Killing Vector Fields in Generalized Conformal \( \beta \)-Change of Finsler Spaces
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1. Introduction

In 1976, Hashiguchi [1] studied the conformal change of Finsler metrics; namely, \( \bar{L} = e^{\sigma(x)} L \). In particular, he also dealt with the special conformal transformation named \( C - \) conformal transformation. This change has been studied by Izumi [2] and Kropina [3]. In 2008, Abed [4, 5] introduced the transformation \( \bar{L} = e^{\sigma(x)} L + \beta \), thus generalizing the conformal, Randers, and generalized Randers changes. Moreover, he established the relationships between some important tensors associated with \( (M, L) \) and the corresponding tensors associated with \( (M, \bar{L}) \). He also studied some invariant and \( \sigma \)-invariant properties and obtained a relationship between the Cartan connection associated with \( (M, L) \) and the transformed Cartan connection associated with \( (M, \bar{L}) \).

In this paper, we deal with a general change of Finsler metrics defined by

\[ L(x, y) \rightarrow \bar{L}(x, y) = f(e^{\sigma(x)} L(x, y), \beta(x, y)), \tag{1} \]

where \( f \) is a positively homogeneous function of degree one in \( \bar{L} := e^{\sigma} L \) and \( \beta \). This change will be referred to as a generalized \( \beta \)-conformal change. It is clear that this change is a generalization of the abovementioned changes and deals simultaneously with \( \beta \)-change and conformal change. It combines also the special case of Shibata (\( \bar{L} = f(L, \beta) \)) and that of Abed (\( \bar{L} = e^\sigma L, \beta \)).

In 1984, Shibata [6] studied \( \beta \)-change of Finsler metrics and discussed certain invariant tensors under such a change. Killing equations play important role in the study of a Finsler space which undergoes a change in the metric. In fact, they give an equivalent characterization for the transformations to preserve distances. In 1979, Singh et al. [7] studied a Randers space \( F^n(M, L(x, y) = (g_{ij}(x)y^iy^j)^{1/2} + b_i(x)y^i), n \geq 2 \), which undergoes a change \( L(x, y) \mapsto L^*(x, y) = L^2(x, y) + \alpha(x)y^2 \). They discussed Killing correspondence of spaces \( F^n(M, L) \) and \( F^*(M, L^*) \).

In the present paper, we consider a general Finsler space \( F^n(M, L) \) which undergoes conformal and \( \beta \)-change; that is, \( L(x, y) \rightarrow \bar{L}(x, y) = f(e^{\sigma(x)} L(x, y), \beta(x, y)), \) where \( \beta(x, y) = b_i(x)y^i \) is a 1-form. We study Killing correspondence of Finsler spaces \( F^n(M, L) \) and \( F^*(M, \bar{L}) \). For the notations and terminology, we refer the reader to the books [8, 9] and the papers [6] by Shibata and [10] by Youssef et al.

2. Preliminaries

Let \( F^n = (M, L), n \geq 2 \), be an \( n \)-dimensional \( C^\infty \) Finsler manifold with fundamental function \( L = L(x, y) \). Consider
the following change of Finsler structures which will be referred to as a generalized \( \beta \)-conformal change:
\[
L(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{L}(x, y) = f\left( e^{\sigma(x)}L(x, y) , \beta(x, y) \right),
\]
where \( f \) is a positively homogeneous function of degree one in \( e^\sigma L \) and 1-form \( \beta \), where \( \beta = b_1(x)dx^1 \).

We define
\[
f_1 := \frac{\partial f}{\partial L},
\]
\[
f_2 := \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta},
\]
\[
f_{12} := \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial L \partial \beta}, \ldots,
\]
where \( \tilde{L} = e^\sigma L \).

