1. Introduction

The notion of semiring was introduced by Vandiver [1] in 1934 whereas \( \Gamma \)-semiring was introduced and studied by Rao [2] in 1995 as a generalization of the notion of \( \Gamma \)-rings as well as of semirings. It is well known that semiring is a generalization of a ring and \( \Gamma \)-semiring is a generalization of semiring but interestingly ideals of semirings do not coincide with ideals of rings. Applications of semirings are not limited to theoretical computer science, graph theory, optimization theory, automata, coding theory, and formal languages but in other branches of sciences and technologies as well.

Quasi-ideal was first introduced by O. Steinfeld for semigroups [3] and then for rings by the same author O. Steinfeld [4] where it is seen that quasi-ideal is a generalization of left and right ideals. Kiyoishi Iseki [5] introduced quasi-ideals for semirings without zero and showed results based on them. Donges studied quasi-ideals in semirings in [6] whereas Shabir et al. [7] characterized semirings by using quasi-ideals. Minimal quasi-ideals for \( \Gamma \)-semiring was studied by Iseki [5].

The concept of bi-ideal for semigroups was given by Good and Hughes [8] in 1952. Generalized bi-ideal was then introduced for rings in 1970 by Szasz [9, 10] and then for semigroups by Lajos [11]. Many types of ideals on the algebraic structures were characterized by several authors such as the following. In 2000, Dutta and Sardar studied the characterization of semiprime ideals and irreducible ideals of \( \Gamma \)-semirings [12]. In 2004, Sardar and Dasgupta [13] introduced the notions of primitive \( \Gamma \)-semirings and primitive ideals of \( \Gamma \)-semirings. In 2008, Kaushik et al. [14] introduced and studied bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals in \( \Gamma \)-semirings. In 2008, Pianskool et al. [15] introduced and studied valuation \( \Gamma \)-semirings and valuation \( \Gamma \)-ideals of a \( \Gamma \)-semiring.

In 2008, Chinram studied properties of quasi-ideals in \( \Gamma \)-semirings [16], whereas, in 2009, quasi-ideals and minimal quasi-ideals in \( \Gamma \)-semiring were studied by Jagatap and Pawar [17]. In 2014, Jagatap [18] studied prime \( k\)-Bi-ideals in \( \Gamma \)-semirings. In 2015, Lavanya et al. [19] studied prime biterminal \( \Gamma \)-ideals in ternary \( \Gamma \)-semirings. In 2016, Jagatap [20] defined the concept of bi-ideals in a \( \Gamma \)-semiring and studied properties of \( \Gamma \)-ideals in a regular \( \Gamma \)-semiring. In 2018, Rao introduced ideals in ordered \( \Gamma \)-semirings [21]. It is natural to define and study properties of ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals and ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals in ordered \( \Gamma \)-semirings. Therefore, we have extended the concept of ordered ideals in ordered \( \Gamma \)-semirings to that of ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals and ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals in ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \) by considering different order than the order defined in [21].

2. Preliminaries and Basic Definitions

In this section we will define important terminologies based on those concepts which are being used in this paper.

Definition 1. Let \((S,+)\) and \((\Gamma,+)\) be commutative semigroups. Then we call \(S\) a \(\Gamma\)-semiring, if there exists a mapping \( S \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow S \) is written \((x, \alpha, y)\) as \(x \alpha y\) such that it satisfies the following axioms for all \(x, y, z \in S\) and \(\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma\):
Example 2 (see [21]). Let $S$ be a semiring and $M_{pq}(S)$ denote the additive abelian semigroup of all $p \times q$ matrices with identity element whose entries are from $S$. Then $M_{pq}(S)$ is a $\Gamma$-semiring with $\Gamma = M_{pq}(S)$ ternary operation defined by $\alpha xz = x(\alpha)z$ as the usual matrix multiplication, where $x^\prime$ denotes the transpose of the matrix $x$, for all $x, z$, and $\alpha \in M_{pq}(S)$.

Definition 3. A $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ called an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring if it admits a compatible relation $\leq$, i.e., is a partial ordering on $S$ satisfying the following conditions.

If $a \leq b$ and $c \leq d$ then

(i) $a + c \leq b + d$
(ii) $acy \leq bxd$
(iii) $cyd \leq ayz$

Definition 4. An ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ is said to be commutative ordered $\Gamma$-semiring if $xy = yx$ for all $x, y \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Definition 5 (see [21]). A nonempty subset $A$ of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring is called a sub-$\Gamma$-semiring of $S$ if $(A, +)$ is a subsemigroup of $(S, +)$ and $a(yb) \in A$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $y \in \Gamma$.

