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1. Introduction

Let \( p \) be an odd prime number and \( n \) be a positive integer. As usual, let \( v_p(n), N^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \), and \( Q^* = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x > 0\} \) denote the \( p \)-adic valuation of the integer \( n \), the set of positive integers, and the set of positive rational numbers, respectively. Arithmetic functions are classical topics in number theory (see, for example, [1–3]). Recent years, some kinds of periodic functions were introduced by some authors for the investigation of the least common multiple of integer sequences (see, for instance, [4–9]). In this paper, we introduce an interesting arithmetic function \( f_p: N^* \rightarrow Q^* \) defined by

\[
    f_p(n) = \left( \frac{n}{p^{v_p(n)}} \right)^{1-v_p(n)}, \quad (1)
\]

for any positive integer \( n \). We have, for example, \( f_2(1) = 1, f_2(5) = 1, f_2(50) = 1/2, f_3(75) = 1/3, f_5(250) = 1/4 \) etc. It is clear that \( f_p(n) \) is not always an integer for any given prime \( p \).

Throughout this paper, let \( p \) always denote an odd prime. In this paper, we present several interesting arithmetic properties about that function and then use them to establish some curious results involving the \( p \)-adic valuation. This paper is organised as follows. First in Section 2, we show that the product \( F_p(n) = \prod_{r=1}^n f_p(r) \) is always an integer for any positive integer \( n \), and it is, in fact, a multiple of all prime number not equal to \( p \) and not exceeding \( n \). Subsequently in Section 3, we first give a lower bound for \( H_p(n) \) defined in Section 2. Also, two properties of \( p \)-adic valuation are provided. From these results, we can show that \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_p(n))^{1/n} \) does not exist for the odd prime \( p \), which is very different from the case of \( p = 2 \) since Farhi [10] proved that \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_2(n))^{1/n} = 4 \). Finally, Section 4 is devoted to derive an upper bound of \( F_p(n) \) if \( p \geq 3 \). One notes that \( f_3(n) \) was studied by Farhi [10], and \( f_3(n) \) was investigated by Wang et al. [11]. Actually, many results they obtained would be extended in this paper to the case of all odd primes \( p \).

In order to investigate the function \( f_p \), two auxiliary arithmetic functions \( g: Q^* \rightarrow N^* \) and \( h_p: N^* \rightarrow Q^* \) are needed, defined, respectively, by

\[
    g(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in N^*, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \quad (2)
\]

\[
    h_p(r) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} g(r/p^j), \quad (3)
\]

for any integer \( r \in N^* \). Note that the function \( h_p \) is well defined since the product in the denominator of the right-
hand side of (3) is actually finite because \( g(r/p^i) = 1 \) for any sufficiently large \( i \).

Let \( x \) be a rational number. By \( v_p(x) \), denote the \( p \)-adic valuation of \( x \). Define \( N_p(x) \) by

\[
N_p(x) = \frac{x}{p^{v_p(x)}}.
\]

(4)

So, \( f_p(n) = N_p(n)^{1-v_p(n)} \) for any positive integer \( n \). As usual, let \( \lfloor \cdot \rfloor \) be the integer-part function, i.e., \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) represents the largest integer no more than \( x \), and \( \binom{\cdot}{\cdot} \) represent the binomial coefficient. Here, we give a well-known fact, which will be used frequently in this paper, as follows.

**Fact 1.** Each of following is true.

(i) \( \lfloor (x/a)/(b) \rfloor = \lfloor x/ab \rfloor \) for any \( a, b \in \mathbb{N}^* \) and \( x \in \mathbb{Q} \).

(ii) \( \left( \frac{(m+1)!}{k} \right) < \left( \frac{(m+1)^{m+1}}{(m!)^k} \right) \).

(iii) \( \left( \frac{m}{k} \right) < m^k/k! \) hold for any positive integers \( m \) and \( k \).

(iii) (Legendre’s formula) Let \( p \) be a prime and \( n \) be a positive integer. Then,

\[
v_p(n!) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor = \frac{n - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i}{p - 1},
\]

(5)

where \( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i p^i \) is the \( p \)-adic representation of \( n \).

2. The Integrity of the Product Function

Let \( H_p(n) = \prod_{r=1}^{n} h_p(r) \) and \( L_n := \text{lcm}(1, \ldots, n) \) for any positive integer \( n \). Now, we give the first result as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( p \) be any given prime number and \( n \) be a positive integer. Then, the value \( F_p(n) \) is an integer.