The angular metric tensor \( \tilde{h}_{ij} \) of the space \( \tilde{F}^n \) is given by [10]
\[
\tilde{h}_{ij} = e^\sigma ph_{ij} + q_0m_im_j,
\]
where
\[
p = \frac{ff_1}{L},
\]
\[
q = ff_2,
\]
\[
q_0 = ff_{22},
\]
\[
p_0 = f^2 + q_0
\]
\[
q_{-1} = \frac{ff_{12}}{L},
\]
\[
p_{-1} = q_{-1} + \frac{pf_2}{f},
\]
\[
q_{-2} = \frac{f(e^\sigma f_{11} - f_{1}/L)}{L^2},
\]
\[
p_{-2} = q_{-2} + \frac{e^\sigma p^2}{f^2},
\]
\[
m_i = b_i - \frac{\beta y^i}{L^2} \neq 0,
\]
\[
\sigma_i = \partial_i \sigma.
\]

\( h_{ij} \) is the angular metric tensor of \( F^n \). The fundamental metric tensor \( g_{ij} \) and its inverse \( g^{ij} \) of \( \tilde{F}^n \) are expressed as [10]
\[
\tilde{g}_{ij} = e^\sigma p g_{ij} + p_0b_ib_j + e^\sigma p_{-1} (b_iy_j + b_jy_i)
\]
\[
+ e^\sigma p_{-2}y_iy_j,
\]
\[
\tilde{g}^{ij} = \left( \frac{e^{-\sigma}}{p} \right) g^{ij} - s_0b_ib_j - s_{-1} (b_iy_j + b_jy_i) - s_{-2}y_iy_j,
\]

where
\[
s_0 = \frac{e^\sigma f_2 q_0}{(epL^2)}
\]
\[
s_{-1} = \frac{p_{-1} f^2}{(epL^2)},
\]
\[
s_{-2} = \frac{p_{-1} (e^m p^2 + b^2 f^2)}{(ep\beta L^2)},
\]
\[
\alpha = \frac{f^2 (e^\rho + m^2 q_0)}{L^2} \neq 0,
\]
\[
m^2 = g^{ij}m_im_j.
\]

\( g_{ij} \) and \( g^{ij} \), respectively, are the metric tensor and inverse metric tensor of \( F^n \). The Cartan tensor \( \tilde{C}_{ijk} \) and the associate Cartan tensor \( \tilde{C}^{ij}_i \) of \( \tilde{F}^n \) are given by the following expressions:
\[
\tilde{C}_{ijk} = e^\sigma pC_{ijk} + \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma p_{-1} (h_i m_k + h_j m_i + h_k m_j)
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} p_0m_im_jm_k.
\]

The \( (h)\nu \)-torsion tensor \( \tilde{C}^{ij}_i \) is expressed in terms of \( C^{ij}_i \) as [10]
\[
\tilde{C}^{ij}_i = C^{ij}_i + M^i_j,
\]
where
\[
M^i_j = \frac{1}{2p} \left[ e^{-\sigma} m^i - p m^2 \left( s_0 b^i + s_{-1} y^i \right) \right]
\]
\[
\cdot \left( e^\sigma p_{-1} h_{ij} + p_0 m_im_j \right) - e^\sigma \left( s_0 b^{i} + s_{-1} y^{i} \right)
\]
\[
\cdot \left( pC_{ijs} b^s + p_{-1} m_im_j \right) + \frac{p_{-1}}{2p} \left( h_i m_j + h_j m_i \right);
\]
\[
h^i_j = g^{ij} h_{ij},
\]
\[
p_{02} = \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \beta}.
\]

\( C_{ijk} \) and \( C^{ij}_i \) are, respectively, the Cartan tensor and the associate Cartan tensor of \( F^n \). The spray coefficients \( \tilde{G}^i \) of \( \tilde{F}^n \) in terms of the spray coefficients \( G^i \) of \( F^n \) are expressed as [10]
\[
\tilde{G}^i = G^i + D^i,
\]
where

\[ D^i = \frac{\sigma_0}{2p} \left\{ \left[ 2p - \beta p_{-1} - e^\sigma p^3 L^2 s_{-2} \right. \right. \]
\[ + ps_{-1} \left( 2p e^\sigma p \beta + e^\sigma p^3 L^2 m^2 \right) y^i - 2e^\sigma p^2 \beta s_0 b^i \]
\[ + \frac{q}{p} e^{-\sigma} p^2 \left( \beta + e^\sigma p L^2 \sigma_0 \right) \left( s_0 b^i + s_{-1} y^i \right) \right\} \]
\[ + e^\sigma p L^2 \sigma_0 (s_0 b^i + s_{-1} y^i); \] (12)

\[ E_{jk} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) (b_{jk} + b_{kj}), \]

\[ F_{jk} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) (b_{jk} - b_{kj}), \]

\[ F^i_j = g^{ik} F_{kj}. \]

The symbol “\( \Gamma \)“ denotes \( h \)-covariant derivative with respect to Cartan connection \( \Gamma \) and lower index “0” (except in \( s_0 \)) denotes the contraction by \( y^j \).