Definition 6 (see [21]). A nonempty subset $A$ of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ is called a left (right) ideal if $A$ is closed under addition, $A(A \cap S \subseteq A)$ and if, for any $a \in S$, $b \in A$, $a \leq b$. then $a \in A$.

Definition 7. If $A$ is both a left and a right ideal of ordered $\Gamma$-semirings $S$, then $A$ is called an ideal of $S$.

Definition 8. A right ideal $A$ of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ is called a right $k$ ideal if $a \in A$ and $x \in S$ such that $a + x \in A$, and then $x \in S$.

A left $k$ ideal of $S$ is defined in a similar way.

Definition 9 (see [21]). A nonempty subset $K$ of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ is called a $k$ ideal if $K$ is an ideal and $x \in S$, $x + y \in K$, $y \in K$; then $x \in K$.

Definition 10. An ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ is called totally ordered if any two elements of $S$ are comparable.

Definition 11. Let $K$ and $L$ be ordered $\Gamma$-semirings. A mapping $f : K \rightarrow L$ is called a homomorphism if

(i) $f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$,
(ii) $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$, for all $a, b \in K, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

3. Ordered $\Gamma$-Ideals in Ordered-$\Gamma$-Semirings

In this section we define ordered $\Gamma$-ideal, ordered $k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal, ordered $m - k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal, ordered prime quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal, ordered semiprime quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal, ordered maximal quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal, ordered irreducible, and ordered strongly irreducible quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$. We define the relation “$\leq$” in $b$ as $a \leq b$ if $a + x = b$ for any $a, b, x \in S$. For a nonempty subset $X$ of $S$ and $a, b \in S$, we say that $a \leq b$ in $X$ if $a + x = b$ for any $x \in X$.

Definition 12. An ordered sub-$\Gamma$-semiring $Q$ of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ is called an ordered quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ if $STQ \cap Q'S \subseteq Q$ and if, for any $e \in S, q \in Q, a \leq q$ then $a \in Q$ in $Q$.

Definition 13. An ordered quasi-$\Gamma$-ideals $Q$ is called ordered $k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ if $Q$ is an ordered sub-$\Gamma$-semiring of $S$, and if $q \in Q$ and $x \in S$ such that $x + q \in Q$, then $x \in Q$.

Clearly every ordered $k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal is an ordered quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal but converse is not true in general as shown in the following example.

Example 14. Let $S$ be the set of nonnegative integers and $\Gamma = N$ be additive abelian semigroups. Ternary operation is defined as $(x, y, y') ightarrow xy$, usual multiplication of integers. Then $S$ is an ordered $\Gamma$-semirings. A subset $Q = 5S \setminus \{5\}$ of $S$ is an ordered quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ but it is not an ordered $k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$.

Definition 15. An ordered quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal $Q$ of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ is ordered $m - k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ if $q \in Q$, $x \in S, qyx \in Q$ for $y \in \Gamma$; then $x \in Q$.

Theorem 16. Every ordered $m - k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ is an ordered $k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$.

Proof. Let $Q$ be an ordered $m - k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$. Consider $x + q \in Q, q \in Q, x \in S$, and $y \in \Gamma$; then $(x + q)y x \in Q$. Then $x \in Q$, since $Q$ is an ordered $m - k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal. Hence $Q$ is an ordered $k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$.

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 16 is not true in general.

Example 17. Let $S$ be the set of all natural numbers. Then $S$ with usual ordering is an ordered semiring. If $\Gamma = S$, then $S$ is an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring. If $Q = \{2, 4, 6, \ldots\}$ then $Q$ forms an ordered $k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal but not ordered $m - k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideals of ordered $\Gamma$-semring $S$.

Definition 18. An ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ is called band if every element of $S$ is an idempotent.

Theorem 19. Let $Q$ be an ordered sub-$\Gamma$-semiring of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ in which semigroup $(S, +)$ is a band. Then $Q$ is an ordered quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ if and only if $Q$ is an ordered $k$ quasi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$. 


Proof. Let \( Q \) be an ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \) and \( x + q \in Q, q \in Q \), and then \( x + (x + q) = (x + x) + q = x + q \Rightarrow x \leq x + q \Rightarrow x \in Q \) as \( Q \) is an ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \). Hence, \( Q \) is an ordered \( k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \).

Conversely suppose that \( Q \) is an ordered \( k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \). Let \( x \in S \), \( q \in Q \) and \( x \leq q \Rightarrow x + q \in Q \Rightarrow x \in Q \), since \( Q \) is an ordered \( k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \). Hence \( Q \) is an ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \).

