $\Omega = [0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ are sufficiency small numbers. The best linear approximation for function $f(x)$ on interval $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, in passing points $(s_i, f(s_i))$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, is function $f_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ defined by (17).

Proof. Let $\varphi(\cdot) \in C[0, 1]$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i^\varphi(x) &= f(s_i) + (x - s_i)\varphi(s_i), \\ x &\in [x_{i-1}, x_i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Now, assume that $\alpha_i^\varphi = \|f(\cdot) - \mu_i^\varphi(\cdot)\|_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}$ where $\|K(\cdot)\|_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |K(t)|dt$. Since $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ are sufficiency small numbers, we have $\varepsilon\delta < \alpha_i^\varphi$. On the other hand, by constraints of problem (1), $\|f(\cdot) - f_i(\cdot)\|_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} \leq \varepsilon\delta^2$. Thus

$$\|f(\cdot) - f_i(\cdot)\|_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} < \|f(\cdot) - \mu_i^\varphi(\cdot)\|_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (20)$$

and this shows that the best linear approximation for function $f(x)$ on interval $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ in passing points $(s_i, f(s_i))$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, is function $f_i(x)$. $\square$

The approximation (17) will be used in nonsmooth optimization approach in the next part. The next theorem indicates how to find minimum of nonsmooth function in our approach.

Theorem 12. Let $f(x)$ be a nonsmooth function on the interval $\Omega = [0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ are sufficiency small numbers. Then

$$\text{Min}_{x \in [0, 1]} f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\text{Min}_{i=1, 2, \dots, n} \left(\text{Min}_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f_i(x) \right) \right), \quad (21)$$

where $f_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, is defined by (17).

Proof. If n tends to infinity then by definition of points x_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we have $\delta \rightarrow 0$. So by the constraints of the problem (2), we have $\|f(\cdot) - f_j(\cdot)\|_{[x_{j-1}, x_j]} \leq \varepsilon\delta^2$. Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f(\cdot) - f_j(\cdot)\|_{[x_{j-1}, x_j]} = 0$ and we can write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_j(\cdot) = f(\cdot)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. So for $x \in [0, 1]$, there exists an index $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $f_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \chi_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(x)$. Thus, achieve the following relation $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \chi_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(x) \right) = f(x)$. Now, assume that $f(x^*) = \text{Min}_{x \in [0, 1]} f(x)$. So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x^*) \chi_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(x^*) \right) = \text{Min}_{x \in [0, 1]} f(x), \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, there is an index $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $x^* \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ and

$$f_k(x^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x^*) \chi_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(x^*) = \text{Min}_{i=1, 2, \dots, n} \text{Min}_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f_i(x). \quad (23)$$

Hence, by the relations (22) and (23) we conclude

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\text{Min}_{i=1, 2, \dots, n} \left(\text{Min}_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f_i(x) \right) \right) = \text{Min}_{x \in [0, 1]} f(x). \quad (24) \quad \square$$

For the main result of Theorem 12, we can approximate the problem (15) with the following problem:

$$\text{Min}_{i=1, 2, \dots, n} \text{Min}_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} (f(s_i) + (x - s_i) \partial_x f(s_i)), \quad (25)$$

where n is a sufficiency big number.

A well known way to seek optimal solution of the problem (25) is to convert it to a min-max problem based on the following remark.

Remark 13. Finding the minimum of function f on a certain domain is really the same as finding the maximum of $-f$ on that domain.

So for attaining to feasible solution of problem (25) we have the following problem:

$$\text{Min}_{i=1, 2, \dots, n} \text{Max}_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} (-f(s_i) - (x - s_i) \partial_x f(s_i)). \quad (26)$$

Now, we assume

$$z = \text{Max}_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} (-f(s_i) - (x - s_i) \partial_x f(s_i)), \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (27)$$

It has become clear that the problem (26) is equivalent to the following linear programming problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min} \quad & z \\ \text{subject to} \quad & z \geq -f(z_i) - (z_i - s_i) \partial_i f(s_i) \\ & x_{i-1} \leq z_i \leq x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where the decision variables are z_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and z . After solving the LP problem (28), we obtain optimal solutions z_i^* , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and z^* . Then, we choose z_p^* such that $z^* = -f(z_p^*) - (z_p^* - s_p) \partial_p f(s_p)$. Now, $x^* = z_p^*$ is an approximate optimal solution for main problem (15).