The relation between the coefficients \( \mathcal{N}_j^i \) of Cartan nonlinear connection in \( F^n \) and the coefficients \( N_j^i \) of the corresponding Cartan nonlinear connection in \( F^n \) is given by [10]

\[ \mathcal{N}_j^i = N_j^i + D_j^i \] (13)

where

\[ D_j^i = \frac{e^{-\sigma}}{p} A_j^i - (s_0 b^j + s_{-1} y^j) A_j b^i - (q b_{0j} \]
\[ + e^\sigma p L^2 \sigma_0 (s_0 b^i + s_{-1} y^i); \]

\[ A_{ij} = E_{00} B_{ij} + F_{i0} Q_j + q F_{ij} + E_{j0} Q_i - 2 \left( e^\sigma p C_{ij} \right. \]
\[ + V_{ij}) D^i + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0 \left[ 2e^\sigma p b_{ij} + 2e^\sigma p_{-1} m_j y_i - 2\beta B_{ij} \right. \]
\[ + e^\sigma p_{-1} \left( b_i y_j - b_j y_i \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0 \left( e^\sigma L^2 p_{-1} m_j \right. \]
\[ + 2e^\sigma p y_j \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_0 \left( 2e^\sigma p y_i + e^\sigma L^2 p_{-1} m_i \right); \]

\[ A^i_j = g^{ik} A_{kj}, \]

\[ 2B_{ij} = e^\sigma p_{-1} h_{ij} + p_{0j} m_j m_j, \]

\[ Q_i = e^\sigma p_{-1} y_i + q b_i. \] (14)

The coefficients \( F_{jk}^i \) of Cartan connection \( \Gamma \) in \( F^n \) and the coefficients \( F_{jk}^i \) of the corresponding Cartan connection \( \Gamma \) in \( F^n \) are related as follows [10]:

\[ F_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + D_{jk}^i, \] (15)

where

\[ D_{jk}^i = \left( \frac{e^{-\sigma}}{p} \right) g^{ik} \left( s_0 b^j + s_{-1} y^j \right) b^i - \left( s_{-1} b^i \right. \]
\[ + s_{-2} y^i \right] y^j \right) \left( F_{i4} Q_j + F_{i3} Q_j + E_{j4} Q_4 + \frac{1}{2} \right. \]
\[ \cdot \Theta_{i(j,\ell)} \left( 2e^\sigma p C_{jk} D_{l0}^m + 2V_{jkm} D_{l0}^m - K_{jkm} \right. \]
\[ - 2B_{jk} b_{0k} \right\}, \]

\[ V_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma p_{-1} \left( h_i m_k + h_j m_l + h_k m_j \right) + \frac{1}{2} \]
\[ \cdot p_{0i} m_i m_i, \] (16)

\[ K_{ij} = A_{1i} g_j + A_{2i} b_j b_j + A_{3i} \left( h_i y_j + b_j y_i \right) + A_{4i} y_i y_j, \]

\[ A_1 = e^\sigma (2p - \beta p_{-1}), \]

\[ A_2 = -\beta p_{02}, \]

\[ A_3 = e^\sigma p_{-1} + 2 p \frac{1}{L^2} p_{02}, \]

\[ A_4 = e^\sigma p_{-2} - 2 p \frac{1}{L^2} p_{02}, \]

\[ \Theta_{i(j,k,\ell)} \left( A_{j\ell} - A_{k\ell} - A_{j\ell} \right). \]

The tensor \( D_{jk}^i \) has the properties

\[ D_{j0}^i = B_{j0}^i = D_j^i; \]

\[ D_{00}^i = 2D^i, \] (17)

where \( B_{j0}^i = \partial \partial D_j^i \).

3. Killing Vector Fields in Correspondence of \( F^n \) and \( \bar{F}^n \)

Let us consider an infinitesimal transformation

\[ t' x^i = x^i + e^i (x), \] (18)

where \( e \) is an infinitesimal constant and \( e(x) \) is a contravariant vector field.