Definition 20. An ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( Q \) of \( S \) is called an irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if \( \exists Q_1 \neq Q \) and \( Q_2 \neq Q \), for any ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) of \( S \).

Definition 21. An ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( Q \) of \( S \) is called a prime ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if \( \exists Q_1 \neq Q \) implies \( Q_1 \subset Q \) or \( Q_2 \subset Q \), for any ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) of \( S \).

Definition 22. An ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( Q \) of \( S \) is called a strongly irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if, for any ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) of \( S \), \( Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subset Q \), \( Q_1 \subset Q \) or \( Q_2 \subset Q \), it is obvious that every strongly irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal is an irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal.

Definition 23. An ordered \( k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( Q \) of \( S \) is called a prime ordered \( k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if \( \exists Q_1 \neq Q \) implies \( Q_1 \subset Q \) or \( Q_2 \subset Q \), for any ordered \( k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) of \( S \).

Definition 24. An ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( Q \) of \( S \) is called a prime ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if \( \exists Q_1 \neq Q \) implies \( Q_1 \subset Q \) or \( Q_2 \subset Q \), for any ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) of \( S \).

Definition 25. An ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( Q \) of \( S \) is called a semiprime ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if \( \exists Q_1 \neq Q \) implies \( Q_1 \subset Q \) or \( Q_2 \subset Q \), for any ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) of \( S \).

It is clear that every strongly prime ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal in \( S \) is a prime ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal and every prime ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal in \( S \) is a semiprime ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal.

Definition 26. An ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( Q \) of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \) is said to be maximal ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if \( Q \neq S \) and for every ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( C \) of \( S \) with \( Q \subseteq C \subseteq S \), then either \( Q = C \) or \( Q = S \).

Theorem 27. In an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \), every maximal ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \) is irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \).

Proof. Let \( Q_m \) be a maximal ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \). Suppose \( Q_m \) is not irreducible and \( Q_m = U \cap V \Rightarrow Q_m \neq U \) and \( Q_m \neq V \Rightarrow Q_m \subset U \subset S \) and \( Q_m \subset V \subset S \) a contradiction. Hence \( Q_m \) is irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \).

Theorem 28. Let \( Q \) be an ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \).

(i) If \( Q \) is a prime ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal, then \( Q \) is a strongly irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal.

(ii) If \( Q \) is a strongly irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal, then \( Q \) is an irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal.

Proof. Let \( Q \) be an ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \).

(i) Suppose \( Q \) is a prime ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal, \( J \) and \( K \) are ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \) such that \( J \cap K \subseteq Q \Rightarrow J \subseteq Q \) or \( K \subseteq Q \). Hence, \( Q \) is a strongly irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal.

(ii) Suppose \( Q \) is a strongly irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal; \( J \) and \( K \) are ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \) such that \( J \cap K = Q \). Then certainly \( J \cap K \subseteq Q \Rightarrow J \subseteq Q \) or \( K \subseteq Q \). Hence \( J = Q \) or \( K = Q \).

Theorem 30. Let \( f : K \rightarrow L \) be a homomorphism of ordered \( \Gamma \)-semirings. If \( J \) is an ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( L \), then \( f^{-1}(J) \) is an ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( K \).

Proof. Suppose \( J \) is an ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( L \), \( f : K \rightarrow L \) is a homomorphism of ordered \( \Gamma \)-semirings, and \( x, y \in f^{-1}(J) \Rightarrow f(x), f(y) \in J \Rightarrow f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) \in J \Rightarrow x + y \in f^{-1}(J) \). Hence \( f^{-1}(J) \) is a quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal.

Corollary 29. Let \( S \) be an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring. If \( Q \) is a prime ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \), then \( Q \) is an irreducible ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \).

Theorem 31. Let \( S \) be an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring. If \( Q \) is an ordered \( m - k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \), then \( Q \) is a maximal ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \).

Proof. Let \( Q \) be an ordered \( m - k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \), Suppose \( f \) is an ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \) such that \( Q \subseteq J \), \( x \in J \), \( y \in Q \) and \( y \in \Gamma \). We have \( xy \in Q \Rightarrow x \in Q \), since \( Q \) is an ordered \( m - k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \). Therefore \( Q = J \). Hence ordered \( m - k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( Q \) of \( S \) is maximal ordered quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \).

Theorem 32. Let \( S \) be an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring in which \((S, +)\) is cancellative semigroup and \( H = \{x \in S \mid x + x = x\} \). If \( H \neq \emptyset \), then \( H \) is an ordered \( m - k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \).
Theorem 33. The intersection of family of prime (or semiprime) ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ is a semiprime ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal.