**Proof.** First, by the definition of \( g \), for any positive integer \( r \), we have

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} g\left( \frac{r}{p^i} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} g\left( p^{v_p(r)-i} N_p(r) \right)
\]

\[
= \prod_{i=1}^{v_p(r)} g\left( p^{v_p(r)-i} N_p(r) \right)
\]

\[
= \prod_{i=1}^{v_p(r)} p^{v_p(r)-i} N_p(r)
\]

\[
= \prod_{i=0}^{v_p(r)-1} p^i N_p(r)
\]

\[
= p^{v_p(r)} (v_p(r) - 1)/2 \left( N_p(r) \right)^{v_p(r)}
\]

(6)

Then,

\[
h_p(r) = \frac{r}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} g\left( \frac{r}{p^i} \right)} = p^{v_p(r)} \left( \frac{v_p(r)}{2} \right) \left( N_p(r) \right)^{v_p(r)}
\]

\[
= p^{v_p(r)} \left( \frac{v_p(r)}{2} \right) \left( N_p(r) \right)^{v_p(r)}
\]

(7)

It follows that

\[
f_p(r) = p^{v_p(r)} \left( \frac{v_p(r)}{2} \right) h_p(r).
\]

(8)

So, for all positive integers \( n \), we have

\[
F_p(n) = p \prod_{r=1}^{n} f_p(r).
\]

(9)

Now, by applying the function \( N_p \) into each of two sides of (9), we obtain

\[
F_p(n) = N_p F_p(n)
\]

(10)

Therefore, to prove Theorem 1, we only need to prove that \( H_p(n) \) is an integer for any positive integer \( n \). For this purpose, by the definition of \( g \), one notes that \( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} g(i/a) = [r/a]! \) for any positive integers \( r \) and \( a \). Hence,

\[
H_p(n) = \prod_{r=1}^{n} \frac{r}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} g\left( \frac{r}{p^i} \right)} = \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} g\left( \frac{n}{p^i} \right)!} = \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{p^i} \right)!}
\]

(11)

Additionally, \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p^i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p^i} = n/p - 1 \leq n \). Thus, \( n! / \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{p^i} \right)! \right) \) is a multiple of the multinomial coefficient \( \left( \frac{n!}{\left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{p^i} \right)! \right)} \right) \) which is an integer. So, \( n! / \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{p^i} \right)! \right) \) must be an integer. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

Next, let us discuss the divisibility of \( F_p(n) \) by \( N_p(L_n) \). In fact, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** For any positive integer \( n \), \( F_p(n) \) is a multiple of \( N_p(L_n) \). In particular, \( F_p(n) \) is a multiple of all prime numbers not equal to \( p \) and not exceeding \( n \).

**Proof.** By (10) and (11), we know that to prove Theorem 2, it is sufficient to show that \( n! / \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{p^i} \right)! \right) \) is a multiple of \( L_n \), which is equivalent to prove that for all prime numbers \( q \) not exceeding \( n \), we have

\[
v_q \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n}{p^i} \right)! \right) \geq \alpha_q
\]

(12)

where \( \alpha_q = v_q(L_n) \). On the one hand, by (i) and (iii) in Fact 1, one has
\[
v_q\left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^i} \right\rfloor ! \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{q^i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^i} \right\rfloor \frac{n}{q^j} \frac{n}{q^k} \frac{n}{q^l} \frac{n}{q^m} \frac{n}{q^n} \cdots \cdots \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^i} \right\rfloor ! \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^i} \right\rfloor - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^i} \right\rfloor \frac{n}{q^j} \right) (13)
\]

On the other hand, as \( q \leq n \), for each \( 1 \leq i \leq \alpha_q \), one then gets

\[
\left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^i} \right\rfloor - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^i} \right\rfloor \frac{n}{q^j} \geq 1. (15)
\]

Therefore by (13) and (15), we have

\[
v_q\left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^i} \right\rfloor ! \right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1 = \alpha_q, (16)
\]

which implies that (12) is true. So, the proof of Theorem 2 is complete. \( \square \)

### 3. Properties of \( H_p(n) \) and \( F_p(n) \)

Let \( p \) be any odd prime. Now, we turn our attention to the lower bound for \( H_p(n) \). In this section, we first obtain a lower bound for \( H_p(n) \). Secondly, two properties of \( p \)-adic valuations will be given. Finally, one then concludes that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (F_p(n))^{(1/n)} \) does not exist, which varies from the result of the case \( p = 2 \) obtained by Farhi [10] that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (F_2(n))^{(1/n)} = 4 \). First of all, we present one lemma as follows.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( p \) be a prime number. Let \( m \) and \( r \) be integers with \( m \geq 1 \) and \( 1 \leq r \leq p - 1 \). Then, for any positive integer \( i \), one has

\[
\left\lfloor \frac{mp + r}{p^i} \right\rfloor = \frac{mp}{p^i}. (17)
\]

**Proof.** We prove (17) by induction on \( i \) as follows.

For \( i = 1 \), (17) is clearly true.