Note that in the connection of multivariable functions $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, also above-mentioned theorems hold for problem (15). Without less of generality, we let $\Omega = [0, 1]^m$. Here, we can approximate function $f(\cdot)$ with a linear approximation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_m) &\cong f_i(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &= f(s_{i1}, \dots, s_{im}) + (x_1 - s_{i1}) \partial_{x_1} f(s_{i1}, \dots, s_{im}) \\ &\quad + \dots + (x_m - s_{im}) \partial_{x_m} f(s_{i1}, \dots, s_{im}), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $\partial_{x_i} f(s_{i1}, \dots, s_{im})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are the GDs of $f(\cdot)$ with respect to x_i in point $(s_{i1}, s_{i2}, \dots, s_{im}) \in [0, 1]^m$. Here, we

FIGURE 1: The graph of $f(x)$ of Example 1.

FIGURE 2: The graph of GD of Example 1.

FIGURE 3: The graph of $f(x)$ of Example 2.

FIGURE 4: The graph of GD of Example 2.

select similar sets $\Delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^n \Delta_i = [0, 1]^m$. Moreover, similar to Theorem 11, we can prove that

$$\min_{x \in [0,1]^m} f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \min_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \min_{x \in \Delta_i} f_i(x_1, \dots, x_m). \quad (30)$$

Similar to one-variable case, we obtain a LP problem to the approximate optimal solution of nonsmooth problem $\min_{x \in \Omega=[0,1]^m} f(x)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min} \quad & z \\ \text{subject to} \quad & z \geq -f(s_{i1}, \dots, s_{im}) \\ & - (z_{i1} - s_{i1}) \partial_{x_1} f(s_{i1}, \dots, s_{im}) \\ & \dots - (z_{im} - s_{im}) \partial_{x_m} f(s_{i1}, \dots, s_{im}), \\ & z_{im} \in \Delta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where the decision variables are z_{ij} , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and z . After solving the LP Problem (31), we obtain

optimal solutions z_{ij}^* for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and z^* . Then, we choose $z_{pj}^*, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} z^* = & -f(s_{p1}, \dots, s_{pm}) - (z_{p1}^* - s_{p1}) \partial_{x_1} f(s_{p1}, \dots, s_{pm}) \\ & \dots - (z_{pm}^* - s_{pm}) \partial_{x_m} f(s_{p1}, \dots, s_{pm}). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Now, $(x_1^*, \dots, x_m^*) = (z_{p1}^*, \dots, z_{pm}^*)$ is an approximate optimal solution for main problem (15).

4. System of Nonsmooth Equations

We consider the system of n nonsmooth equations with n variables

$$G(x) = 0, \quad (33)$$

where $G : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is assumed to be nonsmooth function. We assume that there exists a unique solution

TABLE 1: Comparison of the Zhang's method and our approach.

Zhang's method (NFFM1)	Zhang's method (NFFM2)	Our approach ($n = 200$)	Our Approach ($n = 400$)	Exact solution
0.999832	1.000041	1.000017	0.999997	1

FIGURE 5: The graph of function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ of Example 3. FIGURE 7: The graph of GD of $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ with respect to y for Example 3. FIGURE 6: The graph of GD of $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ with respect to x for Example 3. FIGURE 8: The graph of function $f(x)$ of Example 4.

$x^* \in \Omega$ such that $G(x^*) = 0$. Many efforts have been done for solving nonsmooth system of equations (for more details refer [10, 11]). These methods are very useful but they are not simple and practical in the nonsmooth case. Here, according to Section 3, we introduce an approach based on GD which is useful for nonsmooth equations.

In what follows, we firstly convert the problem (33) to the corresponding NLP problem and in the next step, by the linearization method of previous section, we obtain an LP problem for approximating solution of the problem (33). Define the following NLP problem:

$$\min_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n G_i^2(x), \quad (34)$$

where $G(x) = (G_1(x), G_2(x), \dots, G_n(x))^T$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

Lemma 14. Let $x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*) \in \Omega$ be the optimal solution of the problem (34). Then $x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ is a solution for problem (33), that is, $G(x^*) = 0$.