Proof. Let $\{P_i | i \in \Lambda\}$ be the family of prime ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ and $P = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P_i$. Since $STP = ST\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} (ST^{i}(P_i)) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P_i (PTS) = STP \bigcap \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} (P_i)TS \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} (P_i)TS \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P_i = P$. Hence $P$ is a quasi-$Γ$-ideal.

Now for any $y \in P$ such that $x \preceq y \implies x \in P \forall i \implies x \in P$. Hence $P$ is ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$. For any ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal $Q$ of $S$, $Q \cap Q_i \subseteq P$ implies $Q \subseteq P$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. As $P$ are semiprime ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideals, $Q \subseteq P$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Hence $Q \subseteq P$.

Theorem 34. Every strongly irreducible, semiprime ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ is a strongly prime ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal.

Proof. Let $Q$ be a strongly irreducible and semiprime ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$. For any ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ of $S$, let $(Q_1 \cap Q_2) \cap (Q_1 \cap Q_2) \subseteq Q$. $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is a quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$, since $(Q_1 \cap Q_2)^2 = (Q_1 \cap Q_2)(Q_1 \cap Q_2) \subseteq Q_1 \cap Q_2$. Similarly we get $(Q_1 \cap Q_2)^2 = (Q_1 \cap Q_2)\Gamma(Q_1 \cap Q_2) \subseteq Q_1 \cap Q_2$.

Therefore $(Q_1 \cap Q_2)^2 \subseteq Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subseteq Q$. As $Q$ is a semiprime ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal, $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subseteq Q$. But $Q$ is a strongly irreducible ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal. Therefore, $Q \subseteq Q$ or $Q_2 \subseteq Q$. Thus $Q$ is a strongly prime ordered quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$.

Theorem 35. If $Q$ is an ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ and $q \in S$ such that $q \notin Q$, then there exists an irreducible ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal $Q_1$ of $S$ such that $Q \subseteq Q_1$ and $q \notin Q_1$.

Proof. Let $F$ be the family of ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideals of $S$ which contain $Q$ but do not contain element $q$. Then $F$ is nonempty as $Q \in F$. This family of ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideals of $S$ forms a partially ordered set under the set inclusion. Hence by Zorn’s lemma there exists a maximal ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal say $Q_1$ in $F$. Therefore $Q \subseteq Q_1$, and $q \notin Q_1$. Now to show that $Q_1$ is an irreducible ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$, let $K$ and $L$ be any two ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideals of $S$ such that $K \cap L = Q_1$. Suppose that $K$ and $L$ both contain $Q_1$ properly. But $Q_1$ is a maximal ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal in $F$. Hence we get $q \in K$ and $q \in L$. Therefore $q \in K \cap L = Q_1$ which is absurd. Thus either $K = Q_1$ or $L = Q_1$. Therefore, $Q_1$ is an irreducible ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$.

Theorem 36. The following statements are equivalent in $S$:

1. The set of ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideals of $S$ is totally ordered set under inclusion of sets.
2. Each ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ is strongly irreducible.
3. Each ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ is irreducible.

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2) Suppose that the set of ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideals of $S$ is a totally ordered set under inclusion of sets. Let $B$ be any ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$. Then $B$ is a strongly irreducible ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ for that let $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ be any two ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideals of $S$ such that $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \subseteq Q$. But, by the hypothesis, we have either $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ or $Q_2 \subseteq Q_1$. Therefore, $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_1$ or $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_2$. Hence $Q_1 \subseteq Q$ or $Q_2 \subseteq Q$. Thus $Q$ is a strongly irreducible ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$.

(2) $\implies$ (3) Suppose that each ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ is strongly irreducible. Let $Q$ be any ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ such that $Q = Q_1 \cap Q_2$ for any ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ of $S$. But by hypothesis $Q_1 \subseteq Q$ or $Q_2 \subseteq Q$. As $Q \subseteq Q_1$ and $Q \subseteq Q_2$, we get $Q_1 = Q$ or $Q_2 = Q$. Hence $Q$ is an irreducible ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$.

(3) $\implies$ (1) Suppose that each ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ is an irreducible ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal. Let $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ be any two ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideals of $S$. Then $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ is also ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$. Hence $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_1 \cap Q_2$ will imply $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_1$ or $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_2$ by assumption. Therefore either $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ or $Q_2 \subseteq Q_1$. This shows that the set of ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ is totally ordered set under inclusion of sets.

Theorem 37. A prime ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal $Q$ of $S$ is a prime one sided ordered $k$ ideal of $S$.