For the given integer \( i \geq 2 \), suppose that (17) holds for any integer \( j < i \). Let us now show that (17) is true for the integer \( i \). On the one hand, by Fact 1, we have

\[
\left\lfloor \frac{mp + r}{p^i} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{mp + r}{p^{i-1}} \right\rfloor. (18)
\]

On the other hand, by the assumption, we have

\[
\left\lfloor \frac{mp + r}{p^{i-1}} \right\rfloor = \frac{mp}{p^{i-1}}. (19)
\]

So, combining (18) with (19), it then follows from Fact 1 that

\[
\left\lfloor \frac{mp + r}{p^i} \right\rfloor = \frac{mp}{p^i}, (20)
\]

as desired. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Let \( p \geq 3 \) be a given prime number and \( n \) be any positive integer. Now, we present a lower bound for \( H_p(n) \).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime number and \( n \) be a positive integer. Then, we have

\[
H_p(n) \geq \left( \log_3 n \right)^n. (21)
\]

**Proof.** Let \( n \) be positive integer. First, we claim that

\[
H_{p_1}(n) \leq H_{p_2}(n), (22)
\]

for all primes \( p_1, p_2 \) with \( p_1 < p_2 \). In fact, for any positive integer \( i \), one has

\[
\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_1^i} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_2^i} \right\rfloor. (23)
\]

It follows that

\[
\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_1^i} \right\rfloor ! \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_2^i} \right\rfloor !, (24)
\]

which infers that

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_1^i} \right\rfloor ! \geq \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_2^i} \right\rfloor !. (25)
\]

By (11), the desired result (22) follows. Now, let us continue to prove inequality (21). It is well known that \( \log_3 n \geq \log_3 n \) for each positive integer \( n \) and each prime \( p \geq 3 \). Hence, for proving inequality (21), by (22), we only need to prove

\[
H_3(n) = \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor n/3^i \right\rfloor !} \geq \left( \log_3 n \right)^n. (26)
\]

which will be done in what follows.

By using a computer, we have checked that (26) is true for \( 1 \leq n \leq 3^{10} = 59049 \). Now, we show that (26) holds for any integer \( n > 3^{10} \) by induction on \( n \). Let \( n > 3^{10} \) be the fixed positive integer. Assume that (26) is true for all integers less
than $n$. We need to show that (26) is true for the integer $n$. We divide our proof into the following three cases.

**Case 1.** $n = 3m$, where $m$ is an integer with $m > 3^9$. In this case, we have

$$H_3(n) = H_3(3m) = \frac{(3m)!}{m! \cdot m! \cdot \prod_{i=1}^\infty [m/3]^i}.$$

(27)

Since $2 \times 3^9 > (m + 1)^3$, we have $H_3(n) > (2m)^m > (\log_3 m + 1)^{3m} = (\log_3 3m)^{3m}$.

Therefore, by the assumption that $H_3(m) > (\log_3 m)^m$, we have

$$H_3(n) = H_3(3m) > (\log_3 3m)^{3m}.$$

Combining the above cases, we have that (26) is true for any integer $n > 3^{10}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

In the following, we present two curious properties of $p$-adic valuations from $f_p(n)$.

**Theorem 4.** Let $p$ and $q$ be distinct primes and $n$ be a positive integer. Then, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_q(f_p(i)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_q(i) - \lfloor \log_q n \rfloor.$$

(33)

**Proof.** On the one hand, by Theorem 2, we have

$$\nu_q(f_p(i)) = \nu_q(N_p([L_n]) = \nu_q(L_n) = \lfloor \log_q n \rfloor.$$

(34)

On the other hand, for any integer $i \geq 1$, we get

$$\nu_q(f_p(i)) = \nu_q(N_p([L_n]) = \nu_q(L_n) = \lfloor \log_q n \rfloor.$$

(35)

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (1 - \nu_p(i)) \nu_q(i) \geq \lfloor \log_q n \rfloor.$$

(36)

So, the desired result follows. This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.

**Theorem 5.** Let $p$ be a prime number and $n$ be a positive integer. Let $a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \cdots + a_rp^r$ be the $p$-adic expansion of $n$. Then, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_p(i)(3 - \nu_p(i)) = \frac{2p - 4}{(p-1)^2} \sum_{i=0}^s (p^i - 1)a_i + \frac{2}{p - 1} \sum_{i=0}^s ia_i.$$

(37)

**Proof.** First, it is easy to see that (37) is true when $n < p$. So, in what follows, we let $n \geq p$. Then, (37) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_p(i)(3 - \nu_p(i)) = \frac{2p - 4}{(p-1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^s (p^i - 1)a_i + \frac{2}{p - 1} \sum_{i=1}^s ia_i.$$

(38)