The vector field \( e(x) \) is said to be a Killing vector field in \( F^n \) if the metric tensor of the Finsler space with respect to the infinitesimal transformation (18) is Lie invariant; that is,

\[ \xi_x g_{ij} = 0, \] (19)

with \( \xi_x \) being the operator of Lie differentiation. Equivalently, the vector field \( e(x) \) is Killing in \( F^n \) if

\[ v_{ij} + v_{ji} + 2C_{ij} v_{i0} = 0, \] (20)

where \( v_i = g_{a} \partial _a v^i \).
Now, we prove the following result which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a Killing vector field in $F^n$ to be Killing in $F^n$.

**Theorem 1.** A Killing vector field $\mathbf{v}(x)$ in $F^n$ is Killing in $F^n$ if and only if

$$M_{ij}v_{\|0} + C_{ijl}v^l D_i^j + C_{ikl}v^l D_k^i + v_i D_i^j + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}(2C_{ijl}v^l D^i + v_i D^j_i) = 0,$$

where $C_{ijl}$ is the associate Cartan tensor of $F^n$.

**Proof.** Assume that $\mathbf{v}(x)$ is Killing in $F^n$. Then (20) is satisfied. By definition, the $h$-covariant derivatives of $v_i$ with respect to $CT$ and $CI$ are, respectively, given as

(a) $v_{ij} = \partial v_i - (\partial v_j)G^j_i - v_j F^i_{\|j}$,

(b) $v_{ij} = \partial v_i - (\partial v_j)G^j_i - v_j F^i_{\|j}$,

where $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x^j$ and "||" denote the $h$-covariant differentiation with respect to $CT$. Equation (22)(a), by virtue of (11), (15), and (22)(b), takes the form

$$v_{\|ij} = v_{ij} - 2C_{irj}v^l D^j_i - v_j D^j_i.$$ (23)

Now, from (23), we have

$$v_{ij} + v_{ji} + 2C_{ijl}v_{l|0} = v_{ij} + v_{ji} + 2C_{ijl}v_{l|0} - 2C_{irj}v^l D^j_i - 2C_{irj}v^l D^j_i - 2C_{ijl}v^l D^j_i - v_i D^j_i.$$ (24)

Using (9) in (24) and applying (20), we get

$$v_{ij} + v_{ji} + 2C_{ijl}v_{l|0} = 2M_{ij}v_{l|0} - 2C_{irj}v^l D^j_i - 2C_{irj}v^l D^j_i - 2C_{ijl}v^l D^j_i.$$ (25)

Proof is complete with the observation that $\mathbf{v}(x)$ is Killing in $F^n$ if and only if $v_{ij} + v_{ji} + 2C_{ijl}v_{l|0} = 0$, that is, if and only if (21) holds.

As another important consequence of Theorem 1, we have the following.

**Corollary 3.** If a vector field $\mathbf{v}(x)$ is Killing in $F^n$ and $F^n$, then the vector $v_i(x, y)$ is orthogonal to the vector $D^i(x, y)$.

**Proof.** As $\mathbf{v}(x)$ is Killing in $F^n$ and $F^n$, (21) holds, which on transvection by $y^l$ gives (26). Again Transvection (26) by $y^l$, it follows that $v_i D^j_i = 0$. This proves the result. 

### 4. Conclusion

The main purpose of the present paper is to examine the classical approach to the problem of existence of Killing vector fields and study how they vary from point to point and how they are related to Killing vector fields defined on the whole manifold. In this respect, our purpose is similar to that of Shukla and Gupta on the study of projective motion. Actually, there is a more substantial relation of our work to theirs where we proved Theorem 1 as the main result and as its consequences we obtained Corollaries 2 and 3. Since the Killing equation (19) is a necessary and sufficient condition for the transformation (18) to be a motion in $F^n$, condition (21) obtained in Theorem 1 may be taken as the necessary and sufficient condition for the vector field $\mathbf{v}(x)$, generating a motion in $F^n$, to generate a motion in $F^n$ as well. It is clear that vector field $\mathbf{v}(x)$, generating an affine motion (resp., projective motion) in $F^n$, generates an affine motion (resp., projective motion) in $F^n$ if condition (21) holds. Our study has applications to link various transformations in $F^n$ with the corresponding transformations in $F^n$.
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