Proof. Let $Q$ be a prime $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$. Suppose $Q$ is not a one sided $k$ ideal of $S$. Therefore, $Q TS \not\subseteq Q$ and $STQ \not\subseteq Q$. As $Q$ is a prime $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal, $(Q^TS')(STQ) \not\subseteq Q$. $(Q^TS')(STQ) \not\subseteq Q$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $Q TS \subseteq Q$ or $STQ \subseteq Q$. Thus $Q$ is a prime one sided $k$ ideal of $S$.

Theorem 38. An ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal $Q$ of $S$ is prime if and only if, for a right ordered $k$ ideal $R$ and a left ordered $k$ ideal $L$ of $S$, $RIL \subseteq Q$ implies $R \subseteq Q$ or $L \subseteq Q$.

Proof. Suppose that an ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$ is a prime ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$. Let $R$ be a right ordered $k$ ideal and $L$ be a left ordered $k$ ideal of $S$ such that $RIL \subseteq Q$. $R$ and $L$ are ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$. Hence $R \subseteq Q$ or $L \subseteq Q$. Conversely, we have to show that an ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal $Q$ of $S$ is a prime ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideal of $S$. Let $A$ and $B$ be any two ordered $k$ quasi-$Γ$-ideals of $S$ such that $ATC \subseteq Q$. For any $a \in A$ and $c \in C$, $(a) \subseteq A$ and $(c) \subseteq C$, where $(a)$ and $(c)$ denote the right ordered $k$ ideal and left ordered $k$ ideal generated by $a$ and $c$, respectively. Thus $(a), (c) \subseteq ATC \subseteq Q$. Hence by the assumption $(a) \subseteq B$ or $(c) \subseteq Q$ which further
gives that \( a \in Q \) or \( c \in Q \). In this way we get that \( A \subseteq Q \) or \( C \subseteq Q \). Hence \( Q \) is a prime ordered \( k \) quasi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \). \( \square \)

4. Ordered Bi-\( \Gamma \)-Ideals in Ordered-\( \Gamma \)-Semirings

In this section we define ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal, ordered \( k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal, ordered \( m - k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal, ordered prime bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal, ordered semiprime bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal, ordered maximal bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal, ordered irreducible, and ordered strongly irreducible bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \). Recall the relation in the previous section \( “\leq” \) in \( b \) as \( a \leq b \) if \( a + x = b \) for any \( a, b, x \in S \). Further for a nonempty subset \( X \) of \( S \) and \( a, b \in S \), \( a \leq b \) in \( X \) if \( a + x = b \) for any \( x \in X \).

Definition 39. An ordered sub-\( \Gamma \)-semiring \( B \) of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \) is called an ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \) if \( B' \subseteq \Gamma B \subseteq S \) and if, for any \( e \in S, b \in B \), \( a \leq b \) in \( B \), then \( a \in B \).

Definition 40. An ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( B \) is called ordered \( k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \) if \( B \) is an ordered sub-\( \Gamma \)-semiring of \( S \), and if \( b \in B \) and \( x \in S \) such that \( x + b \in B \), then \( x \in B \).

Clearly every ordered \( k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal is an ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal but converse is not true in general as shown in the following example.

Example 41. Let \( S \) be the set of nonnegative integers and \( \Gamma = N \) be additive abelian semigroups. Ternary operation is defined as \( (x, y, y) \rightarrow xy \), usual multiplication of integers. Then \( S \) is an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semirings. A subset \( B = 75 \setminus \{7\} \) of \( S \) is an ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \) but it is not an ordered \( k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \).

Definition 42. An ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( B \) of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \) is called ordered \( m - k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \) if \( b \in B, x \in S, bx \in B \) for \( y \in \Gamma \), and then \( x \in B \).

Theorem 43. Every ordered \( m - k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \) is an ordered \( k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \).

Proof. Let \( B \) be an ordered \( m - k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \). Consider \( x + b \in B, b \in B, x \in S \) and \( y \in \Gamma \); then \( (x + b)y \in B \). Then \( x \in B \), since \( B \) is an ordered \( m - k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal. Hence \( B \) is an ordered \( k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \). \( \square \)

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 43 is not true in general.

Example 44. Let \( S \) be the set of all natural numbers. Then \( S \) with usual ordering is an ordered semiring. If \( \Gamma = S \), then \( S \) is an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring. If \( B = \{2, 4, 6, \ldots\} \) then \( B \) forms an ordered \( k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal but not ordered \( m - k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals of ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \).

Definition 45. An ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \) is called band if every element of \( S \) is a \( y \)-idempotent.