Now, we show that (38) holds. Taking the $p$-adic valuation on both sides of identity (9), using Fact 1 and (11), one has

$$H_3(n) = (3m + 2)H_3(3m + 1) > (\log_3 (3m + 2))^{3m+2} = (\log_3 n)^n.$$

(32)
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_p(i)(3 - v_p(i)) = v_p(H_p(n))
\]
\[
= v_p\left(\frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{n}{p^i})^i}\right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n}{p^i} \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n}{p^{ij}} \right].
\]

One notes that \(\#\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid i + j = k\} = k - 1\), where \(k \geq 2\). Then,
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n}{p^{ij}} \right] = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n}{p^i} \right] = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k - 1) \left[ \frac{n}{p^k} \right].
\]
Then, (39) becomes
\[
((p-1)i - p + 2) \left[ \frac{n}{p^i} \right] - pi \left[ \frac{n}{p^{i+1}} \right] = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
(p - 2)(i - 1) \left[ \frac{n}{p^i} \right] + i a_i, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq s,
0, & \text{if } i > s.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Now, at the end of this section, by using Theorems 3 and 5, one gets a result of limitation concerning \(F_p(n)\) as follows.

**Corollary 1.** Let \(p\) be an odd prime number. We have that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( F_p(n) \right)^{(1/n)}
\]
does not exist.

**Proof.** Let \(p\) be an odd prime and \(n\) be any positive integer. First, by (9) and Theorems 3 and 5, one has
\[
F_p(n) = p \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ((v_p(i)(v_p(i+3))^i)H_p(n)
\]
\[
= \frac{H_p(n)}{p^{((p-2)/(p-1)) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (p^i - 1) a_i + (1/p^{(1-1)}) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i a_i}}
\]
\[
\geq \frac{(\log_p n)^n}{p^{((p-2)/(p-1)) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (p^i - 1) a_i + (1/p^{(1-1)}) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i a_i}},
\]
where \(a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2 + \cdots + a_p p^s\) is the \(p\)-adic representation of \(n\). Now, taking \(n = p^m\) with \(m\) being a positive integer, one derives that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\frac{n}{p^i}]^i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\frac{n}{p^i}]^i}.
\]
Let \( p \) be an odd prime. In this section, we give an upper bound for \( F_p(n) \) as follows.

**Theorem 6.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime number and \( n \) be a positive integer. We have that

\[
F_p(n) < (pn)^{(p^2)n}.
\]

**Proof.** First, we show that \( H_p(n) < (pn)^{2n} \), for all positive integers and odd primes \( p \). This will be done by dividing into two cases.

**Case 4.** \( n < p \). By the definition of \( H_p(n) \) and (ii) of Fact 1, one has

\[
H_p(n) = \prod_{r=1}^{n} h_p(r) = n! < \left( \frac{n+1}{e} \right)^{n+1}.
\]

So, in order to prove (53) holds for any prime \( p \geq 3 \), we only need to confirm that

\[
\frac{(n+1)^{2n}}{e^n} \leq (pn)^{(p^2)n}.
\]

for \( p \geq 3 \) in the case of \( n < p \), which will be easily done by taking logarithm into both sides of above inequality.

**Case 5.** \( n \geq p \). Let us prove (53) by induction on \( n \). First, it is easy to check (53) holds for \( n = p \). Assume (53) is true for the integer less than \( n \). Write \( n = pm + i \), where \( m \) and \( i \) are integers with \( m \geq 1 \) and \( 0 \leq i \leq p - 1 \). It then follows from (11) and Lemma 1 that

\[
\left( F_p(n) \right)^{(1/n)} = \left( F_p(p^m) \right)^{(1/p^m)} \geq \left( \frac{\log F_p(p^m)}{m(p^{m+1})} \right)^{(1/p^m)}.
\]

It then follows that

\[
\lim_{m \to +\infty} \left( F_p(p^m) \right)^{(1/p^m)} = +\infty.
\]

So, \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} (F_p(n))^{(1/n)} \) does not exist. \( \square \)

4. **An Upper Bound for** \( F_p(n) \)

Let \( p \) be an odd prime. In this section, we give an upper bound for \( F_p(n) \) as follows.

Hence, by utilizing inequalities in (ii) of Fact 1, one derives that

\[
H_p(n) < (p - 2)^{(p-2)m} \left( \frac{pm + i}{(p - 2)m + i} \right)^{H_p(m)}
\]

So, (57) gives us from the assumption that

\[
H_p(n) < 4^m \left( \frac{pm + i}{(p - 2)m + i} \right)^{H_p(m)} < 4^m \left( \frac{pm + i}{(p - 2)m + i} \right)^{H_p(m)}
\]

It follows that (53) holds for all integers \( n \) with \( n \geq p \). Therefore, (53) is proved. Now, by using (10) and (53), one arrives at

\[
F_p(n) \leq H_p(n) < (pn)^{(p^2)n},
\]

as desired. So, it completes the proof of Theorem 6.
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