Proof. It is trivial that $G_1^2(x) + G_2^2(x) + \dots + G_n^2(x) \geq 0$, $x \in \Omega$, and

$$\min_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n G_i^2(x) \geq 0. \quad (35)$$

FIGURE 9: The graph of GD of Example 4.

FIGURE 11: The graph of GD of Example 5.

FIGURE 10: The graph of function $f(x)$ of Example 5.

TABLE 2: Numerical results of Example 3.

N	Approximate optimal solution	Approximate optimal value
20	$x = 0.02500000$ $y = 0.97500000$	$f(x, y) = -1.43627670$
100	$x = 0.00500000$ $y = 0.99500000$	$f(x, y) = -1.48745150$
200	$x = 0.00250000$ $y = 0.99750000$	$f(x, y) = -1.49373789$
500	$x = 0.00100000$ $y = 0.99900000$	$f(x, y) = -1.49749806$

On the other hand, there is an $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ such that $G(\bar{x}) = 0$ and hence $G_i(\bar{x}) = 0$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. So we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i^2(\bar{x}) = \min_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n G_i^2(x), \quad (36)$$

hence $\bar{x} = x^*$ and $G(x^*) = 0$. $\square$

Now, we can replace the problem (33) with the NLP problem (34). Here, we assume $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i^2(x)$, $x \in \Omega$, and corresponding to the Section 3, use the linearization method based on GD and solve the LP problem (28) for the one variable functions or the problem (31) for the multivariable functions (for more details of GD, see Sections 2.1 and 2.2).

5. Numerical Examples

In this section, we present some numerical results in order to illustrate the performance of established approach. Three NSOPs and three nonsmooth equations were solved; some of them are multidimensional problems. One of the aims is to show the efficiency of our approach in the connections with nonsmooth functions.

Example 1. Consider the following problem of one variable of nonsmooth optimization:

$$\min_{x \in [0,1]} f(x) = ||x - 0.25| - 0.5|. \quad (37)$$

The exact solution of Example 1 is $x^* = 0.75$, $f^* = 0$ in $x \in [0, 1]$. The function $f(x)$ is nondifferentiable at $x = 0.25, 0.75$ in the interval $[0, 1]$ and according to the problem (28), the achieved approximate solution for $n = 50$ is $x^* = 0.750000$, $f^* = 4.2974512887 \times 10^{-12}$. Here, the function $f(x)$ is illustrated in Figure 1 and the GD of $f(x)$ has been shown in Figure 2.

Example 2. Consider the following NSOP which has been introduced by Zhang et al. in [2]:

$$\min_{x \in [-10,10]} f(x) = \left| \frac{x-1}{4} \right| + \left| \sin \left(\pi \left(1 + \frac{x-1}{4} \right) \right) \right| + 1. \quad (38)$$

According to Figure 3, the exact global minimizer is $x^* = 1$. The comparison results of our approach and [2] show

TABLE 3: Numerical results of Example 6.

N	Approximate optimal solution	Approximate optimal value
50	$x = 0.49000000$	$f_1(x, y) = 0.01000000$
	$y = 0.49000000$	$f_2(x, y) = 0.02000000$
100	$x = 0.49500000$	$f_1(x, y) = 0.00500000$
	$y = 0.49500000$	$f_2(x, y) = 0.01000000$
200	$x = 0.49750000$	$f_1(x, y) = 0.00250000$
	$y = 0.49750000$	$f_2(x, y) = 0.00500000$
500	$x = 0.49900000$	$f_1(x, y) = 0.00100000$
	$y = 0.49900000$	$f_2(x, y) = 0.00200000$

FIGURE 12: The graph of $f(x, y)$ of Example 6.

that our approach has acceptable precision and accuracy (see Table 1). The GD of $f(x)$ has been shown in Figure 4.