Theorem 46. Let \( B \) be an ordered sub-\( \Gamma \)-semiring of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \) in which semigroup \( (S, +) \) is a band. Then \( B \) is an ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \) if and only if \( B \) is an ordered \( k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals of \( S \).

Definition 47. An ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( B \) of \( S \) is called an irreducible ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if \( B \neq \emptyset \) and \( B \neq S \), then \( B \subseteq \Gamma B \subseteq S \).

Definition 48. An ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( B \) of \( S \) is called strongly irreducible ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if, for any ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) of \( S \), \( B_1 \subseteq B \) or \( B_2 \subseteq B \) and \( B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset \).

Definition 49. An ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( B \) of \( S \) is called a prime ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if \( B \cap B_1 \cap B_2 = B \) implies \( B_1 \subseteq B \) or \( B_2 \subseteq B \), for any ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals \( B_1, B_2 \) of \( S \).

Definition 50. An ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( B \) of \( S \) is called a prime ordered \( k \) bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if \( B \cap B_1 \cap B_2 = B \) implies \( B_1 \subseteq B \) or \( B_2 \subseteq B \), for any ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals \( B_1, B_2 \) of \( S \).

Definition 51. An ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( B \) of \( S \) is called a strongly prime ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if \( (B \cap B_1) \cap (B \cap B_2) \subseteq B \) implies \( B_1 \subseteq B \) or \( B_2 \subseteq B \), for any ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals \( B_1, B_2 \) of \( S \).

Definition 52. An ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( B \) of \( S \) is called a semiprime ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if for any ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideals \( B_1 \) of \( S \) \( B_1^2 = B_1 \Gamma B_1 \subseteq B \) implies \( B_1 \subseteq B \).

It is clear that every strongly prime ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal in \( S \) is a prime ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal and every prime ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal in \( S \) is a semiprime ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal.

Definition 53. An ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( B \) of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \) is said to be maximal ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal if \( B \neq S \) and for every ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal \( C \) of \( S \) with \( B \subseteq C \subseteq S \), then either \( B = C \) or \( B = S \).

Theorem 54. In an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \), every maximal ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \) is irreducible ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of \( S \).

Proof. Let \( B_m \) be a maximal ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \). Suppose \( B_m \) is not irreducible and \( B_m \neq U \cap V \) \( B_m \neq U \) and \( B_m \neq V \) \( B_m \neq S \) \( B_m \subseteq U \subseteq S \) and \( B_m \subseteq V \subseteq S \) a contradiction. Hence \( B_m \) is irreducible ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \). \( \square \)

Theorem 55. Let \( B \) be an ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal of an ordered \( \Gamma \)-semiring \( S \).

(i) If \( B \) is a prime ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal, then \( B \) is a strongly irreducible ordered bi-\( \Gamma \)-ideal.
(ii) If $B$ is a strongly irreducible ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal, then $B$ is an irreducible ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal.

Proof. Let $B$ be an ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$.

(i) Suppose $B$ is a prime ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal; $J$ and $K$ are ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideals of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ such that $J \cap K = B$. Then $J \cap K \subseteq B \implies J \subseteq B$ or $K \subseteq B$. Hence $B$ is a strongly irreducible ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal.

(ii) Suppose $B$ is a strongly irreducible ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal; $J$ and $K$ are ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideals of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$ such that $J \cap K = B$. Then certainly $J \cap K \subseteq B \implies J \subseteq B$ or $K \subseteq B$. Hence $J = B$ or $K = B$.

Therefore, $B$ is an irreducible ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$. □

Corollary 56. Let $S$ be an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring. If $B$ is a prime ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$, then $B$ is an irreducible ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$.

Theorem 57. Let $f : K \rightarrow L$ be a homomorphism of ordered $\Gamma$-semirings. If $J$ is an ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $L$ then $f^{-1}(J)$ is an ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $K$.

Proof. Suppose $J$ is an ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $L$, $f : K \rightarrow L$ be a homomorphism of ordered $\Gamma$-semirings and $x, y \in f^{-1}(J) \implies f(x), f(y) \in J \implies f(x) + f(y) = f(x + y) \in J \implies x + y \in f^{-1}(J)$. If $x, y \in f^{-1}(J) \implies f(x), f(y) \in J \implies f(xy) = f(xy) \in J \implies xy \in f^{-1}(J)$. Now for any $x, y \in f^{-1}(J)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, s \in K \implies f(s), f(y) \in J$ and $f(s) \in L$. Since $J$ is an ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal, so we have $f(x)f(s)y) = f(xy) \in J \implies f(x) \in J \implies x \in f^{-1}(J)$. Hence $f^{-1}(J)$ is an ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $K$. □

Theorem 58. Let $S$ be an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring. If $B$ is an ordered $m - k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$, then $B$ is a maximal ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$.

Proof. Let $B$ be an ordered $m - k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$. Suppose $J$ is an ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ such that $B \subseteq J$, $x \in J$, $y \in B$ and $y \in J$. We have $y \leq x$. Since $B$ is an ordered $m - k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$, therefore, $B \subseteq J$. Hence ordered $m - k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $B$ is maximal ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$. □

Theorem 59. Let $S$ be an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring in which $(S, +)$ is cancellative semigroup and $H = \{ x \in S | x + x = x \}$. If $H \neq \emptyset$, then $H$ is an ordered $k$ and $m - k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$.

Proof. Let $x \in H, y \in S$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$. Then $x = x + x \implies xy = (x + x)y = xy + yx$. Therefore, $x (x + x) \in H$. Similarly $y \in H$. Suppose $x, y \in H$. Then $x + x = x, y + y = y \implies (x + x) + (y + y) = x + y = y \implies x + y \in H$. Suppose $x \leq y$, $y \in H$. Then $x + y = x \implies x + x + y = x + y \implies x + x = x$. Further $x, y \in H$. Since $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$, and then $x \beta y = (x + x) \beta y = x \beta y + y \beta y$. Therefore, $x \beta y \in H$. Similarly $y \beta x \in H$. Suppose $x, y \in H$. Then $x + x = x, y + y = y \implies (x + y) + (y + y) = x + y = y \implies x + y \in H$. Therefore $H$ is an ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of an ordered $\Gamma$-semiring $S$. Hence ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$. □

Theorem 60. The intersection of family of prime (or semiprime) ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ is a semiprime ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal.

Proof. Let $\{ P_1 | i \in \Lambda \}$ be the family of prime ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ and $P = \bigcap_1 \Lambda P_i$. For, $x, y \in P \implies x, y \in P_i \forall i \implies x \beta y \in P_i \forall i \implies x \beta y \in P$. Hence $P$ is an ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$. For any ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal $B$ of $S$, $B \subseteq P$ implies $B \subseteq P_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$ as $P_i$ are semiprime ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideals, $B \subseteq P_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Hence $B \subseteq P$. □

Theorem 61. Every strongly irreducible, semiprime ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ is a strongly prime ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal.

Proof. Let $B$ be a strongly irreducible and semiprime ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$. For any ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal $B_1$ and $B_2$ of $S$, let $(B_1 \cap B_2) \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ be a bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$. Since $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ and $(B_1 \cap B_2) \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ for all ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideals $B_1 \cap B_2$. Similarly we get $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$ and $(B_1 \cap B_2) \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$. Therefore, $(B_1 \cap B_2) \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$. As $B$ is a semiprime ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal, $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B$. But $B$ is a strongly irreducible ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal. Therefore $B_1 \subseteq B$ or $B_2 \subseteq B$. Thus $B$ is a strongly prime ordered bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$. □

Theorem 62. If $B$ is an ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ and $b \in S$ such that $b \notin B$, then there exists an irreducible ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal $B_1$ of $S$ such that $B \subseteq B_1$ and $B_1 \neq B_1$.

Proof. Let $F$ be the family of ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideals of $S$ which contain $B$ but do not contain element $b$. Then $F$ is nonempty as $F = B$. This family of ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideals of $S$ forms a partially ordered set under the set inclusion. Hence by Zorn’s lemma there exists a maximal ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal say $B_1$ in $F$. Therefore $B \subseteq B_1$ and $B_1 \neq B_1$. Now to show that $B_1$ is an irreducible ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$. Let $K$ and $L$ be any two ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideals of $S$ such that $K \subseteq B_1$. Suppose that $K$ and $L$ both contain $B_1$. Then $B_1$ is a maximal ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal in $F$. Hence we get $b \in K$ and $b \in L$. Therefore $b \in K \cap L = B_1$ which is absurd. Thus either $K = B_1$ or $L = B_1$. Therefore $B_1$ is an irreducible ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$. □

Theorem 63. Following statements are equivalent in $S$:

(1) The set of ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideals of $S$ is totally ordered set under inclusion of sets.

(2) Each ordered $k$ bi-$\Gamma$-ideal of $S$ is strongly irreducible.
(3) Each ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S is irreducible.