Example 3. Let the following NSOP:

$$\text{Min}_{x \in [0,1], y \in [0,1]} f(x, y) = xy^2 - |\sin \pi(y - 0.5)| - y|x - 0.5|, \quad (39)$$

which has its minimum at $x^* = 0$, $y^* = 1$ (see Figure 5) and the minimum exact value of $f(x, y)$ is $f^* = -1.5$. According to the problem (31), produces the following approximate solutions for different values of N (see Table 2). Figures 6 and 7 have been shown in the graph of GD of $f(x, y)$ with respect to x and y .

Example 4. Consider the following nonsmooth equation

$$f(x) = 1 - |0.5 - \cos(\pi x)| = 0, \quad x \in [0, 1]. \quad (40)$$

The above problem has an exact root $x^* = 2/3 \approx 0.6666667$. According to the problem (34), the approximate solution for $n = 100$ is $x = 0.66499999$ and the approximate $f(x)$ for this root is $f(0.66499999) = 0.004541$. Figures 8 and 9 have been shown in the graph of function $f(x)$ and the graph of GD of $f^2(x)$, respectively.

Example 5. Consider the following nonsmooth equation:

$$f(x) = |x + 0.25| - |x - 0.75| + 0.5 |\sin \pi x| = 0, \quad x \in [-1, 1]. \quad (41)$$

The function $f(x)$ is nondifferentiable at $x = -0.25, 0, 0.75$ in the interval $[-1, 1]$. It can be viewed as the place of the root in Figure 10 and the achieved approximate solution for $n = 400$ is $x = 0.1424999$. Also the approximate value function for this solution is $f(0.1424999) = 0.001436$. The graph of GD of $f^2(x)$ is illustrated in Figure 11.

Example 6. Consider the following system of nonsmooth equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, y) &= |x - y| + |x - 0.5| = 0, \\ f_2(x, y) &= |x - 0.75| - y + 0.25 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $x \in [0, 1]$, $y \in [0, 1]$. The exact solution of the above system is $x^* = y^* = 0.5$ (see Figure 12).

According to the problem (34), $f(x, y) = f_1^2(x, y) + f_2^2(x, y)$ and so we solve $\text{Min } f(x, y)$. Table 3 presents the results of approximate solution for different values of N .

6. Conclusions

We have shown that NSOP can be approximated by a linear optimization problem whose solution can be used for that problem. In this approach, we utilize new GD which it is practical and useful for nonsmooth functions. Also by this approach, it is possible to solve system of nonsmooth equations. The results of numerical examples imply that our approach is useful with respect to results and more applicable to computational assignments.

References

- [1] D. Z. Du, L. Qi, and R. S. Womersley, *Recent Advances in Nonsmooth Optimization*, World Scientific Publishing, 1995.
- [2] Y. Zhang, L. Zhang, and Y. Xu, "New filled functions for nonsmooth global optimization," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 3114–3129, 2009.
- [3] A. V. Kamyad, M. H. Noori Skandari, and H. R. Erfanian, "A new definition for generalized first derivative of nonsmooth functions," *Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2, no. 10, pp. 1252–1257, 2011.
- [4] R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex functions and dual extremum problems [Ph.D. thesis]*, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass, USA, 1963.
- [5] F. H. Clarke, *Necessary conditions for nonsmooth problems in optimal control and the calculus of variations [Ph.D. thesis]*, University of Washington, Seattle, Wash, USA, 1973.
- [6] L. Moreau and D. Aeyels, "Optimization of discontinuous functions: a generalized theory of differentiation," *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 53–69, 2001.
- [7] M. S. Bazaraa, H. D. Sherali, and C. M. Shetty, *Nonlinear Programming: Theory and Algorithms*, Wiley, 2006.
- [8] F. H. Clarke, *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1983.

- [9] X. Chen, “Smoothing methods for nonsmooth, nonconvex minimization, mathematical programming,” *Mathematical Programming*, vol. 134, no. 1, pp. 71–99, 2012.
- [10] X. Chen, Z. Nashed, and Q. Liqun, “Convergence of Newton’s method for singular smooth and nonsmooth equations using adaptive outer inverses,” *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 445–462, 1997.
- [11] Y. F. Yang, “A new trust region method for nonsmooth equations,” *ANZIAM Journal*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 595–607, 2003.