**Proof.** (1) \(\Rightarrow\) (2) Suppose that the set of ordered k bi-Γ-ideals of S is a totally ordered set under inclusion of sets. Let B be any ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S. Then B is a strongly irreducible ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S for that let \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) be any two ordered k bi-Γ-ideals of S such that \(B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B\). By the hypothesis, we have either \(B_1 \subseteq B_2\) or \(B_2 \subseteq B_1\). Therefore, \(B_1 \cap B_2 = B_1\) or \(B_1 \cap B_2 = B_2\). Hence \(B_1 \subseteq B_2\) or \(B_2 \subseteq B_1\). Thus B is a strongly irreducible ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S.

(2) \(\Rightarrow\) (3) Suppose that each ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S is strongly irreducible. Let B be any ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S such that \(B = B_1 \cap B_2\) for any ordered k bi-Γ-ideal \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) of S. But by hypothesis \(B_1 \subseteq B\) or \(B_2 \subseteq B\). As \(B \subseteq B_1\) and \(B \subseteq B_2\), we get \(B_1 = B\) or \(B_2 = B\). Hence B is an irreducible ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S.

(3) \(\Rightarrow\) (1) Suppose that each ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S is an irreducible ordered k bi-Γ-ideal. Let \(B_1\) and \(B_2\) be any two ordered k bi-Γ-ideals of S. Then \(B_1 \cap B_2 = B_1\) or \(B_1 \cap B_2 = B_2\) by assumption. Therefore either \(B_1 \subseteq B_2\) or \(B_2 \subseteq B_1\). This shows that the set of ordered k bi-Γ-ideals of S is totally ordered set under inclusion of sets. \(\square\)

**Theorem 64.** A prime ordered k bi-Γ-ideal B of S is a prime one sided ordered k ideal of S.

**Proof.** Let B be a prime k bi-Γ-ideal of S. Suppose B is not a one sided k ideal of S. Therefore, \(BTS \not\subseteq B\) and \(STB \not\subseteq B\). As B is a prime k bi-Γ-ideal, \((BTS)\Gamma(STB) \not\subseteq B\). \((BTS)\Gamma(STB) = B\Gamma(STS) \not\subseteq BTS \subseteq STB \subseteq B\), which is a contradiction. Therefore, \(BTS \subseteq B\) or \(STB \subseteq B\). Thus B is a prime one sided k ideal of S. \(\square\)

**Theorem 65.** An ordered k bi-Γ-ideal B of S is prime if and only if, for a right ordered k ideal R and a left ordered k ideal L of S, \(RTL \subseteq B\) implies \(R \subseteq B\) or \(L \subseteq B\).

**Proof.** Suppose that an ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S is a prime ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S. Let R be a right ordered k ideal and \(L\) be a left ordered k ideal of S such that \(RTL \subseteq B\). R and \(L\) are ordered k bi-Γ-ideals of S. Hence \(R \subseteq B\) or \(L \subseteq B\). Conversely, we have to show that an ordered k bi-Γ-ideal B of S is a prime ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S. Let A and C be any two ordered k bi-Γ-ideals of S such that \(A\Gamma C \subseteq B\). For any \(a \in A\) and \(a \in C\), \((a)\subseteq A\) and \((c)\subseteq C\), where \((a)\) and \((c)\) denote the right ordered k ideal and left ordered k ideal generated by \(a\) and \(c\), respectively. Thus \(a\Gamma(c) \subseteq A\Gamma C \subseteq B\). Here by the assumption we get that \((a)\subseteq B\) or \((c)\subseteq B\) which gives that \(a \in B\) or \(c \in B\). Thus \(A \subseteq B\) or \(C \subseteq B\). Hence B is a prime ordered k bi-Γ-ideal of S. \(\square\)

5. Conclusion

In this work we have given many types of ordered \((k), (m - k) - \Gamma\)-ideals mainly ordered k-(quasi, bi-Γ)-ideal, ordered \(m - k\) (quasi, bi-Γ)-ideal, ordered (semi)prime, (k)-(semi)prime (quasi, bi-Γ)-ideals, ordered maximal(k)-(quasi, bi-Γ)-ideals, ordered (strongly) irreducible (quasi, bi-Γ)-ideals. We have studied properties of these different ideals and some of their relations in ordered \(\Gamma\)-semirings. Let \(f : K \rightarrow L\) be a homomorphism of ordered \(\Gamma\)-semirings. We have defined homomorphism and prove that if \(f\) is an ordered quasi-\(\Gamma\)-ideal of \(L\), then \(f^{-1}(J)\) is an ordered quasi-\(\Gamma\)-ideal of an ordered \(\Gamma\)-semiring \(K\). The same is true in case of ordered bi-Γ-ideals. For further research work the extended identical study can be taken under consider for other algebraic structures.
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